A WILDERNESS AND
EX LIBRIS
BUILDETH A HOUSE ON
ORLANDO·FLEMING·BARNES
THE BANK OF A RIVER
MY FATHER COMETH INTO

Piedmont
College Library

DEMOREST, GEORGIA

492.12

DA
430
.B962
1823
V.1
942.06 B93

Burnet, Gilbert

Bishop Burnet's history of his own time.

BISHOP BURNET'S

HISTORY OF HIS OWN TIME:

WITH NOTES

BY THE EARLS OF DARTMOUTH AND HARDWICKE,
SPEAKER ONSLOW, AND DEAN SWIFT.

TO WHICH ARE ADDED

OTHER ANNOTATIONS.

IN SIX VOLUMES.
VOL. I.

SECOND EDITION ENLARGED.

OXFORD,
AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS.
MDCCCXXXIII.

PREFACE

IN 1823.

THE History of his Own Time by bishop Burnet lays claim to our regard as an original work containing a relation of public transactions, in which either the author or his connexions were engaged. It will therefore never lose its importance; but still continue to furnish materials for other historians, and to be read by those, who wish to derive their knowledge of facts from the first sources of information.

The accuracy indeed of the author's narrative has been attacked with vehemence, and often, it must be confessed, with success; but not so often, as to overthrow the general credit of his work. On the contrary, it has in many instances been satisfactorily defended, and time has already evinced the truth of certain accounts, which rested on this single authority. It has also had the rare fortune of being illustrated by the notes of three persons of high rank, possessing in consequence of their situations means of information open

to few others. That their observations on this history are now at length submitted to the public eye, is owing to the following fortunate incident.

I. A resolution having been taken by the delegates of the Clarendon press to reprint the work, the present lord bishop of Oxford expressed his readiness to communicate to them a copy of it, in which his lordship had transcribed the marginal notes written by his ancestor the first earl of Dartmouth. The offer was gratefully accepted, and the notes ordered to be printed with the text.

Afterwards, on an application to the earl of Onslow, made through the late James Boswell, esquire, of the Inner Temple, his lordship was pleased to confide to the delegates speaker Onslow's copy of Burnet's history; in which are contained the speaker's observations on this work, written in his own hand. Besides these remarks, there appear in the Onslow copy, in consequence of the permission of the second earl of Hardwicke, not only the notes written by this nobleman on the second folio volume, but also the numerous passages, which were omitted in the first volume by the original editors. The notes likewise of dean Swift are there transcribed, taken from his own copy of the history, which

had come into the possession of the first marquis of Lansdowne[a]. We shall now lay before the reader, for his greater satisfaction, a note prefixed to the Onslow copy by George late earl of Onslow, the son of the speaker.

"The notes in these two volumes marked "H. were the notes in the present earl of "Hardwicke's copy of this work written by "himself, and which he permitted me to "copy into this. The earl is the son and "heir of that great man the chancellor. The "others in the same hand-writing I had also "from him, and they are what are left "out in the printed history, but are in the "manuscript. All the rest of the notes are "my father's own. *Geo. Onslow*, 1775. There "are many errors of the copyist. The notes "in red ink are by dean Swift, and are "copied (from an edition of this work in "the marquiss of Lansdown's library, in the "margin of which they are written in the "dean's own hand) by his lordship's order "for myself. *O.* 1788."

With respect to the notes written by the earl of Dartmouth, it appears from sir John

[a] Since the publication of the former edition we have been indulged by the present lord marquis with the use of this copy, and been enabled by it signally to correct some of these notes. The copy formerly stated by us to have been burnt, contained only a transcript of Swift's autograph.

Dalrymple's Memoirs of Great Britain and Ireland, and from Mr. Rose's Observations on Fox's History of the early part of the reign of James II, that both these writers had been favoured with the sight as well of these notes, as of a collection of letters which were sent by king James, when duke of York, and residing in Scotland, to the first lord Dartmouth, the earl's father, and from which the earl has frequently inserted extracts. Seven or eight only of the notes have been communicated to the public by the abovementioned authors, and are pointed out as they occur in the following pages. All of them are now printed, with the exception of three, which contained reflections on the private character of as many individuals irrelevant to their public conduct. They have been omitted, with the approbation of the descendants of the noble writer [b].

As the earl of Dartmouth has often treated his author with great severity, it should be remarked, that he was of a party in the state opposed to that which bishop Burnet uniformly espoused. He appears also to have entertained a great personal dislike to

[b] In this second edition of Burnet's work with notes, those by lord Dartmouth have been corrected in several places in consequence of a collation of them with the original copy preserved at Sandwell, the seat of the Dartmouth family.

the bishop. At the same time this nobleman, who was secretary of state, and afterwards lord privy seal in the latter end of queen Anne's reign, never embraced, as may be collected from his notes, the absurd doctrine of non-resistance to government in all supposable cases; but was, what some have called, a moderate tory; and like most of the leading tories in the reign of the queen, was attached to the Hanover succession. The wiser members of this party held, that the right of the people to govern depends on the different laws and constitutions of different countries; but that their right to be well governed is indefesible. To which should be added, that the tyranny of the many may as justly be resisted as the misgovernment of the few, or of the individual. The following character of his lordship has been transmitted to us by Swift, whilst eulogizing the chiefs of queen Anne's last ministry, in the twenty-sixth number of the Examiner. "My lord Dartmouth," he says, "is a man of "letters, full of good sense, good nature, and "honour, of strict virtue and regularity in his "life; but labours under one great defect, "that he treats his clerks with more civility "and good manners, than others in his "station have done the queen." See also

Macky's Characters p. 89. His lordship's notes on this work of Burnet abound in curious and well told anecdotes.

The observations of speaker Onslow and the earl of Hardwicke have likewise been hitherto unpublished, except twenty of the former, printed in the twenty-seventh volume of the European Magazine. But more than half of Swift's short and cursory remarks have been already given to the public in that and the two following volumes of the same work, by the person who communicated the others, yet often altered in the expression[c]. They are shrewd, caustic, and apposite, but not written with the requisite decorum; of six notes omitted by us, three are worded in so light a way, that even modesty forbad their admission. The speaker's notes, addressed more particularly to his son, contain many incidental discussions on political subjects, and are sensible and instructive. Those of the earl of Hardwicke are so candid and judicious, that one cannot but wish them to have been more numerous. Earl Spencer, we are eager to acknowledge, condescendingly and most obligingly endeavoured to

[c] The notes by Swift which appear in the Magazine were afterwards affixed in the year 1808. to Dr. Barrett's Essay on the Earlier Part of the Life of Swift.

procure the copy of Burnet's history for our use, in the margin of which the notes were originally written by lord Hardwicke, it being desirable that some doubtful passages of the transcript in the Onslow copy should have been compared with it; but unfortunately the book could no where be found.

The earl of Dartmouth and dean Swift, who although younger than bishop Burnet, may be considered as his contemporaries, were, as we have already observed in the case of the lord Dartmouth, opposed to him in politics: but Arthur Onslow, speaker in five successive parliaments in the reign of George II, enjoyed the confidence of the whigs, and with it a high reputation for integrity and moderation. The remaining annotator, lord Hardwicke, son of the lord chancellor Hardwicke, and one of the authors of those elegant compositions the Athenian Letters, always adhered to the same party. Lord Dartmouth uses strong, and Swift much ill language, on Burnet's supposed want of veracity; and the excellent Latin verses of dean Moss on the same subject are now, we understand, in print. Yet the bishop's friends need not be apprehensive of a verdict of wilful falsehood against him in consequence of the corrections of his narrative in the subse-

quent annotations. Lord Dartmouth indeed, a man of honour, asserts, that this author has published many things which he knew to be untrue. See his note at the beginning of vol. iv. His lordship, it must be allowed, had better opportunities than we have for determining what Burnet knew; but, as he has adduced little or nothing in support of this charge, we may be permitted to think, that strong prejudice, not wilful falsehood, occasioned the bishop's erroneous statements. It ought to be recollected in his favour, that he never professed a belief, either in the discoveries of Oates, or in the alleged murder of the earl of Essex, although articles of his party's creed. And notwithstanding the idle stories told by him, on the authority of others, concerning the birth of the prince of Wales, he no where, in the present work at least, explicitly avows an opinion of his illegitimacy. Nor, although an active and zealous opposer of king James's measures, does he appear to have been concerned in the other infamous falsehood imposed at the same time on the credulity of the nation; the intended massacre of the protestants in this country by the Irish soldiery. There is a story indeed, which used to be told on the authority of the dowager countess of Nottingham, that Burnet, in

a conversation with her lord, accused him of having professed different sentiments in the house of peers on some subject from what he then did; and on lord Nottingham's denying that he had so expressed himself, the bishop, as it was stated, rejoined, if his lordship had not, he ought to have done so: and that, notwithstanding this in Burnet's History of his Own Time lord Nottingham is represented to have said that, which he denied he had said. All this may be true, and yet the bishop might not believe himself to have been mistaken. It must however be confessed, that where either party-zeal or personal resentment was concerned, this author too frequently appears to have been no patient investigator of the truth, but to have written under the influence of those feelings, even whilst he was delineating the characters of some of the most virtuous persons of the age in which he lived. Amongst these are the archbishops Sheldon and Sancroft, of whom he frequently speaks with unpardonable severity. He has also directed much indiscriminate censure against public bodies of men. In fact it appears by the preface to his work, that he himself suspected he had treated the clergy in particular with excessive harshness, irritated, he says, "perhaps too much against them, in

" consequence of the peevishness, ill-nature, " and ambition of many of them." Nay, from some particulars, which will hereafter be mentioned, it may be collected, that the author actually omitted many passages of his history still more highly reflecting on his brethren.

That he was by no means acceptable to those prelates, who governed the church of England in the reign of Charles II, seems extremely probable, when we consider that, according to his own account, he was an active opponent and open censurer of the bishops in Scotland, and a great meddler in English politics. Besides this, he professed to regard episcopacy itself as no necessary, although a preferable form of church government; and, however averse from republicanism, appears to have approved of the settlement made by the Scotish covenanters in 1641 as the best system of civil polity for Scotland. See vol. i. p. 396, 397. folio edit. The author also, during the reigns of William and Anne, was on very ill terms with the majority of the English clergy, whom he often accuses of inactivity, faction, and ambition. It may be urged on the other hand, in favour of his impartiality, that he does by no means spare the characters of those on his own side in politics; so little indeed, that for the credit of human

nature we would hope, that he knew less of men and of business than he himself supposed.

But whether his censures were just or unjust, Burnet himself, as it must be acknowledged even by his enemies, was an active and meritorious bishop, and, to the extent of his opportunities, a rewarder of merit in others. He was orthodox in points of faith, possessed superior talents, as well as very considerable learning; was an instructive and entertaining writer, in a style negligent indeed and inelegant, but almost always perspicuous; generous, open-hearted, and, in his actions, a good natured man; and although busy and intrusive, at least as honest as the generality of partisans. It is true, that his conduct to the duke of Lauderdale after the breach between them was, even in his own apprehension of it, objectionable; and he forfeited by it the favour of the royal brothers, Charles and James; who had before this time paid particular attention to him. His spleen and resentment against both these princes are apparent in every part of this history; except that his final portrait of the latter is less darkly shaded, than the harsh and hideous one which he has drawn of the former. It may be here observed, in contradiction to the

report of Burnet and of several other writers, respecting the early reconciliation of Charles to the church of Rome, that this event, as it appears from authentic accounts of the king's last moments, did not take place till a short time before his death.

II. Thus much concerning the notes on this work; and the accusation of wilful and deliberate falsehood brought against its author by the lord Dartmouth and others. We proceed to give an account of the numerous passages omitted in the first folio volume by the original editors, and now restored to their proper places.

It is known to the readers of English history, that the editors of this posthumous work, on the publication of the first volume in 1724, promised to deposite the copy, from which it was printed, in some public library; and they are apprised, that in the beginning of the second volume, printed in 1734, there appears the following declaration with the signature of the bishop's youngest son, who was afterwards sir Thomas Burnet, and a judge. "The original manuscript of both vo-
"lumes of this history will be deposited in
"the Cotton library by T. Burnett." The advertisement in the former volume, which was the only one prefixed by the editors to the

work, is conceived in these terms. "The "editors of the following history intend, for "the satisfaction of the public, to deposite "the copy from which it is printed (corrected "and interlined in many places with the "author's own hand) in some public library, "as soon as the second volume shall be pub-"lished."

Suspicions had very early arisen, nay, positive testimony had been adduced, that many passages of the original work were omitted by the editors in both the volumes; (see note in vol. iv. p. 566.) when at length, in the year 1795, the same person, who, according to our preceding statement, inserted the greater part of Swift's, and a few of speaker Onslow's notes, in the twenty-seventh volume of the European Magazine, communicated together with them twelve passages of the text of Burnet, which, amongst numerous others, had been omitted by the editors of the first volume. They were, in all probability, published by him from either the Onslow or the Hardwicke copy of Burnet. He mentions the Hardwicke notes, although he has extracted none of them. It has been already stated, that the Hardwicke copy is missing, without hope it should seem of its recovery, and into this copy the Onslow

notes had been transcribed, as those by the earl of Hardwicke had been into the Onslow copy. Now apart from actual testimony, that the omissions were not confined to the first volume, it appeared extremely probable to us, that in proportion as the history drew nearer to their own times, the caution which dictated these omissions to the editors would acquire additional motives, and that as many, if not more, instances of suppression would be found to occur in the second volume.

We had therefore recourse to that noble repository of literature and science, the British Museum, of which the Cotton library, as is generally known, forms a constituent part. Henry Ellis, esquire, one of the librarians of that institution, very obligingly complied with our request to make the requisite search for this MS. and he subsequently reported, that, after the most accurate examination, it did not appear that it had ever been deposited in the library. He added, that "several collections "of folio papers, written in various hands, "and at different times, contained an imper- "fect copy of bishop Burnet's History of his "Own Times, with many variations from the "printed editions. That some memorandums "on a single sheet at the beginning of this "book, dated July 1699, are probably in

" the bishop's hand, as are also many cor-
" rections in the history. Finally, that Dr.
" Gifford has written several useful remarks
" in the volume; among which is one, that
" 'from many particulars it appears, that the
" printed editions are not taken from these
" loose papers: yet that though there is
" great variety of expression, the substance
" is generally the same.'" This is the account with which we were favoured by Mr Ellis. It should be further observed, that the well known fire, by which the Cotton library suffered considerable injury, happened in 1731, three years before the promise was publicly given of depositing the original MS. in that library.

These circumstances considered, it is probable, that the same reasons which induced the editor, or editors, to omit certain passages in both volumes of the work, finally determined them, although pointedly expostulated with on the subject, to relinquish their purpose of placing the original MS. in an accessible library. It deserves notice, that in page 8 of the second letter addressed by Mr. Beach to Thomas Burnet, esquire, the writer asserts, that he had in his own possession an authentic and complete collection of the castrations. See Nicholls's Literary Anec-

dotes, vol. i. p. 285. It is added by Beach, as we have been informed by a gentleman, who inspected this second letter to the younger Burnet, as well as Sinclair's Remarks on the first letter, that these passages were also in the hands of several persons of distinction[d]. After all, we are induced by our recollection of the restored passages to think, that although they were unjustifiably omitted, because against the author's express injunctions in his last will, yet that it was not done by the editors through party considerations, but from a desire of abating the displeasure certain to be conceived against their father, by the friends or relations of those who suffered by the severity of his censure. The editors appear to have consulted their own feelings, in the omission of several traits in the character given by him of his uncle Warriston.

But it must not be omitted, that previously to the first publication of this work in 1724, some extracts from the former part of it, confessed to have been surreptitiously obtained

[d] In Beach's first Letter, as we have found since the first publication of this Preface, are inserted between twenty and thirty of the omitted passages, all of them the same as those in the Onslow copy of Burnet, and all likewise confined to the first volume in folio, although the Letter was published in the year 1736 after the appearance of the second volume. The same is the case with Bowyer's copy of the omitted passages, now in the Bodleian library.

during the author's life, were actually printed; none of which appear either in the edited work, or amongst the suppressed, and now restored passages of the first volume. In a tract found in the British Museum by a gentleman, who has done much for the literary history of this country, Dr. Philip Bliss, fellow of St. John's college, Oxford, four passages are brought forward by the author of it, purporting to be extracts from Burnet's history. The title of the pamphlet is, *A specimen of the bishop of Sarum's Posthumous History of the Affairs of the Church and State of Great Britain during his life. By Robert Elliot, M. A. 3d. ed. London. 8vo. without date*[e]. The publisher in his preface says that he received the contents, consisting of extracts from Burnet's history, and copious remarks upon them, from Mr. Elliot, a deprived episcopal clergyman of Scotland. The extracts are asserted to have been privately made by Elliot, whilst employed together with others in transcribing a manuscript of the work lent by the author to lord W. P. (perhaps lord William Paulett). In support of the credibility of the account, it may be observed, that lord Dartmouth, in a note at page 6. vol. I. mentions an offer made to

[e] The first edition of this pamphlet appeared in the year 1715.

himself by the author, of inspecting his history; a favour, his lordship adds, which the bishop had conferred on several others. Of these four extracts, the first is a relation of the murder of archbishop Sharp, and although it agrees in substance with that in the edited copy, yet is much altered in point of expression. The three others contain very severe and acrimonious reflections on the English clergy.

It is observable, that in the preface by Dr. Hickes to Three Treatises republished by him in 1709, some years before the death of bishop Burnet, a part of the fourth and last of these extracts is given in the very words produced by Elliot; and that Hickes says, he had seen a short specimen of the bishop's *anecdot*, perhaps communicated to him by this clergyman[f].

Dr. Bliss is of opinion, in case these extracts are authentic, that they were taken from a copy of Burnet's work in its first state, and before he altered, revised, and softened it. That they are genuine, many internal marks

[f] This part of the last extract appears also in a tract entitled, *Speculum Sarisburianum*, printed in 1714, the last year of the bishop's life. It should seem too, that the celebrated Leslie had previously in one of his publications taken notice of some of Elliot's extracts, shewn him perhaps in MS.

of authenticity lead us to suppose; over and above the circumstance, that, when Elliot, after finishing his extracts, proceeds to set down what he recollects of the substance of nine or ten other passages of the work, all that he produces has a perfect agreement with what was afterwards published as the bishop's. It is proper to remark in this place, that no additional charge of suppression or alteration can fairly be brought against the editors of Burnet's history in consequence of these extracts produced by Elliot, as they were made during the author's life, whilst he had the power of altering and revising his own work. On the other hand, against any suggestion, that the passages restored by us to the text had been in a similar way expunged or altered by the author himself, may be adduced the express testimony above referred to, that many things in the copy from which his work was printed, were omitted by the editors in both the volumes[g].

Before this account of the suppressed passages is entirely concluded, we shall take notice, that amongst those which are restored, there is one, in vol. I. p. 544, containing a severe attack on the character of king Charles I. chiefly founded on that prince's letters to the

[g] Compare Beach's Second Letter to Thomas Burnet, esq. p. 13.

first duke of Hamilton, and on bishop Burnet's acquaintance with the Hamilton papers, the basis of his Memoirs of the two dukes of that family. In favour of the king it ought first to be stated, that the series of letters addressed to him by the marquis, afterwards duke, of Hamilton, appears to have formed no part of that collection of papers, Burnet having in his Memoirs inserted few or none of them. Again, that this nobleman so conducted himself in those unhappy times, that he was always suspected by the royalists of treachery and treason against his benefactor and sovereign; and was even charged upon oath "with having agents to raise vile reports "to the dishonour of the king and queen, "and their whole court, as if it was a sink of "iniquity." See, besides the histories of the times, two tracts, one entitled *Digitus Dei*, p. 6. and the other the *Practices of the Hamiltons*, p. 15. together with a note at page 65 of this first volume of Burnet. From this source apparently originated a report unfavourable to the character of the queen, whether true or untrue, which is mentioned in a note by the earl of Dartmouth, vol. I. p. 66. Neither is any additional credit reflected on the Hamilton papers themselves, in case they contained, according to the assertion of some

persons, the following incredible story. That in the year 1640 the king sent a warrant to sir William Balfour, lieutenant of the tower of London, to execute immediately the earl of Loudon for the crime of high treason, although, as it is well known, it had formerly been pardoned in consequence of a general act of grace; which illegal warrant was to take effect without any previous trial; and that Charles was diverted from insisting on Balfour's obedience to the order, solely by the interference of the marquis of Hamilton. See the Conclusion of Birch's Inquiry into King Charles the First's Transactions with the Earl of Glamorgan, Second Edition, where this tale is brought forward against the king[h].

[h] Since the former edition of this Preface, it has been found, that the above relation had previously appeared in Oldmixon's History of the Stuarts, and that Brodie, in his History of the British Empire, vol. II. p. 516, professes his belief in its authenticity, although he originally thought it untrue; grounding, he says, his opinion, as Birch had done before him, on Scott's *Staggering State of the Scotch Statesmen*, which was first published in the year 1754, but written by one, as Brodie remarks, employed by king Charles I. and his father. It appears, indeed, that the author of this tract, a favourer of the covenanters, had heard of and credited the report; but had it been true, all England in those days would have rung with it, as sir William Balfour, to whom the warrant is said to have been sent, was afterwards a distinguished commander in the parliament army. Consult also a work lately published, abounding in curious investigation, D'Israeli's Commentaries on the Life and Reign of

Let the duke of Hamilton however be heard in his own defence, and at the same time in behalf of his royal master. In his speech before his execution, this nobleman has the following expressions. "I take God to witness, "that I have constantly been a faithful sub- "ject and servant to his late majesty, in spite "of all malice and calumny. I have had the "honour since my childhood to attend and "be near him, till now of late, and during "all that time I observed in him as eminent "virtues and as little vice, as in any man I "ever knew." *Burnet's Memoirs of the Dukes of Hamilton*, p. 398.

III. Thus much concerning the restored passages. To the notes of the earls of Dartmouth and Hardwicke, speaker Onslow, and dean Swift, several others have been added, for the purpose of correction, and of fuller illustration. They are drawn principally from the professed answerers of Burnet, from the historians of particular periods of our history, writers of memoirs and of scarce tracts, and occasionally from manuscript authorities.

Charles the First, vol. IV. ch. xi. p. 357—361. It is however possible, that the marquis of Hamilton might himself add terror to allurement, when he brought over the earl of Loudon to the king's interests. We have just seen, with some surprise, a learned and sagacious writer expressing very lately his opinion in favour of the truth of this narration.

They were selected and appended to the text, whilst the press was going on, in the course of the last year; and will, it is hoped, as well as the strictures on some doctrines and opinions in the other annotations, appear to owe their situation in the following pages to a zeal for truth, sincere, at least, however mistaken. All these notes are interspersed with the others, and included within a parenthesis [i].

It is proper to apprise the reader, that Ralph's History of the three first reigns contained in bishop Burnet's work, namely, those of Charles II. James II. and king William, was not procured for consultation before some part of the reign of James II. was already printed. But this circumstance appeared afterwards to be of less consequence than the perusal of the latter part of the same history caused us to apprehend. This

[i] The number of these notes has been considerably increased in consequence of the perusal of additional authorities, many of them contemporary works lately brought to light, and of the still inedited letters of the archbishops Sharp, Burnett, and Boyle, addressed to archbishop Sheldon. These letters were not long since in the possession of sir John English Dolben, baronet, a descendant from a brother of the last mentioned prelate, but are now deposited in the Bodleian library. Some notes also, illustrative of historical facts, have been selected from the vituperative remarks written by Cole the antiquary in a copy of Burnet's History preserved in the same library.

historian has obtained from Mr. Fox the praise of impartiality; which he well deserves [k].

It should also be here acknowledged, that a statement in bishop Burnet's work at pp. 31, 32. of the first volume, ought to have been corrected from the earl of Cromarty's Account of the Conspiracies of the Earls of Gowry, published before Burnet's death in the year 1713. The bishop affirms, that the last earl of Gowry was descended through a daughter of lord Methuen, from Margaret daughter of king Henry the Seventh, although this king's daughter had in reality no issue, but what died in infancy, by her third husband Henry lord Methuen, whom our author erroneously calls Francis Steward, father of a lord Methuen. Gowry's grandmother was daughter of Henry lord Methuen by his second wife, a daughter of the earl of Athol, married to him after Margaret the queen dowager of Scotland's death. See the Earl of Cromarty's Account, p. 8—12. As in this case the earl of Gowry had no well founded claim to the succession of the crown of England, if king James of Scotland were removed out of the way, he could scarcely be influ-

[k] Some references to the former part of Ralph's History have now been added.

enced by any such claim to attempt the assassination of that prince, according to the bishop's surmize, not sanctioned, as he himself owns, by any other historian.

On the other hand a confirmation of our author's testimony has lately occurred, and the question, so ably discussed by sergeant Heywood in his Vindication of Fox's Historical Work, as to the conduct of general Monck during the pending trial of the marquis of Argyle, has been finally set at rest. It now appears, on the authority of sir George Mackenzie, one of the assigned defenders of the marquis, that Monck, when "advertised "of the scantiness of the probation," did actually transmit to Scotland several official letters formerly received by him from the marquis for the purpose of procuring that nobleman's condemnation. See vol. I. p. 225, and sir George Mackenzie's Memoirs of the affairs of Scotland just published, p. 4.

In printing the text of Burnet, the first edition has been followed, and the alterations of his style in those subsequent have been neglected. It is true, that in the title-page of the octavo edition printed in 1755, the whole work is said to have been revised and corrected by the editor, the bishop's son; but allowing this, the original MS. was still further

departed from, than even in the folio edition. The few alterations which occur in the editor's Life of his father have been adopted.

The Index to the text of Burnet has been improved by Dr. Bliss, whose name we have already had occasion to mention; the other Index to the principal contents of the notes was entirely prepared by that gentleman[1].

The author finished his history of the reigns of Charles II. and James II. about the beginning of the eighteenth century; that of the reign of William, and of the former part of queen Anne's reign in 1710. The continuation of the work to the conclusion of peace in 1713 was completed by him in that year; less than two years before his death. The present year 1823 is nearly the hundredth since the publication of the first volume in folio, comprising the two first reigns above mentioned, together with a summary of public affairs before the restoration. It appears to have excited more interest than the second volume, which followed in 1734, after an interval of ten years. But this is by no means to be wondered at, if besides taking into account the author's frequent relations in the subsequent volume of military

[1] It has now been augmented on account of the additional matter.

and foreign affairs, amusive indeed, but brief and perfunctory, we consider the diminished influence of the good or ill qualities of individuals on the public events and transactions of this latter period.

The great influence which personal character had formerly on events, together with other causes, occasions the reign of Charles the first, in which the contest for political power commenced, to form the most interesting period of English history, whether we are disposed to triumph with the conquering party, or to espouse and commiserate the cause of high honour and suffering loyalty. The frequent and remarkable changes of government during the interregnum, as well as the singular and energetic character of the protector Cromwell, secure the attention of every reader. The disputes, which afterwards arose between an unprincipled, but good humoured monarch, regardless alike of his own honour and the national interest, and a restless, violent, and merciless faction, are subjects of deep concern, on account of their melancholy results. At the same time, the mind feels consolation in the virtues of Ormond, Clarendon, and Southampton. And, notwithstanding the enormities of courtiers and anti-courtiers, we reflect with pleasure on the free-

dom then first securely enjoyed, from every species of arbitrary taxation, and from extra-judicial imprisonment; on the provision made for the meeting of parliament once in three years at the least; in a word, on the possession of a constitution, which king William admired so much, that he professed himself afraid to improve it. The gloom of the next reign, ruined as its prospects were by folly and oppression, and finally closed by means of intrigue, falsehood, and intimidation, is in part enlivened by a view of the courageous and disinterested conduct of Sancroft, Hough, Dundee, Craven, and several others. Some of these persons, desirous of a parliamentary redress of grievances, thought, that instead of the force put upon the person of the king, an accommodation might and ought to have been effected with him; as he had a little before, when threatened with the just and open hostility of his subjects for his perversion of the law, and maintenance of a standing army, made very important concessions. Yet it may reasonably be doubted, whether a composition with a prince of his disposition and feeble judgment, whatever good qualities he was otherwise possessed of, would eventually have been lasting, or even reducible to practice. It was remarked, that

the appeal made by him to his subjects immediately after his retreat to another country, was signed by a secretary of state employed contrary to the intent at least of law.

Times had now passed, which were chequered with great virtues and great vices: but the reigns of William and Anne exhibit to the reader one uniform scene of venality and corruption; and the mind, instead of being interested, is disgusted with the contests of two parties for the government of the country, assuming, as it best suited their selfish purposes, each others' principles. The long contemplated change in the executive government was at length effected; its power being virtually transferred to combinations of persons possessed of great influence in parliamentary elections, and in parliament itself. Hence what has been called the practice of the constitution differed widely from its theory; and to this depression of the crown and of its direct power, occasioned by the almost constant sitting of parliament, were added maxims annihilating the will of the single person, and, in conjunction with other causes, finally subversive of all dutiful and affectionate attachment to authority. These maxims, not recognised as constitutional by Clarendon, Hale, or Locke, were

advanced in order to colour and justify the alteration. A wider and more extensive field was now opened for the exertion of talents, contributing to the advancement of the individual, but often more hurtful than useful to the public. In these reigns also, contrary to every principle of justice, were laid the deep and broad foundations of a debt, which no other than the political system then adopted could have entailed on a nation. It ought still however to be remembered, that at, or soon after the revolution, a solemn recognition was made of the liberties of Englishmen; the power of dispensing with the laws was abrogated in all cases; the judges ceased to be dismissed at the sole pleasure of the crown; a provision was made against the long continuance of parliaments; freedom of religious worship was secured to the great body of protestant dissenters; the important and necessary measure of a union with Scotland was effected; the liberty of the press established; trials for treason better regulated; and a more exact and impartial administration of justice generally introduced in the kingdom. These blessings, and all our constitutional rights, may God's providence, and a virtuous and independent spirit, preserve. Let us venerate the source of

our freedom and happiness, the legal monarchy of England, supporting it, when outraged by venal and prodigal factions, or threatened with subversion by reckless and usurping demagogues.

M. J. R.

THE

HISTORY

OF

MY OWN TIMES.

THE PREFACE.

I AM now beginning to review and write over again the history of my own time, which I first undertook twenty years ago[a], and have been continuing it from year to year ever since: and I see some reason to review it all. I had while I was very young a greater knowledge of affairs than is usual at that age; for my father, who had been engaged in great friendships with men of both sides, living 2 then retired from all business, as he took my education wholly into his own hands, so he took a sort of pleasure to relate to me the series of all public affairs. And as he was a man so eminent for probity and true piety, that I had all reason to believe him; so I saw such an impartial sense of things in him, that I had as little reason to doubt his judgment as his sincerity. For though he adhered so firmly to the king and his side, that he was the singular instance in Scotland of a man of some note, who, from the beginning to the end of the war, never once owned or submitted to the new form of government set up all that while; yet he did very freely complain of the errors of the king's govern-

[a] This history he writ some time before the year 1705, but how long, he has not any where told; only it appears it was then finished, because in the beginning of the reign of king William and queen Mary he dates the continuation of his history on the first day of May, 1705. ORIGINAL EDITORS.

ment, and of the bishops of Scotland. So that upon this foundation I set out at first to look into the secret conduct of affairs among us.

I fell into great acquaintance and friendships with several persons, who either were or had been ministers of state, from whom, when the secret of affairs was over, I studied to know as many particulars as I could draw from them. I saw a great deal more among the papers of the dukes of Hamilton than was properly a part of their memoirs, or fit to be told at that time: for when a licence was to be obtained, and a work was to be published fit for that family to own, things foreign to their ministry, or hurtful to any other families, were not to be intermixed with the account I then gave of the late wars. And now for above thirty years I have lived in such intimacy with all who have had the chief conduct of affairs, and have been so much trusted, and on so many important occasions employed by them, that I have been able to penetrate far into the true secrets of counsels and designs.

This made me twenty years ago write down a relation of all that I had known to that time: where I was in the dark, I past over all, and only opened those transactions that I had particular occasions to know. My chief design in writing was to give a true view of men and of counsels, leaving public transactions to gazettes and the public historians of the times. I writ with a design to make both my self and my readers wiser and better, and to lay open the good and bad of all sides and parties, as clearly and impartially as I my self understood it, concealing nothing that I thought fit to be known, and representing things in their natural colours with-

out art or disguise, without any regard to kindred or friends, to parties or interests: for I do solemnly say this to the world, and make my humble appeal 3 upon it to the great God of truth, that I tell the truth on all occasions, as fully and freely as upon my best inquiry I have been able to find it out. Where things appear doubtful, I deliver them with the same incertainty to the world.

Some may perhaps think, that, instead of favouring my own profession, I have been more severe upon them than was needful. But my zeal for the true interest of religion and of the clergy made me more careful to undeceive good and well meaning men of my own order and profession for the future, and to deliver them from common prejudices and mistaken notions, than to hide or excuse the faults of those who will be perhaps gone off the stage before this work appear on it. I have given the characters of men very impartially and copiously; for nothing guides one's judgment more truly in a relation of matters of fact, than the knowing the tempers and principles of the chief actors[b].

[b] Bishop Burnet was a man of the most extensive knowledge I ever met with; had read and seen a great deal, with a prodigious memory, and a very indifferent judgment: he was extremely partial, and readily took every thing for granted that he heard to the prejudice of those he did not like: which made him pass for a man of less truth than he really was. I do not think he designedly published any thing he believed to be false. He had a boisterous vehement manner of expressing himself, which often made him ridiculous, especially in the house of lords, when what he said would not have been thought so, delivered in a lower voice, and a calmer behaviour. His vast knowledge occasioned his frequent rambling from the point he was speaking to, which ran him into discourses of so universal a nature, that there was no end to be expected but from a failure of his strength and spirits, of both which he had a larger share than most men; which

If I have dwelt too long on the affairs of Scotland, some allowance is to be made to the affection all men bear to their native country. I alter nothing of what I wrote in the first draught of this work, only I have left out a great deal that was personal to my self, and to those I am descended from: so that this is upon the matter the same work, with very little change made in it.

I look on the perfecting of this work, and the carrying it on through the remaining part of my life, as the greatest service I can do to God and to the world; and therefore I set about it with great care and caution. For I reckon a lie in history to be as much a greater sin than a lie in common discourse, as the one is like to be more lasting and more generally known than the other. I find that the long experience I have had of the baseness, the malice, and the falsehood of mankind, has inclined me to be apt to think generally the worst both of men and of parties: and indeed the peevishness, the ill nature, and the ambition of many clergymen, has sharpened my spirits perhaps too much against them: so I warn[c] my reader to take all that I say on these heads with some grains of allowance, though I have watched over my self and my pen so carefully, that I hope there is no great occasion for this apology.

I have shewed this history to several of my friends[d], who were either very partial to me, or

were accompanied with a most invincible assurance. DARTMOUTH.

[c] I will take his warning. SWIFT.

[d] He offered to shew it to me, which I avoided, knowing it was a favour he had granted to several others, and if any part of it had been published before its time, he might have thought it came from me:

they esteemed that this work (chiefly when it should 4
be over and over again retouched and polished[e] by me[f], which very probably I shall be doing as long as I live[g]) might prove of some use to the world. I have on design avoided all laboured periods or artificial strains, and have writ in as clear and plain a style as was possible, choosing rather a copious enlargement than a dark conciseness.

And now, O my God, the God of my life, and of all my mercies, I offer this work to thee, to whose honour it is chiefly intended; that thereby[h] I may awaken the world to just reflections on their own errors and follies, and call on them to acknowledge thy providence, to adore it, and ever to depend on it.

though he was so civil as to tell me I would be the last he should suspect; and whenever I did read it, I should find accounts both of persons and things, that I did not expect from him; but truth, he said, must be followed by an historian, wherever it led him. D.

[e] Rarely polished; I never read so ill a style. S.

[f] I do not know who his friends were, or how partial they might be, but I believe generally people will be of opinion that this is the worst of his performances; in most others that are of any value, the materials were ready furnished, and he had only the putting of them together; in this, which is entirely his own, he has exposed his excessive partiality, and great want of judgment. D.

[g] Mr. secretary Johnston, who was his intimate friend and near relation, told me, that after a debate in the house of lords he usually went home, and altered every body's character, as they had pleased or displeased him that day. D.

[h] This I take to be nonsense. S.

THE

HISTORY

OF

MY OWN TIMES.

BOOK I.

A summary recapitulation of the state of affairs in Scotland, both in church and state; from the beginning of the troubles, to the restoration of king Charles the second, 1660.

THE mischiefs of civil wars are so great and lasting, and the effects of them branching out by many accidents, that were not thought on at first, much less intended, into such mischievous consequences, that I have thought it an inquiry that might be of great use, both to prince and people, to look carefully into the first beginnings and occasions of them, to observe their progress, and the errors of both hands, 6
the provocations that were given, and the jealousies that were raised by these, together with the excesses into which both sides have run by turns. And though the wars be over long ago, yet since they have left among us so many seeds of lasting feuds and animosities, which upon every turn are apt to

ferment and to break out of new, it will be an useful as well as a pleasant inquiry to look back to the first original of them, and to observe by what degrees and accidents they gathered strength, and at last broke forth into a flame.

The distractions during king James's minority.

The reformation of Scotland was popular and parliamentary: the crown was, during that time, either on the head of a queen that was absent, or of a king that was an infant. During his minority, matters were carried on by the several regents, so as was most agreeable to the prevailing humour of the nation. But when king James grew to be of age, he found two parties in the kingdom. The one was of those who wished well to the interest of the queen his mother, then a prisoner in England: these were either professed papists, or men believed to be indifferent as to all religions. The rest were her inveterate enemies, zealous for the reformation, and fixed in a dependence on the crown of England, and in a jealousy of France. When that king saw that those who were most in his interests were likewise jealous of his authority, and apt to encroach upon it [a], he hearkened first to the insinuations of his mother's party, who were always infusing in him a jealousy of these his friends; saying, that by ruining his mother, and setting him in her room while a year old, they had ruined monarchy, and made the crown subject and precarious; and had put him in a very unnatural posture, of being seized of his mother's crown while she was in exile and a prisoner; adding, that he was but a king in name, the power being in the hands of those who were under the management of the queen of England.

[a] Nonsense. S.

Their insinuations would have been of less force, if the house of Guise, who were his cosin germans, had not been engaged in great designs, of transferring the crown of France from the house of Bourbon to themselves; in order to which it was necessary to embroil England, and to draw the king of Scotland into their interests. So under the pretence of keeping up the old alliances between France and Scotland, they sent creatures of their own to be ambassadors there; and they also sent a graceful young man, who, as he was the king's nearest kinsman by his father, was of so agreeable a temper, that he became his favourite, and was made by him duke of Lenox. He was known to be a papist, though 7 he pretended he changed his religion, and became in profession a protestant [b].

The practices of the house of Guise.

The court of England discovered all these artifices of the Guisians, who were then the most implacable enemies of the reformation, and were managing all that train of plots against queen Elizabeth, that in conclusion proved fatal to the queen of Scots. And when the English ministers saw the inclinations of the young king lay so strongly that way, that all their applications to gain him were ineffectual, they

[b] ("Some time before his "expiring, (at Paris, where he "contracted a fever whereof "after a few days he died,) "there came to him a priest "or two to do their accustom- "ed service, whom he would "not admit, professing to die "in the faith of the church of "Scotland, and to keep the "oath which he had given to "the king inviolate. This the "king made to be proclaimed "at Edinburgh, that the peo- "ple might see what wrong "the duke had sustained, dur- "ing his abode in the realm, "by the uncharitable suspi- "cions both of ministers and "others." Archbishop Spotiswood's *Hist. of the Church of Scotland*, p. 324. cited by Bevill Higgons, in his *Remarks on Bishop Burnet's History*, p. 8. Compare his Postscript, p. 315—320.)

infused such a jealousy of him into all their party in Scotland, that both nobility and clergy were much alarmed at it.

But king James learnt early that piece of king-craft [c], of disguising, or at least denying every thing that was observed in his behaviour that gave offence.

The main instance in which the French management appeared was, that he could not be prevailed on to enter into any treaty of marriage. It was not safe to talk of marrying a papist; and as long as the duke of Guise lived, the king, though then three and twenty, and the only person of his family, would hearken to no proposition for marrying a protestant.

King James in the interest of England.

But when the duke of Guise was killed at Blois, and that Henry the third was murdered soon after, so that Henry the fourth came in his room, king James was no more in a French management: so presently after he married a daughter of Denmark, and ever after that he was wholly managed by queen Elizabeth and her ministers. I have seen many letters among Walsingham's papers that discover the commerce between the house of Guise and him (king James); but the most valuable of these is a long paper of instructions to one sir Richard Wigmore, a great man for hunting, and for all such sports, to which king James was out of measure addicted. The queen affronted him publicly. Upon

[c] A mean expression, often made use of by king James the first; though little to the reputation of his integrity or understanding, but suitable to the pedantic education they had given him in his youth; which the earl of Marr told me was done designedly, to make him contemptible both at home and abroad: and that George Buchanan said, he would take care to make him the lively image of his mother. D.

which he pretended he could live no longer in England, and therefore withdrew to Scotland. But all this was a contrivance of Walsingham's, who thought him a fit person to get into that king's favour: so that affront was designed to give him the more credit. He was very particularly instructed in all the proper methods to gain upon the king's confidence, and to observe and give an account of all he saw in him: which he did very faithfully. By these instructions it appears that Walsingham thought that king was either inclined to turn papist, or to be of no religion. And when the court of England saw that they could not depend on him, they raised all possible opposition to him in Scotland, infusing strong jealousies into those who were enough inclined to receive them.

8 This is the great defect that runs through archbishop Spotswood's history, where much of the rude opposition that king met with, particularly from the assemblies of the kirk, is set forth; but the true ground of all the jealousies they were possessed with is suppressed by him. After his marriage, they studied to remove these suspicions all that was possible; and he granted the kirk all the laws they desired, and got his temporal authority to be better established than it was before: yet as the jealousies of his fickleness in religion were never quite removed, so they gave him many new disgusts: they wrought in him a most inveterate hatred of presbytery, and of the power of the kirk; and he fearing an opposition in his succeeding to the crown of England, from the papist party, which, though it had little strength in the house of commons, yet was very great in the house of lords, and was very con-

A censure of Spotswood's history.

siderable in all the northern parts, and among the body of the people, employed several persons who were known to be papists, though they complied outwardly. The chief of these were Elphinston, secretary of state, whom he made lord Balmerinoch; and Seaton, afterwards chancellor, and earl of Dunfermling. By their means he studied to assure the papists that he would connive at them. A letter was also writ to the pope by him, giving assurance of this, which when it came to be published by Bellarmin, upon the prosecution of the recusants after the discovery of the gunpowder plot, Balmerinoch did affirm, that he out of zeal to the king's service got his hand to it, having put it in the bundle of papers that were signed in course, without the king's knowing any thing of it [d]. Yet when that discovery drew no other severity, but the turning him out of office, and the passing a sentence condemning him to die for it, (which was presently pardoned, and he was after a short confinement restored to his liberty,) all men believed that the king knew of the letter, and that the pretended confession of the secretary was only collusion to lay the jealousies of the king's favouring popery, which still hung upon him, notwithstanding his writing on the Revelation, and his affecting to enter on all occasions into controversy, asserting in particular that the pope was antichrist.

King James studied to gain the papists.

As he took these methods to manage the popish party, he was much more careful to secure to himself the body of the English nation. Cecil, afterwards earl of Salisbury, secretary to queen Eliza-

And to secure the succession to the crown of England.

[d] See the life of king James in the complete history of England, vol. ii. page 666, in the note thereto. Onslow.

beth, entered into a particular confidence with him: and this was managed by his ambassador Bruce[e], a younger brother of a noble family in Scotland, who carried the matter with such address and secrecy, 9
that all the great men of England, without knowing of one another's doing it, and without the queen's suspecting any thing concerning it, signed in writing an engagement to assert and stand by the king of Scots right of succession. This great service was rewarded by making him master of the rolls, and a peer of Scotland: and as the king did raise Cecil and his friends to the greatest posts and dignities, so he raised Bruce's family here in England.

That king's errors in government.

When that king came to the crown of England he discovered his hatred to the Scottish kirk on many occasions, in which he gratified his resentment without consulting his interests[f]. He ought to have put his utmost strength to the finishing what he but faintly begun for the union of both kingdoms, which was lost by his unreasonable partiality in pretending that Scotland ought to be considered in this union as the third part of the isle of Great Britain, if not more. So high a demand ruined the design. But when that failed, he should then have studied

[e] Robert Cecil, great-grandson to the first earl of Salisbury, told me that his ancestor inquiring into the character of king James; Bruce's answer was, "Ken ye a John Ape? "en I's have him, he'l bite *you*: "en you's have him, he'l bite "*me*." D. (Compare Ralph's Hist. of England, I. 499. in a note, where lord Burleigh's name instead of that of his son the earl of Salisbury is brought forward on this occasion.)

[f] The earl of Seafield told me that king James frequently declared that he never looked upon himself to be more than king of Scotland in name, till he came to be king of England; but now, he said, one kingdom would help him to govern the other, or he had studied kingcraft to very little purpose from his cradle to that time. D.

to keep the affections of that nation firm to him: and certainly he, being secure of that kingdom, might have so managed matters, as to have prevented that disjointing which happened afterwards both in his own reign, and more tragically in his son's. He thought to effect this by his profuse bounty to many of the nobility of that kingdom, and to his domestic servants: but as most of these settling in England were of no further use to him in that design, so his setting up episcopacy in Scotland, and his constant aversion to the kirk, how right soever it might be in it self, was a great error in policy; for the poorer that kingdom was, it was both the more easy to gain them, and the more dangerous to offend them. So the terror which the affections of the Scotch nation might have justly given the English was soon lost, by his engaging the whole government to support that which was then very contrary to the bent and genius of the nation.

He set up episcopacy in Scotland.

But though he set up bishops, he had no revenues to give them, but what he was to purchase for them. During his minority, all the tithes and the church lands were vested in the crown: but this was only in order to the granting them away to the men that bore the chief sway. It is true, when he came of age, he, according to the law of Scotland, past a general revocation of all that had been done in his infancy: and by this he could have resumed all those grants. He, and after him his son, succeeded in one part of his design: for by act of parliament a court was erected that was to examine and sequester a third part of the tithes in every parish, and so make a competent provision out of them to

those who served the cure; which had been reserved 10
in the great alienation for the service of the church. This was carried at first to a proportion of about thirty pounds a year, and was afterwards in his son's time raised to about fifty pounds a year[g]; which, considering the plenty, and way of living in that country, is a very liberal provision, and is equal in value to thrice that sum in the southern parts of England. In this he had both the clergy and the body of the people on his side. But he could not so easily provide for the bishops: they were at first forced to hold their former cures with some small addition.

With a design to carry matters farther.

But as they assumed at their first setting up little more authority than that of a constant president of the presbyters, so they met with much rough opposition. The king intended to carry on a conformity in matters of religion with England, and he begun to buy in from the grantees many of the estates that belonged to the bishoprics. It was also enacted, that a form of prayer should be drawn for Scotland: and the king was authorized to appoint the habits in which the divine offices were to be performed. Some of the chief holydays were ordered to be observed. The sacrament was to be received kneeling, and to be given to the sick. Confirmation was enacted; as also the use of the cross in baptism. These things were first past in general assemblies, which were composed of bishops and the deputies chosen by the clergy, who sat all in one house: and in it they reckoned the bishops only as single votes. Great opposition was made to

[g] Scotch pounds, I suppose. S.

all these steps: and the whole force of the government was strained to carry elections to those meetings, or to take off those who were chosen; in which it was thought that no sort of practice was omitted. It was pretended, that some were frighted, and others were corrupted.

Errors of the bishops.

The bishops themselves did their part very ill. They generally grew haughty: they neglected their functions, and were often at court, and lost all esteem with the people. Some few that were stricter and more learned did lean so grossly to popery, that the heat and violence of the reformation became the main subject of their sermons and discourses. King James grew weary of this opposition, or was so apprehensive of the ill effects that it might have, that, what through sloth or fear, and what by reason of the great disorder into which his ill conduct brought his affairs in England in his latter years, he went no further in his designs on Scotland.

Prince Henry was believed to be poisoned.

He had three children. His eldest, prince Henry, was a prince of great hopes; but so very little like his father, that he was rather feared than loved by
11 him. He was so zealous a protestant, that, when his father was entertaining propositions of marrying him to popish princesses, once to the archduchess, and at another time to a daughter of Savoy, he in a letter that he wrote to the king on the twelfth of that October in which he died, (the original of which sir William Cook shewed me,) desired, that if his father married him that way, it might be with the youngest person of the two, of whose conversion he might have hope, and that any liberty she might be allowed for her religion might be in the privatest

manner possible. Whether this aversion to popery hastened his death or not, I cannot tell[h]. Colonel Titus[i] assured me that he had from king Charles the first's own mouth, that he was well assured he was poisoned by the earl of Somerset's means. It is certain, that from the time of the gunpowder plot, king James was so struck with the terror of that danger he was then so near, that ever after he had no mind to provoke the Jesuits; for he saw what they were capable of.

The gunpowder plot.

And since I name that conspiracy which the papists in our days have had the impudence to deny[k], and to pretend it was an artifice of Cecil's to engage some desperate men into a plot, which he managed so that he could discover it when he pleased, I will mention what I my self saw, and had for some time in my possession. Sir Everard Digby died for being of the conspiracy: he was the father of the famous sir Kenelm Digby. The family being ruined upon the death of sir Kenelm's son, when the executors were looking out for writings to make out

[h] If he was poisoned by the earl of Somerset, it was not upon the account of religion, but for making love to the countess of Essex; and that was what the lord chief justice Coke meant, when he said at the earl of Somerset's trial, "God knows what went with "the good prince Henry, but "I have heard something." D. (That high hopes were justly entertained of this prince on account of his parts and spirit, may be collected from the duke de Sully's Memoirs; where, by the way, the most indubitable proofs are to be found of the great personal talents of queen Elizabeth.)

[i] Titus was the greatest rogue in England. S.

[k] See what lord Stafford says of this plot, in his trial. *State Trials*, vol. ii. page 621. O. This was a bad project of thirteen or fourteen Roman catholics to introduce their own religion. *Cole's MS. note on Bishop Burnet's History.*

the titles of the estates they were to sell[1], they were directed by an old servant to a cupboard that was very artificially hid, in which some papers lay, that she had observed sir Kenelm was oft reading. They looking into it found a velvet bag, within which there were two other silk bags: (so carefully were those relics kept:) and there was within these a collection of all the letters that sir Everard writ during his imprisonment. In these he expresses great trouble, because he heard some of their friends blamed their undertaking: he highly magnifies it; and says, if he had many lives, he would willingly have sacrificed them all in carrying it on. In one paper he says, they had taken that care that there were not above two or three worth saving, to whom they had not given notice to keep out of the way: and in none of those papers does he express any sort of remorse for that which he had been engaged in, and for which he suffered.

King James was afraid of the Jesuits.

Upon the discovery of that plot, there was a general prosecution of all papists set on foot: but king James was very uneasy at it: which was much increased by what sir Dudly Carlton told him upon
12 his return from Spain, where he had been ambassador[m]; (which I had from the lord Hollis, who said to me, that sir Dudly Carlton told it to himself, and was much troubled when he saw it had an effect contrary to what he had intended.) When he came

[1] At Gothurst, near Newport Pagnell, in Bucks. *Cole's MS. note on Burnet's History.*

[m] Query if sir Dudley Carlton was ever sent ambassador into Spain. Lord Clarendon, vol. i. p. 64. 65, mentions his being sent ambassador to Vienna and Holland twice. In 1605 he went with lord Norris into Spain, but only in a private character. *Bowyer's MS. note on Bishop Burnet's History.*

home, he found the king at Theobald's hunting in a very careless and unguarded manner: and upon that, in order to the putting him on a more careful looking to himself, he told the king he must either give over that way of hunting, or stop another hunting that he was engaged in, which was priest hunting: for he had intelligence in Spain that the priests were comforting themselves with this, that if he went on against them, they would soon get rid of him: queen Elizabeth was a woman of form, and was always so well attended, that all their plots against her failed, and were never brought to any effect: but a prince who was always in woods or forests would be easily overtaken. The king sent for him in private to inquire more particularly into this: and he saw it had made a great impression on him: but wrought otherwise than as he intended. For the king, (who) resolved to gratify his humour in hunting, and in a careless and irregular way of life, did immediately order all that prosecution to be let fall. I have the minutes of the council books of the year 1606, which are full of orders to discharge and transport priests, sometimes ten in a day. From thence to his dying day he continued always writing and talking against popery, but acting for it. He married his only daughter to a protestant prince, one of the most zealous and sincere of them all, the elector palatine; upon which a great revolution happened in the affairs of Germany. The eldest branch of the house of Austria retained some of the impressions that their father Maximilian II. studied to infuse into them, who, as he was certainly one of the best and wisest princes of these latter ages, so he was unalterably fixed in his opinion against per-

The elector palatine's marriage.

secution for matters of conscience: his own sentiments were so very favourable to the protestant doctrine, that he was thought inwardly theirs. His brother Charles of Grats was on the other hand wholly managed by the Jesuits, and was a zealous patron of theirs, and as zealously supported by them. Rodolph and Matthias reigned one after another, but without issue. Their brother Albert was then dying in Flanders: so Spain with the popish interest joined to advance Ferdinand, the son of Charles of Grats: and he forced Matthias to resign the crown of Bohemia to him, and got himself to be elected king. But his government became quickly severe: he resolved to extirpate the protestants, and began to break through the privileges that were secured to them by the laws of that kingdom.

13 The affairs of Bohemia. This occasioned a general insurrection, which was followed by an assembly of the states, who, together with those of Silesia, Moravia, and Lusatia, joined in deposing Ferdinand: and they offered their crown first to the duke of Saxony, who refused it, and then to the elector palatine, who accepted of it, being encouraged to it by his two uncles, Maurice prince of Orange, and the duke of Bullion. (Bouillon.) But he did not ask the advice of king James: he only gave him notice of it when he had accepted the offer. Here was the probablest occasion that has been offered since the reformation for its full establishment.

The English nation was much inclined to support it: and it was expected that so near a conjunction might have prevailed on the king: but he had an invincible aversion to war; and was so possessed of the opinion of a divine right in all kings, that he could not bear that even an elective and limited

king should be called in question by his subjects: so he would never acknowledge his son-in-law king, nor give him any assistance for the support of his new dignity. And though it was also reckoned on that France would enter into any design that should bring down the house of Austria, and Spain by consequence, yet even that was diverted by the means of De Luynes; a worthless but absolute favourite, whom the archduchess Isabella, princess of the Spanish Netherlands, gained to oblige the king (of France) into a neutrality by giving him the richest heiress then in Flanders, the daughter of Peguiney, left to her disposal, whom he married to his brother.

Thus poor Frederick was left without any assistance[n]. The jealousy that the Lutherans had of the ascendant that the Calvinists might gain by this accession had an unhappy share in the coldness which all the princes of that confession shewed towards him; though Saxony only declared for Ferdinand, who likewise engaged the duke of Bavaria at the head of a catholic league to maintain his interests. Maurice prince of Orange had embroiled Holland by the espousing the controversy about the decrees of God in opposition to the Arminian party, and by erecting a new and illegal court by the authority of the states general to judge of the affairs of the province of Holland; which was plainly contrary to their

The disorders in Holland.

[n] The true cause of his want of friends to support his pretensions to the crown of Bohemia, was from an apprehension that king James having but one son living, if the succession of Great Britain had fallen to his wife, it must have created a power, not only formidable to the house of Austria, but to all the princes in Europe: and the prince of Wales was then thought to be of a very weakly constitution. D.

constitution, by which every province is a sovereignty within itself, not at all subordinate to the states general, who act only as plenipotentiaries of the several provinces to maintain their union and their common concerns. By that assembly Barnevelt was condemned and executed: Grotius and others were condemned to perpetual imprisonment: and an assembly of the ministers of the several provinces met at
14 Dort, by the same authority, and condemned and deprived the Arminians. Maurice's enemies gave it out, that he managed all this on design to make himself master of the provinces, and to put those who were like to oppose him out of the way. But though this seem a wild and groundless imagination, and not possible to be compassed; yet it is certain that he looked on Barnevelt and his party as men who were so jealous of him and of a military power, that as they had forced the truce with Spain, so they would be very unwilling to begin a new war; though the disputes about Juliers and Cleves had almost engaged them, and the truce was now near expiring; at the end of which he hoped, if delivered from the opposition that he might look for from that party, to begin the war anew. By these means there was a great fermentation over all the provinces, so that Maurice was not then in condition to give the elected king any considerable assistance; though indeed he needed it much, for his conduct was very weak. He affected the grandeur of a regal court, and the magnificence of a crowned head, too early: and his queen set up some of the gay diversions that she had been accustomed to in her father's court, such as balls and masks, which very much disgusted the good Bohemians, who thought that a revolution made on

the account of religion ought to have put on a greater appearance of seriousness and simplicity. These particulars I had from the children of some who belonged to that court. The elected king was quickly overthrown, and driven, not only out of those his new dominions, but likewise out of his hereditary countries: he fled to Holland, where he ended his days. I will go no further in a matter so well known as king James's ill conduct in the whole series of that war, and that unheard-of practice of sending his only son through France into Spain, of which the relations we have are so full, that I can add nothing to them.

Some passages of the religion of some princes.

I will only here tell some particulars with relation to Germany, that Fabricius, the wisest divine I knew among them, told me he had from Charles Lewis the elector palatine's own mouth. He said, Frederick II. who first reformed the palatinate, whose life is so curiously writ by Thomas Hubert, of Liege, resolved to shake off popery, and to set up Lutheranism in his country: but a counsellor of his said to him, that the Lutherans would always depend chiefly on the house of Saxony: so it would not become him who was the first elector to be only the second in the party: it was more for his dignity to become a Calvinist: he would be the head of that party: it would give him a great interest in Switzerland, and make the Huguenots of France and in the Netherlands depend on him. He was by that determined to declare for the Helvetian confession. But upon the ruin of his family the duke of Newburgh had an interview with the elector of Brandenburgh about their concerns in Juliers and Cleves: and he persuaded that elector to turn Calvinist; for

since their family was fallen, nothing would more contribute to raise the other than the espousing that side, which would naturally come under his protection: but he added, that for himself he had turned papist, since his little principality lay so near both Austria and Bavaria. This that elector told with a sort of pleasure, when he made it appear that other princes had no more sense of religion than he himself had [o].

King James parted with the cautionary towns.

Other circumstances concurred to make king James's reign inglorious. The states having borrowed great sums of money of queen Elizabeth, they gave her the Brill and Flushing, with some other places of less note, in pawn, till the money should be repaid. Soon after his coming to the crown of England he entered into secret treaties with Spain, in order to the forcing the states to a peace: one article was, that if they were obstinate he would deliver these places to the Spaniards. When the truce was made, Barnevelt, though he had promoted it, yet knowing the secret article, he saw they were very unsafe, while the keys of Holland and Zealand were in the hands of a prince who might perhaps sell them, or make an ill use of them: so he persuaded the states to redeem the mortgage by repaying the money that England had lent, for which these places were put into their hands: and he came over himself to treat about it. King James, who

[o] The author might have added to these instances, that it was said, that prince Maurice was in his opinion an Arminian, and Barnevelt a Calvinist. But as these religious points became state divisions, the one and the other took a part different from their private sentiments, to serve their political interests. The author does mention this afterwards. See page 316. O.

was profuse upon his favourites and servants, was delighted with the prospect of so much money; and immediately, without calling a parliament to advise with them about it, he did yield to the proposition. So the money was paid, and the places were evacuated [p]. But his profuseness drew two other things upon him, which broke the whole authority of the crown, and the dependence of the nation upon it. The crown had a great estate over all England, which was all let out upon leases for years, and a small rent was reserved. So most of the great families of the nation were the tenants of the crown, and a great many boroughs were depending on the estates so held. The renewal of these leases brought in fines to the crown and to the great officers: besides that the fear of being denied a renewal kept all in a dependence on the crown. King James obtained of his parliament a power of granting, that is selling, those estates for ever, with the reserve of the old quit-rent: and all the money raised by this was profusely squandered away. Another main part of the regal authority was the wards, which anciently the crown took into their own management. Our kings were, according to the first institution, the guardians of the wards. They bred them up in their courts, and disposed of them in marriage as they thought fit. Afterwards they compounded, or forgave them, or gave them to some branches of the family, or to provide the younger children. But they proceeded in this very gently: and the chief care after the reformation was to breed the wards protestants. Still all were under a great depend-

King James broke the greatness of the crown.

16

[p] An action more to be commended for its honesty than wisdom. O.

ence by this means. Much money was not raised this way: but families were often at mercy, and were used according to their behaviour. King James granted these generally to his servants and favourites: and they made the most of them. So that what was before a dependence on the crown, and was moderately compounded for, became then a most exacting oppression, by which several families were ruined. This went on in king Charles's time in the same method. Our kings thought they gave little when they disposed of a ward, because they made little of them. All this raised such an outcry, that Mr. Pierpoint, at the restoration, gathered so many instances of these, and represented them so effectually to that house of commons that called home king Charles the second, that he persuaded them to redeem themselves by an offer of excise, which indeed produces a much greater revenue, but took away the dependence in which all families were held by the dread of leaving their heirs exposed to so great a danger. Pierpoint valued himself to me upon this service he did his country, at a time when things were so little considered on either hand, that the court did not seem to apprehend the value of what they parted with, nor the country of what they purchased.

Other errors in his reign.

Besides these public actings, king James suffered much in the opinion of all people by his strange way of using one of the greatest men of that age, sir Walter Raleigh; against whom the proceedings at first were much censured, but the last part of them was thought both barbarous and illegal. The whole business of the earl of Somerset's rise and fall, of the countess of Essex and Overbury, the putting the in-

ferior persons to death for that infamous poisoning, and the sparing the principals, both the earl of Somerset and his lady, were so odious and inhuman, that it quite sunk the reputation of a reign, that on many other accounts was already much exposed to contempt and censure; which was the more sensible, because it succeeded such a glorious and happy one. King James in the end of his reign was be- 17
come weary of the duke of Buckingham, who treated him with such an air of insolent contempt, that he seemed at last resolved to throw him off, but could not think of taking the load of government on himself, and so resolved to bring the earl of Somerset again into favour, as that lord reported it to some from whom I had it. He met with him in the night in the gardens at Theobald's: two bed-chamber men were only in the secret: the king embraced him tenderly and with many tears: the earl of Somerset believed the secret was not well kept; for soon after the king was taken ill with some fits of an ague, and died of it. My father was then in His death.
London, and did very much suspect an ill practice in the matter: but perhaps doctor Craig, my mother's uncle, who was one of the king's physicians, possessed him with these apprehensions; for he was disgraced for saying he believed the king was poisoned. It is certain no king could die less lamented or less esteemed than he was. This sunk the credit of the bishops of Scotland, who, as they were his creatures, so they were obliged to a great dependence on him, and were thought guilty of gross and abject flattery towards him. His reign in England was a continued course of mean practices. The first condemnation of sir Walter Raleigh was very

black: but the executing him after so many years, and after an employment that had been given him, was counted a barbarous sacrificing him to the Spaniards. The rise and fall of the earl of Somerset, and the swift progress of the duke of Buckingham's greatness, were things that exposed him to the censure of all the world. I have seen the originals of about twenty letters that he wrote to the prince and that duke while they were in Spain, which shew a meanness as well as a fondness that render him very contemptible. The great figure the crown of England had made in queen Elizabeth's time, who had rendered herself the arbiter of Christendom, and was the wonder of the age, was so much eclipsed, if not quite darkened, during this reign, that king James was become the scorn of the age; and while hungry writers flattered him out of measure at home, he was despised by all abroad, as a pedant without true judgment, courage, or steadiness, subject to his favourites, and delivered up to the counsels, or rather the corruption of Spain.

The puritans gained credit as the king and the bishops lost it. They put on external appearances of great strictness and gravity: they took more pains in their parishes than those who adhered to the bishops, and were often preaching against the vices of the court; for which they were sometimes punished, though very gently, which raised their re-
18 putation, and drew presents to them that made up their sufferings abundantly. They begun some particular methods of getting their people to meet privately with them: and in these meetings they gave great vent to extemporary prayer, which was looked on as a sort of inspiration: and by these means they

The puritans gained ground.

grew very popular. They were very factious and insolent; and both in their sermons and prayers were always mixing severe reflections on their enemies. Some of them boldly gave out very many predictions; particularly two of them who were held prophets, Davison and Bruce. Some of the things that they foretold came to pass: but my father, who knew them both, told me of many of their predictions that he himself heard them throw out, which had no effect: but all these were forgot, and if some more probable guessings which they delivered as prophecies were accomplished, these were much magnified. They were very spiteful against all those who differed from them; and were wanting in no methods that could procure them either good usage or good presents. Of this my father had great occasion to see many instances: for my great grandmother, who was a very rich woman, and much engaged to them, was most obsequiously courted by them. Bruce lived concealed in her house for some years: and they all found such advantages in their submissions to her, that she was counted for many years the chief support of the party: her name was Rachel Arnot. She was daughter to sir John Arnot, a man in great favour, and lord treasurer deputy. Her husband Johnstoun was the greatest merchant at that time; and left her an estate of 2000*l.* a year, to be disposed of among his children as she pleased: and my father marrying her eldest grandchild saw a great way into all the methods of the puritans.

Gowry's conspiracy.

Gowry's conspiracy was by them charged on the king, as a contrivance of his to get rid of that earl, who was then held in great esteem: but my father,

who had taken great pains to inquire into all the particulars of that matter, did always believe it was a real conspiracy [q]. One thing, which none of the historians have taken any notice of, and might have induced the earl of Gowry to have wished to put king James out of the way, but in such a disguised manner that he should seem rather to have escaped out of a snare himself, than to have laid one for the king, was this: upon the king's death he stood next to the succession to that (the) crown of England; for king Henry the seventh's daughter that was married to king James the fourth, did after his death marry Dowglas earl of Angus: but they could not agree: so a precontract was proved against him: upon
19 which, by a sentence from Rome, the marriage was voided, with a clause in favour of the issue, since born under a marriage *de facto* and *bona fide*. Lady Margaret Dowglas was the child so provided for. I did peruse the original bull confirming the divorce. After that, the queen dowager married one Francis Steward, and had by him a son made lord Methuen by king James the fifth. In the patent he is called *frater noster uterinus*. He had only a daughter, who was mother or grandmother to the earl of Gowry: so that by this he might be glad to put the king out of the way, that so he might stand next to the succession of the crown of England. He had a brother then a child, who when he grew up, and found he could not carry the name of Ruthen, which by an act of parliament made after this conspiracy none might carry, he went and lived beyond sea; and it was given out that he had the philosopher's stone. He had two sons, who died with-

[q] Melvil makes nothing of it. S.

out issue; and one daughter, married to sir Anthony Vandike the famous picture drawer, whose children, according to his pedigree, stood very near to the succession of the crown [r]. It was not easy to persuade the nation of the truth of that conspiracy: for eight years before that time king James, on a secret jealousy of the earl of Murray, then esteemed the handsomest man of Scotland, set on the marquis of Huntly, who was his mortal enemy, to murder him; and by a writing [s], all in his own hand, he promised to save him harmless for it. He set the house in which he was on fire: and the earl flying away was followed and murdered, and Huntly sent Gordon of Buckey with the news to the king: soon after, all who were concerned in that vile fact were pardoned, which laid the king open to much censure. And this made the matter of Gowry to be the less believed.

When king Charles succeeded to the crown, he was at first thought favourable to the puritans; for his tutor and all his court were of that way [t]: and Dr. Preston, then the head of the party, came up in the coach from Theobald's to London with the king and the duke of Buckingham; which being against

King Charles at first a friend to the puritans.

[r] (But compare the earl of Cromarty's *History of the Conspiracies of the earls of Gowry*; p. 10—12. in which the ground of this pretended pedigree is removed, as has been already noticed in the Preface to this edition of Burnet's History.)

[s] (Abp. Spotiswood calls it "a commission to apprehend "and bring Murray to his "trial." *Hist.* b. vi. an. 1592.)

[t] He was always very partial to the Scotish nation. Dr. Heylin, in his history of the Presbyterians, says, that a little before their breaking out into rebellion the court might well be called an academy of that nation; most of the officers of the household, and seven out of eight of the grooms of the bedchamber, which proved of very great use to them in being constantly informed of his majesty's most private transactions during the civil war. D.

the rules of the court gave great offence: but it was said, the king was so overcharged with grief, that he wanted the comfort of so wise and so great a man. It was also given out, that the duke of Buckingham offered Dr. Preston the great seal: but he was wiser than to accept of it. I will go no further into the beginning of that reign with relation to English affairs, which are fully opened by others. Only I will tell one particular which I had from the earl of Lothian, who was bred up in the court, and whose father, the earl of Ancram, was gentleman of the bedchamber, though himself was ever much hated by the king. He told me, that king Charles was much offended with king James's light and familiar way, which was the effect of hunting and drinking, on which occasions he was very apt to forget his dignity, and to break out into great indecencies: on the other hand the solemn gravity of the court of Spain was more suited to his own temper, which was sullen even to a moroseness. This led him to a grave reserved deportment, in which he forgot the civilities and the affability that the nation naturally loved, to which they had been long accustomed: nor did he in his outward deportment take any pains to oblige any persons whatsoever: so far from that, he had such an ungracious way of shewing favour, that the manner of bestowing it was almost as mortifying as the favour was obliging. I turn now to the affairs of Scotland, which are but little known [u].

[u] Nor worth knowing. S. (By way of censure on the author's diffusiveness when mentioning the affairs of Scotland Swift has thus interlined the title of the work: The History of (Scotland in) his own Times.)

The king resolved to carry on two designs that his father had set on foot, but had let the prosecution of them fall in the last years of his reign. The first of these was about the recovery of the tithes and church lands: he resolved to prosecute his father's revocation, and to void all the grants made in his minority, and to create titular abbots as lords of parliament, but lords, as bishops, only for life. And that the two great families of Hamilton and Lenox might be good examples to the rest of the nation, he, by a secret purchase, and with English money, bought the abbey of Aberbroth of the former, and the lordship of Glasgow of the latter, and gave these to the two archbishoprics.[v] These lords made a shew of zeal after a good bargain, and surrendered them to the king. He also purchased several estates of less value to (for) the several sees; and all men, who pretended to favour at court, offered their church lands to sale at a low rate.

He designed to recover the tithes and church lands in Scotland to the crown.

In the third year of his reign the earl of Nithisdale, then believed a papist, which he afterwards

[v] Lord Clarendon says, that the duke of Lenox sold his estate much the cheaper, that it might be consecrated to so pious an end. Besides, according to lord Clarendon, the lands purchased of the duke of Lenox were not to be settled on either of the archbishoprics, but on the bishopric of Edinburgh, which was at this time erected. To the same purpose Collier's Eccles. Hist. vol. II. p. 756. *Dr. Bliss's MS. note on this history.* (Lockhart of Carnwark, in his Letters written in the year 1724 respecting Burnet's History, asserts that the original deeds are still extant in the register of public records at Edinburgh, by which the abbey of Arbroah, or Aberbroth, was resigned to the king by the marquis of Hamilton for the abbey lands of Lasmahagoc, and that Arbroah was given, not to the archbishopric of St. Andrew's, but to William Murray, afterwards created earl of Dysert, who sold it to the earl of Panmure, in whose family it long continued. See *Lockhart Papers,* lately published, vol. I. p. 598.)

professed, having married a niece of the duke of Buckingham's, was sent down with a power to take the surrender of all church lands, and to assure all who did readily surrender, that the king would take it kindly, and use them all very well, but that he would proceed with all rigour against those who would not submit their rights to his disposal. Upon his coming down, those who were most concerned in those grants met at Edinburgh, and agreed, that when they were called together, if no other argument did prevail to make the earl of Nithisdale desist, they would fall upon him and all his party in the old Scotish manner, and knock them on the head. Primrose told me one of these lords, Belhaven, of the name of Dowglass, who was blind, bid
21 them set him by one of the party; and he would make sure of one[x]. So he was set next the earl of Dunfrize: he was all the while holding him fast: and when the other asked him what he meant by that, he said, ever since the blindness was come on him he was in such fear of falling, that he could not help the holding fast to those who were next to him: he had all the while a poniard in his other hand, with which he had certainly stabbed Dunfrize,

[x] This brings to my remembrance a story I heard the first duke of Bolton tell of himself before a great deal of company: that when the bill of exclusion was debating in the house of lords, the old earl of Peterborow said that was a cause in which every man in England was obliged to draw his sword, and laid his hand upon his own, as if he designed to draw it immediately, which created a great disorder, and every body seemed preparing to do the like: upon which the duke of Bolton said he got as near to the marquis of Halifax as he could, being resolved to make sure of him, in case any violence had been offered: and that there were more who had taken the same resolution, though he did not name them. D.

if any disorder had happened. The appearance at that time was so great, and so much heat was raised upon it, that the earl of Nithisdale would not open all his instructions, but came back to court, looking on the service as desperate: so a stop was put to it for some time.

He was crowned in Scotland.

In the year 1633 the king came down in person to be crowned. In some conventions of the states that had been held before that, all the money that the king had asked was given; and some petitions were offered setting forth grievances, which those whom the king employed had assured them should be redressed: but nothing was done, and all was put off till the king should come down in person. His entry and coronation were managed with such magnificence, that the country suffered much by it: all was entertainment and shew. When the parliament sat, the lords of the articles prepared an act declaring the royal prerogative, as it had been asserted by law in the year 1606; to which an addition was made of another act passed in the year 1609, by which king James was impowered to prescribe apparel to churchmen with their own consent. This was a personal thing to king James, in consideration of his great learning and experience, of which he had made no use during the rest of his reign. And in the year 1617, when he held a parliament there in person, an act was prepared by the lords of the articles, authorizing all things that should thereafter be determined in ecclesiastical affairs by his majesty, with consent of a competent number of the clergy, to have the strength and power of a law. But the king either apprehended that great opposition would be made to the passing

the act, or that great trouble would follow on the execution of it: so when the rubric of the act was read, he ordered it to be suppressed, though passed in the articles. In this act of 1633 these acts of 1606 and 1609 were drawn into one. To this, great opposition was made by the earl of Rothes, who desired the acts might be divided: but the king said, it was now one act, and he must either vote for it or against it. He said, he was for the prerogative as much as any man, but that addition was contrary to the liberties of the church, and he thought no de-
22 termination ought to be made in such matters without the consent of the clergy, at least without their being heard. The king bid him argue no more, but give his vote: so he voted, not content. Some few lords offered to argue: but the king stopped them, and commanded them to vote. Almost the whole commons voted in the negative: so that the act was indeed rejected by the majority: which the king knew; for he had called for a list of the numbers, and with his own pen had marked every man's vote: yet the clerk of register, who gathers and declares the votes, said it was carried in the affirmative. The earl of Rothes affirmed it went for the negative: so the king said, the clerk of register's declaration must be held good, unless the earl of Rothes would go to the bar, and accuse him of falsifying the record of parliament, which was capital: and in that case, if he should fail in the proof, he was liable to the same punishment: so he would not venture on that. Thus the act was published, though in truth it was rejected. The king expressed a high displeasure at all who had concurred in that opposition. Upon that the lords had many meetings: they reckoned

that now all their liberties were gone, and a parliament was but a piece of pageantry, if the clerk of register might declare as he pleased how the vote went, and that no scrutiny were allowed. Upon that, Hague, the king's solicitor, a zealous man of that party, drew a petition to be signed by the lords, and to be offered by them to the king, setting forth all their grievances, and praying redress: he shewed this to some of them, and among others to the lord Balmerinoch, who liked the main of it, but was for altering it in some particulars: he spoke of it to the earl of Rothes in the presence of the earl of Cassilis and some others: none of them approved of it. The earl of Rothes carried it to the king; and told him, that there was a design to offer a petition in order to the explaining and justifying their proceedings, and that he had a copy to shew him: but the king would not look upon it, and ordered him to put a stop to it, for he would receive no such petition. The earl of Rothes told this to Balmerinoch: so the thing was laid aside: only he kept a copy of it, and interlined it in some places with his own hand. While the king was in Scotland he erected a new bishopric at Edinburgh, and made one Forbes bishop, who was a very learned and pious man: he had a strange faculty of preaching five or six hours at a time: his way of life and devotion was thought monastic, and his learning lay in antiquity: he studied to be a reconciler between papists and protestants, leaning rather to the first, as appears by his *Considerationes modestæ:* he was a very simple man, and knew little of the world: so he fell into several errors in conduct, but died soon after sus- 23

Balmerinoch's trial.

pected of popery[y], which suspicion was increased by his son's turning papist. The king left Scotland much discontented, but resolved to prosecute the design of recovering the church lands: and sir Thomas Hope, a subtil lawyer, who was believed to understand that matter beyond all the men of his profession, though in all respects he was a zealous puritan, was made the king's advocate, upon his undertaking to bring all the church lands back to the crown: yet he proceeded in that matter so slowly, that it was believed he acted in concert with the party that opposed it. Enough was already done to alarm all that were possessed of the church lands: and they, to engage the whole country in their quarrel, took care to infuse it into all people, but chiefly into the preachers, that all was done to make way for popery. The winter after the king was in Scotland, Balmerinoch was thinking how to make the petition more acceptable: and in order to that he shewed it to one Dunmoor, a lawyer in whom he trusted, and desired his opinion of it, and suffered him to carry it home with him, but charged him to shew it to no person, and to take no copy of it. He shewed it under a promise of secrecy to one Hay of Naughton, and told him from whom he had it. Hay looking on the paper, and seeing it a matter of some consequence, carried it to Spotswood, archbishop of St. Andrew's; who apprehending it was going about for hands, was alarmed at it, and went immediately

[y] (Quam insigniter reverendo viro (Guil. Forbesio) injurii sint, qui eum Catholicum Rom. prædicant, inter alia perspicuum est concione publica ab eo habita Edinburgi coram rege Carolo I. an. 1633. *Vit. Joh. Forbesii à Corse.* p. 10.)

to London, beginning his journey, as he often did, on a Sunday, which was a very odious thing in that country [z]. There are laws in Scotland loosely worded, that make it capital to spread lies of the king or his government, or to alienate his subjects from him. It was also made capital to know of any that do it, and not discover them: but this last was never once put in execution. The petition was thought within this act: so an order was sent down for committing lord Balmerinoch. The reason of it being for some time kept secret, it was thought done because of his vote in parliament. But after some consultation, a special commission was sent down for the trial. In Scotland there is a court for the trial of peers, distinct from the jury, who are to be fifteen, and the majority determine the verdict: the fact being only referred to the jury or assize, as they call it, the law is judged by the court: and if the majority of the jury are peers, the rest may be gentlemen. At this time a private gentleman of the name of Steward was become so considerable, that he was raised by several degrees to be made earl of Traquair and lord treasurer, and was in great favour; but suffered afterwards such a reverse of fortune, 24
that I saw him so low that he wanted bread, and was forced to beg; and it was believed died of hunger [a]. He was a man of great parts, but of too much craft: he was thought the capablest man for business, and the best speaker in that kingdom. So he was charged with the care of the lord Balmerinoch's trial: but when the ground of the prosecution was known, Hague, who drew the petition, writ a

[z] Poor malice. S.

[a] A strange death: perhaps it was of want of *meat*. S.

letter to the lord Balmerinoch, in which he owned that he drew the petition without any direction or assistance from him: and upon that he went over to Holland. The court was created by a special commission: in the naming of judges there appeared too visibly a design to have that lord's life, for they were either very weak or very poor. Much pains was taken to have a jury; in which so great partiality appeared, that when the lord Balmerinoch was upon his challenges, and excepted to the earl of Dunfrise for his having said, that if he were of his jury, though he were as innocent as St. Paul, he would find him guilty, some of the judges said, that was only a rash word: yet the king's advocate allowed the challenge if proved, which was done. The next called on was the earl of Lauderdale, father to the duke of that title: with him the lord Balmerinoch had been long in enmity: yet instead of challenging him, he said he was *omni exceptione major*. It was long considered upon what the prisoner should be tried: for his hand interlining the paper, which did plainly soften it, was not thought evidence that he drew it, or that he was accessary to it: and they had no other proof against him: nor could they from that infer that he was the divulger, since it did appear it was only shewed by him to a lawyer for counsel. So it was settled on to insist on this, that the paper tended to alienate the subjects from their duty to the king, and that he, knowing who was the author of it, did not discover him; which by law was capital. The court judged the paper to be seditious, and to be a lie of the king and his government: the other point was clear, that he knowing the author did not discover him. He pleaded for

himself, that the statute for discovery had never been put in execution; that it could never be meant but of matters that were notoriously seditious; that till the court judged so, he did not take this paper to be of that nature, but considered it as a paper full of duty, designed to set himself and some others right in the king's opinion; that upon the first sight of it, though he approved of the main, yet he disliked some expressions in it; that he communicated the matter to the earl of Rothes, who told the king of the design; and that, upon the king's saying he would receive no such petition, it was quite laid 25
aside; this was attested by the earl of Rothes. A long debate had been much insisted on, whether the earl of Traquair or the king's ministers might be of the jury or not: but the court gave it in their favour. When the jury was shut up, Gordon of Bucky, who was one of them, being then very ancient, who forty-three years before had assisted in the murder of the earl of Murray, and was thought upon this occasion a sure man, spoke first of all, excusing his presumption in being the first that broke the silence. He desired, they would all consider what they were about: it was a matter of blood, and they would feel the weight of that as long as they lived: he had in his youth been drawn in to shed blood, for which he had the king's pardon, but it cost him more to obtain God's pardon: it had given him many sorrowful hours both day and night: and as he spoke this, the tears ran over his face. This struck a damp on them all. But the earl of Traquair took up the argument; and said, they had it not before them, whether the law was a hard law or not, nor had they the nature of the paper before

them, which was judged by the court to be leasing-making; they were only to consider, whether the prisoner had discovered the contriver of the paper or not. Upon this the earl of Lauderdale took up the argument against him, and urged, that severe laws never executed were looked on as made only to terrify people; that though after the court's having judged the paper to be seditious, it would be capital to conceal the author, yet before such judgment the thing could not be thought so evident that he was bound to reveal it. Upon these heads those lords argued the matter many hours: but when it went to the vote, seven acquitted, but eight cast him: so sentence was given. Upon this many meetings were held: and it was resolved either to force the prison to set him at liberty, or, if that failed, to revenge his death both on the court and on the eight jurors; some undertaking to kill them, and others to burn their houses. When the earl of Traquair understood this, he went to court, and told the king that the lord Balmerinoch's life was in his hands, but the execution was in no sort adviseable: so he procured his pardon, for which the party was often reproached with his ingratitude: but he thought he had been much wronged in the prosecution, and so little regarded in the pardon, that he never looked on himself as under any obligation on that account. My father knew the whole steps of this matter, having been the earl of Lauderdale's most particular friend: he often told me, that the ruin of the king's affairs in Scotland was in a great measure owing to that prosecution; and he carefully preserved the petition itself, and the papers relating to the trial; of which I never saw any copy besides those which I have.

He was condemned.

But pardoned.

And that raised in me a desire of seeing the whole record, which was copied for me, and is now in my hands. It is a little volume, and contains, according to the Scotch method, the whole abstract of all the pleadings, and all the evidence that was given; and is indeed a very noble piece, full of curious matter[b].

When the design of recovering the tithes went on, though but slowly, another design made a greater progress. The bishops of Scotland fell on the framing of a liturgy and a body of canons for the worship and government of that church. These were never examined in any public assembly of the clergy: all was managed by three or four aspiring bishops, Maxwell, Sidserfe, Whitford, and Banautine, the bishops of Ross, Galloway, Dunblane, and Aberdeen. Maxwell did also accuse the earl of Traquair, as cold in the king's service, and as managing the treasury deceitfully; and he was aspiring to that office. Spotswood, archbishop of St. Andrew's, then lord chancellor, was a prudent and mild man, but of no great decency in his course of life. [For he was a frequent player at cards, and used to eat often in taverns: besides that all his livings were scandalously exposed to sale by his servants.] The earl of Traquair, seeing himself so pushed at, was more earnest than the bishops themselves in promoting the new model of worship and discipline; and by that he recovered the ground he had lost with the king, and with archbishop Laud: he also assisted the bishops in obtaining commissions, subaltern to the high commission court, in their several dioceses, which were thought little different from the courts of inquisition. Sidserfe set this up in Galloway:

A liturgy prepared.

[v] Puppy. S.

and a complaint being made in council of his proceedings, he gave the earl of Argile the lie in full council. He was after all a very learned and good man, but strangely heated in those matters. And they all were so lifted up with the king's zeal, and so encouraged by archbishop Laud, that they lost all temper; of which I knew Sidserfe made great acknowledgments in his old age.

The feebleness of the government.

But the unaccountable part of the king's proceedings was, that all this while, when he was endeavouring to recover so great a part of the property of Scotland as the church lands and tithes were from men that were not like to part with them willingly, and was going to change the whole constitution of that church and kingdom, he raised no force to maintain what he was about to do, but trusted the whole management to the civil execution. By this all people saw the weakness of the government, at the same time that they complained of its rigour. All that came down from court complained of the king's inexorable stiffness, and of the progress popery
27 was making, of the queen's power with the king, of the favour shewed the pope's nuncios, and of the many proselytes who were daily falling off to the church of Rome. The earl of Traquair infused this more effectually, though more covertly, than any other man could do: and when the country formed the first opposition they made to the king's proclamations, and protested against them, he drew the first protestation, as Primrose assured me; though he designed no more than to put a stop to the credit the bishops had, and to the fury of their proceedings: but the matter went much further than he seemed to intend: for he himself was fatally caught

in the snare laid for others. A troop of horse and a regiment of foot had prevented all that followed, or rather had by all appearance established an arbitrary government in that kingdom: but, to speak in the language of a great man, those who conducted matters at that time had as little of the prudence of the serpent, as of the innocence of the dove: and, as my father often told me, he and many others, who adhered in the sequel firmly to the king's interest, were then much troubled at the whole conduct of affairs, as being neither wise, legal, nor just. I will go no further in opening the beginnings of the troubles of Scotland: of these a full account will be found in the memoirs of the dukes of Hamilton. [Of which I shall take the boldness to set down the character which sir Robert Moray (who had a great share of the affairs at that time, and knew the whole secret of them) gave, after he had read it in manuscript, that he did not think there was a truer history writ since the apostles' days.] The violence with which that kingdom did almost unanimously engage against the administration may easily convince one, that the provocation must have been very great, to draw on such an entire and vehement concurrence against it[c].

[c] (The plans abovementioned for recovering the bishops' lands, and purchasing the tithes for the better maintenance of the clergy, were, in the opinion of the earl of Clarendon, the real grounds of the Scotish rebellion. "These were the concealed and private grounds;" says a contemporary writer, "the open and avowed causes "were the introduction of our "liturgy, the book of canons, "ordination, and consecration, "with the high commission "court, among them; and it "hath been found since, that "those things were introduced "by the cunning of those dis-"contented spirits, that thereby "there might be some ground "to suscitate the people to "rise, which plot of theirs took "effect." Tract entitled *Bella*

Saville's forgery prevailed on the Scots.

After the first pacification, upon the new disputes that arose, when the earl of Lowdun and Dunfermling were sent up with the petition from the covenanters, the lord Saville came to them, and informed them of many particulars, by which they saw the king was highly irritated against them: he took great pains to persuade them to come with their army into England. They very unwillingly hearkened to that proposition, and looked on it as a design from the court to ensnare them, making the Scots invade England, by which this nation might have been provoked to assist the king to conquer Scotland. It is true, he hated the earl of Strafford so much, that they saw no cause to suspect him[d]: so they entered into a treaty with him about it. The lord Saville assured them, he spake to them in the name of the most considerable men in England; and he shewed them an engagement under their hands to join with them, if they would come into England, and refuse any treaty but what should be confirmed by a parliament of England. They desired leave to send this paper into Scotland; to which, after much seeming difficulty, he consented:
28 so a cane was hollowed, and this was put within it; and one Frost, afterwards secretary to the committee of both kingdoms, was sent down with it as a poor traveller. It was to be communicated only to three persons, the earls of Rothes and Argile, and

Scot-Anglica. printed in 1648. p. 14.)

[d] There had been great contests between Saville and Wentworth about elections in Yorkshire; and upon Saville's being made a lord, Wentworth ran very violently against the court, till he was created a viscount; upon which Saville changed sides, and was as warm against the court as the other had been. D.

to Waristoun, the three chief confidents of the covenanters. The earl of Rothes was a man of pleasure, but of a most obliging temper: his affairs were low: Spotswood had once made the bargain between the king and him before the troubles, but the earl of Traquair broke it, seeing he was to be raised above himself. The earl of Rothes had all the arts of making himself popular; only there was too much levity in his temper, and too much liberty in his course of life. The earl of Argile was a more solemn sort of a man, grave and sober, free of all scandalous vices[e], of an invincible calmness of temper, and a pretender to high degrees of piety: [but he was a deep dissembler, and great oppressor in all his private dealings, and he was noted for a defect in his courage on all occasions where danger met him. This had one of its usual effects on him, for he was cruel in cold blood:] he was much set on raising his own family to be a sort of king in the highlands.

The characters of the chief of the covenanters.

Waristoun was my own uncle: [but I will not be more tender in giving his character, for all that nearness in blood:] he was a man of great application, could seldom sleep above three hours in the twenty-four: he had studied the law carefully, and had a great quickness of thought, with an extraordinary memory. He went into very high notions of lengthened devotions, in which he continued many hours a day. He would often pray in his family two hours at a time, and had an unexhausted copiousness that way. [He was a deep enthusiast, for] what thought soever struck his fancy during those

[e] As a man is free of a corporation, he means. S.

effusions, he looked on it as an answer of prayer, and was wholly determined by it. He looked on the covenant as the setting Christ on his throne, and so was out of measure zealous in it; [and he had an unrelenting severity of temper against all that opposed it.] He had no regard to the raising himself or his family, though he had thirteen children: but presbytery was to him more than all the world. He had a readiness and vehemence of speaking, that made him very considerable in public assembles; [but he had no clear nor settled judgment, yet that was supplied by a fruitful invention[f]]; so that he was at all times furnished with expedients. [And though he was a very honest man in his private dealings, yet he could make stretches, when the cause seemed to require it.] To these three only this paper was to be shewed upon an oath of secrecy[g]: and it was to be deposited in Waristoun's hands. They were only allowed to publish to the nation, that they were sure of a very great and unexpected assistance, which, though it was to be kept secret, would appear in due time. This they published: and it was looked on as an artifice to draw in the nation: but it was afterwards found to be a cheat indeed, but a cheat of lord Saville's, who had forged all these subscriptions.

The Scots came into England.

The Scots marched with a very sorry equipage: every soldier carried a week's provision of oatmeal; and they had a drove of cattle with them for their
29 food. They had also an invention of guns of white iron, tinned and done about with leather, and corded

[f] In the printed copy was substituted; *And he had a fruitful invention.*

[g] See my note in my printed copy of Oldmixon's History of the Stuarts, page 145. O.

Piedmont College Library

so that they could serve for two or three discharges. These were light, and were carried on horses: and when they came to Newburn, the English army that defended the ford was surprised with a discharge of artillery: some thought it magic; and all were put in such disorder, that the whole army did run with so great precipitation, that sir Thomas Fairfax, who had a command in it, did not stick to own, that till he passed the Tees his legs trembled under him. This struck many of the enthusiasts of the king's side, as much as it exalted the Scots; who were next day possessed of Newcastle, and so were masters, not only of Northumberland and the bishopric of Duresme, but of the coalries; by which, if they had not been in a good understanding with the city of London, they could have distressed them extremely: but all the use the city made of this was, to raise a great outcry, and to complain of the war, since it was now in the power of the Scots to starve them. Upon that, petitions were sent from the city and from some counties, to the king, praying a treaty with the Scots. The lord Wharton and the lord Howard of Escrick undertook to deliver some of these; which they did, and were clapt up upon it[h]. A council of war was held; and it was resolved on, as the lord Wharton told me, to shoot them at the head of the army, as movers of sedition. This was chiefly pressed by the earl of Strafford. Duke Hamilton spoke nothing till the council rose; and then he asked Strafford, if he was sure of the army, who seemed surprised at the question: but he upon inquiry understood that very probably a general mutiny, if not a total revolt, would have followed, if

Great discontents in England.

[h] Dignity of expression. S.

any such execution had been attempted. This success of the Scots ruined the king's affairs. And by it the necessity of the union of the two kingdoms may appear very evident: for nothing but a superior army, able to beat the Scots, can hinder their doing this at any time: and the seizing the coalries must immediately bring the city of London into great distress. Two armies were now in the north as a load on the king, besides all the other grievances. The lord Saville's forgery came to be discovered. The king knew it; and yet he was brought afterwards to trust him, and to advance him to be earl of Sussex. The king pressed my uncle to deliver him the letter[i], who excused himself upon his oath; and not knowing what use might be made of it, he cut out every subscription, and sent it to the person for whom it was forged. The imitation was so exact, that every man, as soon as he saw his hand simply by itself, acknowledged that he could not have denied it.

30 The ill state of the king's affairs.

The king was now in great straits: he had laid up seven hundred thousand pounds, before the troubles in Scotland began; and yet had raised no guards nor force in England, but trusted a very illegal administration to a legal execution. His treasure was now exhausted; his subjects were highly irritated; the ministry were all frighted, being exposed to the anger and justice of the parliament: so that he had brought himself into great distress, but had not the dexterity to extricate himself out of it. He loved high and rough methods, but had neither the skill to conduct them, nor the height of genius to manage

[i] See my note as aforesaid with regard to this letter. O.

them. He hated all that offered prudent and moderate counsels: he thought it flowed from a meanness of spirit, and a care to preserve themselves by sacrificing his authority, or from republican principles: and even when he saw it was necessary to follow such advices, yet he hated those that gave them[k]. His heart was wholly turned to the gaining the two armies. In order to that, he gained the earl of Rothes entirely, who hoped by the king's mediation to have married the countess of Devonshire, a rich and magnificent lady, that lived long in the greatest state of any in that age: he also gained the earl of Montrose, who was a young man well learned, who had travelled, but had taken upon him the port of a hero too much, [and lived as in a romance; for his whole manner was stately to affectation.] When he was beyond sea, he travelled with the earl of Denbigh; and they consulted all the astrologers they could hear of. I plainly saw the earl of Denbigh relied on what had been told him to his dying day; and the rather because the earl of Montrose was promised a glorious fortune for some time, but all was to be overthrown in conclusion. When the earl of Montrose returned from his travels, he was not considered by the king as he thought he deserved: so he studied to render himself popular in Scotland; and [being vain and forward,] he was the first [and fiercest] man in the opposition they made during the first war. He both advised and drew the letter to the king of France, for which the lord Lowdun, who signed it, was imprisoned in the tower of London. But the earl of Lauderdale, as

[k] Not one good quality named. S.

he himself told me, when it came to his turn to sign that letter, found false French in it; for instead of *rayons de soleil*, he had writ *raye de soleil*, which in French signifies a sort of fish; and so the matter went no farther at that time; and the treaty came on so soon after, that it was never again taken up. The earl of Montrose was gained by the king at Berwick, and undertook to do great services. He either fancied, or at least he made the king fancy, that he could turn the whole kingdom: yet indeed he could do nothing. He was again trying to make a new party: and he kept a correspondence with the king when he lay at Newcastle; and was pre-
31 tending he had a great interest among the covenanters, whereas at that time he had none at all. All these little plottings came to be either known, or at least suspected. The queen was a woman of great vivacity in conversation, and loved all her life long to be in intrigues of all sorts[1], but was not so secret in them as such times and such affairs required. She was a woman of no manner of judgment: she was bad at contrivance, but much worse in the execution: but by the liveliness of her discourse she made always a great impression on the king: and to her little practices, as well as to the king's own temper, the sequel of all his misfortunes was owing. I know it was a maxim infused into his sons, which I have often heard from king James, that he was undone by his concessions. This is true in some respect: for his passing the act that the parliament should sit during pleasure, was indeed his ruin, to which he was drawn by the queen. But if he had not made great concessions, he had sunk without

[1] Not of love, I hope. S.

being able to make a struggle for it[m]; and could not have divided the nation, or engaged so many to have stood by him: since by the concessions that he made, especially that of the triennial parliament, the honest and quiet part of the nation was satisfied, and thought their religion and liberties were secured: so they broke off[n] from those violenter propositions that occasioned the war.

The truth was, the king did not come into those concessions seasonably, nor with a good grace: all appeared to be extorted from him. There were also grounds, whether true or plausible, to make it to be believed, that he intended not to stand to them any longer than he lay under that force that visibly drew them from him contrary to his own inclinations[o]. The proofs that appeared of some particulars, that made this seem true, made other things that were whispered to be more readily believed: for in all critical times there are deceitful people of both sides, that pretend to merit by making discoveries, on condition that no use shall be made of them as witnesses; which is one of the most pestiferous ways of calumny possible. Almost the whole court had been concerned in one illegal grant or another: so these courtiers, to get their faults passed over, were as so many spies upon the king and queen: they told all they heard, and perhaps not without large additions,

[m] In a letter of the earl of Northumberland (printed among the Sydney papers, vol. ii. p. 663.) to the earl of Leicester, and dated Nov. 13th, 1640, he says, "the king is in "such a strait, that I do not "know how he will possibly "avoid (without endangering "the loss of the whole king-"dom) the giving way to the "remove of divers persons, as "well as other things, that will "be demanded by the parlia-"ment." O.

[n] Dark, or nonsense. S.

[o] Sad trash. S.

to the leading men of the house of commons. This inflamed the jealousy, and pushed them on to the making still new demands. One eminent passage was told me by the lord Hollis:

An account of the earl of Strafford's being given up by the king.

The earl of Strafford had married his sister: so, though in that parliament he was one of the hottest men of the party, yet when that matter was before them he always withdrew. When the bill of attainder was passed, the king sent for him to know what he could do to save the earl of Strafford. Hollis answered, that if the king pleased, since the execution of the law was in him, he might legally grant him a reprieve, which must be good in law; but he would not advise it. That which he proposed was, that lord Strafford should send him a petition for a short respite, to settle his affairs, and to prepare for death; upon which he advised the king to come next day with the petition in his hands, and lay it before the two houses, with a speech which he drew for the king; and Hollis said to him, he would try his interest among his friends to get them to consent to it. He prepared a great many by assuring them, that if they would save lord Strafford, he would become wholly theirs in consequence of his first principles: and that he might do them much more service by being preserved, than he could do, if made an example upon such new and doubtful points. In this he had wrought on so many, that he believed, if the king's party had struck into it, he might have saved him. It was carried to the queen, as if Hollis had engaged that the earl of Strafford should accuse her, and discover all he knew: so the queen not only diverted the king from going to the parliament,

32

changing the speech into a message all writ with the king's own hand, and sent to the house of lords by the prince of Wales: (which Hollis had said, would have perhaps done as well, the king being apt to spoil things by an unacceptable manner:) but to the wonder of the whole world, the queen prevailed with him to add that mean postscript, *if he must die, it were charity to reprieve him till Saturday:* which was a very unhandsome giving up of the whole message. When it was communicated to both houses, the whole court party was plainly against it: and so he fell truly by the queen's means[p].

The mentioning this makes me add one particular concerning archbishop Laud: when his impeachment was brought to the lords bar, he apprehending how it would end, sent over Warner, bishop of Rochester, with the keys of his closet and cabinet,

[p] ("This is told very incorrectly. That Strafford petitioned for a respite till Saturday, and that Hollis promised him his life, if he would employ his credit with the king for the abolition of episcopacy, we learn from Laud; but he adds, on the authority of the earl's assertion to archbishop Usher, that Strafford refused the condition. Laud's *Troubles*, 177. Neither did the king give up the request by the conditional postscript: for the same condition runs through the whole letter—'if it may be done without discontentment to my people'—'if no less than death can satisfy my people, *fiat justitia.*'" *Journals*, 245. "The fact was, as Essex told Hyde, no minor punishment would satisfy the earl's enemies, who were persuaded, that if his life should be spared, the king would at the conclusion of the parliament grant him a pardon, and place him again over their heads. His death was their security. *Clarendon*, vol. I. 242." Dr. Lingard's *Hist. of England*, vol. VI. note. p. 404. Carte, in his MS. papers preserved in the Bodleian library, says, that when cardinal Richlieu heard of the king's consenting to lord Strafford's death, he observed, that the king had cut off the only head in the nation, that could secure his own from the like fate.)

that he might destroy, or put out of the way, all papers that might either hurt himself or any body else. He was at that work for three hours, till upon Laud's being committed to the black rod, a messenger went over to seal up his closet, who came after all was withdrawn. Among the writings he took away, it is believed the original Magna Charta, passed by king John in the mead near Stains, was one. This was found among Warner's papers by
33 his executor: and that descended to his son and executor, colonel Lee, who gave it to me. So it is now in my hands; and it came very fairly to me [q]. For this conveyance of it we have nothing but conjecture.

I do not intend to prosecute the history of the wars. I have told a great deal relating to them in the memoirs of the dukes of Hamilton. Rushworth's collections contain many excellent materials: and now the first volume of the earl of Clarendon's history gives a faithful representation of the beginnings of the troubles, though writ in favour of the court [r], and full of the best excuses that such

[q] There was reason enough for the bishop's giving an account how he came by this most valuable piece of antiquity: his having been trusted (especially after his publication of the History of the Reformation) in searching all records, private and public, gave good grounds to suspect he had obtained it in a less justifiable manner. D. The following remarkable article in relation to our Magna Charta is in the remarks of M. des Maizeaux upon the *Colomesiana* of monsieur du Colomies, p. 538 of the Amsterdam edition in 1740. J'ai oui dire que le chevalier Robert Cotton étant allé chez un tailleur, trouva qu'il alloit faire des mesures de la Grande Chartre d'Angleterre en original avec les seings et tous les sceaux. Il eut pour quatre sous cette rare piece, qu'on avoit cru si long tems perdue, et qu'on n'espéroit pas de pouvoir jamais retrouver. *Cole.*

[r] Writ with the spirit of an historian, not of (a raker) into scandal. S. (The intervening

ill things were capable of. I shall therefore only set out what I had particular reason to know, and what is not to be met with in books.

The new model of the presbytery in Scotland.

The kirk was now settled in Scotland with a new mixture of ruling elders; which, though they were taken from the Geneva pattern to assist, or rather to be a check on the ministers in the managing the parochial discipline, yet these never came to their assemblies till the year 1638, that they thought it necessary to make them first go and carry all the elections of the ministers at the several presbyteries, and next come themselves and sit in the assemblies. The nobility and chief gentry offered themselves upon that occasion: and the ministers, since they saw they were like to act in opposition to the king's orders, were glad to have so great a support. But the elders that now came to assist them beginning to take, as the ministers thought, too much on them, they grew weary of such imperious masters: so they studied to work up the inferior people to much zeal: and as they wrought any up to some measure of heat and knowledge, they brought them also into their eldership; and so got a majority of hot zealots who depended on them. One out of these was deputed to attend on the judicatories. They had synods of all the clergy, in one or more counties, who met twice a year: and a general assembly met once a year: and at parting that body named some, called the commission of the kirk, who were to sit in the intervals to prepare matters for the next as-

words are supplied by conjecture. In what high esteem the lord Clarendon's History was held by the accomplished Evelyn, may be seen in his Letter to Mr. Pepys, which is subjoined to *Pepys's Diary* lately published by the lord Braybrooke, vol. II. p. 290.)

sembly, and to look into all the concerns of the church, to give warning of dangers, and to inspect all proceedings of the state, as far as related to the matters of religion: by these means they became terrible to all their enemies. In their sermons, and chiefly in their prayers, all that passsd in the state was canvassed: men were as good as named, and either recommended or complained of to God as they were acceptable or odious to them. This grew up in time to an insufferable degree of boldness. The way that was given to it, when the king and the bishops were there common themes, made that
34 afterwards the humour could not be restrained: and it grew so petulant, that the pulpit was a scene of news and passion. For some years this was managed with great appearances of fervour by men of age and some authority: but when the younger and hotter zealots took it up, it became odious to almost all sort of people, except some sour enthusiasts, who thought all their impertinence was zeal, and an effect of inspiration; which flowed naturally from the conceit of extemporary prayers being praying by the Spirit.

The chief ministers of the party.

Henderson, a minister of Edenburgh, was by much the wisest and gravest of them all: but as all his performances that I have seen are flat and heavy, so he found it was an easier thing to raise a flame than to quench it. He studied to keep his party to him: yet he found he could not moderate the heat of some fiery spirits: so when he saw he could follow them no more, but that they had got the people out of his hands, he sunk both in body and mind, and died soon after [the papers had passed between the king and him at Newcastle.] The

person next to him was Douglas, believed to be descended from the royal family, though the wrong way: [for he was, as was said, the bastard of a bastard of queen Mary of Scotland, by a child that she secretly bare to Douglas, who was half brother to the earl of Murray, the regent, and had the keeping of her in the castle of Lochlevin intrusted to him; from whence he helped to make her escape on that consideration.] There appeared an air of greatness in him, that made all that saw him inclined enough to believe he was of no ordinary descent. He was a reserved man: he had the scriptures by heart, to the exactness of a Jew; for he was as a concordance: he was too calm and too grave for the furious men, but yet he was much depended on for his prudence. I knew him in his old age; and saw plainly he was a slave to his popularity, and durst not own the free thoughts he had of some things for fear of offending the people.

I will not run out in giving the characters of the other leading preachers among them, such as Dickson, Blair, Rutherford, Baily, Cant, and the two Gillispys. They were men all of a sort: they affected great sublimities in devotion: they poured themselves out in their prayers with a loud voice, and often with many tears. They had but an ordinary propotion of learning among them; something of Hebrew, and very little Greek: books of controversy with papists, but above all with the Arminians, was the height of their study. A way of preaching by doctrine, reason, and use, was that they set up on: and some of them affected a strain of stating cases of conscience, not with relation to moral actions, but to some reflexions on their condition

and temper[s]. That was occasioned chiefly by their conceit of praying by the Spirit, which every one could not attain to, or keep up to the same heat in at all times. The learning they recommended to their young divines were some German systems, some commentators on the scripture, books of controversy, and practical books: they were so careful to oblige them to make their round in these, that if they had no men of great learning among them, yet none were very ignorant: as if they had thought an equality in learning was necessary to keep up the parity of their government. None could be suffered to preach as expectants, (as they called them,) but after a trial or two in private before the ministers alone: then two or three sermons were to be preached in public, some more learnedly, some more practically: then a head in divinity was to be common placed in Latin, and the person was to maintain *theses* upon it: he was also to be tried in Greek and Hebrew, and in scripture chronology. The questionary trial came last, every minister asking such questions as he pleased. When any had passed through all these with approbation, which was done in a course of three or four months, he was allowed to preach when invited. And if he was presented or called to a church, he was to pass through a new set of the same trials. This made that there was a small circle of knowledge in which they were generally well instructed. True morality was little studied or esteemed by them. [They were generally proud and passionate, insolent and covetous.] They took much pains among their people to maintain their

Their studies, and other methods.

[s] Great nonsense. Rutherford, was half fool, half mad. S.

authority: they affected all the ways of familiarity that were like to gain on them: [even in sacred matters they got into a set of very indecent phrases.]

They forced all people to sign the covenant: and the greatest part of the episcopal clergy, among whom there were two bishops, came to them, and renounced their former principles, and desired to be received into their body. At first they received all that offered themselves: but afterwards they repented of this: and the violent men among them were ever pressing the purging the kirk, as they called it, that is, the ejecting all the episcopal clergy. Then they took up the term of *malignants*, by which all who differed from them were distinguished: but the strictness of piety and good life, which had gained them so much reputation before the war, began to wear off; and instead of that, a fierceness of temper, and a copiousness of many long sermons, and much longer prayers, came to be the distinction of the party. This they carried even to the saying grace before and after meat sometimes to the length of a whole hour. But as every new war broke out, there was a visible abatement of even the outward shews of piety. Thus the war corrupted both sides. When the war broke out in England, the Scots had a great mind to go into it. The decayed nobility, the military men, and the ministers, were violently set on it. They saw what good quarters they had in the north of England. And they hoped the umpirage of the war would fall into their hands. The division appearing so near an equality in England, they reckoned they would turn the scales, and so be courted of both sides: and they did not doubt to

Their great severity.

36

draw great advantages from it, both for the nation in general, and themselves in particular. Duke Hamilton was trusted by the king with the management of his affairs in that kingdom, and had powers to offer, but so secretly, that if discovered it could not be proved, for fear of disgusting the English, that if they would engage in the king's side he would consent to the uniting Northumberland, Cumberland, and Westmerland, to Scotland; and that Newcastle should be the seat of the government; that the prince of Wales should hold his court always among them; that every third year the king should go among them; and every office in the king's household should in the third turn be given to a Scotchman. This I found not among duke Hamilton's papers: but the earl of Lauderdale assured me of it, and that at the Isle of Wight they had all the engagements from the king that he could give. Duke Hamilton quickly saw, it was a vain imagination to hope that kingdom could be brought to espouse the king's quarrel. The inclination ran strong the other way: all he hoped to succeed in was to keep them neuter for some time: and this he saw could not hold long: so after he had kept off their engaging with England all the year 1643, he and his friends saw it was in vain to struggle any longer. The course they all resolved on was, that the nobility should fall in heartily with the inclinations of the nation to join with England, that so they might procure to themselves and their friends the chief commands in the army: and then, when they were in England, and that their army was as a distinct body separated from the rest of the kingdom, it might be much easier to gain them to the

Conditions offered to the Scots.

king's service than it was at that time to work on the whole nation [t].

This was not a very sincere way of proceeding: but it was intended for the king's service, and would probably have had the effect designed by it, if some accidents had not happened that changed the face of affairs, which are not rightly understood: and therefore I will open them clearly. The earl of Montrose and a party of high royalists were for entering into an open breach with the country in the beginning of the year 1643, but offered no probable methods of maintaining it; nor could they reckon themselves assured of any considerable party. They were full of undertakings: but when they

[t] (They who think favourably of the duke of Hamilton's intentions in behalf of the king, will credit this representation. But compare with it the earl of Clarendon's History, book the seventh; and Dr. Hickes's declaration attested in Carte's MS. Papers in the Bodleian library, that he had read a copy, shewn him by the duke of Lauderdale, of Burnet's Memoirs of the two dukes of Hamilton, all in the bishop's handwriting, in which he imputes to them and their counsels all the miseries of Scotland, and the ruin of the king's affairs in that country. As to the dark affair named the *Incident*, professedly left unexplained by Burnet, and which has occasioned reflections to be thrown on the king by some writers, because Hamilton, with his brother and the earl of Argyle, quitted the king's court at Edinburgh in the year 1641, the several parties concerned seem at the time to have agreed not to disclose to the public all the circumstances relating to it. And it now appears, from one of sir Edward Nicholas's letters to the king, printed at the end of Evelyn's *Memoirs*, that the lords of the privy-council in England, having read the examinations concerning this affair, "as they had received "no command to publish them, "contented themselves with "declaring to such, as should "converse with them about "them, that they found no-"thing in all those examina-"tions that in any sort reflect-"ed on his majesty's honour." The king in the margin of the letter has written, that "they "neede to doe no more, but "as they have, and resolve to "doe." Appendix to *Evelyn*, p. 59.)

were pressed to shew what concurrence might be depended on, nothing was offered but from the Highlanders: and on this wise men could not rely: so duke Hamilton would not expose the king's affairs by such a desperate way of proceeding. Upon
37 this they went to Oxford, and filled all people there with complaints of the treachery of the Hamiltons; and they pretended they could have secured Scotland, if their propositions had been entertained. This was but too suitable to the king's own inclinations, and to the humour that was then prevailing at Oxford. So when the two Hamiltons came up, they were not admitted to speak to the king: and it was believed, if the younger brother had not made his escape, that both would have suffered; for when the queen heard of his escape, she with great commotion said, Abercorn has missed a dukedom; for that earl was a papist, and next to the two brothers [u]. They could have demonstrated, if heard, that they were sure of above two parts in three of the officers of the army; and did not doubt to have engaged the army in the king's cause. But the failing in this was not all. The earl, then made marquis of Montrose, had powers given him such as he desired, and was sent down with them: but he could

[u] Before the civil war the queen had a very particular aversion to duke Hamilton, which he perceiving, prevailed with Mrs. Seymour, who attended upon her in her bedchamber, to let him into the queen's private apartment at Somerset House, (the usual place for her retirement,) where he surprised the queen in great familiarities with Harry Jermyn; after which she never durst refuse the duke any thing he desired of her. This, sir Francis Compton told me, he had from his mother, the countess of Northampton, who was very intimately acquainted with Mrs. Seymour, that was afterwards drowned in shooting London Bridge. D.

do nothing till the end of the year. A great body of the Macdonalds, commanded by one col. Killoch, came over from Ireland to recover Kentire, the best country of all the Highlands, out of which they had been driven by the Argile family, who had possessed their country about fifty years. The head of these was the earl of Antrim, who had married the duke of Buckingham's widow: and being a papist, and having a great command in Ulster, was much relied on by the queen. He was the main person in the first rebellion, and was the most engaged in bloodshed of any in the north: yet he continued to correspond with the queen to the great prejudice of the king's affairs[x]. When the marquis of Montrose heard they were in Argileshire, he went to them, and told them, if they would let him lead them, he would carry them into the heart of the kingdom, and procure them better quarters and good pay: so he led them into Perthshire. The Scots had at that time an army in England, and another in Ireland: yet they did not think it necessary to call home any part of either; but despising the Irish, and the Highlanders, they raised a tumultuary army, and put it under the command of some lords noted for want of courage, and of others who wished well to the other side. The marquis of Montrose's men were desperate, and met with little resistance: so that small body of the covenanters army was routed. And here the marquis of Montrose got horses and ammunition, having but three horses before, and

[x] (Bowyer, in a MS. note, relates from Carte's Life of the duke of Ormond, that during the negotiation with the marquis of Antrim for sending troops to serve the king in Scotland, he had several letters from the queen encouraging him to proceed in the affair, and urging despatch.)

powder only for one charge. Then he became considerable: and he marched through the northern parts by Aberdeen. The marquis of Huntly was in the king's interests; but would not join with him,
38 though his sons did. Astrology ruined him: he believed the stars, and they deceived him: he said often, that neither the king, nor the Hamiltons, nor Montrose would prosper: he believed he should outlive them all, and escape at last; as it happened in conclusion, as to outliving the others. He was naturally a gallant man: but the stars had so subdued him, that he made a poor figure during the whole course of the wars[y].

Good advices given to the king.

The marquis of Montrose's success was very mischievous, and proved the ruin of the king's affairs: on which I should not have depended entirely, if I

[y] (The marquis closes his answer to the covenanters, who in the beginning of their rebellion threatened to imprison him, unless he concurred in their designs, with the following noble and courageous sentiments: "For my own part, "I am in your power, and re- "solved not to leave that foul "title of traitor as an inhe- "ritance to my posterity. You "may take my head from my "shoulders, but not my heart "from my sovereign." *The Marquess of Huntley His Reply*, &c. Lond. 1640. It is but justice to the Romanists of this country to add, that this chief of the house of Gordon was a Roman Catholic. The laity of which communion was for the most part more loyally affected to the crown, than appears to have been agreeable to the then policy of the court of Rome. For it is well known, that in the beginning of the Scotish rebellion, when the Roman-catholic gentry contributed money in aid of the king's necessities, they were reprehended for their conduct by the papal nuntio. A copy of the Admonition, in which this reprehension is contained, may be seen amongst archbishop Sheldon's MS. Papers, lately possessed by sir John English Dolben, but now in the Bodleian library. That some others of the Romainsts should even negotiate and side with the dominant party in parliament, for the purpose of procuring a relaxation of the penal laws, is by no means to be wondered at, considering the severity with which those laws were then executed.)

had had this only from the earl of Lauderdale, who was indeed my first author: but it was fully confirmed to me by the lord Hollis, who had gone in with great heat into the beginnings of the war: but he soon saw the ill consequences it already had, and the worse that were like to grow with the progress of it: he had in the beginning of the year forty-three, when he was sent to Oxford with the propositions, taken great pains on all about the king to convince them of the necessity of their yielding in time; since the longer they stood out, the conditions would be harder: and when he was sent by the parliament, in the end of the year forty-four, with other propositions, he and Whitlock entered into secret conferences with the king, of which some account is given by Whitlock in his memoirs. They, with other commissioners that were sent to Oxford, possessed the king, and all that were in great credit with him, with this, that it was absolutely necessary the king should put an end to the war by a treaty: a new party of hot men was springing up, that were plainly for changing the government: they were growing much in the army, but were yet far from carrying any thing in the house: they had gained much strength this summer: and they might make a great progress by the accidents that another year might produce: they confessed there were many things hard to be digested, that must be done in order to a peace: they asked things that were unreasonable: but they were forced to consent to those demands: otherwise they would have lost their credit with the city and the people, who could not be satisfied without a very entire security, and a full satisfaction: but the extremity to which matters

might be carried otherwise made it necessary to come to a peace on any terms whatsoever; since no terms could be so bad as the continuance of the war: the king must trust them, though they were not at that time disposed to trust him so much as it were to be wished: they said farther, that if a peace should follow, it would be a much easier thing to get any hard laws now moved for to be repealed,
39 than it was now to hinder their being insisted on. With these things Hollis told me that the king and many of his counsellors, who saw how his affairs declined, and with what difficulty they could hope to continue the war another year, were satisfied. The king more particularly began to feel the insolence of the military men, and of those who were daily reproaching him with their services; so that they were become as uneasy to him as those of Westminster had been formerly. But some came in the interval from lord Montrose with such an account of what he had done, of the strength he had, and of his hopes next summer, that the king was by that prevailed on to believe his affairs would mend, and that he might afterwards treat on better terms.

But not followed.

This unhappily wrought so far, that the limitations he put on those he sent to treat at Uxbridge made the whole design miscarry[z]. That raised the spirits of those that were already but too much exasperated. The marquis of Montrose made a great progress the next year: but he laid no lasting foundation, for he did not make himself master of the strong places or passes of the kingdom. After his

([z] If these counsels had been followed, Charles in his person might perhaps have been preserved, but the king and the church would have been destroyed.)

last and greatest victory at Kilsyth, he was lifted up out of measure. The Macdonalds were every where fierce masters and ravenous plunderers: and the other Highlanders, who did not such military executions, yet were good at robbing: and when they had got as much as they could carry home on their backs, they deserted. The Macdonalds also left him to go and execute their revenge on the Argiles country The marquis of Montrose thought he was now master, but had no scheme how to fix his conquests: he wasted the estates of his enemies, chiefly the Hamiltons[a]; and went towards the borders of England, though he had but a small force left about him: but he thought his name carried terror with it. So he writ to the king, that he had gone over the land from Dan to Beersheba: he prayed the king to come down in these words, *Come thou, and take the city, lest I take it, and it be called by my name.* This letter was writ, but never sent; for he was routed, and his papers taken, before he had despatched the courier. [In his defeat, he took too much care of himself; for he was never willing to expose himself too much.] When his papers were taken, many letters of the king, and of others at Oxford, were found, as the earl of Crawford, one appointed to read them, told me; which increased the disgusts: but these were not published. Upon this occasion [the marquis of Argile and the preachers shewed a very bloody temper;] many prisoners that had quarters given them were murdered in cold blood: and as they sent them to some towns that

[a] Which might have been one inducement for the bishop to give so malicious an account of the marquis of Montrose's transactions. D.

had been ill used by lord Montrose's army, the people in revenge fell on them, and knocked them on the head. Several persons of quality were condemned for being with them: and they were proceeded against both with severity and with indignities. The preachers thundered in their pulpits against all that did the work of the Lord deceitfully; and cried out against all that were for moderate proceedings, as guilty of the blood that had been shed. *Thine eye shall not pity, and thou shalt not spare,* were often inculcated after every execution: they triumphed with so little decency, that it gave all people very ill impressions of them. But this was not the worst effect of Lord Montrose's expedition. It lost the opportunity at Uxbridge: it alienated the Scots much from the king: it exalted all that were enemies to peace. Now they seemed to have some colour for all those aspersions they had cast on the king, as if he had been in a correspondence with the Irish rebels, when the worst tribe of them had been thus employed by him [b]. His affairs declined totally in England that summer: and lord Hollis said to me, all was owing to lord Montrose's unhappy successes.

Antrim's correspondence with the king and queen.

Upon this occasion I will relate somewhat concerning the earl of Antrim. I had in my hand several of his letters to the king in the year 1646, writ in a very confident style: [for he was a very arrogant, as well as a very weak man.] One was somewhat particular: he in a postscript desired the king to send the inclosed to the good woman, without making any excuse for the presumption; by

[b] Lord Clarendon differs from all this. S.

which, as follows in the postscript, he meant his wife, the duchess of Buckingham. This made me more easy to believe a story that the earl of Essex told me he had from the earl of Northumberland: upon the restoration, in the year 1660, lord Antrim was thought guilty of so much bloodshed, that it was taken for granted he could not be included in the indemnity that was to pass in Ireland: upon this he (lord Antrim) seeing the duke of Ormond set against him, came over to London, and was lodged at Somerset House: and it was believed, that having no children, he settled his estate on Jermyn, then earl of St. Alban's: but before he came away, he had made a prior settlement in favour of his brother. He petitioned the king to order a committee of council to examine the warrants that he had acted upon. The earl of Clarendon was for rejecting the petition, as containing a high indignity to the memory of king Charles the first: and said plainly at council table, that if any person had pretended to affirm such a thing while they were at Oxford, he would either have been severely punished for it, or the king would soon have had a very thin court. But it seemed just to see what he had to say for himself: so a committee was named, of which the earl of Northumberland was the chief. He produced to them some of the king's letters: but they did not come up to a full proof. In one of them the king wrote, that he had not then leisure, 41
but referred himself to the queen's letter; and said, that was all one as if he writ himself. Upon this foundation he produced a series of letters writ by himself to the queen, in which he gave her an account of every one of these particulars that were

laid to his charge, and shewed the grounds he went on, and desired her directions to every one of these: he had answers ordering him to do as he did. This the queen-mother espoused with great zeal; and said, she was bound in honour to save him. I saw a great deal of that management, for I was then at court. But it was generally believed, that this train of letters was made up at that time in a collusion between the queen and him: so a report was prepared to be signed by the committee, setting forth that he had so fully justified himself in every thing that had been objected to him, that he ought not to be excepted out of the indemnity. This was brought first to the earl of Northumberland to be signed by him: but he refused it; and said, he was sorry he had produced such warrants, but he did not think they could serve his turn; for he did not believe any warrant from the king or queen could justify so much bloodshed, in so many black instances as were laid against him. Upon his refusal, the rest of the committee did not think fit to sign the report: so it was let fall: and the king was prevailed on to write to the duke of Ormond, telling him that he had so vindicated himself, that he must endeavour to get him to be included in the indemnity. That was done; and was no small reproach to the king, that did thus sacrifice his father's honour to his mother's importunity [d]. Upon this the earl of Essex told me, that he had taken all the pains he could to inquire into the original of the Irish massacre, but could

The original of the Irish massacre.

[d] See this affair entirely cleared up by Mr. Carte in his Life of the Duke of Ormond. *Bowyer*. (See also the *Continuation of Lord Clarendon's Life*, p. 127, published after Carte's work.)

never see any reason to believe the king had any accession to it[e]. He did indeed believe that the queen hearkened to the propositions made by the Irish, who undertook to take the government of Ireland into their hands, which they thought they could easily perform: and then, they said, they would assist the king to subdue the hot spirits at Westminster. With this the plot of the insurrection began: and all the Irish believed the queen encouraged it. But in the first design there was no thought of a massacre: that came in head as they were laying the methods of executing it: so, as those were managed by the priests, they were the chief men that set on the Irish to all the blood and cruelty that followed.

I know nothing in particular of the sequel of the war, nor of all the confusions that happened till the murder of king Charles the first: only one passage I had from lieutenant general Drumond, afterwards 42
lord Strathallan. He served on the king's side: but he had many friends among those who were for the covenant: so the king's affairs being now ruined, he was recommended to Cromwell, being then in a treaty with the Spanish ambassador, who was negociating for some regiments to be levied and sent over from Scotland to Flanders: he happened to be with Cromwell when the commissioners, sent from Scotland to protest against the putting the king to

[e] And who but a beast ever believed it? S. (Mr. Brodie, in his *History of the British Empire during this Era*, whilst he endeavours to establish the truth of almost all the charges brought by the old republicans against king Charles the first, remarks on this passage of Burnet, that he cannot distinguish between the king and queen, considering their dark correspondence and joint plots.)

death, came to argue the matter with him. Cromwell bade Drumond stay and hear their conference, which he did. They began in a heavy languid style to lay indeed great load on the king: but they still insisted on that clause in the covenant, by which they swore they would be faithful in the preservation of his Majesty's person: with this they shewed upon what terms Scotland, as well as the two houses, had engaged in the war, and what solemn declarations of their zeal and duty to the king they all along published; which would now appear, to the scandal and reproach of the Christian name, to have been false pretences, if when the king was in their power they should proceed to extremities.

Cromwell argues with the Scots concerning the king's death.

Upon this, Cromwell entered into a long discourse of the nature of the regal power, according to the principles of Mariana and Buchanan: he thought a breach of trust in a king ought to be punished more than any other crime whatsoever: he said, as to their covenant, they swore to the preservation of the king's person in defence of the true religion: if then it appeared that the settlement of the true religion was obstructed by the king, so that they could not come at it but by putting him out of the way, then their oath could not bind them to the preserving him any longer. He said also, their covenant did bind them to bring all malignants, incendiaries, and enemies to the cause, to condign punishment: and was not this to be executed impartially? What were all those on whom public justice had been done, especially those who suffered for joining with Montrose, but small offenders acting by commission from the king, who was therefore the principal, and so the most guilty?

Drumond said, Cromwell had plainly the better of them at their own weapon, and upon their own principles[f]. At this time presbytery was at its height in Scotland.

The opposition of the general assembly to the parliament.

In summer 1648, when the parliament declared they would engage to rescue the king from his imprisonment, and the parliament of England from the force it was put under by the army, the nobility went into the design, all except six or eight. The king had signed an engagement to make good his offers to the nation of the northern counties, with the other conditions formerly mentioned: and par- 43
ticular favours were promised to every one that concurred in it. The marquis of Argile gave it out that the Hamiltons, let them pretend what they would, had no sincere intentions to their cause, but had engaged to serve the king on his own terms: he filled the preachers with such jealousies of this, that though all the demands that they made for the security of their cause, and in declaring the grounds of the war, were complied with, yet they could not be satisfied, but still said the Hamiltons were in a confederacy with the malignants in England, and

[f] And Burnet thought as Cromwell did. S. "(I give to "the wife of Oliver Cromwell "for his keeping the covenant "*in the right sense*, by murder- "ing the king, a groat a day." This item the author of *Manes Presbyteriani*, a tract so entitled, makes the marquis of Argyle add in his supposed last will and testament. And according to principal Baillie's account, his friends the covenanters, when in the year 1646. they despaired of prevailing with the king to establish it, were little disposed to prevent that sense of the clause for the preservation of his person being acted on. See Baillie's *Letters*, vol. II. Let. 166. p. 241. The suggestions of Herle the prolocutor, in a sermon preached before the house of commons on Nov. 5, 1644, may be found at page 16 of the discourse. But circumstances altered afterwards.)

did not intend to stand to what they promised. The general assembly declared against it, as an unlawful confederacy with the enemies of God; and called it the unlawful engagement, which came to be the name commonly given to it in all their pulpits. They every where preached against it, and opposed the levies all they could by solemn denunciations of the wrath and curse of God on all concerned in them. This was a strange piece of opposition to the state, little inferior to what was pretended to, and put in practice by the church of Rome.

The south-west counties of Scotland have seldom corn enough to serve them round the year: and the northern parts producing more than they need, those in the west come in the summer to buy at Leith the stores that come from the north: and from a word *whiggam*, used in driving their horses, all that drove were called the *whiggamors*, and shorter the *whiggs*. Now in that year, after the news came down of duke Hamilton's defeat, the ministers animated their people to rise, and march to Edenburgh: and they came up marching on [at] the head of their parishes, with an unheard-of fury, praying and preaching all the way as they came. The marquis of Argile and his party came and headed them, they being about 6000. This was called the *whiggamors* inroad: and ever after that all that opposed the court came in contempt to be called *whiggs:* and from Scotland the word was brought into England, where it is now one of our unhappy terms of distinction[g].

The ministers made an insurrection.

[g] Which unhappy distinctions no man living was more ready to foment than the good bishop himself; and the first inquiry he made into any body's character was, whether he were

The committee of their estates, with the force they had in their hands, could easily have dissipated this undisciplined herd. But they, knowing their own weakness, sent to Cromwell, desiring his assistance. Upon that, the committee saw they could not stand before him: so they came to a treaty, and delivered up the government to this new body. Upon their assuming it, they declared all who had served or assisted in the engagement incapable of any employment, till they had first satisfied the kirk of the truth of their repentance, and made public professions of it. All churches were upon that full of 44
mock penitents, some making their acknowledgments all in tears, to gain more credit with the new party. The earl of Lowdun, that was chancellor, had entered into solemn promises both to the king and the Hamiltons: but when he came to Scotland, his wife[h], a high covenanter, and an heiress by whom he had both honour and estate, threatened him, if he went on that way, with a process of adultery, in which she could have had very copious proofs: he durst not stand this, and so compounded the matter by the deserting his friends, and turning over to the other side: of which he made public profession in the church of Edenburgh with many tears, confessing his weakness in yielding to the temptation of what had a shew of honour and loyalty, for which he expressed a hearty sorrow. Those that came in early, with great shews of compunction, got easier

a whigg or a tory: if the latter, he made it his business to rake all the spiteful stories he could collect together, in order to lessen their esteem in the world, which he was very free to publish, without any regard to decency or modesty. D.

[h] Margaret daughter of sir John Gordon of Lochinvar. *Cole.*

off: but those who stood out long, found it a harder matter to make their peace. Cromwell came down to Scotland, and saw the new model fully settled.

The treaty in the isle of Wight.

During his absence from the scene, the treaty of the isle of Wight was set on foot by the parliament, who seeing the army at such a distance, took this occasion of treating with the king. Sir Henry Vane, and others who were for a change of government, had no mind to treat any more. But both city and country were so desirous of a personal treaty, that it could not be resisted. Vane, Pierpoint, and some others, went to the treaty on purpose to delay matters, till the army could be brought up to London. All that wished well to the treaty prayed the king, at their first coming, to dispatch the business with all possible haste, and to grant the first day all that he could bring himself to grant on the last. Hollis and Grimstone told me, they had both on their knees begged this of the king[i]. They said, they knew Vane would study to draw out the treaty to a great length: and he, who declared for an unbounded liberty of conscience, would try to gain on the king's party by the offer of a toleration for the common prayer and the episcopal clergy. His design in that was to gain time, till Cromwell should settle Scotland and the north. But they said, if the king would frankly come in, without the formality of papers backward and forward, and send them back next day with the concessions that were absolutely necessary, they did not doubt but he should, in a very few days, be brought up with honour, freedom, and safety to the parlia-

[i] They both foresaw the fall of their own party, and dreaded the superiority of Vane and the Independents. *Cole.*

ment, and that matters should be brought to a present settlement[k]. Titus, who was then much trusted by the king, and employed in a negociation with the presbyterian party, told me he had spoke often and earnestly to him in the same strain: but the

([k] Let the concessions here referred to be viewed, and then let it be answered, how the king could consent to them in honour and conscience, or consistently with the following solemn declaration sent by him in the year 1645. to sir Edward Nicholas for the purpose of its being communicated to his friends: "And now me-"thinks I were to blame, if I "did not justify the truth of "your opinions concerning me "by my own declaration, which "is this, That let my condition "be never so low, my successes "never so ill, I resolve, by the "grace of God, never to yield "up this church to the go-"vernment of papists, pres-"byterians, or independents; "nor to injure my successors, "by lessening the crown of "that ecclesiastical and mili-"tary power, which my pre-"decessors left me; nor for-"sake my friends, much less "to let them suffer, when I do "not, for their faithfulness to "me, resolving sooner to live "as miserable as the violent "rage of successful insulting "rebells can make me, which "I esteem far worse than death, "rather than not to be exactly "constant to these grounds; "from which, whosoever, upon "whatsoever occasion, shall "persuade me to recede in the "least tittle, I shall esteem him "either a fool or a knave." The king's Letters to sir Edward Nicholas published by W. Bray Esquire in the Appendix to *Evelyn's Memoirs*, page 104. As to the concessions here referred to by Burnet, the king was required previously to his treating on certain propositions to assent to four bills already passed by both houses, by the first of which the whole power of the state was vested in the parliament during twenty years. Amongst the propositions to be treated on, one was for the prosecution of the king's friends, another for the abolition of episcopacy, and a third for the education of the children of Romanists by protestants. It had been agreed with the Scotch in the preceding year, to exclude from pardon twenty-six noblemen, three bishops, twenty-nine knights, and thirteen gentlemen of quality, in all seventy-one persons then named, besides all Romanists who had served the king, and all such who having been proceeded against by the English or Scotish parliament for what they termed treason, should be condemned before an act of oblivion passed. See the *List of Divers Persons, whose names are to be presented to the king's majestie to die without mercy by the agreement of both Kingdoms*, Lond. 1647.)

king could not come to a resolution: and he still fancied, that in the struggle between the house of commons and the army, both saw they needed him so much, to give them the superior strength, that he imagined by balancing them he would bring both sides into a greater dependence on himself, and force them to better terms. In this Vane flattered the episcopal party, to the king's ruin as well as their own. But they still hated the presbyterians as the first authors of the war; and seemed unwilling to think well of them, or to be beholding to them[1]. Thus the treaty went on with a fatal slowness: and by the time it was come to some maturity, Cromwell came up with his army, and overturned all.

Cromwell's dissimulation.

Upon this I will set down what sir Harbotle Grimstone told me a few weeks before his death: whether it was done at this time, or the year before, I cannot tell: I rather believe the latter. When the house of commons and the army were a quarrelling, at a meeting of the officers it was proposed to purge the army better, that they might know whom to depend on. Cromwell upon that said, he was sure of the army; but there was another body that had more need of purging, naming the house of commons, and he thought the army only could do that. Two officers that were present brought an

[1] (What reason either the king or the episcopal party had to expect good, or any thing but ruin, from the hands of these persons, may be inferred from the sentiments expressed by the advocates of the presbyterian cause, on the army's permitting the attendance of the king's chaplains on his person. In a debate too concerning religion it was voted by the house of commons, Oct. 16. 1647, *that the indulgence as to tender consciences shall not extend to tolerate the common prayer*. See Whitelock's *Memorials* p. 274.)

account of this to Grimstone, who carried them with him to the lobby of the house of commons, they being resolved to justify it to the house. There was another debate then on foot: but Grimstone diverted it, and said, he had a matter of privilege of the highest sort to lay before them: it was about the being and freedom of the house. So he charged Cromwell with the design of putting a force on the house: he had his witnesses at the door, and desired they might be examined: they were brought to the bar, and justified all that they had said to him, and gave a full relation of all that had passed at their meetings. When they withdrew, Cromwell fell down on his knees, and made a solemn prayer to God, attesting his innocence, and his zeal for the service of the house: he submitted himself to the providence of God, who it seems thought fit to exercise him with calumny and slander, but he committed his cause to him: this he did with great vehemence, and with many tears. After this strange and bold preamble, he made so long a speech, justifying both himself and the rest of the officers, except a few that seemed inclined to return back to Egypt, that he wearied out the house, and wrought so much on his party, that what the witnesses had said was so little believed, that had it been moved, Grimstone thought that both he and they would have been sent 46
to the Tower. But whether their guilt made them modest, or that they had no mind to have the matter much talked of, they let it fall: and there was no strength in the other side to carry it farther. To complete the scene, as soon as ever Cromwell got out of the house, he resolved to trust himself no

more among them; but went to the army, and in a few days he brought them up, and forced a great many from the house.

I had much discourse on this head with one who knew Cromwell well, and all that set of men; and asked him how they could excuse all the prevarications, and other ill things of which they were visibly guilty in the conduct of their affairs. He told me, they believed there were great occasions in which some men were called to great services, in the doing of which they were excused from the common rules of morality: such were the practices of Ehud and Jael, Samson and David: and by this they fancied they had a privilege from observing the standing rules. It is very obvious how far this principle may be carried, and how all justice and mercy may be laid aside on this pretence by every bold enthusiast. Ludlow, in his memoirs, justifies this force put on the parliament, as much as he condemns the force that Cromwell and the army afterwards put on the house: and he seems to lay this down for a maxim, that the military power ought always to be subject to the civil: and yet, without any sort of resentment for what he had done, he owns the share he had in the force put on the parliament at this time. The plain reconciling of this is, that he thought when the army judged the parliament was in the wrong, they might use violence, but not otherwise: which gives the army a superior authority, and an inspection into the proceedings of the parliament. This shews how impossible it is to set up a commonwealth in England: for that cannot be brought about but by a military force: and they will ever

keep the parliament in subjection to them, and so keep up their own authority [m].

I will leave all that relates to the king's trial and death to common historians, knowing nothing that is particular of that great transaction, which was certainly one of the most amazing scenes in history [n]. Ireton was the person that drove it on: for Cromwell was all the while in some suspense about it. Ireton had the principles and the temper of a Cassius in him: he stuck at nothing that might have turned England to a commonwealth: and he found out Cook and Bradshaw, two bold lawyers, as proper instruments for managing it. Fairfax was much distracted in his mind, and changed purposes often every day [o]. The presbyterians and 47
the body of the city were much against it, and were every where fasting and praying for the king's preservation. There was not above 8000 of the army about the town: but these were selected out of the whole army, as the most engaged in enthusiasm: and they were kept at prayer in their way almost day and night, except when they were upon duty: so that they were wrought up to a pitch of fury, that struck a terror into all people. On the other hand, the king's party was without spirit: and, as many of themselves have said to me, they could never believe his death was really intended till it was too late. They thought all was a pageantry to

The men chiefly engaged in the taking the king's life.

[m] Weak. S.

[n] And was most certainly a *murder*, as his cause, at that time, was become the cause of the nation, and the sense of it; and that of those who put him to death, and were but few, was in Cromwell and most of them (with a mixture of enthusiasm) for private ends, and *security* to themselves; and has the justification only of an highwayman, who kills, because he would not be killed. O.

[o] Fairfax had hardly common sense. S.

strike a terror, and to force the king to such concessions as they had a mind to extort from him.

The king's behaviour.

The king himself shewed a calm and a composed firmness, which amazed all people: and that so much the more, because it was not natural to him[P]. It was imputed to a very extraordinary measure of supernatural assistance. Bishop Juxon did the duty of his function honestly, but with a dry coldness that could not raise the king's thoughts: so that it was owing wholly to somewhat within himself that he went through so many indignities with so much true greatness, without disorder or any sort of affectation. Thus he died greater than he had lived; and shewed that which has been often observed of the whole race of the Stewards, that they bore misfortunes better than prosperity. His reign, both in peace and war, was a continual series of errors: so that it does not appear that he had a true judgment of things. He was out of measure set on following his humour, but unreasonably feeble to those whom he trusted, chiefly to the queen. He had too high a notion of the regal power, and thought that every opposition to it was rebellion. He minded little things too much, and was more concerned in the drawing of a paper than in fighting a battle. He had a firm aversion to popery, but was much inclined to a middle way between protestants and

[P] Sir Philip Meadows told me he was at Newmarket when the army brought the king thither, and observed that the king's was the only cheerful face in the place; which put me in mind of the night king James returned to Whitehall, where I stood by him during his supper; and he told all that had happened to him at Feversham with as much unconcernedness as if they had been the adventures of some other person, and directed a great deal of his discourse to me, though I was but a boy. D.

papists, by which he lost the one, without gaining the other[q]. His engaging the duke of Rohan in the war of Rochelle, and then assisting him so poorly, and forsaking him at last, gave an ill character of him to all the protestants abroad. The earl of Lauderdale told me, the duke of Rohan was at Geneva, where he himself was, when he received a very long letter, or rather a little book, from my father, which gave him a copious account of the beginning of the troubles in Scotland: he translated it to the duke of Rohan, who expressed a vehement indignation at the court of England for their usage of him: of which this was the account he then gave[r].

48 The affair of Rochelle.

The duke of Buckingham had a secret conversation with the queen of France, of which the queen-mother was very jealous, and possessed the king with such a sense of it, that he was ordered imme-

q It was the same middle and right way, however calumniated, which the king's father so well described in his speech to his first parliament. "If "they (the papists) would be "ashamed of such new and "gross corruptions of theirs, "as they themselves cannot "maintain, nor deny to be "worthy of reformation, I "would for mine own part "be content to meet them in "the midway, so that all no-"velties might be renounced "on either side. For as my "faith is the true, ancient, "catholic and apostolic faith, "grounded upon the scrip-"tures and express word of "God; so I will ever yield all re-"verence to antiquity in points "of ecclesiastical polity, and "by that means shall I ever, "with God's grace, keep my-"self from either being a here-"tic in faith, or schismatic in "matters of polity."

r ("So destitute was the "sovereign of means to pur-"sue any foreign expedition, "that after the fall of La "Rochelle, when the duke of "Rohan implored his farther "aid, Charles the first declar-"ed, that compelled to dis-"solve the parliament, from "whom he had expected far-"ther supplies, he was no "longer in a condition to assist "the necessities of the foreign "protestants." *D'Israeli's Commentaries on the Life and Reign of Charles the first*, vol. II. ch. x. p. 262.)

diately to leave the court. Upon his return to England, under this affront, he possessed the king with such a hatred of that court, that the queen was ill used on her coming over, and all her servants were sent back. He told him also, that the protestants were so ill used, and so strong, that if he would protect them, they would involve that kingdom in new wars; which he represented as so glorious a beginning of his reign, that the king, without weighing the consequence of it, sent one to treat with the duke of Rohan about it. Great assistance was promised by sea: so a war was resolved on, in which the share that our court had is well enough known. But the infamous part was, that Richlieu got the king of France to make his queen write an obliging letter to the duke of Buckingham, assuring him that, if he would let Rochelle fall without assisting it, he should have leave to come over, and should settle the whole matter of the religion according to their edicts. This was a strange proceeding: but cardinal Richlieu could turn that weak king as he pleased. Upon this the duke made that shameful campaign of the isle of Rhe. But finding next winter that he was not to be suffered to go over into France, and that he was abused into a false hope, he resolved to have followed that matter with more vigour, when he was stabbed by Felton.

A design of making the Spanish Netherlands a commonwealth.

There is another story told of the king's conduct during the peaceable part of his reign, which I had from Halewyn of Dort, who was one of the judges in the court of Holland, and was the wisest and greatest man I knew among them. He told me he had it from his father, who, being then the chief man of Dort, was of the states, and had the secret commu-

nicated to him. When Isabella Clara Eugenia grew old, and began to decline, a great many of her council, apprehending what miseries they would fall under when they should be again in the hands of the Spaniards, formed a design of making themselves a free commonwealth, that in imitation of the union among the cantons of Switzerland, that were of both religions, there should be a perpetual confederacy between them and the states of the seven provinces. This they communicated to Henry Frederick prince of Orange, and to some of the states, who approved of it, but thought it necessary to engage the king of England in it. The prince of Orange told the English ambassador, that there was a matter of great consequence that was fit to be laid before the king; but it was of such a nature, and such persons were concerned in it, that it could not 49
be communicated, unless the king would be pleased to promise absolute secrecy for the present. This the king did: and then the prince of Orange sent him the whole scheme. The secret was ill kept: either the king trusted it to some who discovered it, or the paper was stolen from him; for it was sent over to the court of Bruxells: one of the ministry lost his head for it: and some took the alarm so quickly, that they got to Holland out of danger. After this the prince of Orange had no commerce with our court, and often lamented that so great a design was so unhappily lost[s]. He had as ill an

[s] (If it be true, that king Charles occasioned the miscarriage of this attempt, whether just or unjust, useful for England, or otherwise, by which the independence of Flanders on Spain was to be effected; yet as sir William Temple relates, in his *Memoirs from the Peace* in 1679, the king peremptorily refused his consent to the subjugation of that coun-

opinion of the king's conduct of the war; for when the queen came over, and brought some of the generals with her, the prince said, after he had talked with them, (as the late king told me,) he did not wonder to see the affairs of England decline as they did, since he had talked with the king's generals.

I will not enter farther into the military part: for I remember an advice of Marshal Schomberg's, never to meddle in the relation of military matters [t]. He said, some affected to relate those affairs in all the terms of war, in which they committed great errors, that exposed them to the scorn of all commanders, who must despise relations that pretend to an exactness, when there were blunders in every part of them.

The ill effects of violent counsels.

In the king's death the ill effect of extreme violent counsels discovered itself. Ireton hoped that by this all men concerned in it would become irreconcileable to monarchy, and would act as desperate men, and destroy all that might revenge that blood. But this had a very different effect. Something of the same nature had happened in lower instances before: but they were not the wiser for it. The earl of Strafford's death made all his former errors be forgot: it raised his character, and cast a lasting odium on that way of proceeding; whereas he had sunk in his credit by any censure lower than death, and had been little pitied, if not thought justly pu-

try by France; for which cardinal Richlieu, according to his express threat, made him pay dear, by immediately negotiating with some discontented nobles of Scotland then at Paris. and sending over two hundred thousand pistoles to others in that kingdom, for the purpose of exciting the troubles which took place. See *Temple's Works*, vol. II. p. 545.)

[t] Very foolish advice, for soldiers cannot write. S.

nished. The like effect followed upon archbishop Laud's death. He was a learned, a sincere, and zealous man, regular in his own life, and humble [but very rough and ungracious[u]] in his private deportment; but was a hot, indiscreet man, eagerly pursuing some matters that were either very inconsiderable or mischievous; such as setting the communion table by the east walls of churches, bowing to it, and calling it the altar; the suppressing the Walloons' privileges, the breaking of lectures, the encouraging of sports on the Lord's day, with some other things that were of no value: and yet all the

[u] (His warmth of temper and occasional roughness of behaviour are well known; but that he was not always ungracious and inattentive to the forms of breeding, appears from the following curious particulars given in a letter published by Mr. Ellis, in his *Second Series of Original Letters*, vol. III. p. 271. "One day the last week "my lord of Arundell and his "sonne my lord Maltrevers "having espyed my lord of "Canterburies coach on Barn-"sted downe coming towards "theirs, before they came a "butts length short of it both "their lordships alighted, and "went a great pace towards "his graces coach, who when "they were approached said "'What? And must my lord "marshal of England take so "great paines to doe me so "much honour? Were my "legges as good as my hart, "I should have mett your lord-"ships the better half of the "way.' Then my lord of Arun-"dell replied, it might well be-"come an earle marshall to "give so much respect to an "archbishop of Canterbury, "besides the particular obliga-"tion from his lordship to his "grace for his noble usage of "his sonne and daughter Mal-"trevers, while they were his "prisoners." (They had been committed to the archbishop's custody on account of their unpermitted marriage.) "Where-"upon my lords grace took "occasion to congratulate un-"to both their lordships my "lord Maltrevers his brave "and hopefull progeny, of "three sonnes and a daugh-"ter: and so they parted. "His grace by his dyet hath "so moderated his gout, as "it is now rather an infirmity "than a paine, hee lookes "freshe and enjoyes his health, "and hath his wittes and in-"tellectualls about him." Let. CCLXXII. written in the year 1632.

zeal and heat of that time was laid out on these[x]. His severity in the star-chamber and in the high commission court, but above all his violent and indeed inexcusable injustice in the prosecution of bishop Williams, were such visible blemishes, that nothing but the putting him to death in so unjust a manner could have raised his character; which indeed it did to a degree of setting him up as a pattern, and the establishing all his notions as standards, by which judgments are to be made of men, whether they are true to the church or not. His diary, though it was a base thing to publish it, represents him as an abject fawner on the duke of Buckingham, and as a superstitious regarder of dreams: his defence of himself, writ with so much care when he was in the Tower, is a very mean performance. He intended in that to make an appeal to the world. In most particulars he excuses himself by this, that he was but one of many, who either in council, star-chamber, or high commission, voted illegal things. Now though this was true, yet a chief minister, and one in high favour, determines the rest so much, that they are generally little better than machines acted by him. On other occasions he says, the thing was proved but by one witness. Now, how strong soever this defence may be in law, it is of no force in an appeal to the world; for if a thing is true, it is no matter how full or how defective the proof is. The thing that gave me the

[x] By no means. It was to introduce by degrees a spirit of decency and regularity in church matters, totally neglected by archbishop Abbot; to depress the growing spirit of faction and sectarism; and to oppose a milder and more moderate mode of Christianity, by Arminianism, to the heats and fury of wild Calvinism. *Cole.*

strongest prejudice against him in that book is, that after he had seen the ill effects of his violent counsels[y], and had been so long shut up, and so long at leisure to reflect on what had passed in the hurry of passion in the exaltation of his prosperity, he does not, in any one part of that great work, acknowledge his own errors, nor mix in it any wise or pious reflections on the ill usage he met with, or the unhappy steps he had made[z]: so that while his enemies did really magnify him by their inhuman prosecution, his friends Heylin and Wharton have as much lessened him, the one by writing his life, and the other by publishing his vindication of himself.

The account of Εἰκὼν Βασιλική.

But the recoiling of cruel counsels on the authors of them never appeared more eminently than in the death of king Charles the first, whose serious and christian deportment in it made all his former errors be entirely forgot, and raised a compassionate regard to him, that drew a lasting hatred on the actors, and was the true occasion of the great turn of the nation in the year 1660. This was much heightened by the publishing of his book called Εἰκὼν Βασιλικὴ, which was universally believed to be his own: and that coming out soon after his death had the greatest run in many impressions that any book has had in our age. There was in it a nobleness and justness of thought, with a greatness of 51
style, that made it to be looked on as the best writ book in the English language[a]: and the piety of the prayers made all people cry out against the murder

[y] All this is full of malice and ill judgment. S.

[z] It is likely he saw no reason to make such acknowledgement. *Cole.*

[a] I think it a poor treatise, and that the king did not write it. S.

of a prince, who thought so seriously of all his affairs in his secret meditations before God. I was bred up with a high veneration of this book: and I remember that, when I heard how some denied it to be his, I asked the earl of Lothian about it, who both knew the king very well, and loved him little: he seemed confident it was his own work; for he said, he had heard him say a great many of those very periods that he found in that book. Being thus confirmed in that persuasion, I was not a little surprised, when in the year 1673, in which I had a great share of favour and free conversation with the then duke of York, afterwards king James the second, as he suffered me to talk very freely to him about matters of religion, and as I was urging him with somewhat out of his father's book, he told me that book was not of his father's writing, and that the letter to the prince of Wales was never brought to him. He said, Dr. Gawden writ it: after the restoration he brought the duke of Somerset and the earl of Southampton both to the king and to himself, who affirmed that they knew it was his writing; and that it was carried down by the earl of Southampton, and shewed the king during the treaty of Newport, who read it, and approved of it as containing his sense of things. Upon this he told me, that though Sheldon and the other bishops opposed Gawden's promotion, because he had taken the covenant, yet the merits of that service carried it for him, notwithstanding the opposition made to it. There has been a great deal of disputing about this book: some are so zealous for maintaining it to be the king's, that they think a man false to the church that doubts it to be his: yet the evidence

since that time brought to the contrary has been so strong, that I must leave that under the same uncertainty under which I found it: only this is certain, that Gawden never writ any thing with that force, his other writings being such, that no man, from a likeness of style, would think him capable of writing so extraordinary a book as that is[b].

The Scots treat with king Charles the second.

Upon the king's death the Scots proclaimed his son king, and sent over sir George Wincam, that married my great aunt[c], to treat with him while he was in the isle of Jersey. The king entered into a negociation with them, and sent him back with general assurances of consenting to every reasonable proposition that they should send him. He named the Hague for the place of treaty, he being to go thither in a few days. So the Scots sent over commissioners, the chief of whom were the earls of Cas- 52
siles and Lothian, the former of these was my first wife's father, a man of great virtue and of a considerable degree of good understanding: [had it not

[b] Notwithstanding all that has been said or wrote upon this subject, whoever reads the book will plainly perceive that nobody but the king himself could write it: that Gawden might transcribe, and put it into the order it is in at present, and lord Southampton carry it to the king for his perusal and correction, is more than likely: but that Gawden should furnish the matter is utterly impossible. That king Charles the second or king James ever (never) approved of the contents, or had much veneration for their father's conduct or sentiments, is not to be disputed: but the duke of Somerset would readily join in promoting Gawden for the share they knew he had in publishing a book so much to the honour of their old master, for whom they always professed the highest respect and duty. This I know, that my grandfather, who was many years of his bedchamber, and well known to have been much trusted by him, always looked upon it to be authentic, and prized it accordingly. D.

[c] Was that the reason he was sent? S.

been spoiled with many affectations, and an obstinate stiffness in almost every thing that he did:] he was so sincere, that he would suffer no man to take his words in any other sense than as he meant them: he adhered firmly to his instructions, but with so much candour, that king Charles retained very kind impressions of it to his life's end. The man then in the greatest favour with the king was the duke of Buckingham: he was wholly turned to mirth and pleasure: he had the art of turning persons or things into ridicule beyond any man of the age: he possessed the young king with very ill principles, both as to religion and morality, and with a very mean opinon of his father, whose stiffness was with him a frequent subject of raillery. He prevailed with the king to enter into a treaty with the Scots, though that was vehemently opposed by almost all the rest that were about him, who pressed him to adhere steadily to his father's maxims and example[d].

Montrose's offers.

When the king came to the Hague, William duke of Hamilton, and the earl of Lauderdale, who had left Scotland, entered into a great measure of favour and confidence with him. The marquis of Montrose came likewise to him, and undertook, if he would follow his counsels, to restore him to his kingdoms by main force: but when the king desired the prince of Orange to examine the methods which he proposed, he entertained him with a recital of his own performances, and of the credit he was in

[d] (This unprincipled nobleman is said to have betrayed the king in Scotland, and to have given Cromwell information of his counsels; which though it came to the king's knowledge, was excused in this companion of his debauches. See *Pepys's Diary*, lately published, vol. II. p. 24.)

among the people; and said, the whole nation would rise, if he went over, though accompanied only with a page. [The queen-mother hated him (Montrose) mortally; for when he came over from Scotland to Paris, upon the king's requiring him to lay down his arms, she received him with such extraordinary favour, as his services seemed to deserve, and gave him a large supply in money and in jewels, considering the straits to which she was then reduced. But she heard that he had talked very indecently of her favours to him; which she herself told the lady Susanna Hamilton, a daughter of duke Hamilton, from whom I had it. So she sent him word to leave Paris, and she would see him no more. He wandered about the courts of Germany, but was not esteemed so much as he thought he deserved.] He desired of the king nothing but power to act in his name, with a supply in money, and a letter recommending him to the king of Denmark for a ship to carry him over, and for such arms as he could spare. With that the king gave him the garter. He got first to Orkney, and from thence into the Highlands of Scotland; but could perform nothing of what he had undertaken. At last he was betrayed by one of those to whom he trusted himself, Mackland [Maccloud] of Assin, and was brought over a prisoner to Edenburgh. He was carried through the streets with all the infamy that brutal men could contrive: and in a few days he was hanged on a very high gibbet: and his head and quarters were set up in divers places of the kingdom. His behaviour under all that barbarous usage was as great and firm to the last, looking on all that was done to him with a noble scorn, as the fury of his enemies was black and

And death.

universally detested. This cruelty raised a horror in all sober people against those who could insult over such a man in misfortunes. The triumphs that
53 the preachers made on this occasion rendered them odious, and made lord Montrose to be both more pitied and lamented, than otherwise he could have been. This happened while the Scots commissioners were treating with the king at the Hague. The violent party in Scotland were for breaking off the treaty upon it, though by the date of lord Montrose's commission it appeared to have been granted before the treaty was begun: but it was carried not to recall their commissioners: nor could the king on the other hand be prevailed on by his own court to send them away upon this cruelty to a man who had acted by his commission, and yet was so used. The treaty was quickly concluded: the king was in no condition to struggle with them, but yielded to all their demands, of taking the covenant, and suffering none to be about him but such as took it. He sailed home to Scotland in some Dutch men of war with which the prince of Orange furnished him, with all the stock of money and arms that his credit could raise. That indeed would not have been very great, if the prince of Orange had not joined his own to it. The duke of Hamilton and the earl of Lauderdale were suffered to go home with him: but soon after his landing an order came to put them from him. The king complained of this: but duke Hamilton at parting told him, he must prepare for things of a harder digestion: he said, at present he could do him no service: the marquis of Argile was then in absolute credit: therefore he desired that he would study to gain him, and give him no cause of jealousy

on his account. This king Charles told me himself, as a part of duke Hamilton's character. The duke of Buckingham took all the ways possible to gain lord Argile and the ministers: only his dissolute course of life was excessive scandalous; which to their great reproach they connived at, because he advised the king to put himself wholly into their hands. The king wrought himself into as grave a deportment as he could: he heard many prayers and sermons, some of a great length. I remember in one fast day there were six sermons preached without intermission. I was there my self, and not a little weary of so tedious a service[e]. The king was not allowed so much as to walk abroad on Sundays: and if at any time there had been any gayety at court, such as dancing, or playing at cards, he was severely reproved for it. This was managed with so much rigour and so little discretion, that it contributed not a little to beget in him an aversion to all sort of strictness in religion. All that had acted on his father's side were ordered to keep at a great distance from him: and because the common people shewed some affection to the king, the crowds 54
that pressed to see him were also kept off from coming about him. Cromwell was not idle: but seeing the Scots were calling home their king, and knowing that from thence he might expect an invasion into England, he resolved to prevent them, and so marched into Scotland with his army. The Scots brought together a very good army: the king was suffered to come once to see it, but not to stay in it; for they were afraid he might gain too much upon the soldiers: so he was sent away.

[e] Burnet was not then eight years old. S.

The defeat at Dunbar.

The army was indeed one of the best that ever Scotland had brought together: but it was ill commanded: for all that had made defection from their cause, or that were thought indifferent as to either side, which they called detestable neutrality, were put out of commission. The preachers thought it an army of saints, and seemed well assured of success. They drew near Cromwell, who being pressed by them retired towards Dunbar, where his ships and provisions lay. The Scots followed him, and were posted on a hill about a mile from thence, where there was no attacking them. Cromwell was then in great distress, and looked on himself as undone. There was no marching towards Berwick, the ground was too narrow: nor could he come back into the country without being separated from his ships, and starving his army. The least evil seemed to be to kill his horses, and put his army on board, and sail back to Newcastle; which, in the disposition that England was in at that time, would have been all their destruction, for it would have occasioned an universal insurrection for the king. They had not above three days' forage for their horses. So Cromwell called his officers to a day of seeking the Lord, in their style. He loved to talk much of that matter all his life long afterwards: he said, he felt such an enlargement of heart in prayer, and such quiet upon it, that he bade all about him take heart, for God had certainly heard them, and would appear for them. After prayer they walked in the earl of Roxburgh's gardens, that lay under the hill: and by prospective glasses they discerned a great motion in the Scotish camp: upon which Cromwell said, God is delivering them into our hands, they are coming down to us.

Lesley was in the chief command: but he had a committee of the states to give him his orders, among whom Waristoun was one. These were weary of lying in the fields, and thought that Lesley made not haste enough to destroy those sectaries; for so they came to call them. He told them, by lying there all was sure; but that by engaging in action with gallant and desperate men, all might be lost: yet they still called on him to fall on. Many have thought that all this was treachery, done on design to deliver up our army to Cromwell; some 55
laying it upon Lesley, and others upon my uncle. I am persuaded there was no treachery in it: only Waristoun was too hot, and Lesley was too cold, and yielded too easily to their humours, which he ought not to have done. They were all the night employed in coming down the hill: and in the morning, before they were put in order, Cromwell fell upon them [f]. Two regiments stood their ground, and were almost all killed in their ranks: the rest did run in a most shameful manner: so that both their artillery and baggage were lost, and with these a great many prisoners were taken, some thousands in all. Cromwell upon this advanced to Edenburgh, where he was received without any opposition; and the castle, that might have made a long resistance, did capitulate. So all the southern part

[f] (Brodie, in his late *History of the British Empire*, &c. vol. IV. p. 292, maintains that Cromwell's *Dispatch*, in which it is shewn that he had previously resolved to attack the Scotish army, is inconsistent with the account of his observing the Scots coming down from the hills, and his exclaiming, God is delivering them into our hands; and he adds, that this remark of Cromwell's is countenanced by no contemporary relation.)

of Scotland came under contribution to Cromwell. Stirling was the advanced garrison on the king's side. He himself retired to St. Johnstoun. A parliament was called that sat for some time at Stirling, and for some time at St. Johnstoun, in which a full indemnity was passed, not in the language of a pardon, but of an act of approbation: only all that joined with Cromwell were declared traitors. But now the way of raising a new army was to be thought on.

Disputes about the admitting of all persons to serve their country.

A question had been proposed both to the committee of states and to the commissioners of the kirk, whether in this extremity those who had made defection, or had been hitherto too backward in the work, might not upon the profession of their repentance be received into public trust, and admitted to serve in the defence of their country. To this, answers were distinctly given by two resolutions: the one was, that they ought to be admitted to make profession of their repentance: and the other was, that after such professions made they might be received to defend and serve their country.

Upon this, a great division followed in the kirk: those who adhered to these resolutions were called the public resolutioners: but against these some of those bodies protested, and they, together with those who adhered to them, were called the protestors. On the one hand it was said, that every government might call out all that were under its protection to its defence: this seemed founded on the law of nature and of nations: and if men had been misled, it was a strange cruelty to deny room for repentance: this was contrary to the nature of God, and to the gospel, and was a likely mean to drive them to de-

spair: therefore, after two years' time, it seemed reasonable to allow them to serve according to their birthright in parliament, or in other hereditary offices, or in the army; from all which they had been 56
excluded by an act made in the year 1649, which ranged them in different classes, and was from thence called the act of classes. But the protestors objected against all this, that to take in men of known enmity to the cause was a sort of betraying it, because it was the putting it in their power to betray it; that to admit them into a profession of repentance was a profanation, and a mocking of God: it was visible, they were willing to comply with these terms, though against their conscience, only to get into the army: nor could they expect a blessing from God on an army so constituted. And as to this particular, they had great advantage; for this mock penitence was indeed a matter of great scandal. When these resolutions were passed with this protestation, a great many of the five western counties, Cliddisdale, Renfrew, Air, Galloway, and Nithisdale, met, and formed an association apart, both against the army of sectaries, and against this new defection in the kirk party. They drew a remonstrance against all the proceedings in the treaty with the king, when, as they said, it was visible by the commission he granted to Montrose that his heart was not sincere: and they were also against the tendering him the covenant, when they had reason to believe he took it not with a resolution to maintain it, since his whole deportment and private conversation shewed a secret enmity to the work of God: and, after an invidious enumeration of many particulars, they imputed the shameful defeat at

Dunbar to their prevaricating in these things; and concluded with a desire, that the king might be excluded from any share in the administration of the government, and that his cause might be put out of the state of the quarrel with the army of the sectaries. This was brought to the committee of the states at St. Johnstoun, and was severely inveighed against by sir Thomas Nicholson, the king's advocate or attorney general there, who had been till then a zealous man of their party: but he had lately married my sister, and my father had great influence on him. He prevailed so, that the remonstrance was condemned as divisive, factious, and scandalous: but that the people might not be too much moved with these things, a declaration was prepared to be set out by the king for the satisfying of them. In it there were many hard things. The king owned the sin of his father in marrying into an idolatrous family: he acknowledged the bloodshed in the late wars lay at his father's door: he expressed a deep sense of his own ill education, and the prejudices he had drunk in against the cause of God, of which he was now very sensible: he con-
57 fessed all the former parts of his life to have been a course of enmity to the work of God: he repented of his commission to Montrose, and of every thing he had done that gave offence: and with solemn protestations he affirmed, that he was now sincere in his declaration, and that he would adhere to it to the end of his life in Scotland, England, and Ireland.

Great hardships put on the king.

The king was very uneasy when this was brought to him. He said, he could never look his mother in the face if he passed it. But when he was told it was necessary for his affairs, he resolved to swallow

the pill without farther chewing it. So it was published, but had no good effect; for neither side believed him sincere in it. It was thought a strange imposition, to make him load his father's memory in such a manner. But, while the king was thus beset with the high and more moderate kirk parties, the old cavaliers sent to him, offering that if he would cast himself into their hands they would meet him near Dundee with a great body. Upon this the king, growing weary of the sad life he led, made his escape in the night, and came to the place appointed: but it was a vain undertaking; for he was met by a very inconsiderable body at Clova, the place of rendezvous. Those at St. Johnstoun being troubled at this, sent colonel Montgomery after him, who came up, and pressed him to return very rudely: so the king came back. But this had a very good effect. The government saw now the danger of using him ill, which might provoke him to desperate courses: after that, he was used as well as that kingdom, in so ill a state, was capable of. He saw the necessity of courting the marquis of Argile, and therefore made him great offers: at last he talked of marrying his daughter[g]. Lord Argile was cold

g When the king came to Scotland, the marquis of Argile made great professions of duty to him, but said he could not serve him as he desired, unless he gave some undeniable proof of a fixed resolution to support the presbyterian party, which he thought would be best done by marrying into some family of quality, that was known to be entirely attached to that interest; which would in great measure take off the prejudice both kingdoms had to him upon his mother's account, who was extremely odious to all good protestants; and thought his own daughter would be the properest match for him, not without some threats, if he did not accept the offer; which the king told colonel Legge, who was the only person about him that he could trust with the secret. The colonel said it was

and backward: he saw the king's heart lay not to him: so he looked on all offers but as so many snares. His son, the lord Lorn, was captain of the guards: and he made his court more dexterously; for he brought all persons that the king had a mind to speak with at all hours to him, and was in all respects not only faithful but zealous. Yet this was suspected as a collusion between the father and the son. The king was crowned on the first of January: and there he again renewed the covenant: and now all people were admitted to come to him, and to serve in the army. The two armies lay peaceably in their winter quarters. But when the summer came on, a body of the English passed the Frith, and landed in Fife. So the king, having got up all the forces he had expected, resolved on a march into England. Scotland could not maintain another year's war. This was a desperate resolution: but there was nothing else to be done.

58 I will not pursue the relation of the march to Worcester, nor the total defeat given the king's army on the third of September, the same day in

plain the marquis looked upon his majesty to be absolutely in his power, or he durst not have made such a proposal; therefore it would be necessary to gain time, till he could get out of his hands, by telling him, in common decency he could come to no conclusion in an affair of that nature before he had acquainted the queen his mother, who was always known to have a very particular esteem for the marquis and his family, but would never forgive such an omission. But that was an answer far from satisfying the marquis, who suspected colonel Legge had been the adviser, and committed him next day to the castle of Edinburgh, where he continued till the king made his escape from St. Johnstoun, upon which he was released; the marquis finding it necessary to give the king more satisfaction than he had done before that time. D. (This note has been already printed in Rose's *Observations on Fox's Historical work*, sect. V. p. 176.)

which Dunbar fight had been fought the year before. These things are so well known, as is also the king's escape, that I can add nothing to the common relations that have been over and over made of them. At the same time that Cromwell followed the king into England, he left Monk in Scotland, with an army sufficient to reduce the rest of the kingdom. The town of Dundee made a rash and ill considered resistance: it was after a few days' siege taken by storm: much blood was shed, and the town was severely plundered: no other place made any resistance. I remember well of three regiments coming to Aberdeen. There was an order and discipline, and a face of gravity and piety among them, that amazed all people. Most of them were independents and anabaptists: they were all gifted men, and preached as they were moved. But they never disturbed the public assemblies in the churches but once. They came and reproached the preachers for laying things to their charge that were false. I was then present: the debate grew very fierce: at last they drew their swords: but there was no hurt done: yet Cromwell displaced the governor for not punishing this.

Scotland was subdued by Monk.

When the low countries in Scotland were thus reduced, some of the more zealous of the nobility went to the Highlands in the year 1653. The earl of Glencairn, a grave and sober man, [but vain and haughty[h]] got the tribe of the Macdonalds to declare for the king. To these the lord Lorn came with about a thousand men: but the jealousy of the father made the son be suspected. The marquis of Argile had retired into his country when the king marched

A body stood out in the Highlands.

[h] (Bowyer's Transcript of the suppressed passages.)

into England; and did not submit to Monk till the year fifty-two. Then he received a garrison: but lord Lorn surprised a ship that was sent about with provisions to it, which helped to support their little ill-formed army. Many gentlemen came to them: and almost all the good horses of the kingdom were stolen, and carried up to them. They made a body of about 3000: of these they had about 500 horse. They endured great hardships; for those parts were not fit to entertain men that had been accustomed to live softly. The earl of Glencairn had almost spoiled all: for he took much upon him: and upon some suspicion he ordered lord Lorn to be clapt up, who had notice of it, and prevented it by an escape: otherwise they had fallen to cut one another's throats, instead of marching to the enemy. The earl of Belcarras, a virtuous and knowing man, but somewhat morose in his humour, went also among
59 them. They differed in their counsels: lord Glencairn was for falling into the low countries: and he began to fancy he should be another Montrose. Belcarras, on the other hand, was for keeping in their fastnesses: they made a shew of a body for the king, which they were to keep up in some reputation as long as they could, till they could see what assistance the king might be able to procure them from beyond sea, of men, money, and arms: whereas if they went out of those fast grounds, they could not hope to stand before such a veteran and well disciplined army as Monk had; and if they met with the least check, their tumultuary body would soon melt away.

Sir Robert Murray's character.

Among others, one sir Robert Murray, that had married lord Belcarras's sister, came among them:

he had served in France, where he had got into such a degree of favour with cardinal Richlieu, that few strangers were ever so much considered by him as he was. He was raised to be a colonel there, and came over for recruits when the king was with the Scotch army at Newcastle. There he grew into high favour with the king; and laid a design for his escape, of which I have given an account in duke Hamilton's memoirs: he was the most universally beloved and esteemed by men of all sides and sorts, of any man I have ever known in my whole life. He was a pious man, and in the midst of armies and courts he spent many hours a day in devotion, [which was in a most elevating strain.] He had gone through the easy parts of mathematics, and knew the history of nature beyond any man I ever yet knew. He had a genius much like Peiriski, as he is described by Gassendi. He was afterwards the first former of the royal society, and its first president; and while he lived, he was the life and soul of that body. He had an equality of temper in him that nothing could alter; and was in practice the only stoic I ever knew. He had a great tincture of one of their principles; for he was much for absolute decrees. He had a most diffused love to all mankind, and he delighted in every occasion of doing good, which he managed with great discretion and zeal. He had a superiority of genius and comprehension to most men: and had the plainest, but with all the softest, way of reproving, chiefly young people, for their faults, that I ever met with. [And upon this account, as well as upon all the care and affection he expressed to me, I have ever reckoned, that, next to my father, I owed more

to him, than to any other man. Therefore I have enlarged upon his character; and yet I am sure I have rather said too little than too much.] Sir Robert Murray was in such credit in that little army, that lord Glencairn took a strange course to break it, and to ruin him. A letter was pretended to be found at Antwerp, as writ by him to William Murray of the bed-chamber, that had been whipping-boy to king Charles the first, and upon that had grown up to a degree of favour and confidence that was very particular: [and, as many thought, was as ill used, as it was little deserved[i].] He had a lewd creature there, whom he turned off: and she, to be revenged on him, framed this plot against him. This ill forged letter gave an account of a bargain sir Robert had made with Monk for killing the king, which was to be executed by Mr. Murray: so he prayed him in his letter to make haste, and dispatch it. This was brought to the earl of Glencairn: so sir Robert was severely questioned upon it, and put in arrest: and it was spread about through a rude army that he intended to kill the king, hoping, it seems, that some of these wild people, believing it, would have fallen upon him without using any forms. Upon this occasion sir Robert practised in a very eminent manner his true Christian philosophy, without shewing so much as a cloud in his whole behaviour.

The earl of Belcarras left the Highlands, and went to the king; and shewed him the necessity of send-

[i] (See more of this person afterwards at page 244. folio edit. Of course it must be this, and not the other man, of whom the bishop speaks so highly, who had the lewd creature.)

ing a military man to command that body, to whom they would submit more willingly than to any of the nobility. Middletoun was sent over, who was a gallant man, and a good officer: he had first served on the parliament's side: but he turned over to the king, and was taken at Worcester fight, but made his escape out of the Tower. He, upon his coming over, did for some time lay the heats that were among the Highlanders; and made as much of that face of an army for another year as was possible.

Messages sent to the king.

Drumond was sent by him to Paris with an invitation to the king to come among them: for they had assurances sent them, that the whole nation was in a disposition to rise with them: and England was beginning to grow weary of their new government, the army and the parliament being on ill terms. The English were also engaged in a war with the states: and the Dutch upon that account might be inclined to assist the king to give a diversion to their enemies forces. Drumond told me, that upon his coming to Paris he was called to the little council that was then about the king: and when he had delivered his message, chancellor Hide asked him how the king would be accommodated, if he came among them? He answered, not so well as was fitting, but they would all take care of him to furnish him with every thing that was necessary. He wondered that the king did not check the chancellor in his demand: for he said, it looked strange to him, that when they were hazarding their lives to help him to a crown, he should be concerned for accommodation. He was sent back with good words and a few kind letters. In the end of the year 1654 Morgan marched into the Highlands, and had a

small engagement with Middletoun, which broke that whole matter, of which all people were grown
61 weary; for they had no prospect of success, and the low countries were so overrun with robberies on the pretence of going to assist the Highlanders, that there was an universal joy at the dispersing of that little unruly army.

The state of Scotland during the usurpation.

After this the country was kept in great order: some castles in the Highlands had garrisons put in them, that were so careful in their discipline, and so exact to their rules, that in no time the Highlands were kept in better order than during the usurpation. There was a considerable force of about seven or eight thousand men kept in Scotland: these were paid exactly, and strictly disciplined. The pay of the army brought so much money into the kingdom, that it continued all that while in a very flourishing state. Cromwell built three citadels, at Leith, Air, and Inverness, besides many little forts. There was good justice done, and vice was suppressed and punished; so that we always reckon those eight years of usurpation a time of great peace and prosperity[k]. There

[k] No doubt you do. S. (Principal Baillie in his Letters gives the following account of the condition of Scotland in the year 1654. "As "for our state, this is its case. "Our nobility are well near "all wracked. Dukes Hamil- "ton, the one executed, the "other slain; their estate for- "feited; one part of it gifted "to English soldiers; the rest "will not pay the debt; little "left to the heretrix; almost "the whole name undone with "debt. Huntly executed; his "sons all dead but the young- "est: there is more debt on "the house than the land can "pay. Lennox is living as a "man buried in his house of "Cobham. Douglas and his "son Angus are quiet men, of "no respect. Argyle, almost "drowned in debt, in friend- "ship with the English, but in "hatred with the country. He "courts the remonstrants, who "were and are averse from "him. Chancellor Loudon

was also a sort of union of the three kingdoms in one parliament, where Scotland had its representative. The marquis of Argile went up one of our commissioners.

Disputes among the covenanters.

The next scene I must open relates to the church, and the heats raised in it by the public resolutions, and the protestation made against them. New occasions of dispute arose. A general assembly was in course to meet; and sat at St. Andrew's: so the commission of the kirk wrote a circular letter to all the presbyteries, setting forth all the grounds of their resolutions, and complaining of those who had protested against them; upon which they desired

" lives like an outlaw about " Athol; his lands comprised " for debt, under a general " very great disgrace. Maris- " chal, Rothes, Eglinton and " his three sons, Crawford, " Lauderdale, and others, pri- " soners in England; and their " lands all either sequestrated " or forfaulted, and gifted to " English soldiers. Balmerino " suddenly dead, and his son, " for publick debt, compris- " ings, and captions, keeps not " the causey. Wariston, hav- " ing refunded much of what " he got for places, lives pri- " vily in a hard enough con- " dition, much hated by the " most, and neglected by all, " except the remonstrants, to " whom he is guide. Our cri- " minal judicatories are all in " the hands of the English; " our civil courts also; only " some of the remonstrants are " adjoined with them." Let. 194, vol. II. p. 376. Again, in a letter dated November 1658, two months after the death of Cromwell, the principal writes thus: " The country lies very " quiet; it is exceeding poor; " trade is nought; the English " have all the money. Our " noble families are almost " gone: Lennox has little in " Scotland unsold; Hamilton's " estate, except Arran and the " barony of Hamilton, is sold; " Argyle can pay little annual " rent for 700,000 or 800,000 " merks; and he is no more " drowned in debt than in pub- " lick hatred, almost of all, " both Scots and English; the " Gordons are gone; the Dou- " glasses are little better; Eg- " linton and Glencairn on the " brink of breaking; many of " our chief families estates are " cracking, nor is there any " appearance of any human " relief for the time." Vol. II. Let. 198. p. 425.

that they would choose none of those who adhered to the protestation to represent them in the next assembly. This was only an advice, and had been frequently practised in the former years: but now it was highly complained of, as a limitation on the freedom of elections, which inferred a nullity on all their proceedings: so the protestors renewed their protestation against the meeting upon a higher point, disowning that authority which hitherto they had magnified as the highest tribunal in the church, in which they thought Christ was in his throne. Upon this a great debate followed, and many books were written in a course of several years. The public men said, this was the destroying of presbytery, if the lesser number did not submit to the greater: it was a sort of prelacy, if it was pretended that votes ought rather to be weighed than counted: parity was the essence of their constitution: and in this all people saw they had clearly the better of the argument. The protestors urged for themselves, that, since all protestants rejected the pretence of infallibility, the major part of the church might fall
62 into errors, in which case the lesser number could not be bound to submit to them: they complained of the many corrupt clergymen who were yet among them, who were leavened with the old leaven, and did on all occasions shew what was still at heart, notwithstanding all their outward compliance: (for the episcopal clergy, that had gone into the covenant and presbytery to hold their livings, struck in with great heat to inflame the controversy: and it appeared very visibly, that presbytery, if not held in order by the civil power, could not be long kept in quiet:) if in the supreme court of judicature the

majority did not conclude the matter, it was not possible to keep up their beloved parity: it was confessed that in doctrinal points the lesser number was not bound to submit to the greater: but in the matters of mere government it was impossible to maintain the presbyterian form on any other bottom.

As this debate grew hot, and they were ready to break out into censures on both sides, some were sent down from the commonwealth of England to settle Scotland: of these sir Henry Vane was one. The resolutioners were known to have been more in the king's interest: so they were not so kindly looked on as the protestors. Some of the English juncto moved, that pains should be taken to unite the two parties. But Vane opposed this with much zeal: he said, would they heal the wound that they had given themselves, which weakened them so much? The setting them at quiet could have no other effect, but to heal and unite them in their opposition to their authority: he therefore moved, that they might be left at liberty to fight out their own quarrels, and be kept in a greater dependence on the temporal authority, when both sides were forced to make their appeal to it: so it was resolved to suffer them to meet still in their presbyteries and synods, but not in general assemblies, which had a greater face of union and authority.

This advice was followed: so the division went on. Both sides studied, when any church became vacant, to get a man of their own party to be chosen to succeed in the election: and upon these occasions many tumults happened: in some of them stones were thrown, and many were wounded, to the great

scandal of religion. In all these disputes the protestors were the fiercer side: for being less in number, they studied to make that up with their fury. In one point they had the other at a great advantage, with relation to their new masters, who required them to give over praying for the king. The protestors were weary of doing it, and submitted very readily: but the others stood out longer; and
63 said, it was a duty lying on them by the covenant, so they could not let it fall. Upon that the English council set out an order, that such as should continue to pray for the king should be denied the help of law to recover their tithes, or, as they called them, their stipends. This touched them in a sensible point: but, that they might not seem to act upon the civil authority, they did enact it in their presbyteries, that since all duties did not oblige at all times, therefore considering the present juncture, in which the king could not protect them, they resolved to discontinue that piece of duty. This exposed them to much censure, since such a carnal consideration as the force of law for their benefices, (which all regard but too much, though few will own it,) seemed to be that which determined them.

Methods taken on both sides.

This great breach among them being rather encouraged than suppressed by those who were in power, all the methods imaginable were used by the protestors to raise their credit among the people. They preached often, and very long: and seemed to carry their devotions to a greater sublimity than others did. Their constant topic was, the sad defection and corruption of the judicatories of the church, and they often proposed several expedients for purging it. The truth was, they were more active,

and their performances were livelier, than (those of) the public men. They were in nothing more singular than in their communions. In many places the sacrament was discontinued for several years; where they thought the magistracy, or the more eminent of the parish, were engaged in what they called the defection, which was much more looked at than scandal given by bad lives. But where the greatest part was more sound, they gave the sacrament with a new and unusual solemnity. On the Wednesday before they held a fast day, with prayers and sermons for about eight or ten hours together: on the Saturday they had two or three preparation sermons: and on the Lord's day they had so very many, that the action continued above twelve hours in some places: and all ended with three or four sermons on Monday for thanksgiving. A great many ministers were brought together from several parts: and high pretenders would have gone forty or fifty miles to a noted communion. The crowds were far beyond the capacity of their churches, or the reach of their voices[m], so at the same time they had sermons in two or three different places: and all was performed with great shew of zeal. They

[m] And the preaching beyond the capacities of the crowd. I believe the church had as much capacity as the minister. S. (Baillie, before cited, a zealous covenanter, but who was at this time of the more moderate side amongst these people, writes in these terms in Letter 194. vol. II. p. 373. "The moderator's sermon ran on the "necessity of taking up the "too long neglected work of "purging. The man's vehemency in this, and in his "prayer, a strange kind of "sighing, the like whereof I had "never heard, as a pythonising "out of the belly of a second "person, made me amazed." Of the sermon also preached by an English independant on the spiritual life Baillie's judgement accorded with Swift's, that the preacher ran out above all their understandings.)

had stories of many sequal (signal) conversions that were wrought on these occasions; [whereas others were better believed, who told as many stories of much lewdness among the multitudes that did then run together.]

It is scarce credible what an effect this had among the people, to how great a measure of knowledge
64 they were brought, and how readily they could pray *extempore*, and talk of divine matters. All this tended to raise the credit of the protestors. The resolutioners tried to imitate them in these practices: but they were not thought so spiritual, nor so ready at them: so the others had the chief following. Where the judicatories of the church were near an equality of the men of both sides, there were perpetual janglings among them: at last they proceeded to deprive men of both sides, as they were the majority in the judicatories: but because the possession of the church, and the benefice, was to depend on the orders of the temporal courts, both sides made their application to the privy council that Cromwell had set up in Scotland: and they were by them referred to Cromwell himself. So they sent deputies up to London. The protestors went in great numbers: they came nearer both to the principles and to the temper that prevailed in the army: so they were looked on as the better men, on whom, by reason of the first rise of the difference, the government might more certainly depend: whereas the others were considered as more in the king's interests.

The resolutioners sent up one Sharp[n], who had

[n] Afterwards archbishop, and murdered. S.

been long in England, and was an active and eager man: he had a very small proportion of learning, and was but an indifferent preacher: but having some acquaintance with the presbyterian ministers at London, whom Cromwell was then courting much, by reason of their credit in the city, he was, by an error that proved fatal to the whole party, sent up in their name to London; where he continued for some years soliciting their concerns, and making himself known to all sorts of people. He seemed more than ordinary zealous for presbytery. And, as Cromwell was then designing to make himself king, Dr. Wilkins told me he often said to him, no temporal government could have a sure support without a national church that adhered to it, and he thought England was capable of no constitution but episcopacy; to which, he told me, he did not doubt but Cromwell would have turned, as soon as the design of his kingship was settled. Upon this, Wilkins spoke to Sharp, that it was plain by their breach that presbytery could not be managed so as to maintain order among them, and that an episcopacy must be brought in to settle them: but Sharp could not bear the discourse, and rejected it with horror. I have dwelt longer on this matter, and opened it more fully, than was necessary, if I had not thought that this may have a good effect on the reader, and shew him how impossible it is in a parity to maintain peace and order, if the magistrate does not interpose: and if he does, that will be 65
cried out upon by the zealots of both sides, as abominable Erastianism.

From these matters I go next to set down some particulars that I knew concerning Cromwell, that I Some of Cromwell's maxims.

have not yet seen in books. Some of these I had from the earls of Carlisle and Orrery: the one had been the captain of his guards: and the other had been the president of his council in Scotland. But he from whom I learned the most was Stouppe, a Grison by birth, then minister of the French church in the Savoy[n], and afterwards a brigadier general in the French armies: a man of intrigue, but of no virtue: [but he was more a frantic deist, than either protestant or Christian.] He adhered to the protestant religion, as to outward appearance: he was much trusted by Cromwell in foreign affairs; in which Cromwell was oft at a loss, and having no foreign language, but the little Latin that stuck to him from his education, which he spoke very viciously and scantily, had not the necessary means of informing himself.

When Cromwell first assumed the government, he had three great parties of the nation all against him, the episcopal, the presbyterian, and the republican party. The last was the most set on his ruin, looking on him as the person that had perfidiously broke the house of commons, and was setting up for himself. He had none to rely on but the army: yet that enthusiastic temper, that he had taken so much pains to raise among them, made them very intractable: many of the chief officers were broken,

[n] Stoupe was never minister of the French church in the Savoy, but of St. Martin in the city. Besides, it is impossible that he should be minister in the Savoy, because the French church there was not open till July 1661. See Collier's Supplement, voce Durell. *Bowyer's* "*MS. note.* The French, Italian, "and Dutch ministers came to "make their addresses to his "majesty (Charles II. in 1660), "one monsieur Stoupe pro- "nouncing the harangue with "great eloquence." Evelyn's *Memoirs*, vol. I. p. 311.

and imprisoned by him: and he flattered the rest the best he could. He went on in his old way of long and dark discourses, sermons, and prayers. As to the cavalier party, he was afraid both of assassination and other plottings from them. As to the former of these, he took a method that proved very effectual: he said often and openly, that in a war it was necessary to return upon any side all the violent things that any of the one side did to the other. This was done for preventing greater mischief, and for bringing men to fair war: therefore, he said, assassinations were such detestable things, that he would never begin them: but if any of the king's party should endeavour to assassinate him, and fail in it, he would make an assassinating war of it, and destroy the whole family: and he pretended he had instruments to execute it, whensoever he should give order for it. The terror of this was a better security to him than his guards.

The other, as to their plottings, was the more dangerous. But he understood that one sir Richard Willis was chancellor Hide's chief confidant, to whom he wrote often, and to whom all the party submitted, looking on him as an able and wise man, in whom they confided absolutely. So he found a 66
way to talk with him: he said, he did not intend to hurt any of the party: his design was rather to save them from ruin: they were apt, after their cups, to run into foolish and ill concerted plots, which signified nothing but to ruin those who engaged in them: he knew they consulted him in every thing: all he desired of him was to know all their plots, that he might so disconcert them, that none might ever suffer for them: if he clapt any of

them up in prison, it should only be for a little time: and they should be interrogated only about some trifling discourse, but never about the business they had been engaged in. He offered Willis whatever he would accept of, and to give it when or as he pleased. He durst not ask or take above two hundred pounds a year. None was trusted with this but his secretary Thurlo, who was a very dexterous man at getting intelligence.

Thus Cromwell had all the king's party in a net. He let them dance in it at pleasure: and upon occasions clapt[o] them up for a short while: but nothing was ever discovered that hurt any of them. In conclusion, after Cromwell's death, Willis continued to give notice of every thing to Thurlo. At last, when the plot was laid among the cavaliers for a general insurrection, the king was desired to come over to that which was to be raised in Sussex: he was to have landed near Chichester, all by Willis's management: and a snare was laid for him, in which he would probably have been caught, if Morland, Thurlo's under secretary, who was a prying man, had not discovered the correspondence between his master and Willis, and warned the king of his danger. Yet it was not easy to persuade those who had trusted Willis so much, and who thought him faithful in all respects, to believe that he could be guilty of so black a treachery: so Morland's advertisement was looked on as an artifice to create jealousy. But he, to give a full conviction, observed where the secretary laid some letters of advice, on which he saw he relied most, and getting

[o] Pox of his claps. S.

the key of that cabinet in his hand to seal a letter with a seal that hung to it, he took the impression of it in wax, and got a key to be made from it, by which he opened the cabinet, and sent over some of the most important of those letters. The hand was known, and this artful but black treachery was discovered: so the design of the rising was laid aside. Sir George Booth having engaged at the same time to raise a body in Cheshire, two several messengers were sent to him, to let him know the design could not be executed at the time appointed: but both these persons were suspected by some garrisons through which they must pass, as giving no good 67
account of themselves in a time of jealousy, and were so long stopt, that they could not give him notice in time: so he very gallantly performed his part: but not being seconded, he was soon crushed by Lambert [p]. Thus Willis lost the merit of great and long services. This was one of Cromwell's masterpieces.

As for the presbyterians, they were so apprehensive of the fury of the commonwealth party, that they thought it a deliverance to be rescued out of their hands: many of the republicans begun to profess deism: and almost all of them were for destroying all clergymen, and for breaking every thing that looked like the union of a national church. They were for pulling down the churches, for discharging the tithes, and for leaving religion free, as they called it, without either encouragement or restraint. Cromwell assured the presbyterians, he would maintain a public ministry with all due encouragement;

[p] See Echard's History of England, p. 729. O.

and he joined them in a commission with some independents, to be the triers of all those who were to be admitted to benefices. These disposed also of all the churches that were in the gift of the crown, of the bishops, and of the cathedral churches: so this softened them.

He studied to divide the commonwealth party among themselves, and to set the fifth-monarchy men and the enthusiasts against those who pretended to little or no religion, and acted only upon the principles of civil liberty; such as Algernoon Sidney, Henry Nevill, Martin, Wildman, and Harrington. The fifth-monarchy men seemed to be really on expectation every day when Christ should appear: John Goodwin headed these, who first brought in Arminianism among the sectaries, for he was for liberty of all sorts. Cromwell hated that doctrine: for his beloved notion was, that once a child of God was always a child of God: now he had led a very strict life for above eight years together before the war[r]: so he comforted himself much with his reflections on that time, and on the certainty of perseverance. But none of the preachers were so thoroughpaced for him, as to temporal matters, as Goodwin was; for he not only justified the putting the king to death, but magnified it as the gloriousest action men were capable of. He filled all people with such expectation of a glorious thousand years speedily to begin, that it looked like a madness possessing them.

[r] Archbishop Tillotson, who had married his niece, used to say, "that at last Cromwell's "enthusiasm had got the better "of his hypocrisy, and that he "believed himself to be the in- "strument of God, in the great "actions of his power, for the "reformation of the world." O.

His design for the kingship.

It was no easy thing for Cromwell to satisfy those, when he took the power into his own hands; since that looked like a step to kingship, which Goodwin had long represented as the great Antichrist, that hindered Christ's being set on his throne. To these he said, and as some have told me, with many tears, that he would rather have taken a shepherd's staff than the protectorship, since nothing was more contrary to his genius than a shew of greatness: but he saw it was necessary at that time to keep the nation from falling into extreme disorder, and from becoming open to the common enemy: and therefore he only stept in between the living and the dead, as he phrased it, in that interval, till God should direct them on what bottom they ought to settle: and he assured them, that then he would surrender the heavy load lying upon him, with a joy equal to the sorrow with which he was affected while under that shew of dignity. To men of this stamp he would enter into the terms of their old equality, shutting the door, and making them sit down covered by him, to let them see how little he valued those distances that, for form's sake, he was bound to keep up with others. These discourses commonly ended in a long prayer. Thus with much ado he managed the republican enthusiasts. The other republicans he called the heathens, and professed he could not so easily work upon them. He had some chaplains of all sorts: and he begun in his latter years to be gentler towards those of the church of England. They had their meetings in several places about London without any disturbance from him. In conclusion, even the papists courted him: and he, with great dissi-

mulation, carried things with all sorts of people farther than was thought possible, considering the difficulties he met with in all his parliaments: but it was generally believed, that his life and all his arts were exhausted at once, and that if he had lived much longer, he could not have held things together.

The debates came on very high for setting up a king. All the lawyers, chiefly Glyn, Maynard, Fountain, and St. Johns, were vehemently for this. They said, no new government could be settled legally but by a king, who should pass bills for such a form as should be agreed on. Till then, all they did was like building upon sand: still men were in danger of a revolution: and in that case, all that had been done would be void of itself, as contrary to a law yet in being, and not repealed. Till that was done, every man that had been concerned in the war, and in the blood that was shed, chiefly the king's, was still obnoxious: and no warrants could be pleaded, but what were founded on, or approved of by, a law passed by king, lords, and commons. They might agree to trust this king as much as they pleased, and to make his power determine as soon as they pleased, so that he should be a *felo de se*, and consent to an act, if need were, of extinguishing both name and thing for ever. And as no man's person
69 was safe till that was done, so they said all the grants and sales that had been made were null and void: all men that had gathered or disposed of the public money were for ever accountable. In short, this point was made out beyond the possibility of answering it, except upon enthusiastic principles. But by that sort of men all this was called a mis-

trusting of God, and a trusting to the arm of flesh: they had gone out, as they said, in the simplicity of their hearts to fight the Lord's battles, to whom they had made the appeal: he had heard them, and appeared for them, and now they could trust him no longer: they had pulled down monarchy with the monarch, and would they now build that up which they had destroyed: they had solemnly vowed to God to be true to the commonwealth, without a king or kingship: and under that vow, as under a banner, they had fought and prevailed: but now they must be secure, and in order to that go back to Egypt: they thought, it was rather a happiness that they were still under a legal danger: this might be a mean to make them more cautious and diligent: if kings were invaders of God's right, and usurpers upon men's liberties, why must they have recourse to such a wicked engine? Upon these grounds they stood out: and they looked on all that was offered about the limiting this king in his power, as the gilding the pill: the assertors of those laws, that made it necessary to have a king, would no sooner have one, than they would bring forth out of the same storehouse all that related to the power and prerogative of this king: therefore they would not hearken to any thing that was offered on that head, but rejected it with scorn. Many of them began openly to say, if we must have a king, in consequence of so much law as was alleged, why should we not rather have that king to whom the law certainly pointed than any other? The earl of Orrery told me, that, coming one day to Cromwell, during those heats, and telling him he had been in the city

all that day, Cromwell asked him what news he had heard there: the other answered, that he was told he was in treaty with the king, who was to be restored, and to marry his daughter. Cromwell expressing no indignation at this, lord Orrery said, in the state to which things were brought, he saw not a better expedient: they might bring him in on what terms they pleased: and Cromwell might retain the same authority he then had, with less trouble. Cromwell answered, the king can never forgive his father's blood. Orrery said, he was one of many that were concerned in that, but he would be alone in the merit of restoring him. Cromwell replied, he is so damnably debauched, he would undo us all; and so turned to another discourse without any emotion, which made Orrery conclude he had often thought of that expedient.

Before the day in which he refused the offer of the kingship that was made to him by the parliament, he had kept himself on such a reserve, that no man knew what answer he would give. It was thought more likely he would accept of it: but that which determined him to the contrary was, that, when he went down in the morning to walk in St. James's park, Fleetwood and Desborough were waiting for him: the one had married his daughter, and

[r] (The learned Dr. T. Smith's detailed account of an interview between Cromwell and the marquis of Hertford is very similar to this. When solicited by the former to give him his advice, the marquis urged the restoration of the king; and the measure was declined by Cromwell, apparently through fear, under his circumstances, of trusting any one. The relation is printed by Hearne in his *Appendix to the Chronicon de Dunstable*, p. 812.)

the other his sister. With these he entered into much discourse on the subject, and argued for it: he said, it was a tempting of God to expose so many worthy men to death and poverty, when there was a certain way to secure them. The others insisted still on the oaths they had taken. He said, these oaths were against the power and tyranny of kings, but not against the four letters that made the word *king*. In conclusion, they, believing from his discourse that he intended to accept of it, told him, they saw great confusions would follow on it: and as they could not serve him to set up the idol they had put down, and had sworn to keep down, so they would not engage in any thing against him, but would retire and look on. So they offered him their commissions, since they were resolved not to serve a king: he desired they would stay till they heard his answer. It was believed, that he, seeing two persons so near him ready to abandon him, concluded that many others would follow their example; and therefore thought it was too bold a venture. So he refused it, but accepted of the continuance of his protectorship. Yet, if he had lived out the next winter, as the debates were to have been brought on again, so it was generally thought he would have accepted of the offer. And it is yet a question what the effect of that would have been. Some have thought it would have brought on a general settlement, since the law and the ancient government were again to take place: others have fancied just the contrary, that it would have engaged (enraged) the army, so that they would either have deserted the service, or have revolted from him, and perhaps have killed him in the first fray of the tu-

mult[s]. I will not determine which of these would have most probably happened. In these debates some of the cavalier party, or rather their children, came to bear some share. They were then all zealous commonwealth's men, according to the directions sent them from those about the king. Their business was to oppose Cromwell on all his demands, and so to weaken him at home, and expose him abroad. When some of the other party took notice
71 of this great change, from being the abettors of prerogative to become the patrons of liberty, they pretended their education in the court and their obligation to it had engaged them that way; but now since that was out of doors, they had the common principles of human nature and the love of liberty in them. By this mean, as the old republicans assisted and protected them, so [they secured themselves,] at the same time they strengthened the faction against Cromwell. But these very men at the restoration shook off this disguise, and reverted to their old principles for a high prerogative and absolute power. They said they were for liberty, when it was a mean to distress one who they thought had no right to govern; but when the government returned to its old channel, they were still as firm to all prerogative notions, and as great enemies to liberty, as ever[t].

[s] It has been said, that Pride told him, if he took the crown, he would (if nobody else would) shoot him through the head, the first opportunity he had for it. O.

[t] I suppose he means the liberty of plundering, which the other party ever were, and always will be, much inclined to, as acting altogether upon a principle of self-interest; which is the true reason why they constantly set themselves in opposition to the established religion, it being a thing apt to interfere with their pickpocket designs. But the establishment

I go next to give an account of Cromwell's transactions with relation to foreign affairs. He laid it down for a maxim, to spare no cost or charge in order to procure him intelligence. When he understood what dealers the Jews were every where in that trade that depends on news, the advancing money upon high or low interests in proportion to the risk they run, or the gain to be made as the times might turn, and in the buying and selling of the actions of money so advanced, he, more upon that account than in compliance with the principle of toleration, brought a company of them over to England, and gave them leave to build a synagogue. All the while that he was negotiating this, they were sure and good spies for him, especially with relation to Spain and Portugal. The earl of Orrery told me, he was once walking with him in one of the galleries of Whitehall, and a man almost in rags came in view: he presently dismissed lord Orrery, and carried that man into his closet; who brought him an account of a great sum of money that the Spaniards were sending over to pay their army in Flanders, but in a Dutch man of war: and he told him the places of the ship in which the money was lodged. Cromwell sent an express immediately to Smith, afterwards sir Jeremy Smith, who lay in the Downs, telling him that within a day or two such a Dutch ship would pass the channel, whom he must visit for

Cromwell's engagement with France.

upon the restoration in 1660, and the revolution in 1688, sufficiently prove that the people he would asperse, and their children after them, were no friends to arbitrary government, but enemies to what the bishop and his friends have ever had most at heart, and which they have never failed to put in practice, whenever they have had an opportunity; which licentiousness they are pleased to call liberty. D.

the Spanish money, which was conterband goods, we being then in war with Spain. So when the ship passed by Dover, Smith sent, and demanded leave to search him. The Dutch captain answered, none but his masters might search him. Smith sent him word, he had set up an hour glass, and if before that was run out he did not submit to the search, he would force it. The captain saw it was in vain to struggle, and so all the money was found. Next time that Cromwell saw Orrery, he told him he had
72 his intelligence from that contemptible man he saw him go to some days before [u]. He had on all occasions very good intelligence: he knew every thing that passed in the king's little court: and yet none of his spies were discovered, but one only.

The greatest difficulty on him in his foreign affairs was, what side to choose, France or Spain. The prince of Conde was then in the Netherlands with a great many protestants about him. He set the Spaniards on making great steps towards the gaining Cromwell into their interests. Spain ordered their ambassador to compliment him: he was esteemed one of their ablest men [x]: his name was Don Alonzo de Cardenas: he offered, that if Cromwell

[u] (Tovey in his *Anglia Judaica*, p. 276, professing to rescue the Jews from the aspersion of having been spies to Cromwell, says, "Possibly lord "Orrery did tell the bishop "some such story of *a contemptible man in rags*, who "gave Cromwell intelligence "of some conterband goods "that were privately carrying "to Flanders in a Dutch ship. "But who told him that this "contemptible ragged man "was a Jew?" And at p. 279 the assertion is controverted, that Cromwell brought a number of Jews into England, and gave them leave to build a synagogue, as it appears from their national registers that the whole number of Jews in London did not, even so late as the year 1663, exceed twelve.)

[x] My lord Clarendon represents him as a man of mean abilities. *Cole.*

would join with them, they would engage themselves to make no peace till he should recover Calais again to England. This was very agreeable to Cromwell, who thought it would recommend him much to the nation, if he could restore that town again to the English empire, after it had been a hundred years in the hands of the French. Mazarin hearing of this, sent one over to negotiate with him, but at first without a character: and, to outbid the Spaniard, he offered to assist Cromwell to take Dunkirk, which was a place of much more importance. The prince of Conde sent over likewise to offer Cromwell to turn protestant: and, if he would give him a fleet with good troops, he would make a descent in Guienne, where he did not doubt but that he should be assisted by the protestants; and that he should so distress France, as to obtain such conditions for them and for England, as Cromwell himself should dictate. Upon this offer Cromwell sent Stoupe round all France, to talk with their most eminent men, to see into their strength, into their present disposition, the oppressions they lay under, and their inclinations to trust the prince of Conde. He went from Paris down the Loire, then to Bourdeaux, from thence to Montauban, and cross the south of France to Lions: he was instructed to talk to them only as a traveller, and to assure them of Cromwell's zeal and care for them, which he magnified every where. The protestants were then very much at their ease: for Mazarin, who thought of nothing but to enrich his family, took care to maintain the edicts better than they had been in any time formerly. So Stoupe returned, and gave Cromwell an account of the ease they were then in, and

of their resolution to be quiet. They had a very bad opinion of the prince of Conde, [as an impious and immoral man,] as a man who sought nothing but his own greatness, to which they believed that he was ready to sacrifice all his friends, and every cause that he espoused. This settled Cromwell as to that particular. He also found that the cardinal
73 had such spies on that prince, that he knew every message that had passed between them: therefore he would have no farther correspondence with him: he said upon that to Stoupe, *Stultus est, et garrulus, et venditur a suis cardinali.* That which determined him afterwards in the choice was this: he found the parties grew so strong against him at home, that he saw if the king or his brother were assisted by France with an army of Huguenots to make a descent in England, which was threatened if he should join with Spain, this might prove very dangerous to him, who had so many enemies at home, and so few friends. This particular consideration, with relation to himself, made great impression on him; for he knew the Spaniards could give those princes no strength, nor had they any protestant subjects to assist them in any such design[y]. Upon this occasion king James told me, that among other prejudices he had at the protestant religion this was one, that both his brother and himself, being in many companies in Paris *incognito*, where they met many protestants, he found they were all alienated from them, and were great admirers of Cromwell: so he believed they were all rebels in their heart.

[y] (The bishop seems to have been of opinion, that Cromwell preferred his own to the public interest; which required the preservation of the balance between Spain and France.)

I answered, that foreigners were no other way concerned in the quarrels of their neighbours, than to see who could or would assist them: the coldness they had seen formerly in the court of England with relation to them, and the zeal which was then expressed, must naturally make them depend on one that seemed resolved to protect them. As the negotiation went on between France and England, Cromwell would have the king and his brother dismissed the kingdom. Mazarin consented to this; for he thought it more honourable, that the French king should send them away of his own accord, than that it should be done pursuant to an article with Cromwell. Great excuses were made for doing it: they had some money given them, and were sent away loaded with promises of constant supplies that were never meant to be performed: and they retired to Colen; for the Spaniards were not yet out of hope of gaining Cromwell. But when that vanished, they invited them to Bruxells, and they settled great appointments on them; in their way, which was always to promise much, how little soever they could perform. They also settled a pay for such of the subjects of the three kingdoms as would come and serve under our princes: but few came, except from Ireland: of these some regiments were formed. But though this gave them a great and lasting interest in our court, especially in king James's, yet they did not much to deserve it.

The king turned papist.

Before king Charles left Paris he changed his religion, but by whose persuasion is not yet known: only cardinal de Retz was on the secret, and lord Aubigny had a great hand in it. It was kept a great secret. Chancellor Hide had some suspicion 74

of it, but would never suffer himself to believe it quite[z]. Soon after the restoration, that cardinal came over in disguise, and had an audience of the king: what passed is not known. The first ground I had to believe it was this: the marquis de Roucy, who was the man of the greatest family in France that continued protestant to the last, was much pressed by that cardinal to change his religion: he was his kinsman, and his particular friend. Among other reasons one that he urged was, that the protestant religion must certainly be ruined, and that they could expect no protection from England, for to his certain knowledge both the princes were already changed. Roucy told this in great confidence to his minister, who after his death sent an advertisement of it to my self. Sir Allen Broderick, a great confident of the chancellor's, who, from being very atheistical, became in the last years of his life an eminent penitent, as he was a man of great parts, with whom I had lived long in great confidence, on his deathbed sent me likewise an account of this matter, which he believed was done in Fontainebleau, before king Charles was sent to Colen. As for king James, it seems he was not reconciled at that time: for he told me, that being in a monastery in Flanders, a nun desired him to pray every day, that if he was not in the right way, God would bring him into it: and he said, the impression these words made on him never left him till he changed.

To return to Cromwell: while he was balancing in his mind what was fit for him to do, Gage, who had been a priest, came over from the West Indies,

[z] See his vindication in the State Trials, vol. viii. p. 386. O.

and gave him such an account of the feebleness, as well as of the wealth of the Spaniards in those parts, as made him conclude that it would be both a great and an easy conquest to seize on their dominions. By this he reckoned he would be supplied with such a treasure, that his government would be established before he should need to have any recourse to a parliament for money. Spain would never admit of a peace with England between the tropics: so he was in a state of war with them as to those parts, even before he declared war in Europe. He upon that equipped a fleet with a force sufficient, as he hoped, to have seized Hispaniola and Cuba. And Gage had assured him, that success in that expedition would make all the rest fall into his hands. Stoupe, being on another occasion called to his closet, saw him one day very intent in looking on a map, and in measuring distances. Stoupe saw it was a map of the bay of Mexico, and observed who printed it. So, there being no discourse upon that subject, 75 Stoupe went next day to the printer to buy the map. The printer denied he had printed it. Stoupe affirmed he had seen it. Then, he said, it must be only in Cromwell's hand; for he only had some of the prints, and had given him a strict charge to sell none, till he had leave given him. So Stoupe perceived there was a design that way. And when the time of setting out the fleet came on, all were in a gaze whither it was to go: some fancied it was to rob the church of Loretto, which did occasion a fortification to be drawn round it: others talked of Rome itself; for Cromwell's preachers had this often in their mouths, that if it were not for the divisions at home, he would go and sack Babylon: others

Cromwell's design on the West Indies.

talked of Cadiz, though he had not yet broke with the Spaniards. The French could not penetrate into the secret. Cromwell had not finished his alliance with them: so he was not bound to give them an account of the expedition. All he said upon it was, that he sent out the fleet to guard the seas, and to restore England to its dominion on that element. Stoupe happened to say in a company, he believed the design was on the West Indies. The Spanish ambassador, hearing that, sent for him very privately, to ask him upon what ground he said it: and he offered to lay down 10,000*l.* if he could make any discovery of that. Stoupe owned to me he had a great mind to the money; and fancied he betrayed nothing, if he did discover the grounds of these conjectures, since nothing had been trusted to him: but he expected greater matters from Cromwell, and so kept the secret; and said only, that in a diversity of conjectures, that seemed to him more probable than any others. But the ambassador made no account of that; nor did he think it worth the writing to Don John, then at Bruxells, about it.

Stoupe writ it over as his conjecture to one about the prince of Conde, who at first hearing it was persuaded that must be the design, and went next day to suggest it to Don John: but Don John relied so much on the ambassador, that this made no impression. And indeed all the ministers whom he employed knew that they were not to disturb him with troublesome news: of which king Charles told a pleasant story. One whom Don John was sending to some court in Germany, coming to the king to ask his commands, he desired him only to write him news: the Spaniard asked him, whether he

would have true or false news: and, when the king seemed amazed at the question, he added, if he writ him true news the king must be secret, for he knew he must write news to Don John that would be acceptable, true or false: when the ministers of that court shewed that they would be served in such a 76
manner, it is no wonder to see how their affairs have declined. This matter of the fleet continued a great secret. And some months after that, Stoupe being accidentally with Cromwell, one came from the fleet through Ireland with a letter. The bearer looked like one that brought no welcome news. And as soon as Cromwell had read the letter, he dismissed Stoupe, who went immediately to the earl of Leicester, then lord Lisle, and told him what he had seen. He being of Cromwell's council went to Whitehall, and came back, and told Stoupe of the descent made on Hispaniola, and of the misfortune that had happened. It was then late, and was the post night for Flanders. So Stoupe writ it as news to his correspondent, some days before the Spanish ambassador knew any thing of it. Don John was amazed at the news, and had never any regard for the ambassador after that; but had a great opinion of Stoupe, and ordered the ambassador to make him theirs at any rate. The ambassador sent for him, and asked him, now that it appeared he had guessed right, what were his grounds: and when he told what they were, the ambassador owned he had reason to conclude as he did upon what he saw. And upon that he made great use of Stoupe: but he himself was never esteemed after that so much as he had been. This deserved to be set down so particularly, since by it, it appears that the greatest de-

sign may be discovered by an undue carelessness. The court of France was amazed at the undertaking, and was glad that it had miscarried; for the cardinal said, if he had suspected it, he would have made peace with Spain on any terms, rather than to have given way to that which would have been such an addition to England, as must have brought all the wealth of the world into their hands. The fleet took Jamaica: but that was a small gain, though much magnified to cover the failing of the main design. The war after that broke out, in which Dunkirk was indeed taken, and put in Cromwell's hand: but the trade of England suffered more in that, than in any former war: so he lost the heart of the city of London by that means.

His zeal for the protestant religion.

Cromwell had two signal occasions given him to shew his zeal in protecting the protestants abroad. The duke of Savoy raised a new persecution of the Vaudois: so Cromwell sent to Mazarin, desiring him to put a stop to that; adding, that he knew well they had that duke in their power, and could restrain him as they pleased: and if they did not, he must presently break with them. Mazarin objected to this as unreasonable: he promised to do good offices: but he could not be obliged to answer for the effects they might have. This did not satisfy Cromwell: so they obliged the duke of Savoy to put a stop to that unjust fury: and Cromwell raised a great sum for the Vaudois, and sent over Morland to settle all their concerns, and to supply all their losses. There was also a tumult in Nismes, in which some disorder had been committed by the Huguenots: and they, apprehending severe proceedings upon it, sent one over with great expedition to

77

Cromwell, who sent him back to Paris in an hour's time with a very effectual letter to his ambassador, requiring him either to prevail that the matter might be passed over, or to come away immediately. Mazarin complained of this way of proceeding, as too imperious: but the necessity of their affairs made him yield. These things raised Cromwell's character abroad, and made him be much depended on.

His ambassador in France at this time was Lockhart, a Scotchman, who had married his niece, and was in high favour with him, as he well deserved to be. He was both a wise and a gallant man, calm and virtuous, and one that carried the generosities of friendship very far. He was made governor of Dunkirk and ambassador at the same time. But he told me, that when he was sent afterwards ambassador by king Charles, he found he had nothing of that regard that was paid him in Cromwell's time [a].

A great design for the interest of the protestant religion.

Stoupe told me of a great design Cromwell had intended to begin his kingship with, if he had assumed it: he resolved to set up a council for the protestant religion, in opposition to the congregation *de propaganda fide* at Rome. He intended it should consist of seven counsellors, and four secretaries for different provinces. These were the first, France, Switzerland, and the Valleys: the Palatinate and the other Calvinists were the second: Germany,

[a] No doubt Lockhart was not looked upon in France to be in the same degree of credit in king Charles's court, that he had been in Oliver's, whose niece he had married: but the bishop would gladly insinuate, that the king's minister was not so much regarded as Cromwell's, which, if true, must have been personal to the man, not to his character as an ambassador. D.

the North, and Turkey were the third: and the East and West Indies were the fourth. The secretaries were to have 500*l.* salary apiece, and to keep a correspondence every where, to know the state of religion all over the world, that so all good designs might be by their means protected and assisted. Stoupe was to have the first province. They were to have a fund of 10,000*l.* a year at their disposal for ordinary emergeneies, but to be farther supplied as occasions should require it. Chelsea college was to be made up for them, which was then an old decayed building, that had been at first raised to be a college for [a design not much unlike this [b]]. I thought it was not fit to let such a project as this be quite lost: it was certainly a noble one: but how far he would have pursued it, must be left to conjecture.

Some passages in Cromwell's life.

Stoupe told me a remarkable passage in his employment under Cromwell. Stoupe had desired all
78 that were under the prince of Conde to let him know some news, in return of that he writ to them. So he had a letter from one of them, giving an account of an Irishman newly gone over, who had said he would kill Cromwell, and that he was to lodge in King-street, Westminster. With this Stoupe went to Whitehall. Cromwell being then at council, he sent him a note, letting him know that he had a business of great consequence to lay before him. Cromwell was then upon a matter that did so entirely possess him, that he, fancying it was only some piece of foreign intelligence, sent Thurlo to know what it might be. Stoupe was troubled at

[b] (Bowyer's Transcript of the suppressed passages. The editors substituted, *writers of controversy*.)

this, but could not refuse to shew him his letter. Thurlo made no great matter of it: he said, they had many such advertisements sent them, which signified nothing, but to make the world think the Protector was in danger of his life: and the looking too much after these things had an appearance of fear, which did ill become so great a man. Stoupe told him, King-street might be soon searched. Thurlo answered, if we find no such person, how shall we be laughed at? Yet he ordered him to write again to Bruxells, and promise any reward if a more particular discovery could be made. Stoupe was much cast down, when he saw that a piece of intelligence which he hoped might have made his fortune was so little considered. He wrote to Bruxells: but he had no more from thence, but a confirmation of what had been writ formerly to him. And Thurlo did not think fit to make any search, or any farther inquiry into it: nor did he so much as acquaint Cromwell with it. Stoupe, being uneasy at this, told lord Lisle of it: and it happened that, a few weeks after, Syndercomb's design of assassinating Cromwell near Brentford, as he was going to Hampton court, was discovered. When he was examined, it appeared that he was the person set out in the letters from Bruxells. So Lisle said to Cromwell, this is the very man of whom Stoupe had the notice given him[c]. Cromwell seemed amazed

[c] (Bevill Higgons in his *Remarks on Bp. Burnet's Hist.* p. 64. says, that Syndercomb was born in Hampshire, and that he was a mortal enemy to the king; which, he observes, ill agrees with this account. But for the connection of the royalists with the levellers, see the seventh volume of Dr. Lingard's *History of England*, lately published, chap. 4. pp. 214—216. 243—245.)

at this; and sent for Stoupe, and in great wrath reproached him for his ingratitude in concealing a matter of such consequence to him. Stoupe upon this shewed him the letters he had received; and put him in mind of the note he had sent in to him, which was immediately after he had the first letter, and that he had sent out Thurlo to him. At that Cromwell seemed yet more amazed; and sent for Thurlo, to whose face Stoupe affirmed the matter: nor did he deny any part of it; but only said, that he had many such advertisements sent him, in which till this time he had never found any truth. Cromwell replied sternly, that he ought to have acquainted him with it, and left him to judge of the
79 importance of it. Thurlo desired to speak in private with Cromwell. So Stoupe was dismissed, and went away, not doubting but Thurlo would be disgraced. But, as he understood from Lisle afterward, Thurlo shewed Cromwell such instances of his care and fidelity on all such occasions, and humbly acknowledged his error in this matter, but imputed it wholly to his care, both for his honour and quiet, that he pacified him entirely: and indeed he was so much in all Cromwell's secrets, that it was not safe to disgrace him without destroying him; and that, it seems, Cromwell could not resolve on. Thurlo having mastered this point, that he might farther justify his not being so attentive as he ought to have been, did so much search into Stoupe's whole deportment, that he possessed Cromwell with such an ill opinion of him, that after that, he never treated him with any confidence. So he found how dangerous it was even to preserve a prince, (so he called him,) when a minister was wounded in the doing of

it; and that the minister would be too hard for the prince, even though his own safety was concerned in it[d].

These are all the memorable things that I have learnt concerning Cromwell; of whom so few have spoken with any temper, some commending, and others condemning him, and both out of measure, that I thought a just account of him, which I had from sure hands, might be no unacceptable thing. He never could shake off the roughness[e] of his education and temper: he spoke always long, and very ungracefully. The enthusiast and the dissembler mixed so equally in a great part of his deportment, that it was not easy to tell which was the prevailing character. He was indeed both, as I understood from Wilkins and Tillotson, the one having married his sister, and the other his niece. He was a true enthusiast, but with the principle formerly mentioned, from which he might be easily led into all the practices both of falsehood and cruelty: which

[d] ("The tale is pretty and "may serve to amuse a reader "unacquainted with this period "of our history, but certainly "it could not be true. Syn- "dercombe was much better "known to Cromwell and "Thurloe than he could pos- "sibly be to Stoupe. He had "served in the parliament "army during the war, was "afterwards a soldier in Scot- "land under Monk, where he "formed a conspiracy against "him, for which he was seized "and sent into England. All "this was well known to Thur- "loe, who had his eye upon "the man constantly, and was "master of the whole design "against Cromwell from the "time it was first in agitation. "Besides there are abundance "of letters from Stoupe in "this collection, which shew "him to have been a very "busy troublesome fellow, and "to have known little or no- "thing but what he picked up "from persons newly come "from abroad, whom he at- "tended as a kind of inter- "preter." *Letter on the publication of Thurloe's State Papers.* Lond. 1742. page 9.)

[e] Lord Clarendon and sir Philip Warwick say quite otherwise. O.

was, that he thought moral laws were only binding on ordinary occasions, but that upon extraordinary ones these might be superseded. When his own designs did not lead him out of the way, he was a lover of justice and virtue, and even of learning, though much decried at that time.

His moderation in government.

He studied to seek out able and honest men, and to employ them: and so having heard that my father had a very great reputation in Scotland for piety and integrity, though he knew him to be a royalist, he sent to him, desiring him to accept of a judge's place, and to do justice in his own country, hoping only that he would not act against his government; but he would not press him to subscribe or swear to it. My father refused it in a pleasant way, [being a facetious man, and abounding in little stories.] When he who brought the message was running out into Cromwell's commendation, my father told a story of a pilgrim in popery, who came to a church where one saint Kilmaclotius was in great reverence: so the pilgrim was bid pray to him: but he answered, he knew nothing of him, for he was not in his breviary: but when he was told how great a saint he was, he prayed this collect; *O sancte Kilmacloti, tu nobis hactenus es incognitus, hoc solum a te rogo, ut si bona tua nobis non prosint, saltem mala ne noceant.* My father replied, that he desired no other favour of him, but leave to live privately, without the impositions of oaths and subscriptions: and ever after, he lived in great quiet. And this was an instance of it: Overton, one of Cromwell's major-generals, who was a high republican, being for some time at Aberdeen, where we then lived, my father and he were often together:

in particular they were shut up alone for about two hours the night after the order came from Cromwell to take away Overton's commissions, and to put him in arrest. Upon that, Howard, afterward earl of Carlisle, being sent down to inquire into all the plots that those men had been in, heard of this long privacy: but when with that he heard what my father's character was, he made no farther inquiry into it; but said, Cromwell was very uneasy when any good man was questioned for any thing.

His public spirit.

This gentleness had in a great measure quieted people's minds with relation to him. And his maintaining the honour of the nation in all foreign countries gratified the vanity which is very natural to Englishmen [f]; of which he was so careful, that though he was not a crowned head, yet his ambassadors had all the respects paid them which our king's ambassadors ever had: he said, the dignity of the crown was upon the account of the nation, of which the king was only the representative head; so the nation being still the same, he would have the same regards paid to his ministers.

Another instance of this pleased him much. Blake with the fleet happened to be at Malaga before he made war upon Spain: and some of his seamen went ashore, and met the hostie carried about; and not only paid no respect to it, but laughed at those who did: so one of the priests put the people on resenting this indignity; and they fell upon them, and beat them severely. When they returned to their

[f] I presume the bishop thought his countrymen had no share in that character, though they claim a third in every thing else; but I believe whoever reads this book will think that one of them, at least, had his full proportion. D.

ship, they complained of this usage: and upon that Blake sent a trumpet to the viceroy, to demand the priest who was the chief instrument in that ill usage. The viceroy answered, he had no authority over the priests, and so could not dispose of him.
81 Blake upon that sent him word, that he would not inquire who had the power to send the priest to him, but if he were not sent within three hours, he would burn their town: and they, being in no condition to resist him, sent the priest to him, who justified himself upon the petulant behaviour of the seamen. Blake answered, that if he had sent a complaint to him of it, he would have punished them severely, since he would not suffer his men to affront the established religion of any place at which he touched: but he took it ill, that he set on the Spaniards to do it; for he would have all the world to know, that an Englishman was only to be punished by an Englishman: and so he treated the priest civilly, and sent him back, being satisfied that he had him at his mercy.

All the world was afraid of him.

Cromwell was much delighted with this, and read the letters in council with great satisfaction; and said, he hoped he should make the name of an Englishman, as great as ever that of a Roman had been. The states of Holland were in such dread of him, that they took care to give him no sort of umbrage: and when at any time the king or his brothers came to see their sister, the princess royal, within a day or two after they used to send a deputation to let them know that Cromwell had required of the states that they should give them no harbour. King Charles, when he was seeking for colours for the war with the Dutch in the year 1672, urged it for

one, that they suffered some of his rebels to live in their provinces. Borel, then their ambassador, answered, that it was a maxim of long standing among them, not to inquire upon what account strangers came to live in their country, but to receive them all, unless they had been concerned in conspiracies against the persons of princes. The king told him upon that, how they had used both himself and his brother. Borel, in great simplicity, answered: *Ha! sire, c'estoit une autre chose: Cromwell estoit un grand homme, et il se faisoit craindre et par terre et par mer.* This was very rough. The king's answer was: *Je me feray craindre aussy à mon tour:* but he was scarce as good as his word [g].

Cromwell's favourite alliance was with Sweden. Carolus Gustavus and he lived in great conjunction of counsels. Even Algernoon Sydney, who was not inclined to think or speak well of kings, commended him to me; and said, he had just notions of public liberty; and added, that queen Christina seemed to have them likewise. But she was much changed from that, when I waited on her at Rome; for she complained of us as a factious nation, that did not readily comply with the commands of our princes. All Italy trembled at the name of Cromwell, and seemed under a panic fear as long as he lived. His 82
fleet scoured the Mediterranean: and the Turks durst not offend him; but delivered up Hide, who kept up the character of an ambassador from the

g Borel might upon that occasion represent Cromwell as a tyrant that frighted people into doing unreasonable things; but it is highly improbable that he should be so simple a brute, as to fall into encomiums upon Oliver before the king, as a means to obtain his ends: but Burnet was always ready to believe and report any vulgar stuff he heard, to the disparagement of king Charles the second. D.

king there, and was brought over and executed for it. The putting the brother of the king of Portugal's ambassador to death for murder, was the carrying justice very far; since, though in the strictness of the law of nations it is only the ambassador's own person that is exempted from any authority but his master's that sends him, yet the practice had gone in favour of all that the ambassador owned to belong to him. Cromwell shewed his good understanding in nothing more, than in seeking out capable and worthy men for all employments, but most particularly for the courts of law, which gave a general satisfaction.

The ruin of his family.

Thus he lived, and at last died, on his auspicious[h] third of September, of so slight a sickness, that his death was not looked for. He had two sons, and four daughters. His sons were weak[i], but honest men. Richard, the eldest, though declared protector in pursuance of a nomination pretended to be made by Cromwell, the truth of which was much questioned, was not at all bred for business, nor indeed capable of it. He was innocent of all the ill his father had done: so there was no prejudice lay against him: and both the royalists and the presbyterians fancied he favoured them, though he pretended to be an independent. But all the commonwealth party cried out upon his assuming the protectorship, as a high usurpation; since whatever his father had from his parliaments was only personal, and so fell with him: yet in opposition to this, the city of London, and all the counties and

[h] On that day he had defeated the Scotch at Dunbar, and the next year the king at Worcester. Note in the 8vo edit. 1755.

[i] But see Henry Cromwell's letters in Thurloe's papers. O.

cities almost in England, sent him addresses congratulatory, as well as condoling. So little do these pompous appearances of respect signify. Tillotson told me, that a week after Cromwell's death he being by accident at Whitehall, and hearing there was to be a fast that day in the household, he out of curiosity went into the presence chamber where it was held. On the one side of a table Richard with the rest of Cromwell's family were placed, and six of the preachers were on the other side: Thomas Goodwin, Owen, Carril, and Sterry, were of the number. There he heard a great deal of strange stuff, enough to disgust a man for ever of that enthusiastic boldness. God was, as it were, reproached with Cromwell's services, and challenged for taking him away so soon. Goodwin, who had pretended to assure them in a prayer that he was not to die, which was but a very few minutes before he expired, had now the impudence to say to God, *Thou hast deceived us, and we were deceived.* Sterry, 83
praying for Richard, used those indecent words, next to blasphemy, *make him the brightness of the father's glory, and the express image of his person.* Richard was put on giving his father a pompous funeral, by which his debts increased so upon him, that he was soon run out of all credit. When the parliament met, his party tried to get a recognition of his protectorship: but it soon appeared, they had no strength to carry it. Fleetwood, who married Ireton's widow, set up a council of officers: and these resolved to lay aside Richard, who had neither genius nor friends, neither treasure nor army to support him. He desired only security for the debts he had contracted; which was promised,

but not performed. And so without any struggle he withdrew, and became a private man. And as he had done hurt to nobody, so nobody did ever study to hurt him; by a rare instance of the instability of human greatness, and of the security of innocence. His brother had been made by the father lieutenant of Ireland, and had the most spirit of the two; but he could not stand his ground, when his brother quitted his. One of Cromwell's daughters was married to Claypole, and died a little before himself: another was married to the earl of Falconbridge, a wise and worthy woman, more likely to have maintained the post than either of her brothers; according to a saying that went of her, that those who wore breeches deserved petticoats better, but if those in petticoats had been in breeches they would have held faster[k]. The other daughter was married, first to the earl of Warwick's heir, and afterwards to one Russel. [I knew both lady Falconberg and that sister.] They were both very worthy persons.

Great disorders followed.

Upon Richard's leaving the stage, the commonwealth was again set up: and the parliament which Cromwell had broke was brought together: but the army and they fell into new disputes: so they were

[k] She outlived the earl of Falconbridge, who, by her prudent management, (as it was generally thought,) was a privy counsellor to Oliver, Richard, king Charles the second, king James the second, and king William the third. After his death she desired sir Harry Sheers to write an inscription for his monument, and would have it inserted, that in such a year he married his highness the then lord protector of England's daughter; which sir Harry told her, he feared might give offence: she answered, that nobody could dispute matters of fact, therefore insisted that it should be inserted. I do not know if it were ever erected, but sir Harry told me the story, with some encomiums upon the spirit of the lady. D.

again broke by the army: and upon that the nation was like to fall into great convulsions. The enthusiasts became very fierce, and talked of nothing but the destroying all the records and the law, which, they said, had been all made by a succession of tyrants and papists: so they resolved to model all anew by a levelling and a spiritual government of the saints. There was so little sense in this, that Nevil and Harrington, with some others, set up in Westminster a meeting to consider of a form of government that should secure liberty, and yet preserve the nation. They ran chiefly on having a parliament elected by ballot, in which the nation should be represented according to the proportion of what was paid in taxes towards the public expense: and by this parliament a council of twenty-four was to be chosen by ballot: and every year eight of these were to be changed, and might not 84
again be brought into it, but after an interval of three years: by these the nation was to be governed: and they were to give an account of the administration to the parliament every year. This meeting was a matter of diversion and scorn, to see a few persons take upon them to form a scheme of government: and it made many conclude, it was necessary to call home the king, that so matters might again fall into their old channel. Lambert became the man on whom the army depended most. Upon his forcing the parliament, great applications were made to Monk to declare for the parliament: but under this the declaring for the king was generally understood. Yet he kept himself under such a reserve, that he declared all the while in the most solemn manner for a commonwealth, and against a

single person, in particular against the king: so that none had any ground from him to believe he had any design that way. Some have thought that he intended to try, if it was possible, to set up for himself: others rather believed, that he had no settled design any way, and resolved to do as occasion should be offered to him. The Scotish nation did certainly hope he would bring home the king. He drew the greatest part of the army towards the borders, where Lambert advanced towards him with seven thousand horse. Monk was stronger in foot: but being apprehensive of engaging on disadvantage, he sent Clarges to the lord Fairfax for his advice and assistance, who returned answer by Dr. Fairfax, afterwards secretary to the archbishop of Canterbury, and assured him he would raise Yorkshire on the first of January. And he desired him to press upon Lambert, in case that he should send a detachment into Yorkshire. On the first of January, Fairfax appeared with about one hundred gentlemen and their servants. But so much did he still maintain his great credit with the army, that the night after, the Irish brigade, that consisted of one thousand two hundred horse, and was the rear of Lambert's army, came over to him. Upon that Lambert retreated, finding his army was so little sure to him, and resolved to march back to London. He was followed by Monk, who when he came to Yorkshire met with Fairfax, and offered to resign the chief command to him. The lord Fairfax refused it, but pressed Monk to declare for a free parliament: yet in that he was so reserved to him, that Fairfax knew not how to depend on him. But as Lambert was making haste up, his army moul-

dered away, and he himself was brought up a prisoner, and was put in the Tower of London. Yet not long after he made his escape, and gathered a few troops about him in Northamptonshire. But these were soon scattered: for Ingoldsby, though 85
one of the king's judges, raised Buckinghamshire against him. And so little force seemed now in that party, that with very little opposition Ingoldsby took him prisoner, and brought him into Northampton: where Lambert, as Ingoldsby told me[1], entertained him with a pleasant reflection for all his misfortunes. The people were in great crowds applauding and rejoicing for the success. So Lambert put Ingoldsby in mind of what Cromwell had said to them both, near that very place, in the year 1650, when they, with a body of the officers, were going down after their army that was marching to Scotland, the people all the while shouting and wishing them success: Lambert upon that said to Cromwell, he was glad to see they had the nation on their side: Cromwell answered, do not trust to that; for these very persons would shout as much if you and I were going to be hanged. Lambert said, he looked on himself as in a fair way to that, and began to think Cromwell prophesied.

Upon the dispersing Lambert's army, Monk marched southward, and was now the object of all men's hope. At London all sorts of people began

[1] (Ingoldsby, who had been created a baronet by Charles the second, and, according to Noble in his *Protectoral House of Cromwell*, vol. ii. p. 190, lived till the year 1685, during the prosecution of the Rye-house conspirators, was committed to the custody of a messenger, as appears by a MS. list of persons at that time detained, found amongst the Melfort papers, which were sold in 1829.)

to cabal together, royalists, presbyterians, and republicans. Hollis told me, the presbyterians pressed the royalists to be quiet, and to leave the game in their hands; for their appearing would give jealousy, and hurt that which they meant to promote. He and Ashly Cooper, Grimstone and Annesly, met often with Manchester, Roberts, and the rest of the presbyterian party: and the ministers of London were very active in the city: so that when Monk came up, he was pressed to declare himself. At first he would only declare for the parliament that Lambert had forced. But there was then a great fermentation all over the nation. Monk and the parliament grew jealous of one another, even while they tried who could give the best words, and express their confidence in the highest terms of one another. I will pursue the relation of this transaction no farther: for this matter is well known.

All turn to the king's side.

The king had gone in autumn 1659 to the meeting at the Pyrenees, where cardinal Mazarin and Don Lewis de Haro were negotiating a peace. He applied himself to both sides, to try what assistance he might expect upon their concluding the peace. It was then known, that he went to mass sometimes, that so he might recommend himself the more effectually to both courts; yet this was carried secretly, and was confidently denied. Mazarin still talked to Lockhart upon the foot of the old confidence: for he went thither to watch over the treaty; though England was now in such convulsions, that no minister from thence could be much considered,
86 unless it was upon his own account. But matters were ripening so fast towards a revolution in England, that the king came back to Flanders in all

haste, and went from thence to Breda. Lockhart had it in his power to have made a great fortune, if he had begun first, and had brought the king to Dunkirk. As soon as the peace of the Pyrenees was made, he came over, and found Monk at London, and took all the pains he could to penetrate into his designs. But Monk continued still to protest to him in the solemnest manner possible, that he would be true to the commonwealth, and against the royal family. Lockhart went away, persuaded that matters would continue still in the same state: so that when his old friend Middletoun writ to him to make his own terms, if he would invite the king to Dunkirk, he said, he was trusted by the commonwealth, and could not betray it.

The house of commons put Monk on breaking the gates of the city of London, not doubting but that would render him so odions to them, that it would force him to depend wholly on themselves. He did it: and soon after he saw how odious he was become by it. So conceiving a high indignation at those who had put him on such an ungracious piece of service, he sent about all that night to the ministers and other active citizens, assuring them that he would quickly repair that error, if they would forgive it. So the turn was sudden: for the city sent and invited him to dine the next day at Guildhall: and there he declard for the members whom the army had forced away in the year forty-seven and forty-eight, who were known by the name of *secluded members*. And some happening to call the body that then sat at Westminster, the rump of a parliament, a sudden humour run like a madness through the whole city, of roast-

ing the rumps of all sorts of animals. And thus the city expressed themselves sufficiently. Those at Westminster had no support: so they fell unpitied and unregarded. The secluded members came, and sat down among them. But all they could do was to give orders for the summoning a new parliament to meet the first of May: and so they declared themselves dissolved.

Care taken to manage the army.

There was still a murmuring in the army. So great care was taken to scatter them in wide quarters, and not to suffer too many of those who were still for the old cause to lie near one another. The well and the ill affected were so mixed, that in case of any insurrection some might be ready at hand to assist them. They changed the officers that were ill affected, who were not thought fit to be trusted with the commanding those of their own stamp: and so created a mistrust between the officers and the soldiers. And above all they took care to have
87 no more troops than was necessary about the city: and these were the best affected. This was managed with great diligence and skill: and by this conduct it was, that the great turn was brought about without the least tumult or bloodshed, which was beyond what any person could have imagined. Of all this Monk had both the praise and the reward; though I have been told a very small share of it belonged to him[m]. Admiral Montague was then in chief command at sea, newly returned from the Sound, where he and De Ruyter, upon the orders they received from their masters, had brought the two northern kings to a peace; the king of Sweden dying as it was a making up. He was soon gained

[m] Malice. S.

to be for the king; and dealt so effectually with the whole fleet, that the turn there was as silently brought about, without any revolt or opposition, as it had been in the army. The republicans went about like madmen, to rouse up their party. But their time was past. All were either as men amazed or asleep. They had neither the skill nor the courage to make any opposition. The elections of parliament men run all the other way. So they saw their business was quite lost, and they felt themselves struck as with a spirit of giddiness. And then every man thought only how to save or secure himself. And now they saw how deceitful the argument from success was, which they had used so oft, and triumphed so much upon. For whereas success in the field, which was the foundation of their argument, depended much upon the conduct and courage of armies, in which the will of man had a large share, here was a thing of another nature: a nation, that had run on long in such a fierce opposition to the royal family, was now turned as one man to call home the king.

The nation had one great happiness during the long course of the civil war, that no foreigners had got footing among them. Spain was sinking to nothing: France was under a base spirited minister: and both were in war all the while. Now a peace was made between them. And very probably, according to what is in Mazarin's letters, they would have joined forces to have restored the king. The nation was by this means entirely in its own hands: and now returning to its wits, was in a condition to put every thing in joint again: whereas, if foreigners had been possessed of any important place, they

might have had a large share of the management, and would have been sure of taking care of themselves. Enthusiasm was now languid: for that, owing its mechanical force to the liveliness of the blood and spirits, men in disorder, and depressed, could not raise in themselves those heats, with
61 which they were formerly wont to transport both themselves and others. Chancellor Hide was all this while very busy: he sent over Dr. Morley, who talked much with the presbyterians of moderation in general, but would enter into no particulars: only he took care to let them know he was a Calvinist: and they had the best opinion of such of the church of England as were of that persuasion. Hide wrote in the king's name to all the leading men, and got the king to write a great many letters in a very obliging manner. Some that had been faulty sent over considerable presents, with assurances that they would redeem all that was past with their zeal for the future. These were all accepted of. Their money was also very welcome; for the king needed money when his matters were on that crisis, and he had so many tools at work. The management of all this was so entirely the chancellor's single performance, that there was scarce any other that had so much as a share in it with him. He kept a register of all the king's promises, and of his own; and did all that lay in his power afterwards to get them all to be performed. He was also all that while giving the king many wise and good advices. But he did it too much with the air of a governor, or of a lawyer. Yet then the king was wholly in his hands[n].

[n] When the earl of Clarendon's history was first published, the lord Grandville, second son to the earl of Bath, told me

I need not open the scene of the new parliament, (or convention, as it came afterwards to be called, because it was not summoned by the king's writ:) such unanimity appeared in their proceedings, that there was not the least dispute among them, but upon one single point: yet that was a very important one. Hale, afterwards the famous chief justice, moved that a committee might be appointed to look into the propositions that had been made, and the concessions that had been offered by the late king during the war, particularly at the treaty of Newport, that from thence they might digest such propositions as they should think fit to be sent over to the king. This was seconded, but I do not remember by whom. It was foreseen, that such a motion might be set on foot: so Monk was instructed how to answer it, whensoever it should be proposed. He told the house, that there was yet, beyond all men's hope, an universal quiet all over the nation; but there were many incendiaries still on the watch, trying where they could first raise the flame. He said, he had such copious informations sent him of these things, that it was not fit they should be generally known: he could not answer for the peace, either of the nation or of the army, if any delay was put to the sending for the king: what need was there of sending propositions

A new parliament.

that Monk had always a very particular dislike to chancellor Hide, and when he sent his father to Breda, gave him strict charge not to trust Hide with any thing that related to his own concerns, and desired the same caution might be given the king; and his father told him, the chief thing that staggered Monk in the whole transaction was the necessity of having any thing to do with him; which Hide soon found out, and endeavoured ever after to lessen Monk's merits as much as he could, and lord Bath's for the same reason. D.

to him? Might they not as well prepare them, and
89 offer them to him, when he should come over? He was to bring neither army nor treasure with him, either to fright them or to corrupt them. So he moved, that they would immediately send commissioners to bring over the king: and said, that he must lay the blame of all the blood or mischief that might follow, on the heads of those who should still insist on any motion that might delay the present settlement of the nation. This was echoed with such a shout over the house, that the motion was no more insisted on.

They called home the king without a treaty.

This was indeed the great service that Monk did. It was chiefly owing to the post he was in, and to the credit he had gained: for as to the restoration itself, the tide run so strong, that he only went into it dexterously enough, to get much fame, and great rewards, for that which will have still a great appearance in history. If he had died soon after, he might have been more justly admired, because less known, and seen only in one advantageous light: but he lived long enough to [have his stupidity and other ill qualities well known [o]. So] false a judgment men are apt to make upon outward appearance [p]. To the king's coming in without con-

[o] Bowyer's Transcript of the suppressed passages. The editors substituted, *make it known, how false.*

[p] (Cole in the margin of his copy has written, "Abuse of "Monk for having restored the "constitution." But Pepys in his *Diary*, lately published by the lord Braybrooke, speaks of Monck in like terms under the year 1667. After observing that the house of commons had that day voted thanks to prince Rupert and the duke of Albemarle for their care and conduct in the last year's war, he says, "this is a strange act, "but I know not how the "blockhead Albemarle hath "strange luck to be loved, "though (and every man must

ditions may be well imputed all the errors of his reign. And when the earl of Southampton came to see what he was like to prove, he said once in great wrath to chancellor Hide, it was to him they owed all they either felt or feared; for if he had not possessed them in all his letters with such an opinion of the king, they would have taken care to have put it out of his power either to do himself or them any mischief, which was like to be the effect of their trusting him so entirely. Hide answered, that he thought the king had so true a judgment, and so much good nature, that when the age of pleasure should be over, and the idleness of his exile, which made him seek new diversions for want of other employment, was turned to an obligation to mind affairs, then he would have shaken off those entanglements [q]. I must put my reader in mind, that I

" know it) the heaviest man in " the world, but stout and ho- " nest to his country." *Diary*, vol. II. p. 148. Burnet says more of Monck afterwards, p. 98. folio edit.)

[q] (The chancellor was afraid on the church's account, in case Hale's motion had been carried, that it would not have been restored, and on the part of the monarchy, that it would have been perhaps too much limited. It should at the same time be remembered, that this statesman abolished wardship, which Burnet calls a main part of the regal authority, and that he left the crown in a great measure dependent on parliaments for even its ordinary support. The following account was given to Pepys by Clarendon's great opponent, sir William Coventry, of the advice offered to the king by the earl of Southampton, and of the conduct pursued by the earl of Clarendon: " Sir W. " Coventry did tell me it as " the wisest thing that ever " was said to the king by any " statesman of his time, and it " was by my lord treasurer " that is dead, whom, I find, " he takes for a very great " statesman,—that when the " king did shew himself for- " ward for passing the act of " indemnity, he did advise the " king that he would hold his " hand in doing it, till he had " got his power restored that " had been diminished by the " late times, and his revenue " settled in such a manner as

leave all common transactions to ordinary books. If at any time I say things that occur in any books, it is partly to keep the thread of the narration in an unintangled method, and partly, because I neither have heard nor read those things in books; or at least, I do not remember to have read them so clearly and so particularly as I have related them. I now leave a mad and confused scene, to open a more august and splendid one.

" he might depend on himself " without resting upon parliaments, and then pass it. But " my lord chancellor, who " thought he could have the " command of parliaments for " ever, because for the king's " sake they were awhile willing to grant all the king desired, did press for its being " done; and so it was, and the " king from that time able to " do nothing with the parliament almost." *Pepys's Diary*, vol. II. p. 318. The notion, that he had neglected the interests of the crown at so favourable a juncture, certainly prevailed, and perhaps contributed to the chancellor's fall; but it may be doubted, whether, if willing, he would have been able to have obtained, even at that time, a large permanent revenue for the king from the loyal but frugal parliament.)

HISTORY

OF

MY OWN TIMES.

BOOK II.

Of the first twelve years of the reign of king Charles II. from the year 1660 *to the year* 1673.

I DIVIDE king Charles's reign into two books, not so much because, consisting of twenty-four years, it fell, if divided at all, naturally to put twelve years in a book: but I have a much better reason for it, since as to the first twelve years, though I knew the affairs of Scotland very authentically, yet I had only such a general knowledge of the affairs of England as I could pick up at a distance: whereas I lived so near the scene, and had 92 indeed such a share in several parts of it, during the last twelve years, that I can write of these with much more certainty, as well as more fully, than of the first twelve. I will therefore enlarge more particularly, within the compass that I have fixed for this book, on the affairs of Scotland; both out of the inbred love that all men have for their native 1660.

1660. country[a], and more particularly, that I may leave some useful instructions to those of my own order and profession, by representing to them the conduct of the bishops of Scotland: for having observed, with more than ordinary niceness, all the errors that were committed, both at the first setting up of episcopacy, and in the whole progress of its continuance in Scotland, till it was again overturned there, I am enabled to set all that matter in a full view and in a clear light.

Many went over to the Hague.

As soon as it was fixed that the king was to be restored, a great many went over to make their court: among these Sharp, who was employed by the resolutioners of Scotland, was one. He carried with him a letter from the earl of Glencairn to Hide, made soon after earl of Clarendon, recommending him as the only person capable to manage the design of setting up episcopacy in Scotland: upon which he was received into great confidence. Yet, as he had observed very carefully the success of Monk's solemn protestations against the king and for a commonwealth, it seems he was so pleased with the original, that he resolved to copy after it, without letting himself be diverted from it by [anxious] scruples, [or any tenderness of conscience:] for he stuck neither at solemn protestations, both by word of mouth and by letters, (of which I have seen many proofs,) nor at appeals to God of his sincerity in acting for the presbytery both in prayers and on other occasions, joining with these many dreadful imprecations on himself, if he did prevaricate[b]. He was all the while maintained by the

[a] Could not he keep his inbred love to himself? S.

[b] Sure there was some secret personal cause of all this

presbyterians as their agent, and continued to give them a constant account of the progress of his negotiation in their service, while he was indeed underming it. This piece of craft was so visible, he having repeated his protestations to as many persons as then grew jealous of him, that when he threw off the mask, about a year after this, it laid a foundation of such a character of him, that nothing could ever bring people to any tolerable thoughts of a man, whose dissimulation and treachery was so 1660.

malice against Sharp. S. (He and Burnet were upon ill terms, as will appear by Dr. Cockburn's testimony hereafter; but Baillie, who although a zealous presbyterian, had no private reasons for disliking Sharp, gives a similar account of his management. "Had we but "petitioned for presbytery at "Breda, it had been, as was "thought, granted; but fearing "what the least delay of the "king's coming over might "have produced, and trusting "fully to the king's goodness, "we hasted him over, without "any provision for our safety. "At that time it was, that Dr. "Sheldon, now bishop of Lon-"don, and Dr. Morley, did "poison Mr. Sharp, our agent, "whom we trusted; who, piece "and piece, in so cunning a "way, has trepanned us, as "we have never got so much "as to petition either king, "parliament, or council. My "lord Hyde, the great minister "of state, who guided all, and "to whom, at his lodging in "Worcester-house, the king, "weekly, and ofter, uses to "resort and keep counsel with "him some hours; and so, "with the king, Mr. Sharp be-"came more intimate than any "man almost of our nation. "It seems he has undertaken "to do in our church that "which now he has performed "easily, and is still in acting.

"He had for co-operators "the commissioner, chancel-"lor, and Rothes. Lauderdale "and Crawford were a while "contrary; but seeing the king "peremptory, they gave over. "His majesty's letter to us at "first, penned by Mr. Sharp, "promised to keep up our "church-government esta-"blished by law, and to send "for Mr. Douglas and others "to confer about our affairs. "The last Mr. Sharp hinder-"ed; for with himself alone it "pleased his majesty to con-"fer: and the sense of the "first, few of us dreamed till "it came out thereafter." Principal Baillie's *Letters*, vol. II. Let. 201, p. 459.)

1660. well known, and of which so many proofs were to be seen under his own hand.

The nation was overrun with vice and drunkenness.

With the restoration of the king, a spirit of extravagant joy spread over the nation, that brought on with it the throwing off the very professions of virtue and piety: all ended in entertainments and drunkenness, which overrun the three kingdoms to such a degree, that it very much corrupted all their morals. Under the colour of drinking the king's health, there were great disorders and much riot every where: and the pretences of religion, both in those of the hypocritical sort, and of the more honest but no less pernicious enthusiasts, gave great advantages, as well as they furnished much matter, to the profane mockers of true piety. Those who had been concerned in the former transactions thought, they could not redeem themselves from the censures and jealousies that those brought on them by any method that was more sure and more easy, than by going into the stream, and laughing at all religion, telling or making stories to expose both themselves and their party as impious and ridiculous.

93

The king's character.

The king was then thirty years of age, and, as might have been supposed, past the levities of youth, and the extravagance of pleasure. He had a very good understanding. He knew well the state of affairs both at home and abroad. He had a softness of temper, that charmed all who came near him, till they found how little they could depend on good looks, kind words, and fair promises; in which he was liberal to excess, because he intended nothing by them, but to get rid of importunities, and to silence all farther pressing upon him. He seemed to have no sense of religion: both at prayers and

sacrament he, as it were, took care to satisfy people, that he was in no sort concerned in that about which he was employed. So that he was very far from being an hypocrite, unless his assisting at those performances was a sort of hypocrisy, (as no doubt it was;) but he was sure not to increase that by any the least appearance of religion. He said once to my self, he was no atheist, but he could not think God would make a man miserable only for taking a little pleasure out of the way. He disguised his popery to the last. But when he talked freely, he could not help letting himself out against the liberty that under the reformation all men took of inquiring into matters of religion: for from their inquiring into matters of religion, they carried the humour farther, to inquire into matters of state. He said often, he thought government was a much safer and easier thing where the authority was believed infallible, and the faith and submission of the people was implicit: about which I had once much discourse with him. He was affable and easy, and loved to be made so by all about him. The great art of keeping him long was, the being easy, and the making every thing easy to him [c]. He had made such observations on the French government, that 94
he thought a king who might be checked, or have his ministers called to an account by a parliament, was but a king in name. He had a great compass of knowledge, though he was never capable of much application or study. He understood the mechanics and physic: and was a good chemist, and much set on several preparations of mercury, chiefly the fixing it. He understood navigation well: but above

1660.

[c] Eloquence. S.

1660. all he knew the architecture of ships so perfectly, that in that respect he was exact rather more than became a prince. His apprehension was quick, and his memory good. He was an everlasting talker. He told his stories with a good grace: but they came in his way too often. He had a very ill opinion both of men and women; and did not think that there was either sincerity or chastity in the world out of principle, but that some had either the one or the other out of humour or vanity. He thought that nobody did serve him out of love: and so he was quits with all the world, and loved others as little as he thought they loved him. He hated business, and could not be easily brought to mind any: but when it was necessary, and he was set to it, he would stay as long as his ministers had work for him. The ruin of his reign, and of all his affairs, was occasioned chiefly by his delivering himself up at his first coming over to a mad range of pleasure [d]. One of the race of the Villers, then mar-

[d] (This is an undoubted truth. An amusing story illustrative of the times, and marking the character of the principal actor in them, occurs in Pepys's Diary, vol. I. p. 490. "I was told," writes Pepys, "by Mr. Pierce, as a great "truth, as being told it by "Mr. Cowly, who was by and "heard it, that Tom Killigrew" (a gentleman much in the king's favour) "should publickly tell "the king that his matters "were coming into a very ill "state; but that yet there was "a way to help all. Says he, "'There is a good, honest, "able man that I could name, "that if your majesty would "employ, and command to see "all things well executed, all "things would soon be mend-"ed; and this is one Charles "Stuart, who now spends his "time in employing his lips "about the court, and hath no "other employment; but if "you would give him this em-"ployment, he were the fittest "man in the world to perform "it.' This, he says, is most "true; but the king does not "profit by any of this, but "lays all aside, and remem-"bers nothing, but to his plea-"sures again; which is a sor-"rowful consideration.")

ried to Palmer, a papist, soon after made earl of Castlemain, who afterwards, being separated from him, was advanced to be duchess of Cleveland, was his first and longest mistress, by whom he had five children [e]. She was a woman of great beauty, but most enormously vicious and ravenous; foolish but imperious, very uneasy to the king, and always carrying on intrigues with other men, while yet she pretended she was jealous of him. His passion for her, and her strange behaviour towards him, did so disorder him, that often he was not master of himself, nor capable of minding business, which, in so critical a time, required great application: but he did then so entirely trust the earl of Clarendon, that he left all to his care, and submitted to his advices as to so many oracles. 1660.

Clarendon's character.

The earl of Clarendon was bred to the law, and was like to grow eminent in his profession when the wars began. He distinguished himself so in the house of commons, that he became considerable, and was much trusted all the while the king was at Oxford. He stayed beyond sea following the king's fortune, till the restoration; and was now an absolute favourite, and the chief or the only minister, but with too magisterial a way. He was always pressing the king to mind his affairs, but in vain. He was a good chancellor, only a little too rough, 95 but very impartial in the administration of justice.

[e] He had her the first night he arrived at London; she was then some months gone with child of the late countess of Sussex, whom the king adopted for his daughter, though lord Castlemain always looked upon her to be his, and left her his estate when he died; but she was generally understood to belong to another, the old earl of Chesterfield, whom she resembled very much both in face and person. D.

1660. He never seemed to understand foreign affairs well[f]: and yet he meddled too much in them. He had too much levity in his wit, and did not always observe the decorum of his post. He was high, and was apt to reject those who addressed themselves to him with too much contempt. He had such a regard to the king, that when places were disposed of, even otherwise than as he advised, yet he would justify what the king did, and disparage the pretensions of others, not without much scorn; which created him many enemies. He was indefatigable in business, though the gout did often disable him from waiting on the king: yet, during his credit, the king came constantly to him when he was laid up by it.

Ormond's character.

The next man in favour with the king was the duke of Ormond: a man every way fitted for a court: of a graceful appearance, a lively wit, and a cheerful temper: a man of great expense, decent even in his vices[g]; for he always kept up the form of religion. He had gone through many transactions in Ireland with more fidelity than success. He had made a treaty with the Irish, which was broken by the great body of them, though some few of them adhered still to him. But the whole Irish nation did still pretend, that, though they had broke the agreement first, yet he, or rather the king, in whose name he had treated with them, was bound to

[f] The author had not seen, I believe, the MS. History of Lord Clarendon's Life, written by himself. He at least understood foreign affairs better than any other of the ministers. None of them were much esteemed for that abroad, as has been said. I was told by the master of the rolls, (sir Thomas Clarke,) that the lord Clarendon never made a decree in Chancery without the assistance of two of the judges. O.

[g] See Cartes History of the Life of this Duke of Ormond, vol. II. p. 555. See also the Biogr. Brit. p. 899. O.

perform all the articles of the treaty. He had mis- 1660.
carried so in the siege of Dublin, that it very much lessened the opinion of his military conduct. Yet his constant attendance on his master, his easiness to him, and his great sufferings for him, raised him to be lord steward of the household, and lord lieutenant of Ireland. He was firm to the protestant religion, and so far firm to the laws, that he always gave good advices: but when bad ones were followed, he was not for complaining too much of them.

Southampton's character.

The earl of Southampton was next to these. He was a man of great virtue, and of very good parts. He had a lively apprehension, and a good judgment. He had merited much by his constant adhering to the king's interest during the war, and by the large supplies he had sent him every year during his exile; for he had a great estate, and only three daughters to inherit it. He was lord treasurer: but he grew soon weary of business; for as he was subject to the stone, which returned often and violently upon him, so he retained the principles of liberty, and did not go into the violent measures of the court. When he saw the king's temper, and his way of managing, or rather of spoiling business, he 96
grew very uneasy, and kept himself more out of the way than was consistent with that high post. The king stood in some awe of him; and saw how popular he would grow, if put out of his service: and therefore he chose rather to bear with his ill humour and contradiction, than to dismiss him[h]. He

[h] ("Sir William Coventry "did to day (Feb. 14, 1669) "mightily magnify my late "lord treasurer for a wise and "solid, though infirm man: "and, among other things, that "when he hath said it was im- "possible in nature to find this "or that sum of money, and "my lord chancellor hath made

1660. left the business of the treasury wholly in the hands of his secretary, sir Philip Warwick, who was an honest but a weak man; understood the common road of the treasury; [but, though he pretended to wit and politics, he was not cut out for that, and least of all for writing of history. But] he was an incorrupt man, and during seven years management of the treasury made but an ordinary fortune out of it[i]. Before the restoration, the lord treasurer had but a small salary, with an allowance for a table; but he gave, or rather sold, all the subaltern places, and made great profits out of the estate of the crown: but now, that estate being gone, and the earl of Southampton disdaining to sell places, the matter was settled so, that the lord treasurer was to have 8000*l.* a year, and the king was to name all the subaltern officers. It continued to be so all his time: but since that time the lord treasurer has both the 8000*l.* and a main hand in the disposing of those places.

Shaftsbury's character.

The man that was in the greatest credit with the earl of Southampton was sir Anthony Ashly Cooper, who had married his niece, and became afterwards

" sport of it, and told the king " that when my lord hath said " it was impossible yet he hath " made shift to find it, and that " was by sir G. Carteret's get- " ting credit, my lord did once " in his hearing say thus, which " he magnifies as a great say- " ing—that impossible would " be found impossible at last; " meaning that the king would " run himself out beyond all " his credit and funds, and " then we should too late find " it impossible; which is, he " says, now come to pass." Pepys's *Diary*, vol. II. p. 304.)

[i] He had been secretary there when bishop Juxon was treasurer, and made so by him. His memoirs have some curiosities in them that make them worth reading. O. (See Lord Clarendon's testimony to the great worth of sir Philip Warwick, in the *Continuation* of his own *Life*, p. 325. See also Pepys's *Diary* vol. I. pp. 283. 484. in which work the same account is given of the agreement with Southampton.)

so considerable, that he was raised to be earl of Shaftsbury. And since he came to have so great a name, and that I knew him for many years in a very particular manner, I will dwell a little longer on his character; for it was of a very extraordinary composition. He began to make a considerable figure very early. Before he was twenty, he came into the house of commons, and was on the king's side; and undertook to get Wiltshire and Dorsetshire to declare for him: but he was not able to effect it. Yet prince Maurice breaking articles to a town, that he had got to receive him, furnished him with an excuse to forsake that side, and to turn to the parliament. He had a wonderful faculty in speaking to a popular assembly, and could mix both the facetious and the serious way of arguing very agreeably. He had a particular talent to make others trust to his judgment, and depend on it: and he brought over so many to a submission to his opinion, that I never knew any man equal to him in the art of governing parties, and of making himself the head of them. He was, as to religion, a deist at best[k]. He had the dotage of astrology in him to a high degree: he told me, that a Dutch doctor had from the stars foretold him the whole series of 1660.

[k] A person came to make him a visit whilst he was sitting one day with a lady of his family, who retired upon that to another part of the room with her work, and seemed not to attend to the conversation between the earl and the other person, which turned soon into some dispute upon subjects of religion; after a good deal of that sort of talk, the earl said at last, "People differ in their "discourse and profession about "these matters, but men of "sense are really but of one re-"ligion." Upon which says the lady of a sudden, "Pray, "my lord, what religion is that "which men of sense agree "in?" "Madam," says the earl immediately, "men of "sense never tell it." O.

1660. his life. But that which was before him, when he told me this, proved false, if he told me true: for he said, he was yet to be a greater man than he had
97 been. He fancied, that after death our souls lived in stars. He had a general knowledge of the slighter parts of learning, but understood little to the bottom: so he triumphed in a rambling way of talking, but argued slightly when he was held close to any point. He had a wonderful faculty at opposing, and running things down; but had not the like force in building up. He had such an extravagant vanity in setting himself out, that it was very disagreeable. He pretended that Cromwell offered to make him king. He was indeed of great use to him, in withstanding the enthusiasts of that time. He was one of those who pressed him most to accept of the kingship, because, as he said afterwards, he was sure it would ruin him. His strength lay in the knowledge of England, and of all the considerable men in it. He understood well the size of their understandings, and their tempers: and he knew how to apply himself to them so dexterously, that, though by his changing sides so often it was very visible how little he was to be depended on, yet he was to the last much trusted by all the discontented party[1]. [He had no regard either to truth or justice.] He was not ashamed to reckon up the many turns he had made: and he valued himself on the doing it at the properest season, and in the best manner:

[1] I was told by one that was very conversant with him, that he had a constant maxim, never to fall out with any body, let the provocation be never so great, which he said he had found great benefit by all his life; and the reason he gave for it was, that he did not know how soon it might be necessary to have them again for his best friends. D.

[and was not out of countenance in owning his unsteadiness and deceitfulness.] This he did with so much vanity, and so little discretion, that he lost many by it. And his reputation was at last run so low, that he could not have held much longer, had he not died in good time, either for his family or for his party: the former would have been ruined, if he had not saved it by betraying the latter. 1660.

Another man, very near of the same sort, who passed through many great employments, was Annesly, advanced to be earl of Anglesey; who had much more knowledge, and was very learned, chiefly in the law. He had the faculty of speaking indefatigably upon every subject: but he spoke ungracefully; and did not know that he was not good at raillery, for he was always attempting it. He understood our government well, and had examined far into the original of our constitution. He was capable of great application: and was a man of a grave deportment; but stuck at nothing, and was ashamed of nothing. He was neither loved nor trusted by any man or any side: and he seemed to have no regard to common decencies, [the common decencies of justice and truth,] but sold every thing that was in his power: and sold himself so often, that at last the price fell so low, that he grew useless[m], [because he was so well known, that he was universally despised.] Anglesey's character.

Hollis was a man of great courage, and of as great pride: he was counted for many years the head of the presbyterian party. He was faithful Hollis's character.

[m] See this character rescued out of the hands of this author by lord Lansdowne in his Works, vol. II. p. 260. *Cole.*

1660. and firm to his side, and never changed through the whole course of his life. He engaged in a particular opposition to Cromwell in the time of the war. They hated one another equally. Hollis seemed to carry this too far: for he would not allow Cromwell to have been either wise or brave; but often applied Solomon's observation to him, *that the battle was not to the strong, nor favour to the man of understanding, but that time and chance happened to all men.* He was well versed in the records of parliament: and argued well, but too vehemently; for he could not bear contradiction. He had the soul of an old stubborn Roman in him. He was a faithful but a rough friend, and a severe but fair enemy. He had a true sense of religion: and was a man of an unblamable course of life, and of a sound judgment when it was not biassed by passion. He was made a lord for his merits in bringing about the restoration.

Manchester's character.

The earl of Manchester was made lord chamberlain: a man of a soft and obliging temper, of no great depth, but universally beloved, being both a

Roberts's character.

virtuous and a generous man. The lord Roberts was made lord privy seal, afterwards lord lieutenant of Ireland, and at last lord president of the council. He was a man of a more morose and cynical temper, just in his administration, but vicious under the appearances of virtue: learned beyond any man of his quality, but intractable, stiff and obstinate, proud and jealous.

These five, whom I have named last, had the chief hand in engaging the nation in the design of the restoration. They had great credit, chiefly with the presbyterian party, and were men of much dexterity. So the thanks of that great turn was owing

to them: and they were put in great posts by the earl of Clarendon's means. By which he lost most of the cavaliers, who could not bear the seeing such men so highly advanced, and so much trusted [n]. 1660.

[n] The earl of Clarendon, upon the restoration, made it his business to depress every body's merits to advance his own, and (the king having gratified his vanity with high titles) found it necessary, towards making a fortune in proportion, to apply himself to other means than what the crown could afford; (though he had as much as the king could well grant;) and the people who had suffered most in the civil war were in no condition to purchase his favour. He therefore undertook the protection of those who had plundered and sequestered the others, which he very artfully contrived, by making the king believe it was necessary for his own ease and quiet to make his enemies his friends; upon which he brought in most of those who had been the main instruments and promoters of the late troubles, who were not wanting in their acknowledgments in the manner he expected, which produced the great house in the Picadille, furnished chiefly with cavaliers' goods, brought thither for peace-offerings, which the right owners durst not claim when they were in his possession. In my own remembrance earl Paulett was an humble petitioner to his sons, for leave to take a copy of his grandfather and grandmother's pictures, (whole lengths drawn by Vandike,) that had been plundered from Hinton St. George; which was obtained with great difficulty, because it was thought that copies might lessen the value of the originals. And whoever had a mind to see what great families had been plundered during the civil war, might find some remains either at Clarendon house or at Cornbury. D. The truth of the latter part of this relation is confirmed by the Hon. G. A. Ellis in his curious account of the division and subsequent reunion of lord Clarendon's collection of portraits. See Historical Inquiries respecting the character of the earl of Clarendon. Lond. 1827. p. 27—46. Evelyn, who had suggested the improvement of his collection to the noble owner, accounts at p. 397, vol. I. of his Diary, for the extensiveness of it in the following way: "When lord "Clarendon's design of making "this collection was known, "every body who had any of "the portraits, or could pur- "chase them at any price, "strove to make their court "by presenting them. By this "means he got many excellent "pieces of Vandyke, and other "originals by Lely and other "the best of our modern mas- "ters." As to his neglect of his fellow-sufferers in the late times, the same author indeed observes, that "the lord chan-

1660. At the king's first coming over, Monk and Mountague were the most considered. They both had the garter. The one was made duke of Albemarle, and the other earl of Sandwich, and had noble estates given them. Monk was ravenous, as well as his wife, who was a mean contemptible creature. They both asked and sold all that was within their reach, nothing being denied them for some time; till he became so useless, that little personal regard could be paid him. But the king maintained still the appearances of it: for the appearance of the service he did him was such, that the king thought it fit to treat him with great distinction, even after he saw into him, and despised him [o]. He took care to raise his kinsman Greenvill, who was made earl of Bath, and groom of the stole, a [mean minded] man, who thought of nothing but of getting and spending money [p]. [Only in spending he had a peculiar talent of doing it with so ill a grace and so bad a conduct, that it was long before those who saw

" cellor made few friends dur- " ing his grandeur among the " royal sufferers, but advanced " the old rebels." Vol. I. p. 387. He seems to have in part renewed his former connections before the civil war, from private regard as well as public policy, rather than from censurable motives, although it is true that they have been imputed to him. It is a curious circumstance, that, when he was created an earl, the ceremony is thus noticed by Evelyn: " Edward lord Hide, " lord chancellor, earle of Cla- " rendon, supported by ye " earles of Northumberland " and Sussex; ye earle of Bed- " ford carried the cap and coro- " net, the earle of Warwick " the sword, the earle of New- " port the mantle." p. 319. One would have supposed, if we leave out the last mentioned nobleman, that this had been the court not of king Charles but of king Pym.)

[o] See a character of the duke of Albemarle by lord Lansdowne, in his vol. II. p. 263, &c. *Cole.*

[p] See this account of sir John Granville put in a true light by lord Lansdowne, in his Works, vol. II. p. 257. *Cole.*

how much he got, and how little he spent visibly, would believe he was so poor as he was found to be at his death: which was thought the occasion of his son's shooting himself in the head a few days after his death, finding the disorder of his affairs; for both father and son were buried together.] The duke of Albemarle raised two other persons. One was Clarges, his wife's brother, who was an honest but haughty man. He became afterwards a very considerable parliament man, and valued himself on his opposing the court, and on his frugality in managing the public money; for he had Cromwell's economy ever in his mouth, and was always for reducing the expense of war to the modesty and parsimony of those times. Many thought he carried this too far: but it made him very popular. After he was become very rich himself by the public money, he seemed to take care that nobody else should grow as rich as he was in that way. Another man raised by the duke of Albemarle was Morrice, who was the person that had prevailed with Monk to declare for the king. Upon that he was made secretary of state. He was very learned, but full of pedantry and affectation. He had no true judgment about foreign affairs. And the duke of Albemarle's judgment of them may be measured by what he said, when he found the king grew weary of Morrice, but that in regard to him had no mind to turn him out: [upon which the duke of Albemarle replied,] he did not know what was necessary for a good secretary of state in which he was defective, for he could speak French and write short hand.

1660.

Clarges's character.

99

Morrice's character.

Nicolas was the other secretary, who had been employed by king Charles the first during the war,

Nicolas's character.

1660. and had served him faithfully, but had no understanding in foreign affairs. He was a man of virtue, but could not fall into the king's temper, or become acceptable to him. So not long after the restoration, Bennet, advanced afterwards to be earl of Arlington, was by the interest of the popish party made secretary of state; and was admitted into so particular a confidence, that he began to raise a party in opposition to the earl of Clarendon. He was a proud [and insolent] man. His parts were solid, but not quick [q]. He had the art of observing the king's temper, and managing it beyond all the men of that time. He was believed a papist. He had once professed it: and when he died, he again reconciled himself to that church [r]. Yet in the whole course of his ministry, he seemed to have made it a maxim, that the king ought to shew no favour to popery, but that all his affairs would be spoiled if ever he turned that way; which made the papists become his mortal enemies, and accuse him as an apostate, and the betrayer of their interests. [He was a man of great vanity, and lived at a vast expense, without taking any care of paying the debts which he contracted to support it.] His chief friend was Charles Berkeley, made earl of Falmouth, who, without any visible merit [s], unless it was the manag-

Arlington's character.

[q] They were very quick. S.

[r] He was esteemed so good a courtier, that it was said he died a Roman Catholic to make his court to king James. But whatever his religion might be, he always professed himself of the whig party, as many papists had done before him: and particularly the famous Lambert, (who died a prisoner in the isle of Jersey,) declared a little before his death, he had always been of the church of Rome. D.

[s] See the History of lord Clarendon's Life, for part of this man's merit. O. (Pepys observes, that no man, except the king, wished him alive again,

ing the king's amours, was the most absolute of all the king's favourites: and, which was peculiar to himself, he was as much in the duke of York's favour as in the king's. Berkeley was generous in his expense: and it was thought, if he had outlived the lewdness of that time, and come to a more sedate course of life, he would have put the king on great and noble designs. This I should have thought more likely, if I had not had it from the duke, who had so wrong a taste, that there was reason to suspect his judgment both of men and things. Bennet and Berkeley had the management of the mistress. And all the earl of Clarendon's enemies came about them: the chief of whom were the duke of Buckingham and the earl of Bristol. 1660.

The first of these was a man of noble presence. He had a great liveliness of wit, and a peculiar faculty of turning all things into ridicule with bold figures and natural descriptions. He had no sort of literature: only he was drawn into chemistry: and for some years he thought he was very near the finding the philosopher's stone; which had the effect that attends on all such men as he was, when they are drawn in, to lay out for it. He had no principles of religion, virtue, or friendship. Pleasure, frolic, or extravagant diversion, was all that he laid to heart. He was true to nothing, for he was not true to himself[t]. He had no steadiness nor conduct: he could keep no secret, nor execute any de- Buckingham's character.

after being killed in the engagement with the Dutch in the year 1665. See his *Diary*, vol. I. p. 344. But it appears, that the earl's friend, sir William Coventry, held him in great esteem, setting aside his subserviency to the king in his pleasures. See vol. II. of the same Diary, p. 258.)

[t] No consequence. S.

1660. sign without spoiling it[u]. He could never fix his thoughts, nor govern his estate, though then the greatest in England. He was bred about the king: and for many years he had a great ascendent over him: but he spake of him to all persons with that contempt, that at last he drew a lasting disgrace upon himself. And he at length ruined both body and mind, fortune and reputation equally. The madness of vice appeared in his person in very eminent instances; since at last he became contemptible and poor, sickly, and sunk in his parts, as well as in all other respects, so that his conversation was as much avoided as ever it had been courted. He found the king, when he came from his travels in the year forty-five, newly come to Paris, sent over by his father when his affairs declined: and finding the king enough inclined to receive ill impressions, he, who was then got into all the impieties and vices of the age, set himself to corrupt the king, in which he was too successful, being seconded in that wicked design by the lord Percy. And to complete the matter, Hobbs was brought to him, under the pretence of instructing him in mathematics: and he laid before him his schemes, both with relation to religion and politics, which made deep and lasting impressions on the king's mind. So that the main blame of the king's ill principles and bad morals was owing to the duke of Buckingham[x].

Bristol's character.

The earl of Bristol was a man of courage and

[u] Nonsense. S.

[x] The famous Butler (author of Hudibras) says in his Characters, lately published, "The "duke of Bucks is one that "has studied the whole body "of vice." And says also of this abominable man, "that "continual wine, women, and "music, had debauched his "understanding." O.

learning, of a bold temper and a lively wit, but of no judgment nor steadiness. He was in the queen's interest during the war at Oxford. And he studied to drive things past the possibility of a treaty, or any reconciliation; fancying that nothing would make the military men so sure to the king, as his being sure to them, and giving them hopes of sharing the confiscated estates among them; whereas, he thought, all discourses of treaty made them feeble and fearful. When he went beyond sea, he turned papist. But it was after a way of his own: for he loved to magnify the difference between the church and the court of Rome[y]. He was esteemed a very good speaker: but he was too copious, and too florid. He was set at the head of the popish party, and was a violent enemy of the earl of Clarendon. 1660. 101

Lauderdale's character.

Having now said as much as seems necessary to describe the state of the court and ministry at the restoration, I will next give an account of the chief of the Scots, and of the parties that were formed among them. The earl of Lauderdale, afterwards made duke, had been for many years a zealous covenanter: but in the year forty-seven he turned to the king's interests; and had continued a prisoner all the while after Worcester fight, where he was taken. He was kept for some years in the tower of London, in Portland castle, and in other prisons, till he was set at liberty by those who called home the king. So he went over to Holland. And since he continued so long, and contrary to all men's opinions in so high

y ("I am a catholic of the "church of Rome, not of "the court of Rome," are the words of the earl of Bristol in a yet unpublished speech addressed by him to the house of commons at some time about the year 1660.)

1660. a degree of favour and confidence, it may be expected that I should be a little copious in setting out his character; for I knew him very particularly. He made a very ill appearance: he was very big: his hair red, hanging oddly about him: his tongue was too big for his mouth, which made him bedew all that he talked to: and his whole manner was rough and boisterous, and very unfit for a court. He was very learned, not only in Latin, in which he was a master, but in Greek and Hebrew. He had read a great deal of divinity, and almost all the historians ancient and modern: so that he had great materials. He had with these an extraordinary memory, and a copious but unpolished expression. He was a man, as the duke of Buckingham called him to me, of a blundering understanding [not always clear, but often cloudy, as his looks were always. *Bowyer's Transcript.*] He was haughty beyond expression, abject to those he saw he must stoop to, but imperious to all others. He had a violence of passion that carried him often to fits like madness, in which he had no temper. If he took a thing wrong, it was a vain thing to study to convince him: that would rather provoke him to swear, he would never be of another mind: he was to be let alone: and perhaps he would have forgot what he had said, and come about of his own accord. He was the coldest friend and the violentest enemy I ever knew: I felt it too much not to know
102 it. He at first seemed to despise wealth: but he delivered himself up afterwards to luxury and sensuality: and by that means he ran into a vast expense, and stuck at nothing that was necessary to support it. In his long imprisonment he had great

impressions of religion on his mind: but he wore these out so entirely, that scarce any trace of them was left. His great experience in affairs, his ready compliance with every thing that he thought would please the king, and his bold offering at the most desperate counsels, gained him such an interest in the king, that no attempt against him, nor complaint of him, could ever shake it, till a decay of strength and understanding forced him to let go his hold. He was in his principles much against popery and arbitrary government: and yet, by a fatal train of passions and interests, he made way for the former, and had almost established the latter. And, whereas some by a smooth deportment made the first beginnings of tyranny less discernible and unacceptable, he, by the fury of his behaviour, heightened the severity of his ministry, which was liker the cruelty of an inquisition than the legality of justice. With all this he was a presbyterian, and retained his aversion to king Charles I. and his party to his death [z]. 1660.

Crawford's character.

The earl of Crawford had been his fellow prisoner for ten years. And that was a good title for maintaining him in the post he had before, of being lord treasurer. He was a sincere but weak man, passionate and indiscreet, and continued still a zealous presbyterian. The earl, afterwards duke of Rothes,

Rothes's character.

[z] (Cole, in a MS. note, mentions what he calls a fawning and abject dedication by Burnet to this nobleman of his *Vindication of the Authority of the Church and State of Scotland* in 1673, only two years before his quarrel with him. This dedication the author took great pains to suppress; and Cole is of opinion that he would not have allowed Lauderdale the possession of so many acquirements, had it not been for the existence of this dedication.)

1660. had married his daughter, and had the merit of a long imprisonment likewise to recommend him: he had a ready dexterity in the management of affairs, with a soft and insinuating address: he had a quick apprehension with a clear judgment: he had no advantage of education, no sort of literature: nor had he travelled abroad: all in him was mere nature. [But it was nature very much depraved; for he seemed to have freed himself from all impressions of virtue or religion, of honour or good nature. He delivered himself, without either restraint or decency, to all the pleasures of wine and women. He had but one maxim, to which he adhered firmly, that he was to do every thing, and deny himself in nothing, that might maintain his greatness, or gratify his appetites. He was unhappily made for drunkenness. For as he drank all his friends dead, and was able to subdue two or three sets of drunkards one after another; so it scarce ever appeared, that he was disordered; and after the greatest excesses, an hour or two of sleep carried them all off so entirely, that no sign of them remained. He would go about business without any uneasiness, or discovering any heat either in body or mind. This had a terrible conclusion; for after he had killed all his friends, he fell at last under such a weakness of stomach, that he had perpetual cholics, when he was not hot within, and full of strong liquor, of which he was presently seized; so that he was always either sick or drunk.]

Tweedale's character.

The earl of Tweedale was another of lord Lauderdale's friends. He was early engaged in business, and continued in it to a great age. He understood all the interests and concerns of Scotland well: he

had a great stock of knowledge, with a mild and obliging temper. He was of a blameless, or rather an exemplary life in all respects. He had loose thoughts both of civil and ecclesiastical government; and seemed to think, that what form soever was uppermost was to be complied with. He had been in Cromwell's parliament, and had abjured the royal family, which lay heavy on him. But the disputes about the guardianship of the duchess of Monmouth and her elder sister, to which he pretended in the right of his wife, who was their father's sister, against her mother, who was lord Rothes's sister, drew him into that compliance which brought a great cloud upon him: though he was in all other respects the ablest and worthiest man of the nobility: only he was too cautious and fearful. 1660. 103

D. Hamilton's character.

A son of the marquis of Douglas, made earl of Selkirk, had married the heiress of the family of Hamilton, who by her father's patent was duchess of Hamilton: and when the heiress of a title in Scotland marries one not equal to her in rank, it is ordinary, at her desire, to give her husband the title for life: so he was made duke of Hamilton. He then passed for a soft man, who minded nothing but the recovery of that family from the great debts under which it was sinking, till it was raised up again by his great management. After he had compassed that, he became a more considerable man. He wanted all sort of polishing: he was rough and sullen, but candid and sincere. His temper was boisterous, neither fit to submit nor to govern. He was mutinous when out of power, and imperious in it. He wrote well, but spoke ill: for his judgment, when calm, was better than his imagination. He

1660. made himself a great master in the knowledge of the laws, of the history, and of the families of Scotland; and seemed always to have a regard to justice, and the good of his country: but a narrow and selfish temper brought such an habitual meanness on him, that he was not capable of designing or undertaking great things.

Kincairdin's character.

Another man of that side, that made a good figure at that time, was Bruce, afterwards earl of Kincairdin, who had married a daughter of Mr. Somelsdych in Holland: and by that means he had got acquaintance with our princes beyond sea, and had supplied them liberally in their necessities. He was both the wisest and the worthiest man that belonged to his country, and fit for governing any affairs but his own; which he by a wrong turn, and by his love for the public, neglected to his ruin; for they consisting much in works, coals, salt, and mines, required much care; and he was very capable of it, having gone far in mathematics, and being a great master of mechanics. His thoughts went slow, and his words came much slower: but a deep judgment appeared in every thing he said or did. He had a noble zeal for justice, in which even friendship could never bias him. He had solid principles of religion and virtue, which shewed themselves with great lustre on all occasions. He was a faithful friend, and a merciful enemy. I may be perhaps inclined to carry his character too far; for he was the first man that entered into friendship with me. We continued for seventeen years in so
104 entire a friendship, that there was never either reserve or mistake between us all the while till his death. And it was from him that I understood the

whole secret of affairs; for he was trusted with every thing. He had a wonderful love to the king; and would never believe me, when I warned him what he might look for, if he did not go along with an abject compliance in every thing. He found it true in conclusion. And the love he bore the king made his disgrace sink deeper in him, than became such a philosopher or so good a Christian as he was. 1660.

I now turn to another set of men, of whom the earls of Midletoun and Glencairn were the chief. They were followed by the herd of the cavalier party, who were now very fierce, and full of courage over their cups, though they had been very discreet managers of it in the field, and in time of action. But now every one of them boasted that he had killed his thousands. And all were full of merit, and as full of high pretensions; far beyond what all the wealth and revenues of Scotland could answer[a]. The subtilest of all lord Midletoun's friends was sir Archibald Primerose: a man of long and great practice in affairs; for he and his father had served the

The general character of the old cavaliers.

Primerose's character.

[a] (The revenues of Scotland, however small, would have been more than sufficient to satisfy the just demands of the royalists, if what Burnett, archbishop of Glasgow, asserts, in his recommendation of the case of the widow of Charles the first's general, the earl of Brentford, be founded in truth. He writes thus to archbishop Sheldon: "—— I shall only say, her "lord was the only person of "honour in this country, that "from the first to the last adhered to his majestie, both "here and in England, and "forfeited by the rebels for "serving the king, and to this "hour is not restored; at least "she, nor her children not yet "possessed of his estate." The letter was written in the year 1666, and is one, amongst several others, addressed by this archbishop of Glasgow to archbishop Sheldon. They were lately in the possession of sir John English Dolben, a descendant from a brother of the archbishop of Canterbury, but are now, together with the other Sheldon papers, preserved in the Bodleian Library.)

1606. crown successively an hundred years all but one, when he was turned out of employment. He was a dexterous man in business: he had always expedients ready at every difficulty. He had an art of speaking to all men according to their sense of things: and so drew out their secrets, while he concealed his own: for words went for nothing with him. He said every thing that was necessary to persuade those he spoke to, that he was of their mind; and did it in so genuine a way, that he seemed to speak his heart. He was always for soft counsels and slow methods: and thought that the chief thing that a great man ought to do was, to raise his family and his kindred, who naturally stick to him; for he had seen so much of the world, that he did not depend much on friends, and so took no care in making any. He always advised the earl of Midletoun to go slowly in the king's business; but to do his own effectually, before the king should see he had no farther occasion for him. That earl had another friend, who had more credit with him, though Primerose was more necessary for managing a parliament: he was sir John Fletcher, made the king's advocate, or attorney-general: for Nicolson was dead. Fletcher was a man of a generous temper, who despised wealth, except as it was necessary to support a vast expense. He was a bold and fierce man, who hated all mild proceedings, and could scarce speak with decency or patience to those of the other side. So that he was looked on by all that had been faulty in the late times, as an inquisitor-general. On the other hand, Primerose took money liberally, and was the intercessor for all who made such effectual applications to him.

Fletcher's character.

The first thing that was to be thought on, with relation to Scotish affairs, was the manner in which offenders in the late times were to be treated: for all were at mercy. In the letter the king writ from Breda to the parliament of England, he had promised a full indemnity for all that was past, excepting only those who had been concerned in his father's death: to which the earl of Clarendon persuaded the king to adhere in a most sacred manner; since the breaking of faith in such a point was that which must for ever destroy confidence, and the observing all such promises seemed to be a fundamental maxim in government, which was to be maintained in such a manner, that not so much as a stretch was to be made in it. But there was no promise made for Scotland: so all the cavaliers, as they were full of revenge, hoped to have the estates of those who had been concerned in the late wars divided among them. The earl of Lauderdale told the king, on the other hand, that the Scotish nation had turned eminently, though unfortunately, to serve his father in the year forty-eight; that they had brought himself among them, and had lost two armies in his service, and had been under nine years' oppression on that account; that they had encouraged and assisted Monk in all he did: they might be therefore highly disgusted, if they should not have the same measure of grace and pardon that he was to give England. Besides, the king, while he was in Scotland, had, in the parliament of Stirling, passed a very full act of indemnity, though in the terms and with the title of an act of approbation. It is true, the records of that parliament were not extant, but had been lost in the confusion that fol-

1660.

Advices offered in Scotish affairs.

For a general indemnity.

1660. lowed upon the reduction of that kingdom: yet the thing was so fresh in every man's memory, that it might have a very ill effect, if the king should proceed without a regard to it. There was indeed another very severe act made in that parliament against all that should treat or submit to Cromwell, or comply in any sort with him: but he said, a difference ought to be made between those who during the struggle had deserted the service, and gone over to the enemy, of which number it might be fit to make some examples, and the rest of the kingdom, who upon the general reduction had been forced to capitulate: it would be hard to punish any for submitting to a superior force, when they were in no condition to resist it. This seemed reasonable: and the earl of Clarendon acquiesced in it. But the earl of Midletoun and his party complained of it, and de-
106 sired that the marquis of Argile, whom they charged with an accession to the king's murder, and some few of those who had joined in the remonstrance while the king was in Scotland, might be proceeded against. The marquis of Argile's craft made them afraid of him: and his estate made them desire to divide it among them. His son, the lord Lorn, was come up to court, and was well received by the king: for he had adhered so firmly to the king's interest, that he would never enter into any engagements with the usurpers: and upon every new occasion of jealousy he had been clapt up. In one of his imprisonments he had a terrible accident from a cannon bullet, which the soldiers were throwing to exercise their strength, and by a recoil struck him in the head, and made such a fracture in his skull, that the operation of the trepan, and the cure,

was counted one of the greatest performances of surgery at that time. The difference between his father and him went on to a total breach; so that his father was set upon the disinheriting him of all that was still left in his power. Upon the restoration the marquis of Argile went up to the Highlands for some time, till he advised with his friends what to do, who were divided in opinion. He writ by his son to the king, asking leave to come and wait on him. The king gave an answer that seemed to encourage it, but did not bind him to any thing. I have forgot the words: there was an equivocating in them that did not become a prince: but his son told me, he wrote them very particularly to his father, without any advice of his own. Upon that the marquis of Argile came up so secretly, that he was within Whitehall, before his enemies knew any thing of his journey. He sent his son to the king, to beg admittance. But instead of that, he was sent to the tower. And orders were sent down for clapping up three of the chief remonstrators. Of these Waristoun was one: but he had notice sent him before the messenger came: so he made his escape, and went beyond sea, first to Hamburgh. He had been long courted by Cromwell, and had stood at a distance from him for seven years: but in the last year of his government he had gone into his counsels, and was summoned as one of his peers to the other house, as it was called. He was after that put into the council of state after Richard was put out: and then he sat in another court put up by Lambert and the army, called the committee of safety. So there was a great deal against him. Swinton, one of Cromwell's lords, was also sent a prisoner to Scot-

1660.

Argile sent to the tower.

1660. land. And thus it was resolved to make a few examples in the parliament that was to be called, as soon as the king could be got to prepare matters for
107 it. It was resolved on, to restore the king's authority to the same state it was in before the wars, and to raise such a force as might be necessary to secure the quiet of that kingdom for the future.

The citadels in Scotland demolished.

It was a harder point, what to do with the citadels that were built by Cromwell, and with the English garrisons that were kept in them. Many said, it was necessary to keep that kingdom in that subdued state; at least till all things were settled, and that there was no more danger from thence. The earl of Clarendon was of this mind. But the earl of Lauderdale laid before the king, that the conquest Cromwell had made of Scotland was for their adhering to him: he might then judge what they would think, who had suffered so much and so long on his account; if the same thraldom should be now kept up by his means: it would create an universal disgust. He told the king, that the time might come, in which he would wish rather to have Scotch garrisons in England: it would become a national quarrel, and loose the affections of the country to such a degree, that perhaps they would join with the garrisons, if any disjointing happened in England against him: whereas, without any such badge of slavery, Scotland might be so managed, that they might be made entirely his. The earl of Midletoun and his party durst not appear for so unpopular a thing. So it was agreed on, that the citadels should be evacuated and slighted, as soon as the money could be raised in England for paying and disbanding the army. Of all this the earl of Lau-

derdale was believed the chief adviser. So he became very popular in Scotland. 1660.

The next thing that fell under consideration was the church, and whether bishops were to be restored, or not. The earl of Lauderdale at his first coming to the king stuck firm to presbytery. He told me, the king spoke to him to let that go, for it was not a religion for gentlemen. He being really a presbyterian, but at the same time resolving to get into the king's confidence, studied to convince the king by a very subtle method to keep up presbytery still in Scotland. He told him, that both king James and his father had ruined their affairs by engaging in the design of setting up episcopacy in that kingdom: and by that means Scotland became discontented, and was of no use to them: whereas the king ought to govern them according to the grain of their own inclinations, and to make them sure to him: he ought, instead of endeavouring an uniformity in both kingdoms, to keep up the opposition between them, and rather to increase than to allay that hatred that was between them: and then the Scots would be ready, and might be easily brought to serve him upon any occasion of dispute he might afterwards have with the parliament of England: 108 all things were then smooth: but that was the honey-moon, and it could not last long: nothing would keep England more in awe, than if they saw Scotland firm in their duty and affection to him: whereas nothing gave them so much heart, as when they knew Scotland was disjointed: it was a vain attempt to think of doing any thing in England by means of the Irish, who were a despicable people, and had a sea to pass: but Scotland could be brought to en- Disputes concerning episcopacy.

1660. gage for the king in a more silent manner, and could serve him more effectually: he therefore laid it down for a maxim, from which the king ought never to depart, that Scotland was to be kept quiet and in good humour, that the opposition of the two kingdoms was to be kept up and heightened: and then the king might reckon on every man capable of bearing arms in Scotland, as a listed soldier, who would willingly change a bad country for a better. This was the plan he laid before the king. I cannot tell, whether this was to cover his zeal for presbytery, or on design to encourage the king to set up arbitrary government in England.

To fortify these advices, he wrote a long letter in white ink to a daughter of the earl of Cassilis, lady Margaret Kennedy, who was in great credit with the party, and was looked on as a very wise and good woman, and was out of measure zealous for them. I married her afterwards, and after her death found this letter among her papers: in which he expressed great zeal for the cause: he saw the king was indifferent in the matter: but he was easy to those who pressed for a change: which, he said, nothing could so effectually hinder, as the sending up many men of good sense, but without any noise, who might inform the king of the aversion the nation had to that government, and assure him that, if in that point he would be easy to them, he might depend upon them as to every thing else; and particularly, if he stood in need of their service in his other dominions: but he charged her to trust very few of the ministers with this, and to take care that Sharp might know nothing of it: for he was then jealous of him. This had all the effect that the earl

of Lauderdale intended by it. The king was no more jealous of his favouring presbytery; but looked on him as a fit instrument to manage Scotland, and to serve him in the most desperate designs: and on this all his credit with the king was founded. In the mean time Sharp, seeing the king cold in the matter of episcopacy, thought it was necessary to lay the presbyterians asleep, to make them apprehend no danger to their government, and to engage the public resolutioners to proceed against all the protesters; that so those who were like to be the most inflexible in the point of episcopacy might be censured by their own party, and by that means the others might become so odious to the more violent presbyterians, that thereby they might be the more easily disposed to submit to episcopacy, or at least might have less credit to act against it. So he, being pressed by those who employed him to procure somewhat from the king that might look like a confirmation of their government, and put to silence all discourses of an intended change, obtained by the earl of Lauderdale's means, that a letter should be writ by the king to the presbytery of Edenburgh, to be communicated by them to all the other presbyteries in Scotland, in which he confirmed the general assemblies that sat at St. Andrew's and Dundee while he was in Scotland, and that had confirmed the public resolutions; in which he ordered them to proceed to censure all those who had then protested against them, and would not now submit to them. The king did also confirm their (the) presbyterian government, as it was by law established. This was signed, and sent down without communicating it to the earl

1660

109

1660. of Midletoun or his party. But as soon as he heard of it, he thought Sharp had betrayed the design; and sent for him, and charged him with it. Sharp said, in his own excuse, that somewhat must be done for quieting the presbyterians, who were beginning to take the alarm: that might have produced such applications, as would perhaps make some impression on the king: whereas now all was secured, and yet the king was engaged to nothing; for his confirming their government, as it was established by law, could bind him no longer than while that legal establishment was in force: so the reversing of that would release the king. This allayed the earl of Midletoun's displeasure a little. Yet Primerose told me, he spoke often of it with great indignation, since it seemed below the dignity of a king thus to equivocate with his people, and to deceive them. It seemed, that Sharp thought it not enough to cheat the party himself, but would have the king share with him in the fraud. This was no honourable step to be made by a king, and to be contrived by a clergyman. The letter was received with transports of joy: the presbyterians reckoned they were safe, and began to proceed severely against the protesters; to which they were set on by some aspiring men, who hoped to merit by the heat expressed on this occasion. And if Sharp's impatience to get into the archbishopric of St. Andrews had not wrought too strong on him, it would have given a great advantage to the restitution of episcopacy, if a general assembly had been called, and the two parties had been let loose on one another: that would have shewn the impossibility of maintaining the govern-

ment of the church in a parity, and the necessity of setting a superior order over them for keeping them in unity and peace. 1660.

A ministry settled in Scotland.

The king settled the ministry in Scotland. The earl of Midletoun was declared the king's commissioner for holding the parliament, and general of the forces that were to be raised: the earl of Glencairn was made chancellor: the earl of Lauderdale was secretary of state: the earl of Rothes president of the council: the earl of Crawford was continued in the treasury: Primerose was clerk register, which is very like the place of master of the rolls in England. The rest depended on these. But the earls of Midletoun and Lauderdale were the two heads of the parties. The earl of Midletoun had a private instruction, which, as Lauderdale told me, was not communicated to him, to try the inclinations of the nation for episcopacy, and to consider of the best method of setting it up. This was drawn from the king by the earl of Clarendon: for he himself was observed to be very cold in it. While these things were doing, Primerose got an order from the king to put up all the public registers of Scotland, which Cromwell had brought up, and lodged in the tower of London, as a pawn upon that kingdom, in imitation of what king Edward the first was said to have done when he subdued that nation. They were now put up in fifty hogsheads: and a ship was ready to carry them down. But it was suggested to lord Clarendon, that the original covenant, signed by the king, and some other declarations under his hand, were among them[b]. And he, apprehending that at

[b] Dr. Montague shewed it me in the library belonging to Trinity college in Cambridge. D.

1660. some time or other an ill use might have been made of these, would not suffer them to be shipped till they were visited: nor would he take Primerose's promise of searching for these carefully, and sending them up to him. So he ordered a search to be made. None of the papers he looked for were found. But so much time was lost, that the summer was spent: so they were sent down in winter: and by some easterly gusts the ship was cast away near Berwick. So we lost all our records. And we have nothing now but some fragments in private hands to rely on, having made at that time so great a shipwreck of all our authentic writings. This heightened the displeasure the nation had at the designs then on foot.

A council proposed to sit at court for Scotish affairs.

The main thing, upon which all other matters depended, was the method in which the affairs of Scotland were to be conducted. The earl of Clarendon moved, that there might be a council settled to sit
111 regularly at Whitehall on Scotish affairs, to which every one of the Scotch privy council that happened to be on the place should be admitted: but with this addition, that, as two Scotch lords were called to the English council, so six of the English were to be of the Scotch council. The effect of this would have been, that whereas the Scotch counsellors had no great force in English affairs, the English, as they were men of great credit with the king, and were always on the place, would have the government of the affairs of Scotland wholly in their hands. This probably would have saved that nation from much injustice and violence, when there was a certain method of laying their grievances before the king: complaints would have been heard, and matters well examined: Englishmen would not, and durst not,

have given way to crying oppression and illegal proceedings: for though these matters did not fall under the cognizance of an English parliament, yet it would have very much blasted a man's credit, who should have concurred in such methods of government as were put in practice afterwards in that kingdom: therefore all people quickly saw how wise a project this was, and how happy it would have proved, if affairs had still gone in that channel. But the earl of Lauderdale opposed this with all his strength. He told the king, it would quite destroy the scheme he had laid before him, which must be managed secretly, and by men that were not in fear of the parliament of England, nor obnoxious to it. He said to all Scotchmen, this would make Scotland a province to England, and subject it to English counsellors, who knew neither the laws nor the interests of Scotland, and yet would determine every thing relating to it: and all the wealth of Scotland would be employed to bribe them, who, having no concern of their own in the affairs of that kingdom, must be supposed capable of being turned by private considerations. To the presbyterians he said, this would infallibly bring in, not only episcopacy, but every thing else from the English pattern. Men who had neither kindred nor estates in Scotland would be biassed chiefly by that which was most in vogue in England, without any regard to the inclinations of the Scots. These things made great impressions on the Scotish nation. The king himself did not much like it. But the earl of Clarendon told him, Scotland, by a secret and ill management, had begun the embroilment in his father's affairs, which could never have happened, if the affairs of

1660.

1660. that kingdom had been under a more equal inspection: if Scotland should again fall into new disorders, he must have the help of England to quiet them: and that could not be expected, if the Eng-
112 lish had no share in the conduct of matters there. The king yielded to it: and this method was followed for two or three years; but was afterwards broke by the earl of Lauderdale, when he got into the chief management. He began early to observe some uneasiness in the king at the earl of Clarendon's positive way. He saw the mistress hated him: and he believed she would in time be too hard for him: therefore he made great applications to her. But his conversation was too coarse: and he had not money enough to support himself by presents to her: so he could not be admitted into that cabal which was held in her lodgings. He saw, that in a council, where men of weight, who had much at stake in England, bore the chief sway, he durst not have proposed those things, by which he intended to establish his own interest with the king, and to govern that kingdom which way his pride or passion might guide him. Among others, he took great pains to persuade me of the great service he had done his country by breaking that method of governing it; though we had many occasions afterwards to see how fatal that proved, and how wicked his design in it was.

The committee of estates meet in Scotland.

I have thus opened with some copiousness the beginnings of this reign; since, as they are little known, and I had them from the chief of both sides, so they may guide the reader to observe the progress of things better in the sequel than he could otherwise do. In August the earl of Glencairn was sent

down to Scotland, and had orders to call together the committee of estates. This was a practice begun in the late times: when the parliament made a recess, they appointed some of every state to sit, and to act as a council of state in their name till the next session; for which they were to prepare matters, and to which they gave an account of their proceedings. When the parliament of Stirling was adjourned, the king being present, a committee had been named: so, such of these as were yet alive were summoned to meet, and to see to the quiet of the nation, till the parliament should be brought together; which did not meet before January. On the day in which the committee met, ten or twelve of the protesting ministers met likewise at Edenburgh, and had before them a warm paper prepared by one Guthry, one of the violentest ministers of the whole party. In it, after some cold compliment to the king upon his restoration, they put him in mind of the covenant which he had so solemnly sworn while among them: they lamented that, instead of pursuing the ends of it in England, as he had sworn to do, he had set up the common prayer in his chapel, and the order of bishops: upon which they made terrible denunciations of heavy judg- 113
ments from God on him, if he did not stand to the covenant, which they called the oath of God. The earl of Glencairn had notice of this meeting: and he sent and seized on them, together with this remonstrance. The paper was voted scandalous and seditious: and the ministers were all clapt up in prison, and were threatened with great severities. Guthry was kept still in prison, who had brought the others together: but the rest, after a while's im- 1660.

1660. prisonment were let go. Guthry, being minister of Stirling while the king was there, had let fly at him in his sermons in a most indecent manner; which at last became so intolerable, that he was cited to appear before the king to answer for some passages in his sermons: he would not appear, but declined the king and his council, who, he said, were not proper judges of matters of doctrine, for which he was only accountable to the judicatories of the kirk. He also protested for remedy of law against the king, for thus disturbing him in the exercise of his ministry. This personal affront had irritated the king more against him, than against any other of the party. And it was resolved to strike a terror into them all, by making an example of him. He was a man of courage, and went through all his trouble with great firmness. But this way of proceeding struck the whole party with such a consternation, that it had all the effect which was designed by it: for whereas the pulpits had, to the great scandal of religion, been places where the preachers had for many years vented their spleen and arraigned all proceedings, they became now more decent, and there was a general silence every where with relation to the affairs of state: only they could not hold from many sly and secret insinuations, as if the ark of God was shaking, and the glory departing. A great many offenders were summoned, at the king's suit, before the committee of estates, and required to give bail, that they should appear at the opening of the parliament, and answer to what should be then objected to them. Many saw the design of this was to fright them into a composition, and also into a concurrence with the mea-

sures that were to be taken. For the greater part they complied, and redeemed themselves from farther vexation by such presents as they were able to make. And in these transactions Primerose and Fletcher were the great dealers. 1660.

In the end of the year the earl of Midletoun came down with great magnificence: his way of living was the most splendid the nation had ever seen: but it was likewise the most scandalous; for vices of all sorts were the open practices of those about him. Drinking was the most notorious of all, which was often continued through the whole night to the next morning: and many disorders happening after those irregular heats, the people, who had never before that time seen any thing like it, came to look with an ill eye on every thing that was done by such a set of lewd and vicious men. This laid in all men's minds a new prejudice against episcopacy: for they, who could not examine into the nature of things, were apt to take an ill opinion of every change in religion that was brought about by such bad instruments. There had been a face of gravity and piety[c] in the former administration, which made the libertinage of the present time more odious. A parliament in Scotland. 114

The earl of Midletoun opened the parliament on the first of January with a speech setting forth the blessing of the restoration: he magnified the king's person, and enlarged on the affection that he bore to that his ancient kingdom: he hoped they would make suitable returns of zeal for the king's service, 1661.

[c] Do add *hypocrisy*. Six sermons a day! To what end or purpose? *Cole.*

1661. that they would condemn all the invasions that had been made on the regal authority, and assert the just prerogative of the crown, and give supplies for keeping up such a force as was necessary to secure the public peace, and to preserve them from the return of such calamities as they had so long felt. The parliament writ an answer to the king's letter full of duty and thanks. The first thing proposed was to name lords of the articles. In order to the apprehending the importance of this, I will give some account of the constitution of that kingdom.

The lords of the articles.

The parliament was anciently the king's court, where all who held land of him were bound to appear. All sat in one house, but were considered as three estates. The first was the church, represented by the bishops, and mitred abbots, and priors. The second was the baronage, the nobility and gentry who held their baronies of the king. And the third was the boroughs, who held of the king by barony, though in a community. So that the parliament was truly the baronage of the kingdom. The lesser barons grew weary of this attendance: so in king James the first's time (during the reign of Henry IV. of England) they were excused from it, and were impowered to send proxies, to an indefinite number, to represent them in parliament. Yet they neglected to do this. And it continued so till king James the sixth's time, in which the mitred abbots being taken away, and few of the titular bishops that were then continued appearing at them, the church lands being generally in lay hands, the nobility carried matters in parliament as they pleased: and as they oppressed the boroughs, so they had the king much
115 under them. Upon this the lower barons got them-

selves to be restored to the right which they had neglected near two hundred years. They were allowed by act of parliament to send two from a county: only some smaller counties sent but one. This brought that constitution to a truer balance. The lower barons have a right to choose, at their county courts after Michaelmas, their commissioners, to serve in any parliament that may be called within that year. And they who choose them sign a commission to him who represents them. So the sheriff has no share of the return. And in the case of controverted elections the parliament examines the commissions, to see who has the greatest number, and judges whether every one that signs it had a right to do so. The boroughs only choose their members when the summons goes out: and all are chosen by the men of the corporation, or, as they call them, the town council. All these estates sit in one house, and vote together. Anciently the parliament sat only two days, the first and the last. On the first they chose those who were to sit on the articles, eight for every state, to whom the king joined eight officers of state. These received all the heads of grievances or articles that were brought to them, and formed them into bills as they pleased: and on the last day of the parliament, these were all read, and were approved or rejected by the whole body. So they were a committee that had a very extraordinary authority, since nothing could be brought before the parliament but as they pleased. This was pretended to be done only for the shortening and dispatching of sessions. The crown was not contented with this limitation, but got it to be 1661.

1661. carried farther. The nobility came to choose eight bishops, and the bishops to choose eight noblemen: and these sixteen choose the eight barons, (so the representatives for the shires are called,) and the eight burgesses [d]. By this means our kings did upon the matter choose all the lords of the articles. So entirely had they got the liberties of that parliament into their hands.

During the late troubles they had still kept up a distinction of three estates, the lesser barons making one: and then every estate might meet apart, and name their own committee: but still all things were brought in, and debated in full parliament. So now the first thing proposed was, the returning to the old custom of naming lords of the articles. The earl of Tweedale opposed it, but was seconded only by one person. So it passed with that small opposition. Only, to make it go easier, it was promised, that there should be frequent sessions of parliament, and that the acts should not be brought in in a hurry, and carried with the haste that had been practised in former times.

116 The parliament granted the king an additional revenue for life of 40,000*l.* a year, to be raised by an excise on beer and ale, for maintaining a small force: upon which two troops and a regiment of foot guards were to be raised [e]. They ordered the marquis of Montrose's quarters to be brought toge-

The acts passed in this session.

[d] See the preamble of this act in Collier's *Eccl. Hist.* vol. II. p. 891. The clergy chose first eight noblemen. *Bowyer.*

[e] (But Baillie's observation on this grant is, that "when all this is distributed among well deserving men, the necessities of many, and greed of sundry, will not be got satisfied." Baillie's *Letters*, Let. 200. vol. II. p. 455.)

ther: and they were buried with great state. They fell next upon the acts of the former times that had limited the prerogative: they repealed them, and asserted it with a full extent in a most extraordinary manner. Primerose had the drawing of these acts. He often confessed to me, that he thought he was as one bewitched while he drew them: for, not considering the ill use might be made of them afterwards, he drew them with preambles full of extravagant rhetoric, reflecting severely on the proceedings of the late times, and swelled them up with the highest phrases and fullest clauses that he could invent. In the act which asserted the king's power of the militia, the power of arming and levying the subjects was carried so far, that it would have ruined the kingdom, if Gilmore, (an eminent lawyer, and a man of great integrity, who had now the more credit, for he had always favoured the king's side,) had not observed that, as the act was worded, the king might require all the subjects to serve at their own charge, and might oblige them, in order to the redeeming themselves from serving, to pay whatever might be set on them. So he made such an opposition to this, that it could not pass till a proviso was added to it, that the kingdom should not be obliged to maintain any force levied by the king, otherwise than as it should be agreed to in parliament, or in a convention of estates. This was the only thing that was then looked to: for all the other acts passed in the articles as Primerose had penned them. They were brought into parliament: and upon one hasty reading them they were put to the vote, and were always carried. 1661.

One act troubled the presbyterians extremely

1661. In the act asserting the king's power in treaties of peace and war, all leagues with any other nation, not made by the king's authority, were declared treasonable: and in consequence of this, the league and covenant made with England in the year 1643 was condemned, and declared of no force for the future. This was the idol of all the presbyterians: so they were much alarmed at it. But Sharp restrained all those with whom he had credit: he told them, the only way to preserve their government was, to let all that related to the king's authority be separated from it, and be condemned, that so they might be no more accused as enemies to monarchy, or as leavened with the principles of rebel-
117 lion. He told them, they must be contented to let that pass, that the jealousy which the king had of them, as enemies to his prerogative, might be extinguished in the most effectual manner. This restrained many. But some hotter zealots could not be governed. One Macquair, a hot man, and considerably learned, did in his church at Glasgow openly protest against this act, as contrary to the oath of God, and so void of itself. To protest against an act of parliament was treason by their law. And Midletoun was resolved to make an example of him for the terrifying others. But Macquair was as stiff as he was severe, and would come to no submission. Yet he was only condemned to perpetual banishment. Upon which he, and some others, who were afterwards banished, went and settled at Rotterdam, where they formed themselves into a presbytery, and writ many seditious books, and kept a correspondence over all Scotland, that being the chief seat of the Scotish trade: and by that means

they did much more mischief to the government, than they could have done had they continued still in Scotland. 1661.

The lords of the articles grew weary of preparing so many acts as the practices of the former times gave occasion for; but did not know how to meddle with those acts that the late king had passed in the year forty-one, or the present king had passed while he was in Scotland. They saw, that, if they should proceed to repeal those by which presbyterian government was ratified, that would raise much opposition, and bring petitions from all that were for that government over the whole kingdom; which Midletoun and Sharp endeavoured to prevent, that the king might be confirmed in what they had affirmed, that the general bent of the nation was now turned against presbytery and for bishops. So Primerose proposed, but half in jest, as he assured me, that the better and shorter way would be to pass a general act rescissory, (as it was called,) annulling all the parliaments that had been held since the year 1633, during the whole time of the war, as faulty and defective in their constitution. But it was not so easy to know upon what point that defect was to be fixed. The only colourable pretence in law was, that, since the ecclesiastical state was not represented in those parliaments, they were not a full representative of the kingdom, and so not true parliaments. But this could not be alleged by this present parliament, which had no bishops in it: if that inferred a nullity, this was no parliament. Therefore they could only fix the nullity upon the pretence of force and violence. Yet it was a great strain to insist on that, since it was visible that nei-

An act rescinding all parliaments held since the year 1633.

1661. ther the late king nor the present were under any force when they passed them: they came of their own accord, and passed those acts[f]. If it was insisted on, that the ill state of their affairs was in the nature of a force, the ill consequences of this were visible; since no prince by this means could be bound to any treaty, or be concluded by any law that limited his power, these being always drawn from them by the necessity of their affairs, which can never be called a force, as long as their persons are free. So, upon some debate about it on those grounds, at a private juncto, the proposition, though well liked, was let fall, as not capable to have good colours put upon it: nor had the earl of Midletoun any instruction to warrant his passing any such act. Yet within a day or two, when they had drunk higher, they resolved to venture on it. Primerose was then ill. So one was sent to him to desire him to prepare a bill to that effect. He set about it: but perceived it was so ill grounded, and so wild in all the frame of it, that he thought, when it came to be better considered, it must certainly be laid aside. But it fell out otherwise: his draught was copied out next morning, without altering a word in it, and carried to the articles, and from thence to the parliament, where it met indeed with great opposition. The earl of Crawford and the duke of Hamilton argued much against it. The parliament in the year forty-one was legally summoned: the late king came thither in person with his ordinary attendance, and without the appearance of any force: if any acts then passed needed to be reviewed, that

118

[f] Both kings were under a force. S.

might be well done: but to annul a parliament was a terrible precedent, which destroyed the whole security of government[g]: another parliament might annul the present parliament, as well as that which was now proposed to be done: so no stop could be made, nor any security laid down for fixing things for the future: the parliament in the year forty-eight proceeded upon instructions under the king's own hand, which was all that could be had, considering his imprisonment: they had declared for the king, and raised an army for his preservation. To this the earl of Midletoun, who, contrary to custom, managed the debate himself, answered, that though there was no visible force on the late king in the year forty-one, yet they all knew he was under a real force, by reason of the rebellion that had been in this kingdom, and the apparent danger of one ready to break out in England, which forced him to settle Scotland on such terms as he could bring them to: so that distress on his affairs was really equivalent to a force on his person[h]: yet he confessed, it was just, that such an appearance of a parliament should be a full authority to all who acted under it: and care was taken to secure these by a proviso that was put in the act to indemnify 119
them: he acknowledged the design of the parliament in the year forty-eight was good: yet they declared for the king in such terms, and had acted so hypocritically in order to the gaining of the kirk party, that it was just to condemn the proceedings, though the intentions of many were honourable and loyal: for we went into it, he said, as knaves, and

1661.

[g] Wrong arguing. S.

[h] It was so. S.

1661. therefore no wonder if we miscarried in it as fools[i]. This was very ill taken by all who had been concerned in it. The bill was put to the vote, and carried by a great majority: and the earl of Midletoun immediately passed it without staying for an instruction from the king. The excuse he made for it was, that, since the king had by his letter to the presbyterians confirmed their government as it was established by law, there was no way left to get out of that, but the annulling all those laws.

It was not liked by the king.

This was a most extravagant act, and only fit to be concluded after a drunken bout. It shook all possible security for the future, and laid down a most pernicious precedent. The earl of Lauderdale aggravated this heavily to the king. It shewed, that the earl of Midletoun understood not the first principles of government, since he had, without any warrant for it, given the king's assent to a law that must for ever take away all the security that law can give: no government was so well established, as not to be liable to a revolution: this would cut off all hopes of peace and submission, if any disorder should happen at any time thereafter[k]. And since the earl of Clarendon had set it up for a maxim never to be violated, that acts of indemnity were sacred things, he studied to possess him against the earl of Midletoun, who had now annulled the very parliaments in which two kings had passed acts of indemnity. This raised a great clamour. And upon that the earl of Midletoun complained in parliament, that their best services were represented to the king as blemishes on his honour, and as a pre-

[i] True. S.

[k] Wrong weak reasoning. S.

judice to his affairs: so he desired they would send up some of the most eminent of their body to give the king a true account of their proceedings. The earls of Glencairn and Rothes were sent: for the earl of Rothes gave secret engagements to both sides, resolving to strike into that to which he saw the king most inclined. The earl of Midletoun's design was to accuse the earl of Lauderdale of misrepresenting the proceedings of parliament, and of belying the king's good subjects, called in the Scotish law leasing making, which either to the king of the people, or to the people of the king, is capital. 1661.

Sharp went up with these lords to press the speedy setting up of episcopacy, now that the greatest enemies of that government were under a general consternation, and were upon other accounts so obnoxious that they durst not make any opposition to it, since no act of indemnity was yet passed. He had expressed a great concern to his old brethren, when the act rescissory passed, and acted that part very solemnly for some days: yet he seemed to take heart again, and persuaded the ministers of that party, that it would be a service to them, since now the case of ratifying their government was separated from the rebellion of the late times: so that hereafter it was to subsist by a law passed in a parliament that sat and acted in full freedom. So he undertook to go again to court, and to move for an instruction to settle presbytery on a new and undisputed bottom. The poor men were so struck with the ill state of their affairs, that they either trusted him, or at least seemed to do it; for indeed they had neither sense nor courage left them. During the session of parliament, the most aspiring men of

The presbyterians in great disorder. 120

1661. the clergy were picked out to preach before the parliament. They did not speak out: but they all insinuated the necessity of a greater authority than was then in the church, for keeping them in order. One or two spoke plainer: upon which the presbytery of Edenburgh went to the earl of Midletoun, and complained of that, as an affront to the law and to the king's letter. He dismissed them with good words, but took no notice of their complaint. The synods in several places resolved to prepare addresses both to king and parliament, for an act establishing their government. And Sharp dissembled so artificially, that he met with those who were preparing an address to be presented to the synod of Fife, that was to sit within a week after: and heads were agreed on. Honyman, afterwards bishop of Orkney, drew it up with so much vehemence, that Wood, their divinity professor, told me, he and some others sat up almost the whole night before the synod met, to draw it over again in a smoother strain. But Sharp gave the earl of Midletoun notice of this. So the earl of Rothes was sent over to see to their behaviour. As soon as the ministers entered upon that subject, he, in the king's name, dissolved the synod, and commanded the ministers, under pain of treason, to retire to their several habitations. Such care was taken that no public application should be made in favour of presbytery. Any attempt that was made on the other hand met with great encouragement[k]. The synod of Aberdeen was the only body that made an address looking towards episcopacy. In a long pre-

[k] Does this man write like a bishop? S.

amble they reflected on the confusions and violence of the late times, of which they enumerated many particulars; and they concluded with a prayer, that since the legal authority upon which their courts proceeded was now annulled, that therefore the king and parliament would settle their government, conform to the scriptures and the rules of the primitive church. The presbyterians saw what was driven at, and how their words would be understood: but I heard one of them say, (for I was present at that meeting,) that no man could decently oppose those words, since by that he would insinuate that he thought presbytery was not conform to these. 1661. 121

In this session of parliament another act passed, which was a new affliction to all the party: the twenty-ninth of May was appointed to be kept as a holy day; since on that day an end had been put to three and twenty years' course of rebellion, of which the whole progress was reckoned up in the highest strain of Primerose's eloquence. The ministers saw, that by observing this act passed with such a preamble, they condemned all their former proceedings, as rebellious and hypocritical. They saw, that by obeying it they would lose all their credit, and contradict all they had been building up in a course of so many years. Yet such was the heat of that time that they durst not except to it on that account. So they laid hold on the subtilty of a holy day; and covered themselves under that controversy, denying it was in the power of any human authority to make a day holy. But withal they fell upon a poor shift: they enacted in their several presbyteries that they should observe that day as a thanksgiving

1661. for the king's restoration: so they took no notice of the act of parliament, but observed it in obedience to their own act. But this, though it covered them from prosecution, since the law was obeyed, yet it laid them open to much contempt. When the earls of Glencairn and Rothes came to court, the king was soon satisfied with the account they gave of the proceedings of parliament: and the earl of Lauderdale would not own that he had ever misrepresented them. They were ordered to proceed in their charging of him, as the earl of Clarendon should direct them. But he told them the assaulting of a minister, as long as he had an interest in the king, was a practice that never could be approved: it was one of the uneasy things that a house of commons of England sometimes ventured on, which was ungrateful to the court: such an attempt, instead of shaking the earl of Lauderdale, would give him a faster root with the king. They must therefore content themselves with letting the king see how well his service went on in their hands, and how unjustly they had been misrepresented to him: and thus by degrees they would gain their point, and the earl of Lauderdale would
122 become useless to the king. So this design was let fall. But the earl of Rothes assured Lauderdale, he had diverted the storm: though Primerose told me, this was the true ground on which they proceeded. They became all friends, as to outward appearance.

Thus I have gone through the actings of the first session of this parliament with relation to public affairs. It was a mad roaring time, full of extravagance. And no wonder it was so, when the men of affairs were almost perpetually drunk. I shall in

the next place give an account of the attainders passed in it. 1661.

The first and chief of these was of the marquis of Argile. He was indicted at the king's suit for a great many facts, that were reduced to three heads. The first was of his public actings during the wars, of which many instances were given; such as his being concerned in the delivering up of the king to the English at Newcastle, his opposing the engagement in the year 1648, and his heading the rising in the west, in opposition to the committee of estates: in this, and many other steps made during the war, he was esteemed the principal actor, and so ought to be made the greatest example for terrifying others. The second head consisted of many murders, and other barbarities, committed by his officers, during the war, on many of the king's party; chiefly on those who had served under the marquis of Montrose, many of them being murdered in cold blood. The third head consisted of some articles of his concurrence with Cromwell and the usurpers, in opposition to those who appeared for the king in the Highlands; his being one of his parliament, and assisting in proclaiming him protector, with a great many other particulars, into which his compliance was branched out. He had counsel assigned him, who performed their part very well. Argile's attainder.

The substance of his defence was, that during the late wars he was but one among a great many more: he had always acted by authority of parliament, and according to the instructions that were given him, as oft as he was sent on any expedition or negotiation. As to all things done before the

1661. year 1641, the late king had buried them in an act of oblivion then passed, as the present king had also done in the year 1651: so he did not think he was bound to answer to any particular before that time. For the second head, he was at London when most of the barbarities set out in it were committed: nor did it appear that he gave any orders about them. It was well known that great outrages had been committed by the Macdonalds: and he believed his people, when they had the better of them, had taken cruel revenges: this was to be imputed to the
123 heat of the time, and to the tempers of the people, who had been much provoked by the burning of his whole country, and by much blood that was shed. And as to many stories laid to the charge of his men, he knew some of them were mere forgeries, and others were aggravated much beyond the truth: but, what truth soever might be in them, he could not be answerable but for what was done by himself, or by his orders. As to the third head, of his compliance with the usurpation, he had stood out till the nation was quite conquered: and in that case it was the received opinion both of divines and lawyers, that men might lawfully submit to an usurpation, when forced to it by an inevitable necessity. It was the epidemical sin of the nation. His circumstances were such, that more than a bare compliance was required of him. What he did that way was only to preserve himself and his family, and was not done on design to oppose the king's interest. Nor did his service suffer by any thing he did. This was the substance of his defence in a long speech, which he made with so good a grace and so skilfully, that his character was as much

raised as his family suffered by the prosecution. In one speech, excusing his compliance with Cromwell, he said, what could he think of that matter, after a man so eminent in the law as his majesty's advocate had taken the engagement? This inflamed the other so much, that he called him an impudent villain, and was not so much as chid for that barbarous treatment. Lord Argile gravely said, he had learned in his affliction to bear reproaches: but if the parliament saw no cause to condemn him, he was less concerned at the king's advocate's railing. The king's advocate put in an additional article, of charging him with accession to the king's death, for which all the proof he offered lay in a presumption: Cromwell had come down to Scotland with his army in September 1648, and at that time he had many and long conferences with Argile; and immediately upon his return to London the treaty with the king was broken off, and the king was brought to his trial: the advocate from thence inferred, that it was to be presumed that Cromwell and Argile had concerted that matter between them. While this process was carried on, which was the solemnest that ever was in Scotland, the lord Lorn continued at court soliciting for his father; and obtained a letter to be writ by the king to the earl of Midletoun, requiring him to order his advocate not to insist on any public proceedings before the indemnity he himself had passed in the year 1651. He also required him, when the trial was ended, to send up the whole process, and lay it before the king, before the parliament should give sentence. The earl of Midletoun submitted to the first part of this: so all farther inquiry into those matters was superseded. 1661. 124

1661. But as to the second part of the letter, it looked so like a distrust of the justice of the parliament, that he said, he durst not let it be known, till he had a second and more positive order, which he earnestly desired might not be sent; for it would very much discourage this loyal and affectionate parliament: and he begged earnestly to have that order recalled; which was done. For some time there was a stop to the proceedings, in which lord Argile was contriving an escape out of the castle. He kept his bed for some days: and his lady being of the same stature with himself, and coming to him in a chair, he had put on her clothes, and was going into the chair: but he apprehended he should be discovered, and his execution hastened; and so his heart failed him. The earl of Midletoun resolved, if possible, to have the king's death fastened on him. By this means, as he would die with the more infamy, so he reckoned this would put an end to the family, since nobody durst move in favour of the son of one judged guilty of that crime. And he, as was believed, hoped to obtain a grant of his estate. Search was made into all the precedents of men who had been at any time condemned upon presumption. And the earl of Midletoun resolved to argue the matter himself, hoping that the weight of his authority would bear down all opposition. He managed it indeed with more force than decency: he was too vehement, and maintained the argument with a strength that did more honour to his parts than to his justice or his character. But Gilmore, though newly made president of the session, which is the supreme court of justice in that kingdom, abhorred the precedent of attainting a man upon so remote a

presumption; and looked upon it as less justifiable, 1661.
than the much decried attainder of the earl of Strafford. So he undertook the argument against Midletoun: they replied upon one another thirteen or fourteen times in a debate that lasted many hours. Gilmore had so clearly the better of the argument, that though the parliament was so set against Argile, that every thing was like to pass that might blacken him, yet, when it was put to the vote, he was acquitted as to that by a great majority: at which he expressed so much joy, that he seemed little concerned at any thing that could happen to him after that. All that remained was to make his compliance with the usurpers appear to be treason. The debate was like to have lasted long. The earl of Lowdun, who had been lord chancellor, and was counted the eloquentest man of that time, for he had a copiousness in speaking that was never exhausted, (he was come of his family, and was his 125
particular friend,) had prepared a long and learned argument on that head. He had gathered the opinions both of divines and lawyers, and had laid together a great deal out of history, more particularly out of the Scotish history, to shew that it had never been censured as a crime: but that, on the contrary, in all their confusions, the men, who had merited the most of the crown in all its shakings, were persons who had got credit by compliance with the side that prevailed, and by that means had brought things about again. But, while it was very doubtful how it would have gone, Monk, by an inexcusable baseness, had searched among his letters, and found some that were writ by Argile to himself, that were hearty and zealous on their side. These

1661. he sent down to Scotland. And after they were read in parliament, it could not be pretended that his compliance was feigned, or extorted from him. Every body blamed Monk for sending these down, since it was a betraying the confidence that they (had) then lived in. They were sent by an express, and came to the earl of Midletoun after the parliament was engaged in the debate. So he ordered the letters to be read. This was much blamed, as contrary to the forms of justice, since probation was closed on both sides. But the reading of them silenced all farther debate. All his friends went out: and he was condemned as guilty of treason[1]. The marquis of Montrose only refused to vote. He owned, he had too much resentment to judge in that matter. It was designed he should be hanged, as the marquis of Montrose had been: but it was carried that he should be beheaded, and that his head should be set up where lord Montrose's had been set. He received his sentence decently, and composed himself to suffer, [with a courage that was not expected from him.]

And execution.

The day before his death he wrote to the king, justifying his intentions in all he had acted in the

[1] (Many negative arguments have been brought against this charge on Monck, both by Campbell in the Biographia Britannica, and in his Lives of the Admirals; and by Rose, in his Observations on Fox's Historical Work. But they have been ably discussed by sergeant Heywood in his Vindication of the last mentioned work; and the truth of the accusation is perhaps sufficiently confirmed by the similar statements of Baillie in his Letters, who lived in those times, and of Cunningham in his History of Great Britain, vol. I. p. 13. who is said to have been connected with the Argyle family, and who does not appear to have founded his report on the authority of his contemporary, bishop Burnet. See more on this subject in the preface to this edition of Burnet.)

matter of the covenant: he protested his innocence, as to the death of the late king: he submitted patiently to his sentence, and wished the king a long and happy reign: he cast his family and children upon his mercy; and prayed that they might not suffer for their father's fault. On the twenty-seventh of May, the day appointed for his execution, he came to the scaffold in a very solemn but undaunted manner, accompanied with many of the nobility and some ministers. He spoke for half an hour with a great appearance of serenity. Cunningham, his physician, told me he touched his pulse, and that it did then beat at the usual rate, calm and strong. He did in a most solemn manner vindicate himself from all knowledge or accession to the king's death: he pardoned all his enemies; and 126
submitted to the sentence, as to the will of God: he spoke highly in justification of the covenant, calling it the cause and work of God; and expressed his apprehension of sad times like to follow; and exhorted all people to adhere to the covenant, and to resolve to suffer rather than sin against their consciences. He parted with all his friends very decently. And after some time spent in his private devotions he was beheaded[m]; [and did end his days much better than those who knew him the former part of his life expected. Concerning which the earl of Crawford told me this passage: he had lived always on ill terms with him, and went out of town the day of his execution. The earl of Midletoun, when he saw him first after it was over, asked him, if he did not believe his soul was in hell? He an- 1661.

[m] He was the greatest villain of his age. S.

1661. swered, not at all. And when the other seemed surprised at that, he said, his reason was, he knew Argile was naturally a very great coward, and was always afraid of dying. So since he heard he had died with great resolution, he was persuaded, *that* was from some supernatural assistance; he was sure it was not his natural temper.]

The execution of Guthry, a minister.

A few days after, Guthry suffered. He was accused of accession to the remonstrance when the king was in Scotland, and for a book he had printed with the title *of the causes of God's wrath upon the nation;* in which the treating with the king, the tendering him the covenant, and the admitting him to the exercise of the government, were highly aggravated, as great acts of apostasy. His declining the king's authority to judge of his sermons, and his protesting for remedy of law against him, and the late seditious paper that he was drawing others to concur in, were the matters objected to him. He was a resolute and stiff man: so when his lawyers offered him legal defences, he would not be advised by them, but resolved to take his own way. He confessed, and justified all that he had done, as agreeing to the principles and practices of the kirk, who had asserted all along that the doctrine delivered in their sermons did not fall under the cognizance of the temporal courts, till it was first judged by the church; for which he brought much tedious proof[n]. He said, his protesting for remedy of law against the king was not meant at the king's person, but was only with relation to costs and damages. The earl of Midletoun had a personal animosity against them; for in the late times he had

[n] Popery. S.

excommunicated him: so his eagerness in the prosecution did not look well. The defence he made signified nothing to justify himself, but laid a great load on presbytery; since he made it out beyond all dispute, that he had acted upon their principles, which made them the more odious, as having among them some of the worst maxims of the church of Rome; that in particular, to make the pulpit a privileged place, in which a man might safely vent treason, and be secure in doing it, if the church judicatory should agree to acquit him. So upon this occasion great advantage was taken, to shew how near the spirit that had reigned in presbytery came up to popery. It was resolved to make a public example of a preacher: so he was singled out. He gave no advantage to those who wished to have saved him by the least step towards any submission, but much to the contrary. Yet, though all people were disgusted at the earl of Midletoun's eagerness 127
in the prosecution, the earl of Tweedale was the only man that moved against the putting him to death. He said, banishment had been hitherto the severest censure that had been laid on the preachers for their opinions: he knew Guthry was a man apt to give personal provocation: and he wished that might not have too great a share in carrying the matter so far. Yet he was condemned to die. I saw him suffer. He was so far from shewing any fear, that he rather expressed a contempt of death. He spoke an hour upon the ladder, with the composedness of a man that was delivering a sermon rather than his last words. He justified all he had done, and exhorted all people to adhere to the covenant, which he magnified highly. With him one

1661.

1661. Gouan was also hanged, who had deserted the army while the king was in Scotland, and had gone over to Cromwell. The man was inconsiderable, till they made him more considered by putting him to death on such an account at so great a distance of time.

Some others were proceeded against.

The gross iniquity of the court appeared in nothing more eminently than in the favour shewed Maccloud of Assin, who had betrayed the marquis of Montrose, and was brought over upon it. He in prison struck up to a high pitch of vice and impiety, and gave great entertainments: and that, notwithstanding the baseness of the man and of his crimes, begot him so many friends, that he was let go without any censure. The proceedings against Waristoun were soon despatched, he being absent[o]. It was proved, that he had presented the remonstrance, that he had acted under Cromwell's authority, and had sat as a peer in his parliament, that he had confirmed him in his protectorship, and had likewise sat as one of the committee of safety: so he was attainted. Swintoun had been attainted in the parliament at Stirling for going over to Cromwell: so he was brought before the parliament to hear what he could say, why the sentence should not be executed. He was then become a quaker; and did, with a sort of eloquence that moved the whole house, lay out all his own errors, and the ill spirit he was in when he committed the things that were charged on him, with so tender a sense, that he seemed as one indifferent what they should do with him: and, without so much as moving for mercy, or even for a delay, he did so effect-

[o] Wariston was an abominable dog. S. (See more of him below in p. 203. folio edition.)

ually prevail on them, that they recommended him to the king, as a fit object of his mercy. This was the more easily consented to by the earl of Midletoun, in hatred to the earl of Lauderdale, who had got the gift of his estate. He had two great pleas in law: the one was, that the record of his attainder at Stirling, with all that had passed in that parliament, was lost: the other was, that by the act rescissory that parliament being annulled, all that was done by it was void: but he urged neither, since there was matter enough to attaint him anew, if the defects of that supposed attainder had been observed. So till the act of indemnity was passed he was still in danger, having been the man of all Scotland that had been the most trusted and employed by Cromwell: but upon passing the act of indemnity he was safe. 1661. 128

The session of parliament was now brought to a conclusion, without any motion for an act of indemnity. The secret of this was, that since episcopacy was to be set up, and that those who were most like to oppose it were on other accounts obnoxious, it was thought best to keep them under that fear, till the change should be made. The earl of Midletoun went up to court full of merit, and as full of pride. He had a mind to be lord treasurer; and told the king, that, if he intended to set up episcopacy, the earl of Crawford, who was a noted presbyterian, must be put out of that post: it was the opinion of the king's zeal for that form of government that must bear down all the opposition that might otherwise be made to it: and it would not be possible to persuade the nation of that, as long as they saw the white staff in such hands. Therefore, on

Midletoun gave an account of all that had passed in parliament to the king.

1661. the first day on which a Scotish council was called after he came up, he gave a long account of the proceedings of parliament, and magnified the zeal and loyalty that many had expressed, while others that had been not only pardoned, but were highly trusted by the king, had been often cold and backward, and sometimes plainly against the service. The earl of Lauderdale was ill that day: so the earl of Crawford undertook to answer this reflection, which he thought was meant of himself, for opposing the act rescissory. He said, he had observed such an entire unanimity in carrying on the king's service, that he did not know of any that had acted otherwise: and therefore he moved, that the earl of Midletoun might speak plain, and name persons. The earl of Midletoun desired to be excused: he did not intend to accuse any; but yet he thought, he was bound to let the king know how he had been served. The earl of Crawford still pressed him to speak out after so general an accusation: no doubt, he would inform the king in private who these persons were: and since he had already gone so far in public, he thought he ought to go farther. The earl of Midletoun was in some confusion; for he did not expect to be thus attacked: so to get off, he named the opposition that the earl of Tweedale had made to the sentence passed on Guthry, not without making indecent reflections on it, as if his prosecution had
129 flowed from the king's resentments of his behaviour to himself: and so he turned the matter, that the earl of Tweedale's reflection, which was thought indeed pointed against himself, should seem as meant against the king. The earl of Crawford upon this said, that the earl of Midletoun ought to have

excepted to the words when they were first spoken; and no doubt the parliament would have done the king justice: but it was never thought consistent with the liberty of speech in parliament, to bring men into question afterwards for words spoken in any debate, when they were not challenged as soon as they were spoken. The earl of Midletoun excused himself: he said, the thing was passed before he made due reflections on it; and so asked pardon for that omission. The earl of Crawford was glad he himself had escaped, and was silent as to the earl of Tweedale's concern: so, nobody offering to excuse him, an order was presently sent down for committing him to prison, and for examining him upon the words he had spoken, and on his meaning in them. That was not a time in which men durst pretend to privilege, or the freedom of debate: so he did not insist on it; but sent up such an account of his words, and such an explanation of them, as fully satisfied the king. So after the imprisonment of some weeks, he was set at liberty. But this raised a great outcry against the earl of Midletoun, as a thing that was contrary to the freedom of debate, and destructive of the liberty of parliament. It lay the more open to censure, because the earl of Midletoun had accepted of a great entertainment from the earl of Tweedale, after Guthry's business was over: and it seemed contrary to the rules of hospitality, to have such a design in his heart against a man in whose house he had been so treated: all the excuse he made for it was, that he never intended it; but that the earl of Crawford had pressed him so hard upon the complaint he had made in general, that he had no way of getting out

1661.

1661. of it without naming some particulars; and he had no other ready then at hand.

Another difference of greater moment fell in between him and the earl of Crawford. The earl of Midletoun was now raising the guards, that were to be paid out of the excise granted by the parliament. So he moved, that the excise might be raised by collectors named by himself as general, that so he might not depend on the treasury for the pay of the forces. The earl of Crawford opposed this with great advantage, since all revenues given the king did by the course of law come into the treasury. Scotland was not in a condition to maintain two treasurers: and, as to what was said, of the necessity of having the pay of the army well ascertained
130 and ever ready, otherwise it would become a grievance to the kingdom, he said the king was master, and what orders soever he thought fit to send to the treasury, they should be most punctually obeyed. But the earl of Midletoun knew, there would be a great overplus of the excise beyond the pay of the troops: and he reckoned, that, if the collection was put in his hands, he would easily get a grant of the overplus at the year's end. The earl of Crawford said, no such thing was ever pretended to by any general, unless by such as set up to be independent, and who hoped by that means to make themselves the masters of the army. So he carried the point, which was thought a victory. And the earl of Midletoun was much blamed for putting his interest at court on such an issue, where the pretension was so unusual and so unreasonable.

The next point was concerning lord Argile's estate. The king was inclined to restore the lord

Lorn; though much pains was taken to persuade him, that all the zeal he had expressed in his service was only an artifice between his father and him to preserve the family in all adventures: it was said, that had been an ordinary practice in Scotland for father and son to put themselves in different sides. The marquis of Argile had taken very extraordinary methods to raise his own family to such a superiority in the Highlands, that he was a sort of a king among them. The marquis of Huntly had married his sister: and during their friendship Argile was bound with him for some of his debts. After that, the marquis of Huntly, as he neglected his affairs, so he engaged in the king's side, by which Argile saw he must be undone[p]. So he pretended that he only intended to secure himself, when he bought in prior mortgages and debts, which, as was believed, were compounded at very low rates. The friends of the marquis of Huntly's family pressed the king hard to give his heirs the confiscation of that part of Argile's estate, in which the marquis of Huntly's debts, and all the pretension on his estate were comprehended. And it was given to the marquis of Huntly, now duke of Gordon, then a young child: but no care was taken to breed him a protestant[q]. The marquis of Montrose, and all others 1661.

p (The covenanters at length took the head of this brave and loyal nobleman from his shoulders, but not his heart from his sovereign, as in the beginning of these troubles he told them they should not. See note at page 68.)

q (Burnett archbishop of Glasgow, in an unpublished letter to archbishop Sheldon, dated Sept. 4, 1665, informs him, that he has obtained an order to the privy-council, (Compare what is said at page 239 of this volume, folio edit.) to take care of the education of the marquis of Huntley's and other noblemen's children, who were papists.)

1661. whose estates had been ruined under Argile's conduct, expected likewise reparation out of his estate; which was a very great one, but in no way able to satisfy all those demands. And it was believed, that the earl of Midletoun himself hoped to have carried away the main bulk of it: so that both the lord Lorn and he concurred, though with different views, to put a stop to all the pretensions made upon it.

It was resolved to set up episcopacy in Scotland.

The point of the greatest importance then under consideration was, whether episcopacy should be restored in Scotland, or not. The earl of Midletoun 131 assured the king, it was desired by the greater and honester part of the nation. One synod had as good as petitioned for it: and many others wished for it, though the share they had in the late wars made them think it was not fit or decent for them to move for it. Sharp assured the king, that none but the protestors, of whom he had a very bad opinion, were against it; and that of the resolutioners there would not be found twenty that would oppose it. All those who were for making the change agreed, that it ought to be done now, in the first heat of joy after the restoration, and before the act of indemnity passed. The earl of Lauderdale and all his friends, on the other hand, assured the king, that the national prejudice against it was still very strong, that those who seemed zealous for it ran into it only as a method to procure favour, but that those who were against it would be found stiff and eager in their opposition to it, that by setting it up the king would lose the affections of the nation, and that the supporting it would grow a heavy load on his government. The earl of Lauderdale turned all this, that looked like a zeal for presbytery, to a

dexterous insinuating himself into the king's confidence; as one that designed nothing but his greatness, and his having Scotland sure to him, in order to the executing of any design he might afterwards be engaged in. The king went very coldly into the design. He said, he remembered well the aversion that he himself had observed in that nation to any thing that looked like a superiority in the church. But to that the earl of Midletoun and Sharp answered, by assuring him that the insolencies committed by the presbyterians while they governed, and the ten years' usurpation that had followed, had made such a change in peoples tempers, that they were much altered since he had been among them. The king naturally hated presbytery: and, having called a new parliament in England, that did with great zeal espouse the interests of the church of England, and were now beginning to complain of the evacuating the garrisons held by the army in that kingdom, he gave way, though with a visible reluctancy, to the change of the church government in Scotland. The aversion he seemed to express was imputed to his own indifference as to all those matters, and to his unwillingness to involve his government in new trouble. But the view of things that the earl of Lauderdale had given him was the true root of all that coldness. The earl of Clarendon set it on with great zeal. And so did the duke of Ormond: who said, it would be very hard to maintain the government of the church in Ireland. if presbytery continued in Scotland; since the northern counties, which were the best stocked of any they had, as they were originally from Scotland, so 132
they would still follow the way of that nation. Upon

1661.

1661. all this diversity of opinion, the thing was proposed in a Scotch council at Whitehall. The earl of Crawford declared himself against it: but the earl of Lauderdale, duke Hamilton, and sir Robert Murray, were only for delaying the making any such change, till the king should be better satisfied concerning the inclinations of the nation. The result of the debate (all the rest who were present being earnest for the change) was, that a letter was writ to the privy council of Scotland, intimating the king's intentions for setting up episcopacy, and demanding their advice upon it. The earl of Glencairn ordered the letter to be read, having taken care that such persons should be present who he knew would speak warmly for it, that so others, who might intend to oppose it, might be frightened from doing it. None spoke against it, but the earl of Kincairdin. He proposed, that some certain methods might be taken, by which they might be well informed, and so be able to inform the king, of the temper of the nation, before they offered an advice, that might have such effects as might very much perplex, if not disorder, all their affairs. Some smart repartees passed between the earl of Glencairn and him. This was all the opposition that was made at that board. So a letter was writ to the king from thence, encouraging him to go on, and assuring him, that the change he intended to make would give a general satisfaction to the main body of the nation.

Men sought out to be bishops.

Upon that the thing was resolved on. It remained after this only to consider the proper methods of doing it, and the men who ought to be employed in it. Sheldon and the English bishops

had an aversion to all that had been engaged in the covenant: so they were for seeking out all the episcopal clergy, who had been driven out of Scotland in the beginning of the troubles, and preferring them. There was but one of the old bishops left alive, Sydserfe, who had been bishop of Galloway. He had come up to London, not doubting but that he should be advanced to the primacy of Scotland. It is true, he had of late done some very irregular things: when the act of uniformity required all men who held any benefices in England to be episcopally ordained, he, who by observing the ill effects of their former violence was become very moderate, with others of the Scotch clergy that gathered about him, did set up a very indefensible practice of ordaining all those of the English clergy who came to him, and that without demanding either oaths or subscriptions of them. Some believed, that this was done by him, only to subsist on the fees that arose from the letters of orders so granted; for he was very poor. This did so disgust the English bishops 133
at him and his company, that they took no care of him or them. Yet they were much against a set of presbyterian bishops. They believed they could have no credit, and that they would have no zeal. This touched Sharp to the quick: so he laid the matter before the earl of Clarendon. He said, these old episcopal men, by their long absence out of Scotland, knew nothing of the present generation: and by the ill usage they had met with they were so irritated, that they would run matters quickly to great extremities: and, if there was a faction among the bishops, some valuing themselves upon their constant steadiness, and looking with an ill eye on

1661.

1661. those who had been carried away with the stream, this would divide and distract their counsels, whereas a set of men of moderate principles would be more uniform in their proceedings. This prevailed with the earl of Clarendon, who saw the king so remiss in that matter, that he resolved to keep things in as great temper as was possible. And he, not doubting but that Sharp would pursue that in which he seemed to be so zealous and hot, and carry things with great moderation, persuaded the bishops of England to leave the management of that matter wholly to him. And Sharp, being assured of that at which he had long aimed, laid aside his mask; and owned, that he was to be archbishop of St. Andrews. He said to some, from whom I had it, that when he saw that the king was resolved on the change, and that some hot men were like to be advanced, whose violence would ruin the country, he had submitted to that post on design to moderate matters, and to cover some good men from a storm that might otherwise break upon them. So deeply did he still dissemble: for now he talked of nothing so much as of love and moderation.

Sydserfe was removed to be bishop of Orkney, one of the best revenues of any of the bishoprics in Scotland: but it had been almost in all times a sinecure. He lived little more than a year after his translation. He had died in more esteem, if he had died a year before it[r]. But Sharp was ordered to find out proper men for filling up the other sees. That care was left entirely to him. The choice was generally very bad.

[r] (See this bishop mentioned before in page 26, folio edit.)

Two men were brought up to be consecrated in England, Fairfoul, designed for the see of Glasgow, and Hamilton, brother to the lord Belhaven, for Galloway. The former of these was a pleasant and facetious man, insinuating and crafty: but he was a better physician than a divine. His life was scarce free from scandal: and he was eminent in nothing that belonged to his own function. He had not only sworn the covenant, but had persuaded others to do it. And when one objected to him, that it went against his conscience, he answered, there were some very good medicines that could not be chewed, but [these] were to be swallowed down, [like a pill or a bolus;] and since it was plain that a man could not live in Scotland unless he sware it, therefore it must be swallowed down without any farther examination. Whatever the matter was, soon after the consecration his parts sunk so fast, that in a few months he, who had passed his whole life long for one of the cunningest men in Scotland, became almost a changeling; upon which it may be easily collected what commentaries the presbyterians would make. Sharp lamented this to me, as one of their great misfortunes. He said, it began to appear in less than a month after he came to London. Hamilton was a good natured man, but weak. He was always believed episcopal. Yet he had so far complied in the time of the covenant, that he affected a peculiar expression of his counterfeit zeal for their cause, to secure himself from suspicion: when he gave the sacrament, he excommunicated all that were not true to the covenant, using a form in the Old Testament of shaking out the lap of his gown; 1661. 134

1661. saying, so did he cast out of the church and communion all that dealt falsely in the covenant.

Bishop Leightoun's character.

With these there was a fourth man found out, who was then at London at his return from the Bath, where he had been for his health: and on him I will enlarge more copiously. He was the son of doctor Leightoun, who had in archbishop Laud's time writ *Zion's Plea against the Prelates;* for which he was condemned in the star-chamber to have his ears cut and his nose slit. He was a man of a violent and ungoverned heat[s]. He sent his eldest son Robert to be bred in Scotland, who was accounted a saint from his youth up. He had great quickness of parts, a lively apprehension, with a charming vivacity of thought and expression. He had the greatest command of the purest Latin that ever I knew in any man. He was a master both of Greek and Hebrew, and of the whole compass of theological learning, chiefly in the study of the scriptures. But that which excelled all the rest was, he was possessed with the highest and noblest sense of divine things that I ever saw in any man. He had no regard to his person, unless it was to mortify it by a constant low diet, that was like a perpetual fast. He had a contempt both of wealth

[s] (In his book, which was dedicated to the parliament, he incited the members of it to *smite the prelates under the fifth rib.* p. 128, 2nd edit. However, in the conclusion, where he says that the bishops are like pleuritic patients, whom nothing but incision will cure, he adds, *we mean of their callings, not their persons.* At page 175, he advises the parliament, in case the king should dissolve them, not to quit the state vessel. An advice which in all probability the parliament of 1641 would have followed, had the king exercised his legal right of dissolving them, whilst it remained in him.)

and reputation. He seemed to have the lowest 1661.
thoughts of himself possible, and to desire that all other persons should think as meanly of him as he did himself: he bore all sorts of ill usage and reproach, like a man that took pleasure in it. He had so subdued the natural heat of his temper, that in a great variety of accidents, and in a course of twenty- 135
two years' intimate conversation with him, I never observed the least sign of passion, but upon one single occasion. He brought himself into so composed a gravity, that I never saw him laugh, and but seldom smile. And he kept himself in such a constant recollection, that I do not remember that ever I heard him say one idle word. There was a visible tendency in all he said to raise his own mind, and those he conversed with, to serious reflections. He seemed to be in a perpetual meditation. And, though the whole course of his life was strict and ascetical, yet he had nothing of the sourness of temper that generally possesses men of that sort. He was the freest from superstition, of censuring others, or of imposing his own methods on them, possible. So that he did not so much as recommend them to others. He said there was a diversity of tempers; and every man was to watch over his own, and to turn it in the best manner he could. [When he spoke of divine matters, which he did almost perpetually, it was in such an elevating manner, that I have often reflected on these words, and felt somewhat like them within myself while I was with him. *Did not our hearts burn within us, while he talked with us by the way?*] His thoughts were lively, oft out of the way, and surprising, yet just and genuine. And he had laid together in his memory the

1661. greatest treasure of the best and wisest of all the ancient sayings of the heathens as well as Christians, that I have ever known any man master of: and he used them in the aptest manner possible. He had been bred up with the greatest aversion imaginable to the whole frame of the church of England. From Scotland his father sent him to travel. He spent some years in France, and spoke that language like one born there. He came afterwards and settled in Scotland, and had presbyterian ordination. But he quickly broke through the prejudices of his education. His preaching had a sublimity both of thought and expression in it. The grace and gravity of his pronunciation was such, that few heard him without a very sensible emotion: I am sure I never did. [It was so different from all others, and indeed from every thing that one could hope to rise up to, that it gave a man an indignation at himself, and all others. It was a very sensible humiliation to me, and for some time after I heard him, I could not bear the thought of my own performances, and was out of countenance when I was forced to think of preaching.] His style was rather too fine[t]: but there was a majesty and beauty in it that left so deep an impression, that I cannot yet forget the sermons I heard him preach thirty years ago. And yet with this he seemed to look on himself as so ordinary a preacher, that while he had a cure he was ready to employ all others: and when he was a bishop he chose to preach to small auditories, and would never give notice beforehand: he had indeed a very low voice, and so could not be heard by a

[t] Burnet is not guilty of that. S.

great crowd. He soon came to see into the follies of the presbyterians, and to dislike their covenant; particularly the imposing it, and their fury against all who differed from them. He found they were not capable of large thoughts: theirs were narrow, as their tempers were sour. So he grew weary of mixing with them. He scarce ever went to their meetings, and lived in great retirement, minding only the care of his own parish at Newbottle near Edenburgh. Yet all the opposition that he made to them was, that he preached up a more exact rule of life than seemed to them consistent with human nature: but his own practice did even outshine his doctrine. 1661. 136

In the year 1648 he declared himself for the engagement for the king. But the earl of Lothian, who lived in his parish, had so high an esteem for him, that he persuaded the violent men not to meddle with him: though he gave occasion to great exception; for when some of his parish, who had been in the engagement, were ordered to make public profession of their repentance for it, he told them, they had been in an expedition, in which, he believed, they had neglected their duty to God, and had been guilty of injustice and violence, of drunkenness and other immoralities, and he charged them to repent of these very seriously, without meddling with the quarrel, or the grounds of that war. He entered into a great correspondence with many of the episcopal party, and with my own father in particular; and did wholly separate himself from the presbyterians. At last he left them, and withdrew from his cure: for he could not do the things imposed on him any longer. And yet he hated all

1661. contention so much, that he chose rather to leave them in a silent manner, than to engage in any disputes with them. But he had generally the reputation of a saint, and of something above human nature in him: so the mastership of the college of Edenburgh falling vacant some time after, and it being in the gift of the city, he was prevailed with to accept of it, because in it he was wholly separated from all church matters. He continued ten years in that post: and was a great blessing in it; for he talked so to all the youth of any capacity or distinction, that it had great effect on many of them. He preached often to them: and if crowds broke in, which they were apt to do, he would have gone on in his sermon in Latin, with a purity and life that charmed all who understood it. Thus he had lived above twenty years in Scotland, in the highest reputation that any man in my time ever had in that kingdom.

He had a brother well known at court, sir Elisha, who was very like him in face and in the vivacity of his parts, but the most unlike him in all other things that can be imagined: for, though he loved to talk of great sublimities in religion, yet he was a very immoral man, [both lewd, false, and ambitious.] He was a papist of a form of his own: but he had changed his religion to raise himself at court; for he was at that time secretary to the duke of York[u],

[u] (North, in his Examen of bishop Kennet's Critical Hist. of England, cited by Cole in a MS. note on Burnet's Work, relates, that when sir Ellis Leighton was secretary to the English ambassador at Paris, he was guilty of great extortion; and that when he pursued the same practices in Ireland, where he acted also as secretary, on being expostulated with on this account by the Irish, he answered, "Do

and was very intimate with the lord Aubigny, a brother of the duke of Richmond's, who had changed his religion, and was a priest, and had probably been a cardinal, if he had lived a little longer. He maintained an outward decency, and had more learning and better notions, than men of quality, who enter into orders in that church, generally have. Yet he was a very vicious man: and that perhaps made him the more considered by the king, who loved and trusted him to a high degree. No man had more credit with the king; for he was on the secret as to his religion, and was more trusted with the whole design that was then managed in order to establish it, than any man whatsoever. Sir Elisha brought his brother and him acquainted: for Leightoun loved to know men in all the varieties of religion. 1661. 137

In the vacation time he made excursions, and came oft to London; where he observed all the eminent men in Cromwell's court, and in the several parties then about the city of London. But he told me, he could never see any thing among them that pleased him. They were men of unquiet and meddling tempers: and their discourses and sermons were dry and unsavoury, full of airy cant, or of bombast swellings. Sometimes he went over to Flanders, to see what he could find in the several orders of the church of Rome. There he found some of Jansenius's followers, who seemed to be men of extraordinary tempers, and studied to bring things, if possible, to the purity and simplicity of the primitive ages; on which all his thoughts were much set. He thought controversies had been too much insisted

"you think I come here to learn your language?" He adds, that Leighton died miserably in prison.)

1661. on, and had been carried too far. His brother, who thought of nothing but the raising himself at court, fancied that his being made a bishop might render himself more considerable. So he possessed the lord Aubigny with such an opinion of him, that he made the king apprehend, that a man of his piety and his notions (and his not being married was not forgot) might contribute to carry on their design. He fancied such a monastic man, who had a great stretch of thought, and so many other eminent qualities, would be a mean at least to prepare the nation for popery, if he did not directly come over to them; for his brother did not stick to say, he was sure that lay at root with him. So the king named him of his own proper motion, which gave all those that began to suspect the king himself great jealousies of him. Leightoun was averse to this promotion, as much as was possible. His brother had great power over him; for he took care to hide his vices from him, and to make before him a shew of piety. He seemed to be a papist rather in name and shew than in reality, of which I will set down one instance that was then much talked of. Some of the church of England loved to magnify the sacrament in an
138 extraordinary manner, affirming the real presence, only blaming the church of Rome for defining the manner of it; saying, Christ was present in a most unconceivable manner. This was so much the mode, that the king and all the court went into it. So the king, upon some raillery about transubstantiation, asked sir Elisha if he believed it. He answered, he could not well tell; but he was sure the church of England believed it. And when the king seemed amazed at that, he replied, do not you believe that

Christ is present in a most unconceivable manner? Which the king granted: then said he, that is just transubstantiation, the most unconceivable thing that was ever yet invented. When Leightoun was prevailed on to accept a bishopric, he chose Dunblane, a small diocese, as well as a little revenue. But the deanery of the chapel royal was annexed to that see. So he was willing to engage in that, that he might set up the common prayer in the king's chapel; for the rebuilding of which orders were given. The English clergy were well pleased with him, finding him both more learned, and more thoroughly theirs in the other points of uniformity, than the rest of the Scotch clergy, whom they could not much value. And though Sheldon did not much like his great strictness, in which he had no mind to imitate him, yet he thought such a man as he was might give credit to episcopacy, in its first introduction to a nation much prejudiced against it. Sharp did not know what to make of all this. He neither liked his strictness of life nor his notions. He believed they would not take the same methods, and fancied he might be much obscured by him; for he saw he would be well supported. He saw the earl of Lauderdale began to magnify him. And so Sharp did all he could to discourage him, but without any effect; for he had no regard to him. I bear still the greatest veneration for the memory of that man that I do for any person; and reckon my early knowledge of him, which happened the year after this, and my long and intimate conversation with him, that continued to his death for twenty-three years, [in all which time it became very visible that I was the person he made most use of, 1661.

1661. and relied most upon [x]] among the greatest blessings of my life, and for which I know I must give an account to God in the great day in a most particular manner. And yet, though I know this account of his promotion may seem a blemish upon him, I would not conceal it, being resolved to write of all persons and things with all possible candor. I had the relation of it from himself, and more particularly from his brother. But what hopes soever the papists had of him at this time, when he knew nothing of the design of bringing in popery, and had therefore talked of some points of popery with the freedom of an abstracted and speculative man; yet he expressed another sense of the matter, when he came to see it was really intended to be brought
139 in among us. He then spoke of popery in the complex at much another rate: and he seemed to have more zeal against it, than I thought was in his nature with relation to any points in controversy; for his abstraction made him seem cold in all those matters. But he gave all who conversed with him a very different view of popery, when he saw we were really in danger of coming under the power of a religion, that had, as he used to say, much of the wisdom that was earthly, sensual, and devilish, but had nothing in it of the wisdom that was from above, and was pure and peaceable. He did indeed think the corruptions and cruelties of popery were such gross and odious things, that nothing could have maintained that church under those just and visible prejudices, but the several orders among them, which had an appearance of mortification and

[x] Bowyer's Transcript of the suppressed passages.

contempt of the world, and with all the trash that was among them maintained a face of piety and devotion. He also thought the great and fatal error of the reformation was, that more of those houses, and of that course of life, free from the entanglements of vows and other mixtures, was not preserved: so that the protestant churches had neither places of education, nor retreat for men of mortified tempers. I have dwelt long upon this man's character. But it was so singular, that it seemed to deserve it. And I was so singularly blessed by knowing him as I did, that I am sure he deserved it of me, that I should give so full a view of him; which I hope may be of some use to the world. 1661.

When the time fixed for the consecration of the bishops of Scotland came on, the English bishops finding that Sharp and Leightoun had not episcopal ordination, as priests and deacons, the other two having been ordained by bishops before the wars[x], they stood upon it, that they must be ordained, first deacons and then priests. Sharp was very uneasy at this, and remembered them of what had happened when king James had set up episcopacy. Bishop Andrews moved at that time the ordaining them, as was now proposed: but that was overruled by king James, who thought it went too far towards the unchurching of all those who had no bishops among them[y]. But the late war, and the disputes during that time, had raised these controversies higher, and brought men to stricter notions, and to The Scotish bishops consecrated.

[x] (The author of archbishop Sharp's Life, published in 1723, agrees with this statement.)

[y] See archbishop Spotiswood's History, pag. 514. O. (Compare Heylin's Hist. of the Presbyterians, b. XI. c. 4. p. 514.)

1661. maintain them with more fierceness. The English bishops did also say, that by the late act of uniformity that matter was more positively settled, than it had been before; so that they could not legally consecrate any, but those who were, according to that constitution, made first priests and deacons. They also made this difference between the present time and king James's: for then the Scots were only in an imperfect state, having never had bishops among
140 them since the reformation; so in such a state of things, in which they had been under a real necessity, it was reasonable to allow of their orders, how defective soever: but that of late they had been in a state of schism, had revolted from their bishops, and had thrown off that order: so that orders given in such a wilful opposition to the whole constitution of the primitive church was a thing of another nature. They were positive in the point, and would not dispense with it. Sharp stuck more at it than could have been expected from a man that had swallowed down greater matters[z]. Leightoun did not stand much upon it. He did not think orders given without bishops were null and void. He thought, the forms of government were not settled

[z] ("The Scots' bishops by "submitting to a fresh ordina- "tion as presbyters, declared "that they looked upon presby- "terial ordination as invalid; "but it is plain their after-con- "duct was inconsistent with "this principle; for when they "returned to Scotland, and en- "tered upon their episcopal "function, they reordained "more of these ministers, who "complied with them; and "consequently, according to "their own principles, these "were no lawful ministers, "since they had not prelatical "ordination." Crookshank's *Hist. of the Church of Scotland*, vol. I. chap. 3. p. 126. Compare Skinner's *Eccl. Hist. of Scotland*, vol. II. p. 462. where it appears that reordination by the bishop was not always dispensed with.)

by such positive laws as were unalterable; but only by apostolical practices, which, as he thought, authorized episcopacy as the best form. Yet he did not think it necessary to the being of a church. But he thought[a] that every church might make such rules of ordination as they pleased, and that they might reordain all that came to them from any other church; and that the reordaining a priest ordained in another church imported no more, but that they received him into orders according to their rules, and did not infer the annulling the orders he had formerly received. These two were upon this privately ordained deacons and priests. And then all the four were consecrated publicly in the abbey of Westminster. Leightoun told me he was much struck with the feasting and jollity of that day: it had not such an appearance of seriousness or piety, as became the new modelling of a church. When that was over, he made some attempts to work up Sharp to the two designs which possessed him most. The one was, to try what could be done towards the uniting the presbyterians and them. He offered Usher's reduction, as the plan upon which they ought to form their schemes. The other was, to try how they could raise men to a truer and higher sense of piety, and bring the worship of that church out of their *extempore* methods into more order; and so to prepare them for a more regular way of worship, which he thought was of much more importance than a form of government. But he was amazed, when he observed that Sharp had neither formed any scheme, nor seemed so much as willing

1661.

[a] Think, thought, thought, think, thought. S.

1661. to talk of any. He reckoned they would be established in the next session of parliament, and so would be legally possessed of their bishoprics: and then every bishop was to do the best he could to get all once to submit to his authority: and when that point was carried, they might proceed to other things, as should be found expedient: but he did not care to lay down any scheme. Fairfoul, when
141 he talked to him, had always a merry tale ready at hand to divert him: so that he avoided all serious discourse, and indeed did not seem capable of any. By these means Leightoun quickly lost all heart and hope; and said often to me upon it, that in the whole progress of that affair there appeared such cross characters of an angry providence, that, how fully soever he was satisfied in his own mind as to episcopacy itself, yet it seemed that God was against them, and that they were not like to be the men that should build up his church; so that the struggling about it seemed to him like a fighting against God. He who had the greatest hand in it proceeded with so much dissimulation; and the rest of the order were so mean and so selfish; and the earl of Midletoun, with the other secular men that conducted it, were so openly impious and vicious, that it did cast a reproach on every thing relating to religion, to see it managed by such instruments.

1662. The meetings of the presbyteries forbidden.

All the steps that were made afterwards were of a piece with this melancholy beginning. Upon the consecration of the bishops, the presbyteries of Scotland that were still sitting began now to declare openly against episcopacy, and to prepare protestations, or other acts or instruments, against them.

Some were talking of entering into new engagements against the submitting to them. So Sharp moved, that, since the king had set up episcopacy, a proclamation might be issued out, forbidding clergymen to meet together in any presbytery, or other judicatory, till the bishops should settle a method of proceeding in them. Upon the setting out this proclamation, a general obedience was given to it: only the ministers, to keep up a shew of acting on an ecclesiastic authority, met once, and entered into their books a protestation against the proclamation, as an invasion on the liberties of the church, to which they declared they gave obedience only for a time, and for peace sake. Sharp procured this without any advice: and it proved very fatal. For when king James brought in the bishops before, they had still suffered the inferior judicatories to continue sitting, till the bishops came and sat down among them: some of them protested indeed against that: yet they sat on ever after: and so the whole church had a face of unity, while all sat together in the same judicatories, though upon different principles. The old presbyterians said they sat still as in a court settled by the laws of the church and state: and though they looked on the bishops sitting among them, and assuming a negative vote, as an usurpation, yet, they said, it did not infer a nullity on the court: whereas now, by this silencing these courts, the case was much altered: for if they had continued sitting, and the bishops had come among them, they would have said, it was like the bearing with an usurpation, when there was no remedy: and what protestations soever they might have made, or what opposition soever they might have given the

1662.

142

1662. bishops, that would have been kept within their own walls, but would not have broken out into such a distraction, as the nation was cast into upon this: all the opposition that might have been made would have died with those few that were disposed to make it: and, upon due care to fill the vacant places with worthy and well-affected men, the nation might have been brought off from their prejudices. But these courts being now once broken, and brought together afterwards by a sort of connivance, without any legal authority, only as the bishop's assistants and officials, to give him advice, and to act in his name, they pretended they could not sit in them any more, unless they should change their principles, and become throughly episcopal, which was too great a turn to be soon brought about. So fatally did Sharp precipitate matters. He affected to have the reins of the church wholly put into his hands. The earl of Lauderdale was not sorry to see him commit errors; since the worse things were managed, his advices would be thereby the more justified. And the earl of Midletoun and his party took no care of any business, being almost perpetually drunk: by which they came in a great measure to lose the king. For though, upon a frolic, the king, with a few in whose company he took pleasure, would sometimes run into excess, yet he did it seldom, and had a very bad opinion of all that got into the habit and love of drunkenness.

The new bishops came down to Scotland.

The bishops came down to Scotland soon after their consecration, all in one coach. Leightoun told me, he believed they were weary of him, for he was very weary of them: but he, finding they intended to be received at Edenburgh with some pomp, left

them at Morpeth, and came to Edenburgh a few days before them. He hated all the appearances of vanity. He would not have the title of lord given him by his friends, and was not easy when others forced it on him. In this I always thought him too stiff: it provoked the other bishops, and looked like singularity and affectation, and furnished those that were prejudiced against him with a specious appearance, to represent him as a man of odd notions and practices. The lord chancellor, with all the nobility and privy-counsellors, then at Edenburgh, went out, together with the magistracy of the city, and brought the bishops in, as in triumph. I looked on; and though I was thoroughly episcopal, yet I thought there was somewhat in the pomp of that entry, that 143 did not look like the humility that became their function: soon after their arrival, six other bishops were consecrated, but not ordained priests and deacons. The see of Edenburgh was for some time kept vacant. Sharp hoped that Douglas might be prevailed on to accept it: but he would enter into no treaty about it. So the earl of Midletoun forced upon Sharp one Wishart, who had been the marquis of Montrose's chaplain, and had been taken prisoner, and used with so much cruelty in the gaol of Edenburgh, that it seemed but justice to advance a man in that place, where he had[b] [been so near an advancement of another sort.] 1662.

The session of parliament came on in April 1662: where the first thing that was proposed by the earl They were brought into parliament.

[b] (*where he had suffered so much*, was substituted in the printed copy. He was the author of the book *De Rebus a Jacobo Marchione Montisrosarum in Scotia gestis*. Paris, 1648. See more of this able and good man, p. 236, folio edit.)

1662. of Midletoun was, that since the act rescissory had annulled all the parliaments after that held in the year 1633, the former laws in favour of episcopacy were now again in force, the king had restored that function which had been so long glorious in the church, and for which his blessed father had suffered so much: and though the bishops had a right to come and take their place in parliament, yet it was a piece of respect to send some of every state to invite them to come and sit among them. This was agreed to: so upon the message, the bishops came and took their places. Leightoun went not with them, as indeed he never came to parliament but when there was something before them that related to religion or to the church.

The first act that passed in this session was for restoring episcopacy, and settling the government of the church in their hands. Sharp had the framing of this act, as Primerose told me. [And it appeared to be his; for, according to the fable of the harpies, he had an art of spoiling every thing that he touched.] The whole government and jurisdiction of the church in the several dioceses was declared to be lodged in the bishops, which they were to exercise with the advice and assistance of such of their clergy as were of known loyalty and prudence: all men that held any benefice in the church were required to own and submit to the government of the church, as now by law established. This was plainly the setting episcopacy on another bottom than it had been ever on in Scotland before this time: for the whole body of the presbyterians did formerly maintain such a share in the administration, that the bishops had never pretended to any more, than

to be their settled presidents with a negative voice upon them [c]. But now it was said, that the whole power was lodged simply in the bishop, who was only bound to carry along with him in the administration so many presbyters, as he thought fit to single out, as his advisers and assistants; which was the taking all power out of the body of the clergy: church judicatories were now made only the bishop's assistants: and the few of the clergy that must assist being to be picked out by him, that was only a matter of shew; nor had they any authority lodged with them, all that being vested only in the bishop: nor did it escape censure, that among the qualifications of those presbyters that were to be the bishop's advisers and assistants, loyalty and prudence were only named; and that piety and learning were forgot, which must always be reckoned the first qualifications of the clergy. As to the obligation to own and submit to the government thus established by law, they said, it was hard to submit to so high an authority as was now lodged with the bishops; but to require them to own it, seemed to import an antecedent approving, or at least a subsequent justifying, of such an authority, which carried the matter far beyond a bare obedience, even to an imposing upon conscience. These were not only the exceptions made by the presbyterians, but by the episcopal men themselves, who had never carried the ar- 1662. 144

[c] (But this negative voice appears to have been objected to by those ministers who were deprived of their benefices at this time. Archbishop Burnett, in a MS. letter to Sheldon, says, that "our ejected and "dissatisfied ministers plead "everywhere that they are "not against bishops, but allow episcopal præsides, who "shall preside in their meetings, but have no more power "than any."

1662. gument farther in Scotland that for a precedency, with some authority in ordination, and a negative in matters of jurisdiction. They thought, the body of the clergy ought to be a check upon the bishops, and that, without the consent of the majority, they ought not to be legally empowered to act in so imperious a manner, as was warranted by this act. Many of them would never subscribe to this form of owning and submitting: and the more prudent bishops did not impose it on their clergy. The whole frame of the act was liable to great censure. It was thought an unexcusable piece of madness, that, when a government was brought in upon a nation so averse to it, the first step should carry their power so high. All the bishops, except Sharp, disowned their having any share in the penning this act; which indeed was passed in haste, without due consideration. Nor did any of the bishops, no not Sharp himself, ever carry their authority so high, as by the act they were warranted to do. But all the enemies to episcopacy had this act ever in their mouths, to excuse their not submitting to it; and said, it asserted a greater stretch of authority in bishops, than they themselves thought fit to assume.

Scruples about the oath of supremacy.

Soon after that act passed, some of the presbyterian preachers were summoned to answer before the parliament for some reflections made in their sermons against episcopacy. But nothing could be made of it: for their words were general, and capable of different senses. So it was resolved, for a proof of their loyalty, to tender them the oath of allegiance and supremacy. That had been enacted in the former parliament, and was refused by none but the earl of Cassilis. He desired, that an expla-

nation might be made of the supremacy: the words of the oath were large: and when the oath was enacted in England, a clear explanation was given in one of the articles of the church of England, and more copiously afterwards in a discourse by archbishop Usher, published by king James's order. But the parliament would not satisfy him so far. And they were well pleased to see scruples raised about the oath, that so a colour might be put on their severities against such as should refuse it, as being men that refused to swear allegiance to the king. Upon that the earl of Cassilis left the parliament, and quitted all his employments: for he was a man of a most inflexible firmness. Many said, there was no need of an explanation, since how ambiguous soever the words might be in themselves, yet that oath, being brought to Scotland from England, ought to be understood in the same sense in which it was imposed in that kingdom. On the other hand, there was just reason for some men's being tender in so sacred a matter as an oath. The earl of Cassilis had offered to take the oath, provided he might join his explanation to it. The earl of Midletoun was contented to let him say what he pleased, but he would not suffer him to put it in writing. The ministers, to whom it was now tendered, offered to take it upon the same terms; and in a petition to the lords of the articles they offered their explanation. Upon that a debate arose, whether an act explanatory of the oath should be offered to the parliament, or not. This was the first time that Leightoun appeared in parliament. He pressed it might be done, with much zeal. He said, the land mourned by reason of the many oaths that had 1662. 145

1662. been taken: the words of this oath were certainly capable of a bad sense: in compassion to papists a limited sense had been put on them in England: and he thought there should be a like tenderness shewed to protestants, especially when the scruple was just, and there was an oath in the case, in which the matter ought certainly to be made clear: to act otherwise looked like the laying snares for people, and the making men offenders for a word. Sharp took this ill from him, and replied upon him with great bitterness: and said, it was below the dignity of government to make acts to satisfy the weak scruples of peevish men: it ill became them, who had imposed their covenant on all people without any explanation, and had forced all to take it, now to expect such extraordinary favours. Leighton insisted, that it ought to be done for that very reason, that all people might see a difference between the mild proceedings of the government now, and their severity: and that it ill became the very same persons, who had complained of that rigour, now to practise it themselves; for thus it may be
146 said, the world goes mad by turns. This was ill taken by the earl of Midletoun and all his party: for they designed to keep the matter so, that the presbyterians should be possessed with many scruples on this head; and that, when any of the party should be brought before them, whom they believed in fault, but had not full proof against, the oath should be tendered as the trial of their allegiance, and that on their refusing it they should censure them as they thought fit. So the ministers' petition was rejected, and they were required to take the oath as it stood in the law, without putting any

sense upon it. They refused to do it, and were upon that condemned to perpetual banishment, as men that denied allegiance to the king. And by this an engine was found out to banish as many as they pleased: for the resolution was taken up by the whole party to refuse it, unless with an explanation. So soon did men forget all their former complaints of the severity of imposing oaths, and began to set on foot the same practices now, when they had it in their power to do it. But how unbecoming soever this rigour might be in laymen, it was certainly much more indecent when managed by clergymen. And the supremacy which was now turned against the presbyterians was, not long after this, laid much heavier on the bishops themselves: and then they desired an explanation, as much as the presbyterians did now, but could not obtain it. 1662.

The parliament was not satisfied with this oath: for they apprehended, that many would infer, that, since it came from England, it ought to be understood in the public and established sense of the words that was passed there, both in an article of doctrine and in an act of parliament. Therefore another oath was likewise taken from the English pattern, of abjuring the covenant; both the league and the national covenant. It is true, this was only imposed on men in the magistracy, or in public employments. By it all the presbyterians were turned out: for this oath was decried by the ministers as little less than open apostasy from God, and a throwing off their baptismal covenant.

Debates about an act of indemnity.

The main business of this session of parliament, now that episcopacy was settled, and these oaths were enacted, was the passing of the act of indem-

1662. nity. The earl of Midletoun had obtained of the king an instruction to consent to the fining of the chief offenders, or to other punishments not extending to life. This was intended to enrich him and his party, since all the rich and great offenders would be struck with the terror of this, and choose rather to make him a good present, than to be fined on record, as guilty persons. This matter was de-
147 bated at the council in Whitehall. The earls of Lauderdale and Crawford argued against it. They said, the king had granted a full indemnity in England, out of which none were excepted but the regicides: it seemed therefore an unkind and an unequal way of proceeding towards Scotland, that had merited eminently at the king's hands ever since the year 1648, and suffered much for it, that the one kingdom should not have the same measure of grace and pardon that was granted in the other. The earl of Midletoun answered, that all he desired was in favour of the loyal party in Scotland, who were undone by their adhering to the king: the revenue of the crown was too small, and too much charged, to repair their losses: so the king had no other way to be just to them, but to make their enemies pay for their rebellion. Some plausible limitations were offered to the fines to which any should be condemned; as, that they should be only for offences committed since the year 1650, and that no man should be fined in above a year's rent of his estate. These were agreed to. So he had an instruction to pass an act of indemnity, with a power of fining restrained to these rules. There was one sir George Mackenzie, since made lord Tarbot and earl of Cromarty, a young man of great vivacity

of parts, but full of ambition, [and very crafty,] and [who has] had the art to recommend himself to all sides and parties by turns, and [is yet alive, having] made[d] a great figure in that country now above fifty years. He had great notions of virtue and religion: but they were only notions, at least they have not had great effect on himself at all times. He became now the earl of Midletoun's chief favourite. Primerose was grown rich and cautious: and his maxim having always been, that, when he apprehended a change, he ought to lay in for it by courting the side that was depressed, that so in the next turn he might secure friends to himself, he began to think that the earl of Midletoun went too fast to hold out long. He had often advised him to manage the business of restoring episcopacy in a slow progress. He had formed a scheme, by which it would have been the work of seven years. But the earl of Midletoun's heat and Sharp's vehemence spoiled all his project. The earl of Midletoun, after his own disgrace, said often to him, that his advices had been always wise and faithful: but he thought princes were more sensible of services, and more apt to reflect on them, and to reward them, than he found they were. 1662.

When the settlement of episcopacy was over, the next care was to prepare the act of indemnity. Some proposed, that, besides the power of fining, they should move the king, that he would consent to an instruction, empowering them likewise to put some under an incapacity to hold any public trust. This had never been proposed in public. But the 148

It was desired that some might be incapacitated.

[d] The printed book substituted *and has made*.

1662. earl of Midletoun pretended, that many of the best affected of the parliament had proposed it in private to himself. So he sent the lord Tarbot up to the king with two draughts of an act of indemnity, the one containing an exception of some persons to be fined, and the other containing likewise a clause for the incapacitating of some, not exceeding twelve, from all public trust. He was ordered to lay both before the king: the one was penned according to the earl of Midletoun's instructions: the other was drawn at the desire of the parliament, for which he prayed an instruction, if the king thought fit to approve of it. The earl of Lauderdale had no apprehension of any design against himself in the motion. So he made no objection to it. And an instruction was drawn, empowering the earl of Midletoun to pass an act with that clause. Tarbot was then much considered at court, as one of the most extraordinary men that Scotland had produced, and was the better liked, because he was looked on as the person that the earl of Midletoun intended to set up in the earl of Lauderdale's room, who was then so much hated, that nothing could have preserved him but the course that was taken to ruin him. So lord Tarbot went back to Scotland. And the duke of Richmond and the earl of Newburgh went down with him, by whose wild and ungoverned extravagancies the earl of Midletoun's whole conduct fell under such an universal odium, and so much contempt, that, as his own ill management forced the king to put an end to his ministry, so he could not have served there much longer with any reputation.

One instance of unusual severity was, that a letter of the lord Lorn's to the lord Duffus was inter-

cepted, in which he did a little too plainly, but very truly, complain of the practices of his enemies in endeavouring to possess the king against him by many lies: but he said, he had now discovered them, and had defeated them, and had gained the person upon whom the chief among them depended. This was the earl of Clarendon, upon whom the earl of Berkshire had wrought so much, that he resolved to oppose his restoration no more: and for this the earl of Berkshire was to have a thousand pounds. This letter was carried into the parliament, and complained of as leasing-making; since lord Lorn pretended, he had discovered the lies of his enemies to the king, which was a sowing dissension between the king and his subjects, and the creating in the king an ill opinion of them. So the parliament desired, the king would send him down to be tried upon it. The king thought the letter very indiscreetly writ, but could not see any thing in it that was criminal. Yet, in compliance with the desire of so zealous a parliament, lord Lorn was 149
sent down upon his parole: but the king writ positively to the earl of Midletoun, not to proceed to the execution of any sentence that might pass upon him. Lord Lorn, upon his appearance, was made a prisoner: and an indictment was brought against him for leasing-making. He made no defence: but in a long speech he set out the great provocation he had been under, the many libels that had been printed against him: some of these had been put in the king's own hands, to represent him as unworthy of his grace and favour: so, after all that hard usage, it was no wonder, if he had writ with some sharpness: but he protested, he meant no harm to

1662.

1662. any person; his design being only to preserve and save himself from the malice and lies of others, and not to make lies of any. In conclusion, he submitted to the justice of the parliament, and cast himself on the king's mercy. He was upon this condemned to die, as guilty of leasing-making: and the day of his execution was left to the earl of Midletoun by the parliament.

Lorn condemned.

I never knew any thing more generally cried out on than this was, unless it was the second sentence passed on him twenty years after this, which had more fatal effects, and a more tragical conclusion. He was certainly born to be the signalest instance in this age of the rigour, or rather of the mockery, of justice. All that was said at this time to excuse the proceeding was, that it was certain his life was in no danger. But since that depended on the king, it did not excuse those who passed so base a sentence, and left to posterity the precedent of a parliamentary judgment, by which any man may be condemned for a letter of common news. This was not all the fury with which this matter was driven: for an act was passed against all persons, who should move the king for restoring the children of those who were attainted by parliament; which was an unheard-of restraint on applications to the king for his grace and mercy. This the earl of Midletoun also passed, though he had no instruction for it. There was no penalty put in the act: for it was a maxim of the pleaders for prerogative, that the fixing a punishment was a limitation on the crown: whereas an act forbidding any thing, though without a penalty, made the offenders criminal: and in that case they did reckon, that the

punishment was arbitrary; only that it could not extend to life. A committee was next appointed for setting the fines. They proceeded without any regard to the rules the king had set them. The most obnoxious compounded secretly. No consideration was had either of men's crimes or of their estates: no proofs were brought. Inquiries were not so much as made: but as men were delated, they were marked down for such a fine: and all was transacted in a secret committee. When the list of the men and of their fines was read in parliament, exceptions were made to divers; particularly some who had been under age all the time of transgression, and others abroad. But to every thing of that kind an answer was made, that there would come a proper time in which every man was to be heard in his own defence: for the meaning of setting the fine was only this, that such persons should have no benefit by the act of indemnity, unless they paid the fine: therefore every one that could stand upon his innocence, and renounce the benefit of the indemnity, was thereby free from the fine, which was only his composition for the grace and pardon of the act. So all passed in that great hurry. 1662. 150

The other point, concerning the incapacity, was carried farther than was perhaps intended at first; though the lord Tarbot assured me, he had from the beginning designed it. It was infused into all people, that the king was weary of the earl of Lauderdale, but that he could not decently throw him off, and that therefore the parliament must help him with a fair pretence for doing it. Yet others were very apprehensive, that the king could not approve of a parliament's falling upon a minister. So Some incapacitated by ballot.

1662. lord Tarbot proposed two expedients. The one was, that no person should be named, but that every member should do it by ballot, and should bring twelve names in a paper; and that a secret committee of three of every estate should make the scrutiny; and that they, without making any report to the parliament, should put those twelve names on whom the greater number fell in the act of incapacity; which was to be an act apart, and not made a clause of the act of indemnity. This was taken from the ostracism in Athens, and seemed the best method in an act of oblivion, in which all that was passed was to be forgotten: and no seeds of feuds would remain, when it was not so much as known against whom any one had voted. The other expedient was, that a clause should be put in the act, that it should have no force, and that the names in it should never be published, unless the king should approve of it. By this means it was hoped, that, if the king should dislike the whole thing, yet it would be easy to soften that, by letting him see how entirely the act was in his power. Emissaries were sent to every parliament man, directing him how to make his list, that so the earls of Lauderdale, Crawford, and sir Robert Murray, might be three of the number. This was managed so carefully, that by a great majority they were three of the incapacitated persons. The earl of Middletoun passed the act, though he had no instruc-
151 tion about it in this form [c]. The matter was so se-

[c] (The earl of Middleton, in his answer to lord Lauderdale, solemnly professes to the king, that he was ignorant of the names contained in the billets, before they were collected. He declares, what deserves observation, that this act for excepting certain persons from public offices, was passed on the con-

cretly carried, that it was not let out till the day before it was done: for they reckoned their success in it was to depend on the secrecy of it, and in their carrying it to the king, before he should be possessed against it by the earl of Lauderdale or his party. So they took great care to visit the packet, and to stop any that should go to court post: and all people were under such terror, that no courage was left. Only lord Lorn sent one on his own horses, who was to go on in cross roads, till he got into Yorkshire; for they had secured every stage to Durham. By this means the earl of Lauderdale had the news three days before the duke of Richmond and lord Tarbot got to court. He carried it presently to the king, who could scarce believe it. But when he saw by the letters that it was certainly true, he assured the earl of Lauderdale, that he would preserve him, and never suffer such a destructive precedent to pass. He said, he looked for no better upon the duke of Richmond's going to Scotland, and his being perpetually drunk there. This mortified the earl of Lauderdale; for it looked like the laying in an excuse for the earl of Middletoun. From the king, by his orders, he went to the earl of Clarendon, and told all to him. He was amazed at it; and said, that certainly he had some secret friend that had got into their confidence, and

1662.

The king was displeased with this.

sideration, "that the late rebel-
"lion was principally ferment-
"ed, carried on, and kept up
"by the unfaithfulness of such
"persons, as were entrusted
"by his late majesty of ever
"glorious memory in the ad-
"ministration of his govern-
"ment." Sheldon papers. But both these noblemen's addresses are in print. See *Miscellanea Aulica*, published in 1700, and sir George Mackenzie's *Memoirs of the Affairs of Scotland*, published for the first time in 1821.)

1662. had persuaded them to do as they had done on design to ruin them. But growing more serious, he added, he was sure the king on his own account would take care not to suffer such a thing to pass: otherwise no man could serve him: if way was given to such a method of proceeding, he himself would go out of his dominions as fast as his gout would suffer him.

Two days after this, the duke of Richmond and lord Tarbot came to court. They brought the act of incapacity sealed up, together with a letter from the parliament, magnifying the earl of Midletoun's services, and another letter signed by ten of the bishops, setting forth his zeal for the church, and his care of them all: and in particular they set out the design he was then on, of going round some of the worst affected counties to see the church established in them, as a work that was highly meritorious. At the same time he sent over the earl of Newburgh to Ireland, to engage the duke of Ormond to represent to the king the good effects that they began to feel in that kingdom from the earl of Midletoun's administration in Scotland, hoping the king would not discourage, much less change, so faithful a minister. The king received the duke of Richmond and lord Tarbot very coldly. When they delivered the act of incapacity to him, he assured them, it should ne-
152 ver be opened by him; and said, their last actings were like madmen, or like men that were perpetually drunk. Lord Tarbot said, all was yet entire, and in his hands, the act being to live or to die as he pleased: he magnified the earl of Midletoun's zeal in his service, and the loyal affections of his parliament, who had on this occasion consulted both the

king's safety and his honour: the incapacity act was only intended to put it out of the power of men, who had been formerly bad instruments, to be so any more: and even that was submitted by them to the king's judgment. The king heard them patiently, and, without any farther discourse on the subject, dismissed them: so they hoped they had mollified him. But the earl of Lauderdale turned the matter upon the earl of Midletoun and lord Tarbot, who had made the king believe that the parliament desired leave to incapacitate some, whereas no such desire had ever been made in parliament: and then, after that the king upon that misrepresentation had given way to it, the parliament was made believe that the king desired that some might be put under that censure: so that the abuse had been equally put on both: honours went by ballot at Venice: but punishments had never gone so, since the ostracism at Athens, which was the factious practice of a jealous commonwealth, never to be set up as a precedent under a monarchy: even the Athenians were ashamed of it when Aristides, the justest man among them, fell under the censure: and they laid it aside not long after [f]. 1662.

Great pains taken to excuse Midletoun.

The earl of Clarendon gave up the thing as inexcusable: but he studied to preserve the earl of Midletoun. The change newly made in the church of Scotland had been managed by him with zeal and success: but though it was well begun, yet if these laws were not maintained by a vigorous execution,

[f] (The earl of Lauderdale, in the charge presented by him to the king against the earl of Middleton, just before mentioned, refers both to the Venetian practice and to the Athenian ostracism.)

1662. the presbyterians, who were quite dispirited by the steadiness of his conduct, would take heart again; especially if they saw the earl of Lauderdale grow upon him, whom they looked on as theirs in his heart: so he prayed the king to forgive one single fault, that came after so much merit. He also sent advices to the earl of Midletoun to go on in his care of establishing the church, and to get the bishops to send up copious accounts of all that he had done. The king ordered him to come up, and to give him an account of the affairs in Scotland. But he represented the absolute necessity of seeing some of the laws lately made put in execution: for it was hoped, the king's displeasure would be allayed, and go off, if some time could be but gained.

The presbyterian ministers silenced.

One act passed in the last parliament that restored the rights of patronage, the taking away of which even presbytery could not carry till the year 153 1649, in which they had the parliament entirely in their hands. Then the election of ministers was put in the church session and the lay elders: so that, from that time, all that had been admitted to churches came in without presentations. One clause in the act declared all these incumbents to be unlawful possessors: only it indemnified them for what was past, and required them before Michaelmas to take presentations from the patrons, who were obliged to give them, being demanded, and to get themselves to be instituted by the bishops; otherwise their churches were declared vacant on Michaelmas day. This took in all the young and hot men: so the presbyterians had many meetings about it, in which they all resolved not to obey the act. They reckoned, the taking institution from a bishop

was such an owning of his authority, that it was a renouncing of all their former principles: whereas some few, that had a mind to hold their benefices, thought that was only a secular law for a legal right to their tithes and benefices, and had no relation to their spiritual concerns; and therefore they thought they might submit to it, especially where bishops were so moderate as to impose no subscription upon them, as the greater part were. But the resolution taken by the main body of the presbyterians was, to pay no obedience to any of the acts made in this session, and to look on, and see what the state would do. The earl of Midletoun was naturally fierce, and that was heightened by the ill state of his affairs at court: so he resolved on a punctual execution of the law. He and all about him were at this time so constantly disordered by high entertainments and other excesses, that, even in the short intervals between their drunken bouts, they were not cool nor calm enough to consider what they were doing. He had also so mean an opinion of the party, that he believed they would comply with any thing, rather than lose their benefices. And therefore he declared, he would execute the law in its utmost rigour. On the other hand, the heads of the presbyterians reckoned, that if great numbers were turned out all at once, it would not be possible to fill their places on the sudden; and that the government would be forced to take them in again, if there were such a vacancy made, that a great part of the nation were cast destitute, and had no divine service in it. For that which all the wiser of the party apprehended most was, that 1662.

1662. the bishops would go on slowly, and single out some that were more factious upon particular provocations, and turn them out by degrees, as they had men ready to put in their room; which would have been more insensible, (defensible,) and more excusable, if indiscreet zealots had, as it were, forced censures from them. The advice sent over all the country, from
154 their leaders, who had settled measures at Edenburgh, was, that they should do and say nothing that might give a particular distaste, but should look on, and do their duty as long as they were connived at; and that if any proclamation should be issued out, commanding them to be silent, they should all obey at once. In these measures both sides were deceived in their expectations. The bishops went to their several dioceses: and according as the people stood affected, they were well or ill received: and they held their synods every where in October. In the northern parts very few stood out: but in the western parts scarce any came to them. The earl of Midletoun went to Glasgow before Michaelmas. So when the time fixed by the act was past, and that scarce any one in all those counties had paid any regard to it, he called a meeting of the privy council, that they might consider what was fit to be done. Duke Hamilton told me, they were all so drunk that day, that they were not capable of considering any thing that was laid before them, and would hear of nothing but the executing the law without any relenting or delay. So a proclamation was issued out, requiring all who had their livings without presentations, and who had not obeyed the late act, to give over all farther

preaching, or serving the cure, and to withdraw from their parishes immediately: and the military men that lay in the country were ordered to pull them out of their pulpits, if they should presume to go on in their functions. This was opposed only by duke Hamilton, and sir James Lockhart, father to sir William Lockhart. They represented, that the much greater part of the preachers in these counties had come into their churches since the year 1649; that they were very popular men, both esteemed and beloved of their people: it would be a great scandal, if they should be turned out, and none be ready to be put in their places: and it would not be possible to find a competent number of well qualified men, to fill the many vacancies that this proclamation would make. The earl of Midletoun would hear of nothing, but the immediate execution of the law. So the proclamation was issued out: and upon it above two hundred churches were shut up in one day: and above one hundred and fifty more were to be turned out for not obeying, and submitting to the bishops summons to their synods. All this was done without considering the consequence of it, or communicating it to the other bishops. Sharp said to my self, that he knew nothing of it; nor did he imagine, that so rash a thing could have been done, till he saw it in print. He was glad that this was done without his having any share in it: for by it he was furnished with somewhat, in which he was no way concerned, upon which he might cast all the blame of all that followed. Yet this was suitable enough to a maxim that he and all that sort of people set up, that the execution of laws was that by which all governments maintained their strength, as 1662. 155

1662. well as their honour[g]. The earl of Midletoun was surprised at this extraordinary submission of the presbyterians. He had fancied, that the greatest part would have complied, and that some of the more intractable would have done some extraordinary thing, to have justified the severities he would have exercised in that case; and was disappointed both ways. Yet this obedience of a party, so little accustomed to it, was much magnified at court. It was said, that all plied before him: they knew he was steady: so they saw how necessary it was not to change the management, if it was really intended to preserve the church. Lord Tarbot told me, that the king had expressed to himself the esteem he had for Sheldon, upon the account of the courage that he shewed in the debate concerning the execution of the act of uniformity at the day prefixed, which was St. Bartholomew's: for some suggested the danger that might arise, if the act were vigorously executed. From thence, it seems, the earl of Midletoun concluded, the zeal he shewed now would be so acceptable, that all former errors would be forgiven, if he went through with it; as indeed he stuck at nothing. Yet the clamour of putting several counties, as it were, under an interdict, was very great. So all endeavours were used to get as many as could be had to fill those vacancies. And among others, I was much pressed both by the earl of Glencairn and the lord Tarbot, to go into any of the vacant churches that I liked. I was then but nineteen: yet there is no law in Scotland limiting the age of a priest. And it was upon this account

[g] Dunce, can there be a better maxim? S. A good maxim it was, and great pity it was not better followed. *Cole.*

that I was let so far into the secret of all affairs: for they had such an imagination of some service I might do them, that they treated me with a very particular freedom and confidence. But I had drunk in the principles of moderation so early, that, though I was entirely episcopal, yet I would not engage with a body of men, that seemed to have the principles and tempers of inquisitors in them, and to have no regard to religion in any of their proceedings [h]. So I stood upon my youth, and could not be wrought on to go to the west; though the earl of Glencairn offered to carry me with him under his protection. 1662.

There was a sort of an invitation sent over the kingdom, like a hue and cry, to all persons to accept of benefices in the west. The livings were generally well endowed, and the parsonage houses were well built, and in good repair: and this drew many very worthless persons thither, who had little learning, less piety, and no sort of discretion. They came thither with great prejudices against them, and had many difficulties to wrestle with. The former incumbents, who were for the most part protestors, were a grave, solemn sort of people. Their spirits were eager, and their tempers sour: but they had an appearance that created respect. They were re- 156

A general character of them.

[h] It is a little surprising that a youth of nineteen should have been let into the secret of all affairs. No doubt the great moderation, and zeal for episcopacy, which he mentions with a singular degree of modesty, which appeared early in him, and continued to his dying day, must have been the inducements: besides a notable faculty he had in keeping a secret; which I gave queen Ann a proof of, by telling her beforehand I would tell the bishop of Salisbury a particular story, and enjoin him secrecy, which he readily promised, but came two days after from London to Windsor, to tell it her, which made her laugh very heartily. D.

1662. lated to the chief families in the country, either by blood or marriage; and had lived in so decent a manner, that the gentry paid great respect to them. They used to visit their parishes much, and were so full of the scriptures, and so ready at extempore prayer, that from that they grew to practise extempore sermons: for the custom in Scotland was after dinner or supper to read a chapter in the scripture: and where they happened to come, if it was acceptable, they on the sudden expounded the chapter. They had brought the people to such a degree of knowledge, that cottagers and servants would have prayed extempore. I have often overheard them at it: and, though there was a large mixture of odd stuff, yet I have been astonished to hear how copious and ready they were in it. Their ministers generally brought them about them on the Sunday nights, where the sermons were talked over; and every one, women as well as men, were desired to speak their sense and their experience: and by these means they had a comprehension of matters of religion, greater than I have seen among people of that sort any where. The preachers went all in one track, of raising observations on points of doctrine out of their text, and proving these by reasons, and then of applying those, and shewing the use that was to be made of such a point of doctrine, both for instruction and terror, for exhortation and comfort, for trial of themselves upon it, and for furnishing them with proper directions and helps: and this was so methodical, that the people grew to follow a sermon quite through every branch of it. To this some added, the resolving of doubts concerning the state they were in, or their progress or decay in it;

which they called cases of conscience: and these 1662.
were taken from what their people said to them at any time, very oft being under fits of melancholy, or vapours, or obstructions, which, though they flowed from natural causes, were looked on as the work of the Spirit of God, and a particular exercise to them; and they fed this disease of weak minds too much. Thus they had laboured very diligently, though with a wrong method and wrong notions. But as they lived in great familiarity with their people, and used to pray and to talk oft with them in private, so it can hardly be imagined to what a degree they were loved and reverenced by them. They kept scandal- 157
ous persons under a severe discipline: for breach of sabbath, for an oath, or the least disorder in drunkenness, persons were cited before the church session, that consisted of ten or twelve of the chief of the parish, who with the minister had this care upon them, and were solemnly reproved for it: for fornication they were not only reproved before these, but there was a high place in the church, called the stool or pillar of repentance, where they sat at the times of worship for three Lord's-days, receiving admonitions, and making profession of repentance on all those days; which some did with many tears, and serious exhortations to all the rest, to take warning by their fall[i]. For adultery they were to

[i] This puts me in mind of a ridiculous story duke Hamilton told me of the old earl of Eglington, who had done penance for fornication, and the fourth Lord's day came, and set there again, which the minister perceiving, called to him to come down, for his penance was over. "It may be so," said the earl, "but I shall always "set here for the future, be- "cause it is the best seat in "the kirk, and I do not see a "better man to take it from "me." D.

1662. sit six months in that place, covered with sackcloth. These things had a grave appearance. Their faults and defects were not so conspicuous. They had a very scanty measure of learning, and a narrow compass in it. They were little men, of a very indifferent size of capacity, and apt to fly out into great excess of passion and indiscretion. They were servile, and too apt to fawn upon and flatter their admirers[k]. They were affected in their deportment, and very apt to censure all who differed from them, and to believe and report whatsoever they heard to their prejudice. And they were superstitious and haughty. In their sermons they were apt to enlarge on the state of the present time, and to preach against the sins of princes and courts: a topic that naturally makes men popular. It has an appearance of courage: and the people are glad to hear those sins insisted on, in which they perceive they have no share, and to believe that all the judgments of God come down by the means and procurement of other men's sins. But their opinions about the independence of the church and clergy on the civil power, and their readiness to stir up the people to tumults and wars, was that which begot so ill an opinion of them at this time in all men, that very few, who were not deeply engaged with them in these conceits, pitied them much, under all the ill usage they now met with. I hope this is no impertinent nor ungrateful digression. It is a just and true account of these men and those times, from which a judicious reader will make good inferences. I will conclude this with a judicious answer that one of the wisest and best of them, Colvil, who suc-

[k] Strange inconsistent stuff. S.

ceeded Leightoun in the headship of the college of Edenburgh, made to the earl of Midletoun, when he pressed him in the point of defensive arms to tell plainly his opinion, whether they were lawful or not. He said, the question had been often put to him, and he had always declined to answer it: but to him he plainly said, he wished that kings and their ministers would believe them lawful, and so govern as men that expected to be resisted; but he wished that all their subjects would believe them to be unlawful, and so the world would be at quiet. 1662. 158

I do now return to end the account of the state of that country at this time. The people were much troubled, when so many of their ministers were turned out. Their ministers had, for some months before they were thus silenced, been infusing this into their people, both in public and private; that all that was designed in this change of church government was to destroy the power of godliness, and to give an impunity to vice; that prelacy was a tyranny in the church, set on by ambitious and covetous men, who aimed at nothing but authority and wealth, luxury and idleness; and that they intended to encourage vice, that they might procure to themselves a great party among the impious and immoral. The people, thus prepossessed, seeing the earl of Midletoun, and all the train that followed him through those counties, running into excesses of all sorts, and railing at the very appearance of virtue and sobriety, were confirmed in the belief of all that their ministers had told them. What they had heard concerning Sharp's betraying those that had employed him, and the other bishops, who had taken the covenant, and had forced it on

Prejudices infused against episcopacy.

1662. others, and now preached against it, openly owning that they had in so doing gone against the express dictate of their own conscience, did very much heighten all their prejudices, and fixed them so in them, that it was scarce possible to conquer them afterwards. All this was out of measure increased by the new incumbents, who were put in the places of the ejected preachers, and were generally very mean and despicable in all respects. They were the worst preachers I ever heard: they were ignorant to a reproach: and many of them were openly vicious. They were a disgrace to their orders, and the sacred functions; and were indeed the dreg and refuse of the northern parts. Those of them who arose above contempt or scandal, were men of such violent tempers, that they were as much hated as the others were despised. This was the fatal beginning of restoring episcopacy in Scotland, of which few of the bishops seemed to have any sense. Fairfoul, the most concerned, had none at all: for he fell into a paralytic state, in which he languished a year before he died. I have thus opened the first settlement in Scotland: of which I myself observed what was visible, and understood the more secret transactions from those who had such a share in them, that it was not possible for them to mistake them: and I had no reason to think they intended to deceive or misinform me.

1660. I will in the next place change the climate, and
159 give as particular an account as I can of the settle-
The affairs of England. ment of England both in church and state: which, though it will be perhaps imperfect, and will in some parts be out of order, yet I am well assured it

will be found true; having picked it up at several times, from the earl of Lauderdale, sir Robert Murray, the earl of Shaftsbury, the earl of Clarendon the son of the lord chancellor, the lord Hollis, and sir Harbottle Grimstone, who was the speaker of the house of commons, under whose protection I lived nine years when I was preacher at the rolls, he being then master of the rolls. From such hands I could not be misled, when I laid all together, and considered what reason I had to make allowances for the different accounts that diversity of parties and interests may lead men to give, they too easily believing some things, and as easily rejecting others, as they stood affected. 1660.

After the king came over, no person in the house of commons had the courage to move the offering propositions for any limitation of prerogative, or the defining of any doubtful points. All was joy and rapture. If the king had applied himself to business, and had pursued those designs which he studied to retrieve all the rest of his reign, when it was too late, he had probably in those first transports carried every thing that he would have desired, either as to revenue or power. But he was so given up to pleasure, that he devolved the management of all his affairs on the earl of Clarendon; who, as he had his breeding in the law, so he had all along declared himself for the ancient liberties of England, as well as for the rights of the crown. A domestic accident had happened to him, which heightened his zeal for the former. He, when he began to grow eminent in his profession, came down to see his aged father, a gentleman of Wiltshire: who, one day, as they were walking in the field together, told

1660. him, that men of his profession did often stretch law and prerogative, to the prejudice of the liberty of the subject, to recommend and advance themselves: so he charged him, if ever he grew to any eminence in his profession, that he should never sacrifice the laws and liberties of his country to his own interests, or to the will of a prince. He repeated this twice: and immediately he fell into a fit of an apoplexy, of which he died in a few hours. This the earl of Clarendon told the lady Ranelagh, who put him often in mind of it: and from her I had it.

Clarendon's just and moderate notions.

He resolved not to stretch the prerogative beyond what it was before the wars, and would neither set aside the petition of right, nor endeavour to raise the courts of the star-chamber or the high commission again, which could have been easily done, if he had set about it: nor did he think fit to move for the repeal of the act for triennial parliaments, till other matters were well settled. He took care indeed to have all the things that were extorted by the long parliament from king Charles I. to be repealed. And since the dispute of the power of the militia was the most important, and the most insisted on, he was very earnest to have that clearly determined for the future. But as to all the acts relating to property, or the just limitation of the prerogative, such as the matter of the ship-money, the tonnage and poundage, and the *habeas corpus* act, he did not touch on these. And as for the standing revenue, 1,200,000*l.* a year was all that was asked: and, though it was much more than any of our kings had formerly, yet it was readily granted. This was to answer all the ordinary expense of the government. It was believed, that if two mil-

lions had been asked, he could have carried it. But he had no mind to put the king out of the necessity of having recourse to his parliament. The king came afterwards to believe that he could have raised both his authority and revenue much higher, but that he had no mind to carry it farther, or to trust him too much. Whether all these things could have been got at that time, or not, is above my conjecture. But this I know, that all the earl of Clarendon's [court] enemies after his fall said, these things had been easily obtained, if he had taken any pains in the matter, but that he himself had no mind to it: and they infused this into the king, so that he believed it, and hated him mortally on that account. And in his difficulties afterwards he said often, all those things might have been prevented, if the earl of Clarendon had been true to him[1]. 1660.

The king had not been many days at Whitehall, when one Venner, a violent fifth-monarchy Venner's fury.

[1] He himself is silent as to all this, in the history of his life; but that may be accounted for without having any doubt of its truth. If it is true of him, how much are we all indebted to him? That he did this great and lasting service to his country, has been, and is, the universal persuasion. *Quique sui memores alios fecere merendo.* O. (Burnet in his speech also at Sacheverell's trial eulogizes the conduct of the earl of Clarendon at this period: "It is no wonder if "such a series of tragical "events during the rebellion "begat a general horror at the "occasion of them. But then "it was, that had it not been "for the firmness of the earl "of Clarendon to his English "principles, the liberties of "the nation had been deliver-"ed up.——The earl of South-"ampton, whose death went a "little before his fall, and per-"haps hastened it the sooner, "said to many about him, that "he was a true protestant, and "an honest Englishman, and "that the nation would feel "the effects of his being re-"moved, whenever it might "happen." Speeches of the Bishops of Salisbury, Oxford, Lincoln, and Norwich, &c. Lond. 1710. pag. 5. Compare note before at p. 89. folio edit.)

1660. man, who thought it was not enough to believe that Christ was to reign on earth, and to put the saints in the possession of the kingdom, (an opinion that they were all unspeakably fond of,) but added to this, that the saints were to take the kingdom themselves[m]. He gathered some of the most furious of the party to a meeting in Coleman-street. There they concerted the day and the manner of their rising to set Christ on his throne, as they called it. But withal they meant to manage the government in his name; and were so formal, that they had prepared standards and colours with their devices on them, and furnished themselves with very good arms. But when the day came, there was but a small appearance, not exceeding twenty. However
161 they resolved to venture out into the streets, and cry out, No king but Christ. Some of them seemed persuaded that Christ would come down, and head them. They scoured the streets before them, and made a great progress. Some were afraid, and all were amazed at this piece of extravagance. They killed a great many, but were at last mastered by numbers: and were all either killed, or taken and executed. Upon this some troops of guards were raised. And there was a great talk of a design, as soon as the army was disbanded, to raise a force that should be so chosen and modelled that the king might depend upon it; and that it should be so considerable, that there might be no reason to apprehend new tumults any more. The earl of Southampton looked on a while: and when he saw how this design seemed to be entertained and magnified,

[m] This wants grammar. S.

he entered into a very free expostulation with the earl of Clarendon about it. He said, they had felt the effects of a military government, though sober and religious, in Cromwell's army: he believed vicious and dissolute troops would be much worse: the king would grow fond of them: and they would quickly become insolent and ungovernable: and then such men as he was must be only instruments to serve their ends. He said he would not look on, and see the ruin of his country begun, and be silent: a white staff should not bribe him. The earl of Clarendon was persuaded he was in the right, and promised he would divert the king from any other force than what might be decent to make a shew with, and what might serve to disperse unruly multitudes. The earl of Southampton said, if it went no farther, he could bear it; but it would not be easy to fix such a number, as would please our princes, and not give jealousy. The earl of Clarendon persuaded the king, that it was necessary for him to carry himself with great caution, till the old army should be disbanded: for, if an ill humour got among them, they knew both their courage and their principles, which the present times had for a while a little suppressed: yet upon any just jealousy there might be great cause to fear new and more violent disorders. By these means the king was so wrought on, that there was no great occasion given for jealousy. The army was to be disbanded, but in such a manner, with so much respect, and so exact an account of arrears, and such gratuities, that it looked rather to be the dismissing them to the next opportunity, and a reserving them till there should be occasion for their service, than a breaking of 1660.

1660. them. They were certainly the bravest, the best disciplined, and the soberest army that had been known in these latter ages: every soldier was able to do the functions of an officer[n]. The court was at great quiet, when they got rid of such a burden, as
162 lay on them from the fear of such a body of men. The guards, and the new troops that were raised, were made up of such of the army as Monk recommended, and answered for. And with that his great interest at court came to a stand. He was little considered afterwards.

The trial and execution of the regicides.

In one thing the temper of the nation appeared to be contrary to severe proceedings: for, though the regicides were at that time odious beyond all expression, and the trials and executions of the first that suffered were run to by vast crowds, and all people seemed pleased with the sight, yet the odiousness of the crime grew at last to be so much flattened by the frequent executions, and most of those who suffered dying with much firmness and shew of piety, justifying all they had done, not without a seeming joy for their suffering on that account, that the king was advised not to proceed farther, at least not to have the scene so near the court as Charing-cross. It was indeed remarkable that Peters, a sort of an enthusiastical buffoon preacher, though a very vicious man, who had been of great use to Cromwell, and had been outrageous in pressing the king's death with the cruelty and rudeness of an inquisitor, was the man of them all that was the most sunk in his spirit, and could not in any sort bear his pu-

[n] (Lord Clarendon, in his History, acknowledges the excellent discipline and extraordinary sobriety of both officers and men in Fairfax's army.)

nishment. He had neither the honesty to repent of it, nor the strength of mind to suffer for it, as all the rest of them did. He was observed all the while to be drinking some cordial liquors to keep him from fainting. Harrison was the first that suffered. He was a fierce and bloody enthusiast. And it was believed, that while the army was in doubt, whether it was fitter to kill the king privately, or to bring him to an open trial, that he offered, if a private way was settled on, to be the man that should do it. So he was begun with. But, however reasonable this might be in it self, it had a very ill effect: for he was a man of great heat and resolution, fixed in his principles, and so persuaded of them, that he had never looked after any interests of his own, but had opposed Cromwell when he set up for himself. He went through all the indignities and severities of his execution, in which the letter of the law in cases of treason was punctually observed, with a calmness, or rather a cheerfulness, that astonished the spectators. He spoke very positively, that what they had done was the cause and work of God, which he was confident God would own and raise up again, how much soever it suffered at that time. Upon this a report was spread, and generally believed, that he said he himself should rise again: though the party denied that, and reported the words as I have set them down. One person escaped, as was reported, merely by his vices: Henry Martin, who had been a most violent enemy to monarchy. But all that he moved for was upon Roman or Greek principles. He never entered into matters of religion, but on design to laugh both at them and all morality; for he was both an impious 1660. 163

1660. and vicious man. And now in his imprisonment he delivered himself up to vice and blasphemy. It was said, that this helped him to so many friends, that upon that very account he was spared[o]. John Goodwin and Milton did also escape all censure, to the surprise of all people. Goodwin had so often not only justified, but magnified the putting the king to death, both in his sermons and books, that few thought he could have been either forgot or excused: for Peters and he were the only preachers that spoke of it in that strain. But Goodwin had been so zealous an Arminian, and had sown such division among all the sectaries upon these heads, that it was said this procured him friends. Upon what account soever it was, he was not censured. Milton had appeared so boldly, though with much wit, and great purity and elegancy of style, against Salmasius and others, upon that argument of the putting the king to death, and had discovered such violence against the late king and all the royal family, and against monarchy, that it was thought a strange omission if he was forgot, and an odd strain of clemency if it was intended he should be forgiven. He was not excepted out of the act of indemnity[p]. And afterwards he came out of his concealment, and lived many years, much visited by all strangers, and much admired by all at home for the poems he writ, though he was then blind; chiefly that of Paradise Lost, in which there is a nobleness both of contrivance and execution, that, though he affected to write in blank verse without rhyme, and made many new

[o] He censures even mercy. S.

[p] His life was spared by the means of the famous sir William Davenant, whose life he had saved under the former powers. O.

and rough words, yet it was esteemed the beautifulest and perfectest poem that ever was writ, at least in our language [q]. 1660.

But as the sparing these persons was much censured, so on the other hand the putting Sir Henry Vane to death was as much blamed: for the declaration from Breda being full for an indemnity to all, except the regicides, he was comprehended in that[r]; since, though he was for changing the government, and deposing the king, yet he did not approve of the putting him to death, nor of the force put on the parliament, but did for some time, while these things were acted, withdraw from the scene[s]. This was so represented by his friends, that an address was made by both houses on his behalf, to which the king gave a favourable answer, though in general words. So he reckoned that he was safe[t]; that being equivalent 1661. Vane's character.

[q] A mistake, for it is in English. S.

[r] ("In the Declaration from "Breda, all those are excepted "out of the indemnity, who "should afterwards be excepted by parliament, and sir "Harry Vane was excepted by "name." *Salmon's Examination of Bishop Burnet's History*, vol. I. p. 506. But there was an address in his favour after this by the parliament, the prayer of which was assented to by the king.)

[s] ("His hand was proved to "a warrant issued out to the "officers of the navy to put the "fleet in readiness, on that "very 30th of January 1648. "on which the king was murdered. He was proved also "to be an acting member in "the rebels' council of state on "the 13th of February, and "the 23d of March following: "and it was proved that he "continued to act in their "councils and armies until "the year 1659 inclusive." *Salmon*, ibid. p. 507.)

[t] So did every body at that time, and it was so designed: it was a medium to accommodate the difference between the two houses, upon his case. The commons had expressly provided for the sparing of his life. The lords disagreed to that, and the commons only yielded upon the proposal of this joint address. The words of the address, or rather petition, were, "That, as his majesty had de-"clared he would proceed "only against the immediate

1661. to an act of parliament, though it wanted the necessary forms. Yet the great share he had in the at-
164 tainder of the earl of Strafford, and in the whole turn of affairs to the total change of government, but above all the great opinion that was had of his parts and capacity to embroil matters again, made the court think it necessary to put him out of the way[u]. He was naturally a very fearful man: this one who knew him well told me, and gave me eminent instances of it. He had a head as darkened in his notions of religion, as his mind was clouded with fear[x]: for though he set up a form of religion in a way of his own, yet it consisted rather in a withdrawing from all other forms, than in any new or particular opinions or forms; from which he and his party were called *seekers*, and seemed to wait for some new and clearer manifestations. In these meetings he preached and prayed often himself, but with so peculiar a darkness, that though I have sometimes taken pains to see if I could find out his

" murderers of his father, they " (the lords and commons) " not finding sir Henry Vane " or colonel Lambert to be of " that number, are humble " suitors to his majesty, that if " they shall be attainted, yet " execution as to their lives " may be remitted." The king's answer, as reported by the lord chancellor, was, " That his ma- " jesty grants the desires in " the said petition." It is true, in the next parliament, there was an address to prosecute them. Lambert was attainted as well as sir Henry Vane, but his life was spared. He lived several years afterwards in prison, and died a papist. O.

[u] A malicious turn. Vane was a dangerous enthusiastic beast. S. (Principal Baillie, a zealous presbyterian, says in his Letters, " They speak of sir " Henry Vane and Lambert as " to be tried for their lives. " They are two the most dan- " gerous men in England. " Their execution will be well " enough taken by all gene- " rally, though solicitor St. " Johns should be added to " them." Letter 200. vol. II. p. 457.

[x] See lord Clarendon's History of the Rebellion, vol. III. p. 544. O.

meaning in his works, yet I could never reach it. 1661.
And since many others have said the same, it may be reasonable to believe he hid somewhat that was a necessary key to the rest. His friends told me he leaned to Origen's notion of an universal salvation of all, both of devils and the damned, and to the doctrine of pre-existence. When he saw his death was designed, he composed himself to it, with a resolution that surprised all who knew how little of that was natural to him. Some instances of this were very extraordinary, though they cannot be mentioned with decency [y]. He was beheaded on Tower-Hill, where a new and very indecent practice was begun. It was observed that the dying speeches of the regicides had left impressions on the hearers, that were not at all to the advantage of the government. So strains of a peculiar nature being expected from him, to prevent that, drummers were placed under the scaffold, who, as soon as he began to speak of the public, upon a sign given, struck up with their drums. This put him in no disorder. He desired they might be stopped, for he understood

And execution.

[y] His lady conceived of him the night before his execution. S. He cohabited with his lady the night before he was executed, and declared he had done so, next morning; for fear any reflection should be made upon her, if she proved with child: which occasioned an unlucky jest when his son was made a privy-counsellor with father Peters in king James's reign. The earl of Dorset said, he believed his father got him after his head was off. D. (Cole, in a MS. note, relates, on the information of speaker Onslow, that this son of sir Henry Vane was remarkable for absence of mind in company, and that, when he was abroad, being asked whether he was the son born after his father's death, he answered, "No, it was my elder bro-" ther;" thinking, it is supposed, on the circumstance of his brother's having attended on his father at his execution.)

1661. what was meant by it. Then he went through his devotions. And, as he was taking leave of those about him, he happening to say somewhat with relation to the times, the drums struck up a second time: so he gave over, and died with so much composedness, that it was generally thought the government had lost more than it had gained by his death [z].

The king gave himself up to his pleasures.

The act of indemnity passed with very few exceptions; at which the cavaliers were highly dissatisfied, and made great complaints of it. In the disposal of offices and places, as it was not possible to gratify all, so there was little regard had to men's merits or services. The king was determined to 165 most of these by the cabal that met at mistress Palmer's lodgings. And though the earl of Clarendon did often prevail with the king to alter the resolu-

[z] "Hamton courte, Saturday, "two in the afternoon.

"The relation that has "been made to me of sir H. "Vane's carriage yesterday in "the hall, is the occasion of "this letter, which, if I am "rightly informed, was so insolent, as to justyfy all he had "done; acknowledgeing no supreame power in England, "but a parliament: and many "things to that purpose. You "have had a true accounte of "all, and if he has given new "occasion to be hanged, certaynly he is too dangerous a "man to lett live, if we can "honestly put him out of the "way. Thinke of this, and "give me some accounte of it "tomorrow, till when I have no "more to say to you. C." Indorsed in Lord Clarendon's hand, *The King, 7th June.*

Sir Henry Vane was beheaded that day sennight, viz. 14th of June, 1662. See among the State Trials that of sir Henry Vane, especially the latter end of what is printed there.

16th of April, 1766.

The above letter I had copied from the original, which is in the possession of —(James West, of Covent Garden, Esq.) and which I saw, the 24th of June, 1759. Arthur Onslow.

I find this letter is lately printed in Dr. Harris's Account of king Charles the second. But how he came by it, I do not know. O.

Vane was beheaded for new attempts, not here mentioned. S.

tions taken there, yet he was forced to let a great deal go that he did not like. He would never make applications to mistress Palmer, nor let any thing pass the seal in which she was named [a], as the earl of Southampton would never suffer her name to be in the treasury books. Those virtuous ministers thought it became them to let the world see that they did not comply with the king in his vices. But whether the earl of Clarendon spoke so freely to the king about his course of life, as was given out, I cannot tell. When the cavaliers saw they had not that share in places that they expected, they complained of it so highly, that the earl of Clarendon, to excuse the king's passing them by, was apt to beat down the value they set on their services. This laid the foundation of an implacable hatred in many of them, that was completed by the extent and comprehensiveness of the act of indemnity, which cut off their hopes of being reimbursed out of the fines, if not the confiscations of those, who had, during the course of the wars, been on the parliament's side. It is true, the first parliament, called, by way of derogation, the convention, had been too much on that side not to secure themselves and their friends. So

1661.

The act of indemnity maintained.

[a] For which reason the husband was prevailed upon, though with difficulty, to accept of an Irish patent to be viscount Castlemain, that she might be qualified to be a lady of the bedchamber to the queen. She was not created duchess of Cleveland till about the year 1670. O. Lord Clarendon has left behind him a letter under the king's own hand, in which he tells Clarendon, he will never hope for happiness in this world, or in the next, if he does not carry his point, to make Mrs. Palmer (afterwards Lady Castlemaine) a lady of the queen's bedchamber; that whoever does any thing to obstruct it, he will be his enemy as long as he lives; and recommends it to him to bring the queen to a compliance, as far as in his power. *Bowyer's Note on this History.*

1661. they took care to have the most comprehensive words put in it that could be thought of[b]. But when the new parliament was called, a year after, in which there was a design to set aside the act of indemnity, and to have brought in a new one, the king did so positively insist on his adhering to the act of indemnity, that the design of breaking into it was laid aside. The earl of Clarendon owned it was his counsel. Acts or promises of indemnity, he thought, ought to be held sacred: a fidelity in the observation of them was the only foundation upon which any government could hope to quiet seditions or civil wars: and if people once thought that those promises were only made to deceive them, without an intention to observe them religiously, they would never for the future hearken to any treaty. He often said it was the making those promises had brought the king home, and it was the keeping them must keep him at home. So that whole work, from beginning to the end, was entirely his. The angry men, that were thus disappointed of all their hopes, made a jest of the title of it, *An act of oblivion and of indemnity;* and said, the king had passed an act of oblivion for his friends, and of indemnity for his enemies. To load the earl of Clarendon the more, it was given out that he advised the king to gain his enemies, since he was sure of his friends by their principles. With this he was often charged, though he always denied it[c]. Whe-

[b] In the interval between the two parliaments many persons obtained particular pardons under the great seal, for what was included in the act of indemnity. My great grandfather had one, which I have seen. O.

[c] He might deny the words, but the practice was suitable to such doctrine, and every body knew there was nothing done at that time but by his advice. D.

ther the king fastened it upon him after he had disgraced him, to make him the more odious, I cannot tell. It is certain the king said many very hard things of him, for which he was much blamed: and in most of them he was but little believed. 1661. 166

It was natural for the king, upon his restoration, to look out for a proper marriage. And it was soon observed, that he was resolved not to marry a protestant. He pretended a contempt of the Germans, and of the northern crowns[d]. France had no sister. He had seen the duke of Orleans's daughters, and liked none of them. Spain had only two infantas: and as the eldest was married to the king of France, the second was to go to Vienna. So the house of Portugal only remained, to furnsh him a wife, among the crowned heads. Monk began to hearken to a motion made him for this by a Jew, that managed the concerns of Portugal, which were now given for lost, since they were abandoned by France by the treaty of the Pyrenees; in which it appears, by cardinal Mazarin's letters, that he did entirely deliver up their concerns; which was imputed to his desire to please the queen-mother of France, who, being a daughter of Spain, owned herself still to be in the interests of Spain in every thing in which France was not concerned, for in that case she pretended she was true to the crown of France. And this was the true secret of cardinal Mazarin's 1662. The king's marriage.

[d] See my lord Lansdowne's Works vol. II. p. 177. *Cole.* (Lord Lansdowne's observations on Burnet were replied to by Oldmixon and the bishop's son; and his reflections on Echard's account of this marriage treaty refuted by doctor Colbatch of Trinity college Cambridge. See the *Biographia Britan.* vol. IV. art. *Granville lord Lansdowne*, pp. 2351, 2352.)

1662. carrying on that war so feebly as he did, to gratify the queen-mother on the one hand, and his own covetousness on the other: for the less public expense was made, he had the greater occasions of enriching himself, which was all he thought on. The Portugueze being thus, as they thought, cast off by France, were very apprehensive of falling under the Castillians, who, how weak soever they were in opposition to France, yet were like to be too hard for them, when they had nothing else on their hands. So, vast offers were made, if the king would marry their infanta, and take them under his protection. Monk was the more encouraged to entertain the proposition, because some pretended, that, in the beginning of the war of Portugal, king Charles had entered into a negotiation for a marriage between his son and this infanta. And the veneration paid his memory was then so high, that every thing he had projected was esteemed sacred. Monk promised to serve the interests of Portugal: and that was, as sir Robert Southwell told me, the first step made in that matter[e]. Soon after the king came into England, an embassy of congratulation came from thence, with orders to negotiate that business. The Spanish ambassador, who had a pretension of
167 merit from the king in behalf of that crown, since they had received and entertained him at Brussels, when France had thrown him off, set himself much against this match: and, among other things, affirmed, that the infanta was incapable of having children. But this was little considered. The Spaniards are not very scrupulous in affirming any thing that serves their ends: and this marriage was

[e] See post p. 297. O.

like to secure the kingdom of Portugal. So it was no wonder that he opposed it: and little regard was had to all that he said to break it. 1662.

At this time monsieur Fouquet was gaining an ascendant in the counsels of France, cardinal Mazarin falling then into a languishing, of which he died a year after. He sent one over to the king with a project of an alliance between France and England. He was addressed first to the earl of Clarendon, to whom he enlarged on all the heads of the scheme he had brought, of which the match with Portugal was a main article. And, to make all go down the better, Fouquet desired to enter into a particular friendship with the earl of Clarendon; and sent him the offer of 10,000*l.* and assured him of the renewing the same present every year. The lord Clarendon told him, he would lay all that related to the king faithfully before him, and give him his answer in a little time: but for what related to himself, he said, he served a great and bountiful master, who knew well how to support and reward his servants: he would ever serve him faithfully; and, because he knew he must serve those from whom he accepted the hire, therefore he rejected the offer with great indignation. He laid before the king the heads of the proposed alliance, which required much consultation. But in the next place he told both the king and his brother what had been offered to himself. They both advised him to accept of it. Why, said he, have you a mind that I should betray you? The king answered, he knew nothing could corrupt him. Then, said, he, you know me better than I do my self: for if I take the money, I shall find the sweet of it, and study to have it continued

An alliance proposed from France.

1662. to me by deserving it. He told them, how he had rejected the offer; and very seriously warned the king of the danger he saw he might fall into, if he suffered any of those who served him, to be once pensioners to other princes: those presents were made only to bias them in their counsels, and to discover secrets by their means: and if the king gave way to it, the taking money would soon grow to a habit, and spread like an infection through the whole court.

168 The duke of York's marriage. As the motion for the match with Portugal was carried on, an incident of an extraordinary nature happened in the court. The earl of Clarendon's daughter, being with child, and near her time, called upon the duke of York to own his marriage with her. She had been maid of honour to the princess royal: and the duke, who was even to his old age of an amorous disposition, tried to gain her to comply with his desires. She managed the matter with so much address, that in conclusion he married her. Her father did very solemnly protest, that he knew nothing of the matter, till now that it broke out[f].

[f] Lord Shaftsbury told sir Mich. Wharton, from whom I had it, that some time before the match was owned, he had observed a respect from lord Clarendon and his lady to their daughter, that was very unusual from parents to their children, which gave him a jealousy she was married to one of the brothers, but suspected the king most. D. (As far as lord Clarendon's lady is concerned in this story, sir Michael Wharton's veracity is established by Locke's Memoirs of the earl of Shaftsbury. See Locke's Works, vol. III. p. 493. And it appears, from lord Clarendon's account of this transaction, that his daughter resided with him for some time after he had been informed, by the king's order, of the marriage, and whilst it still remained a secret from the public. King Charles's conduct in this business was excellent throughout, that of Clarendon worthy an ancient Roman. See Continuation of the Life of the Earl of Clarendon, by himself, p. 27—40.)

The duke thought to have shaken her from claiming it by great promises, and as great threatenings[g]. But she was a woman of a great spirit. She said, she was his wife, and would have it known that she was so, let him use her afterwards as he pleased. Many discourses were set about upon this occasion. But the king ordered some bishops and judges to peruse the proofs she had to produce: and they reported that, according to the doctrine of the Gospel, and the law of England, it was a good marriage. So it was not possible to break it, but by trying how far the matter could be carried against her, for marrying a person so near the king without his leave. The king would not break with the earl of Clarendon: and so he told his brother, he must drink as he brewed, and live with her whom he had made his wife. All the earl of Clarendon's enemies rejoiced at this: for they reckoned, how much soever it seemed to raise him at present, yet it would raise envy so high against him, and make the king so jealous of him, as being more in his brother's interests than in his own, that they looked on it as that which would end in his ruin. And he himself thought so, as his son told me: for, as soon as he knew of it, and when he saw his son lifted up with it, he protested to him, that he knew nothing of the matter, till it broke out; but added, that he looked 1662.

g And a scandalous attempt was made to affect her reputation, as my lord Clarendon says, in a manuscript history, written by himself, of his life, or rather a continuation of it, from the restoration to within (six years) of his death. I had the reading of this manuscript, by the favour of the lord Cornbury that now is, (1748,) and found in it great confirmations of what is in this history within that period, which relate to the king, his ministers, and court. O. (This work was first published by the university of Oxford, in 1759.)

1662. on it, as that which must be all their ruin sooner or later.

The duke's character.

Upon this I will digress a little, to give an account of the duke's character, whom I knew for some years so particularly, that I can say much upon my own knowledge. He was very brave in his youth, and so much magnified by monsieur Turenne, that, till his marriage lessened him, he really clouded the king, and passed for the superior genius. He was naturally candid and sincere, and a firm friend, till affairs and his religion wore out all his first principles and inclinations. He had a great desire to understand affairs: and in order to that he kept a constant journal of all that passed, of
169 which he shewed me a great deal. The duke of Buckingham gave me once a short but severe character of the two brothers. It was the more severe, because it was true: the king (he said) could see things if he would, and the duke would see things if he could. He had no true judgment, and was soon determined by those whom he trusted: but he was obstinate against all other advices. He was bred with high notions of the kingly authority, and laid it down for a maxim, that all who opposed the king were rebels in their hearts. He was perpetually in one amour or other, without being very nice in his choice: upon which the king said once, he believed his brother had his mistresses given him by his priests for penance. He gave me this account of his changing his religion[h]: when he escaped

([h] King Charles the first's children were all of them brought up in the protestant religion, Henrietta duchess of Orleans excepted, who was conveyed from Exeter, during the troubles, and whilst an infant, to her mother in France.)

out of the hands of the earl of Northumberland, who had the charge of his education trusted to him by the parliament, and had used him with great respect, all due care was taken, as soon as he got beyond sea, to form him to a strict adherence to the church of England: among other things, much was said of the authority of the church, and of the tradition from the apostles in support of episcopacy: so that, when he came to observe that there was more reason to submit to the catholic church than to one particular church, and that other traditions might be taken on her word, as well as episcopacy was received among us, he thought the step was not great, but that it was very reasonable to go over to the church of Rome: and doctor Steward having taught him to believe a real but inconceivable presence of Christ in the sacrament, he thought this went more than half way to transubstantiation. He said, that a nun's advice to him to pray every day, that, if he was not in the right way, God would set him right, did make a great impression on him. But he never told me when or where he was reconciled[i]. He suffered me to say a great deal to him on all these heads. I shewed the difference between submission and obedience in matters of order and indifferent things, and an implicit submission from the belief of infallibility. I also shewed him the difference between a speculation of a mode of Christ's presence, when it rested in an opinion, and an adoration 1660.

([i] Before the restoration he had zealously seconded the king's endeavours to prevent the then meditated perversion of their brother the duke of Gloucester; but it appears from Pepys's Diary, that so early as the year after the king's return, the duke of York was considered to be a professed friend to the Roman catholics. See vol. I. of the *Diary*, p. 95.)

1660. founded on it: though the opinion of such a presence was wrong, there was no great harm in that alone: but the adoration of an undue object was idolatry. He suffered me to talk much and often to him on these heads. But I plainly saw, it made no impression: and all that he seemed to intend by it was, to make use of me as an instrument to soften the aversion that people began to be possessed with to him. He was naturally eager and revengeful: and was against the taking off any that set up in an opposition to the measures of the court, and who by that means grew popular in the house of commons. He was for rougher methods. He continued for many years dissembling his religion, and seemed zealous for the church of England: but it was chiefly on design to hinder all propositions that tended to unite us among ourselves. He was a frugal prince, and brought his court into method and magnificence: for he had 100,000*l.* a year allowed him. He was made high admiral: and he came to understand all the concerns of the sea very particularly. He had a very able secretary about him, sir William Coventry: a man of great notions and eminent virtues, the best speaker in the house of commons, and capable of bearing the chief ministry, as it was once thought he was very near it. The duke found all the great seamen had a deep tincture from their education: they both hated popery and loved liberty: they were men of severe tempers, and kept good discipline. But in order to the putting the fleet into more confident hands, the duke began a method of sending pages of honour, and other young persons of quality, to be bred to the sea. And these were put in command, as soon

as they were capable of it, if not sooner. This discouraged many of the old seamen, when they saw in what a channel advancement was like to go; who upon that left the service, and went and commanded merchantmen. By this means the virtue and discipline of the navy is much lost. It is true, we have a breed of many gallant men, who do distinguish themselves in action. But it is thought, the nation has suffered much by the vices and disorders of those captains, who have risen by their quality more than by merit or service. 1660.

The duchess of York was a very extraordinary woman. She had great knowledge, and a lively sense of things. She soon understood what belonged to a princess; and took state on her rather too much [k]. She writ well; and had begun the duke's life, of which she shewed me a volume. It was all drawn from his journal: and he intended to have employed me in carrying it on. She was bred to great strictness in religion, and practised secret confession. Morley [l] told me, he was her confessor. The duchess's character.

[k] Her marriage with the duke created great uneasiness in the royal family. The princess royal could little bear the giving place to one she thought she had honoured very much in having admitted into her service, and avoided being in a room with her as much as she could; and the duke of Gloucester could never be prevailed upon to shew her any sort of civility. My grandfather (who loved him the best of all his old master's children) told him he feared it might prove prejudicial to him if the king should die without children: the duke said he believed it was not prudent, but she smelt so strong of her father's green bag, that he could not get the better of himself, whenever he had the misfortune to be in her presence. Queen-mother, who hated the chancellor, was with great difficulty persuaded to see her, and gave it for a reason to induce the king to agree to the princess Henrietta's marriage with the duke of Orleans, that she might avoid being insulted by Hyde's daughter. D.

[l] (The bishop of Winchester.)

1660. She began at twelve years old, and continued under his direction, till, upon her father's disgrace, he was put from the court. She was generous and friendly; but was too severe an enemy.

The duke of Glocester's character.

The king's third brother, the duke of Glocester, was of a temper different from his two brothers. He was active, and loved business, was apt to have particular friendships; and had an insinuating temper, which was generally very acceptable. The king loved him much better than the duke of York.

171 But he was uneasy, when he saw there was no post left for him, since Monk was general. So he spoke to the earl of Clarendon, that he might be made lord treasurer. But he told him, it was a post below his dignity. He would not be put off with that: for he could not bear an idle life, nor to see his brother at the head of the fleet, when he himself had neither business nor dependence. But the mirth and entertainments of that time raised his blood so high, that he took the small-pox; of which he died, much lamented by all, but most particularly by the king, who was never in his whole life seen so much troubled, as he was on that occasion. Those who would not believe he had much tenderness in his nature, imputed this rather to his jealousy of the brother that survived, since he had now lost the only person that could balance him. Not long after him, the princess royal died likewise of the small-pox; but was not much lamented. She had lived in her widowhood for some years with great reputation, kept a decent court, and supported her brothers very liberally; and lived within bounds. But her mother, who had the art of making herself believe any thing she had a mind to, upon a conversation with the

queen-mother of France, fancied the king of France might be inclined to marry her. So she writ to her to come to Paris. In order to that, she made an equipage far above what she could support. So she ran herself into debt, sold all her jewels, and some estates that were in her power as her son's guardian; and was not only disappointed of that vain expectation, but fell into some misfortunes, that lessened the reputation she had formerly lived in[m]. Upon her death, it might have been expected, both in justice and gratitude, that the king would in a most particular manner have taken her son, the young prince of Orange, into his protection. But he fell into better hands: for his grandmother became his guardian, and took care both of his estate and his education. 1660.

Thus two of the branches of the royal family were cut off soon after the restoration. And so little do the events of things answer the first appearances, that a royal family of three princes and two princesses, all young and graceful persons, that promised a numerous issue, did moulder away so fast, The prospect of the royal family much changed.

[m] Particularly in relation to young Harry Jermin, nephew to the earl of St. Alban's, who left him his heir, and was after created lord Dover by king James. At the revolution he was more favoured by king William than any Roman catholic that had been in king James's service; in regard, as was thought, to the favour he had been in with his mother, who was suspected to have been married to him; which king William was willing to have believed, (rather than worse,) though it was not proper for her to own the marriage. And the late behaviour of her mother with the earl of St. Alban's, and her aunt with the earl of Craven, seemed to countenance, if not justify, such a management. D. (His lordship means the private marriages said to have taken place between these parties. Pepys, in his *Diary*, vol. I. p. 86, mentions the current report of the princess of Orange's marriage with Jermyn.)

1660. that now, while I am writing, all is reduced to the person of the queen, and the duchess of Savoy [n]. The king had a very numerous issue, though none by his queen. The duke had by both his wives, and some irregular amours, a very numerous issue. And the present queen has had a most fruitful marriage as to issue, though none of them survive. The princess
172 Henriette was so pleased with the diversion of the French court, that she was glad to go thither again to be married to the king's brother, [a poor-spirited and voluptuous prince; monstrous in his vices, and effeminate in his luxury in more senses than one. He had not one good or great quality, but courage: so that he became both odious and contemptible.]

Schomberg went through England to Portugal.

As the treaty with Portugal went on, France did engage in the concerns of that crown, though they had by treaty promised the contrary to the Spaniards. To excuse their perfidy, count Schomberg, a German by birth, and a Calvinist by his religion, was ordered to go thither, as one prevailed with by the Portugal ambassador, and not as sent over by the orders of the court of France. He passed through England to concert with the king the matters of Portugal, and the supply that was to be sent thither from England. He told me, the king had admitted him into great familiarities with him at Paris. He had known him first at the Hague: for he was the prince of Orange's particular favourite; but had so great a share in the last violent actions of his life, seizing the states, and in the attempt

[n] (Namely, queen Anne, and this duchess, who was daughter of Henrietta, duchess of Orleans, the youngest daughter of king Charles the first: the bishop setting aside the other children of the duke of York, then alive at the time of his writing this part of his history.)

upon Amsterdam, that he left the service upon his death; and gained so great a reputation in France, that, after the prince of Conde and Turenne, he was thought the best general they had. He had much free discourse with the king, though he found his mind was so turned to mirth and pleasure, that he seemed scarce capable of laying any thing to heart. He advised him to set up for the head of the protestant religion: for though, he said to him, he knew he had not much religion, yet his interests led him to that. It would keep the princes of Germany in a great dependence on him, and make him the umpire of all their affairs; and would procure him great credit with the Huguenots of France, and keep that crown in perpetual fear of him. He advised the king to employ the military men that had served under Cromwell, whom he thought the best officers he had ever seen: and he was sorry to see they were dismissed, and that a company of wild young men were those the king relied on. But what he pressed most on the king, as the business then in agitation, was concerning the sale of Dunkirk. The Spaniards pretended it ought to be restored to them, since it was taken from them by Cromwell, when they had the king and his brothers in their armies: but that was not much regarded. The French pretended, that, by their agreement with Cromwell, he was only to hold it, till they had repayed the charge of the war: therefore they, offering to lay that down, ought to have the place delivered to them. The king was in no sort bound by this. So the matter under debate was, whether it ought to be kept or sold? The military men, who were believed to be corrupted by France, said,

1660.

Dunkirk sold to the French.

1661. the place was not tenable; that in time of peace it would put the king to a great charge, and in time
173 of war it would not quit the cost of keeping it [o]. The earl of Clarendon said, he understood not those matters; but appealed to Monk's judgment, who did positively advise the letting it go for the sum that France offered [p]. To make the business go the easier, the king promised, that he would lay up all the money in the Tower; and that it should not be touched, but upon extraordinary occasions. Schomberg advised, in opposition to all this, that the king should keep it; for, considering the naval power of England, it could not be taken. He knew, that, though France spoke big, as if they would break with England unless that was delivered up, yet they were far from the thoughts of it. He had considered the place well; and he was sure it could never be taken, as long as England was master of the sea. The holding it would keep both France and Spain in a dependence upon the king. But he was singular in that opinion. So it was sold [q]: and all

[o] See D'Estrade's letters; but see too my lord Clarendon's defence of himself, as to this matter. It is printed in the 8th vol. of State Trials, p. 399, 80. More of this will appear to the world, whenever my lord Clarendon's history of these times shall be published. I have read it in MS. O.

[p] (This appears also to have been the opinion, as it certainly was the advice, of that able seaman the earl of Sandwich. He told his friend Pepys, that "he wondered any wise people should be so troubled for "Dunkirk; and scorns all their "talk against it, for that he "sees it was not Dunkirk, but "the other places, that did and "would annoy us, though we "had that, as much as if we "had it not." Pepys's *Diary*, vol. I. p. 173, 394.)

[q] There is some reason to suspect, from some things in Carte's history of the first duke of Ormonde, that the sale of Dunkirk, as well as the Portugal match, were first settled between the king and the French king, by the intervention only of the queen-mother of England and the court of Portugal; and my lord Claren-

the money that was paid for it was immediately squandered away among the mistress's creatures. 1661.

By this the king lost his reputation abroad. The court was believed venal. And because the earl of Clarendon was in greatest credit, the blame was cast chiefly on him; though his son assured me, he kept himself out of that affair entirely[r]. The cost bestowed on that place since that time, and the great prejudice we have suffered by it, has made that sale to be often reflected on very severely. But it was pretended, that Tangier, which was offered as a part of the portion that the infanta of Portugal was to bring with her, was a place of much greater consequence. Its situation in the map is indeed very eminent. And if Spain had been then in a condition to put any restraint on our trade, it had been of great use to us; especially, if the making a mole there had been more practicable than it proved to be. It was then spoken of in the court in the highest strains of flattery. It was said, this would not only give us the entire command of

Tangier a part of the queen's portion.

don says, in his Defence above mentioned, "It is very well "known to his majesty, and to "several persons yet alive, that "the parting with Dunkirk was "resolved upon before I ever "heard of it." Carte does not indeed mention Dunkirk; but Oldmixon does, when he speaks of the errand of the queen-mother to England. See what *they* say: Carte, in his second vol. p. 250, &c. Oldmixon, in his History of the Stuarts, p. 490. See also the General Dictionary, vol. VI. p. 337. and Kennet's History of England, p. 224. See also a letter in MS. of sir Robert Southwell to the second earl of Clarendon, at the end of my second vol. (8vo edition) of the Lord Chancellor Clarendon's Life. See also Lord Clarendon's Life, p. 201, &c. O. It was sold for 500,000 pistoles in specie. Lord Clarendon's Life by himself, vol. II. p. 23. edit. 8vo. 1760. *Dr. Bliss.*

[r] In his opinion and advice, but not in his actings: an unhappy distinction of his, which went to other matters, and made him to be called the author of many things he was really averse to. O.

1661. the Mediterranean trade, but it would be a place of safety for a squadron to be always kept there, for securing our West and East India trade. And such mighty things were said of it, as if it had been reserved for the king's reign, to make it as glorious abroad, as it was happy at home: though since that time we have never been able, neither by force nor treaty, to get ground enough round the town from the Moors to maintain the garrison. But every man that was employed there studied only his own interest, and how to rob the king. If the money, that was laid out in the mole at different times, had been raised all in a succession, as fast as the work could be carried on, it might have been made a very valuable place. But there were so many discontinuings, and so many new undertakings, that
174 after an immense charge the court grew weary of it: and in the year 1683 they sent a squadron of ships to bring away the garrison, and to destroy all the works.

To end this matter of the king's marriage with the infanta of Portugal all at once: it was at last concluded. The earl of Sandwich went for her, and was the king's proxy in the nuptial ceremony. The king communicated the matter both to the parliament of England and Scotland. And so strangely were people changed, that though they all had seen the mischievous effects of a popish queen in the former reign, yet not one person moved against it in either parliament, except the earl of Cassilis in Scotland; who moved for an address to the king to marry a protestant. He had but one to second him: so entirely were men run from one extreme to another.

When the queen was brought over, the king met her at Winchester in summer 1662. The archbishop of Canterbury came to perform the ceremony: but the queen was bigoted to such a degree, that she would not say the words of matrimony, nor bear the sight of the archbishop. The king said the words hastily: and the archbishop pronounced them married persons. Upon this some thought afterwards to have dissolved the marriage, as a marriage only *de facto*, in which no consent had been given. But the duke of York told me, they were married by the lord Aubigny according to the Roman ritual, and that he himself was one of the witnesses: and he added, that, a few days before he told me this, the queen had said to him, that she heard some intended to call her marriage in question; and that, if that was done, she must call on him, as one of her witnesses, to prove it. I saw the letter that the king writ to the earl of Clarendon the day after their marriage, by which it appeared very plainly, [if not too plainly,] that the marriage was consummated, and that the king was well pleased with her [s], [which convinced me of the falsehood of the reports that had

1662. The manner of the king's marriage.

[s] Before he was married, he told old colonel Legge (who he knew had never approved of the match,) that he thought they had brought him a bat, instead of a woman; but it was too late to find fault, and he must make the best he could of a bad matter. She was very short and broad, of a swarthy complexion, one of her fore teeth stood out, which held up her upper lip; had some very nauseous distempers, besides excessively proud and ill-humoured. D. (Macdirmid, in his Life of Lord Clarendon, produces some accounts, which speak more favourably of the queen's person. Macdirmid's *Lives of Three British Statesmen*, p. 551. Consult also Evelyn's *Memoirs*, vol. I. p. 335, and Pepys's *Diary*, vol. I. p. 164. The letter likewise to lord Clarendon, which the author speaks of, has been printed, and in it the king draws no unfavourable picture of his royal bride.)

1662. been set about; that I was once persuaded of them, that she was not fit for marriage.] The king himself told me, she had been with child: and Willis, the great physician, told doctor Lloyd, from whom I had it, that she had once miscarried of a child, which was so far advanced, that, if it had been carefully looked to, the sex might have been distinguished [t]. But she proved a barren wife, and was a woman of a mean appearance, and of no agreeable temper: so that the king never considered her much. And she made ever after but a very mean figure. For some time the king carried things decently, and did not visit his mistress openly. But he grew weary of that restraint; and shook it off so entirely, that he had ever after that mistresses to the end of his life, to the great scandal of the world, and to the particular reproach of all that served about him in the church [u]. He usually came from his mistress's lodgings to church, even on sacrament days. He held as it were a court in them: and all his ministers made applications to them. Only the earls of Clarendon and Southampton would never so much as make a visit to any of them, which was maintaining the decencies of virtue in a very solemn manner. The lord Clarendon put the justice of the nation in very good hands; and employed some who had been on the bench in Cromwell's time, the famous sir Matthew Hale in particular [x].

The king lived in an avowed course of lewdness.

[t] (For the fact of her having miscarried, see Pepys's *Diary*, vol. I. p. 302; and the lord Braybrook's note there.)

[u] (It is but justice to remark, that archbishop Sheldon refused the sacrament to the king; and that bishop Ken, when his majesty's chaplain, denied the loan of his house to the king's mistress.)

[x] (But he is reported to have made some of them pay for their places; a practice then

1660.

The settlement of Ireland.

The business of Ireland was a harder province. The Irish that had been in the rebellion had made a treaty with the duke of Ormond, then acting in the king's name, though he had no legal power under the great seal, the king being then a prisoner. But the queen-mother got, as they give out, the crown of France to become the guarantee for the performance. By the treaty they were to furnish him with an army, to adhere to the king's interests, and serve under the duke of Ormond: and for this they were to be pardoned all that was past, to have the open exercise of their religion, and a free admittance into all employments, and to have a free parliament without the curb of Poyning's law. But after the misfortune at Dublin, they set up a supreme council again, and refused to obey the duke of Ormond; in which the pope's nuncio conducted them. After some disputes, and that the duke of Ormond saw he could not prevail with them to be commanded by him any more, he left Ireland. And Cromwell came over, and reduced the whole country, and made a settlement of the confiscated estates, for the pay of the undertakers for the Irish war, and of the officers that had served in it. The king had, in his declaration from Breda, promised to confirm the settlement of Ireland. So now a great debate arose between the native Irish and the English settled in Ireland. The former claimed the articles

and afterwards too common amongst persons of otherwise deservedly high esteem, yet still at the time considered to be disreputable. It is before stated by our author, that the lord treasurer Southampton, disdaining to sell the offices under him, received the annual salary of eight thousand pounds, and left the places to the king's disposal. See p. 96, folio edit.)

1660. that the duke of Ormond had granted them. He in answer to this said, they had broken first on their part, and so had forfeited their claim to them. They seemed to rely much on the court of France, and on the whole popish party abroad, of which they were the most considerable branch at home. But England did naturally incline to support the English interests. And, as that interest in Ireland had gone in very unanimously to the design of the king's restoration, and had merited much on that account, so they drew over the duke of Ormond to join with them, in order to an act confirming Cromwell's settlement. Only a court of claims was set up, to examine the pretensions of some of the Irish,
176 who had special excuses for themselves, why they should not be included in the general forfeiture of the nation. Some were under age: others were travelling, or serving abroad: and many had distinguished themselves in the king's service, when he was in Flanders; chiefly under the duke of York, who pleaded much for them, and was always depended on by them, as their chief patron. It was thought most equitable, to send over men from England, who were not concerned in the interests or passions of the parties of that kingdom, to try those claims. Their proceedings were much cried out on: for it was said, that every man's claim, who could support it with a good present, was found good, and that all the members of that court came back very rich. So that, though the Irish thought they had not justice enough done them, the English said they had too much. When any thing was to be proved by witnesses, sets of them were hired, to depose according to the instructions given them.

This was then cried out on, as a new scene of wickedness, that was then opened, and which must in the end subvert all justice and good government. The infection has spread since that time, and crossed the sea. And the danger of being ruined by false witnesses has become so terrible, that there is no security against it, but from the sincerity of juries. And if these come to be packed, then all men may be soon at mercy, if a wicked government should set on a violent prosecution, as has happened oftener than once. I am not instructed enough in the affairs of Ireland, to carry this matter into more particulars[z]. The English interest was managed chiefly by two men of a very indifferent reputation: the earls of Anglesey and Orrery[a]. The chief manager of the Irish interest was Richard Talbot, one of the duke's bedchamber men, who had much cunning, and had the secret both of his master's pleasures and of his religion, for some years, and was afterwards raised by him to be earl and duke of Tirconnel. Thus I have gone over the several branches of the settlement of matters after the re- 1660.

[z] There is a large account, in Carte's History before mentioned, of the acts of settlement for these lands, and of the execution of them, which, and of other transactions in Ireland after the restoration, he wrote from good materials; and as far as they go, his history is of use. It is the same with regard to his other historical performances. See lord Clarendon's account, in the History of his Life. O. (Cole calls Clarendon's an admirable and long account of this settlement.)

[a] (The disaffection of the former to the interests of the church of Ireland is intimated more than once in the letters addressed by Michael Boyle, then archbishop of Dublin and chancellor of that kingdom, to archbishop Sheldon, and preserved in the Bodleian Library; but, on the contrary, the good services of the latter nobleman to the church are acknowledged by him in a letter to the same prelate dated in January 1664.)

1660. storation. I have reserved the affairs of the church last, as those about which I have taken the most pains to be well informed; and which I do therefore offer to the reader with some assurance, and on which I hope due reflection will be made.

The bishops who had then the greatest credit.

At the restoration, Juxon, the ancientest and most eminent of the former bishops, who had assisted the late king in his last hours, was promoted to Canterbury, more out of decency, than that he was then capable to fill that post; for as he was never a great divine, so he was now superannuated. Though others have assured me, that after some
177 discourses with the king, he was so much struck with what he observed in him, that upon that he lost both heart and hope. The king treated him with outward respect, but had no great regard to him. Sheldon and Morley were the men that had the greatest credit. Sheldon was esteemed a learned man before the wars: but he was now engaged so deep in politics, that scarce any prints of what he had been remained. He was a very dexterous man in business, had a great quickness of apprehension, and a very true judgment. He was a generous and charitable man. He had a great pleasantness of conversation, perhaps too great. He had an art, that was peculiar to him, of treating all that came to him in a most obliging manner: but few depended much on his professions of friendship. He seemed not to have a deep sense of religion, if any at all: and spoke of it most commonly as of an engine of government, and a matter of policy. By this means the king came to look on him as a wise and honest clergyman, [though he had little virtue,

and less religion[b].] Sheldon was at first made bishop of London, and was, upon Juxon's death, promoted to Canterbury. Morley had been first known to the world as a friend of the lord Falkland's: and that was enough to raise a man's character. He had continued for many years in the lord Clarendon's family, and was his particular friend. He was a Calvinist with relation to the Arminian points, and was thought a friend to the puritans before the wars: but he took care after his promotion to free himself from all suspicions of that kind. He was a pious and charitable man, of a very exemplary life, but extreme passionate, and very obstinate. He 1660.

[b] (Echard, in his History of England, under the year 1677, in which year the archbishop died, says of him, "Besides his "learning and piety, he is "particularly distinguished by "his munificent benefactions." See further notes at pp. 243, 255, folio edit. It was generally understood in those times, that ecclesiastical affairs were left for the most part to his direction, and that few bishops were appointed without his consent and approbation. This is now confirmed by the Sheldon papers lately deposited in the Bodleian library. Amongst them is a letter from lord Clarendon to the archbishop, written between the years 1664 and 1667, an extract from which is given below at p. 252, folio edit. and shews the state of things during lord Clarendon's administration. And that the archbishop continued afterwards to be consulted on the weightier concerns of the church, appears from a letter addressed to him by the most learned Dr. Pearson, then master of Trinity college, Cambridge, on the archbishop's informing him of his appointment to the bishopric of Chester. He thanks the primate for his good offices in the following words: "—In the mean- "time I most gratefully ac- "knowledge the greatnesse of "your grace's undeserved kind- "ness in inclining his majesty "to that good opinion of me, "and interesting yourself in "the affairs, and for the good "of him, who has never yet "been so happy or just, as to "be in the least serviceable to "you." The letter is dated from Trinity College, Dec. 2, 1672, in which year he had dedicated his *Vindiciæ Ignatianæ* to the archbishop, thirteen years after the publication of his *opus palmarium* on the Creed.)

1660. was first made bishop of Worcester. Doctor Hammond, for whom that see was designed, died a little before the restoration, which was an unspeakable loss to the church: for, as he was a man of great learning, and of most eminent merit, he having been the person that, during the bad times, had maintained the cause of the church in a very singular manner, so he was a very moderate man in his temper, though with a high principle; and probably he would have fallen into healing counsels. He was also much set on reforming abuses, and for raising in the clergy a due sense of the obligations they lay under. But by his death Morley was advanced to Worcester: and not long after he was removed to Winchester, void by Duppa's death, who had been the king's tutor, though no way fit for that post; but he was a meek and humble man, and much loved for the sweetness of his temper; and would have been more esteemed, if he had died before the restoration; for he made not that use of the great wealth that flowed in upon him that was expected. Morley was thought always the honester man of the two, as Sheldon was certainly the abler man.

Debates concerning the uniting with the presbyterians.

The first point in debate was, whether concessions should be made and pains taken to gain the dissenters, or not; especially the presbyterians. The earl of Clarendon was much for it; and got the king to publish a declaration [c], soon after his restora-

[c] The house of commons thanked the king for this declaration, and ordered in a bill, at the motion of sergeant Hale, (afterwards the famous chief justice,) as may be gathered from the journal, for making it effectual; but the bill was dashed after the first reading. See Journal of the House of Commons, 6. 28 Nov. 1660. See also the latter part of the lord chancellor's speech to the parliament, on the 13th of

tion, concerning ecclesiastical affairs, to which if he had stood, very probably the greatest part of them might have been gained. But the bishops did not approve of this: and after the service they did that lord in the duke of York's marriage, he would not put any hardship on those who had so signally obliged him[d]. This disgusted the lord Southampton, who was for carrying on the design that had been much talked of during the wars, of moderating matters, both with relation to the government of the church, and the worship and ceremonies: which created some coldness between him and the earl of Clarendon, when the lord chancellor went off from those designs. The consideration that those bishops and their party had in the matter was this: the presbyterians were possessed of most of the great benefices in the church, chiefly in the city of London, and in the two universities. It is true, all that had come into the room of those who were turned out by the parliament, or the visitors sent by them, were removed by the course of law, as men that were illegally possessed of other men's rights: and that even where the former incumbents were dead, because a title originally wrong was still wrong in law. But there were a great many of them in very eminent posts, who were legally possessed of them. Many of these, chiefly in the city of London, had gone into the design of the restoration in so signal a 1660.

Sept. 1660. It is best to be seen in the printed Journal of the House of Commons. O.

[d] (Mr. Macdirmid, in his Life of Clarendon, observes, that both the statements and sentiments in his later writings are so irreconcilable with this account, that it seems reasonable to suppose that the bishop was misinformed. See p. 540 of Macdirmid's *Lives of Three British Statesmen.*

1660. manner, and with such success, that they had great merit, and a just title to very high preferment. Now, as there remained a great deal of the old animosity against them, for what they had done during the wars, so it was said, it was better to have a schism out of the church than within it; and that the half conformity of the puritans before the war had set up a faction in every city and town between the lecturers and the incumbents; that the former took all methods to render themselves popular, and to raise the benevolence of their people, which was their chief subsistence, by disparaging the government both in church and state. They had also many stories among them, of the credit they had in the elections of parliament men, which they infused in the king, to possess him with the necessity of having none to serve in the church, but persons that should be firmly tied to his interest, both by principle, and by subscriptions and oaths. It is true, the joy then spread through the nation had got at this time a new parliament to be elected of men so high
179 and so hot, that, unless the court had restrained them, they would have carried things much farther than they did, against all that had been concerned in the late wars: but they were not to expect such success at all times: therefore they thought it was necessary to make sure work at this time: and, instead of using methods to bring in the sectaries, they resolved rather to seek the most effectual ones for casting them out, and bringing a new set of men into the church. This took with the king, at least it seemed to do so. But, though he put on an outward appearance of moderation, yet he was in another and deeper laid design, to which the heat of

these men proved subservient, for bringing in of popery. A popish queen was a great step to keep it in countenance at court, and to have a great many priests going about the court making converts. It was thought, a toleration was the only method for setting it a going all the nation over. And nothing could make a toleration for popery pass, but the having great bodies of men put out of the church, and put under severe laws, which should force them to move for a toleration, and should make it reasonable to grant it to them. And it was resolved, that whatever should be granted of that sort should go in so large a manner, that papists should be comprehended within it. So the papists had this generally spread among them, that they should oppose all propositions for comprehension, and should animate the church party to maintain their ground against all the sectaries. And in that point they seemed zealous for the church. But at the same time they spoke of toleration, as necessary both for the peace and quiet of the nation, and for the encouragement of trade[e]. And with this the duke was so possessed, that he declared himself a most violent enemy to comprehension, and as zealous for toleration. The king being thus resolved on fixing the terms of conformity to what they had been before the war, without making the least abatement or alteration, they carried on still an appearance of moderation, till the strength of the parties should appear in the new parliament. 1660.

So, after the declaration was set out, a commission was granted to twelve of a side, with nine assistants A treaty in the Savoy.

[e] This is inconsistent. S.

1660. to each side, who were appointed to meet at the Savoy, and to consider on the ways of uniting both sides. At their first meeting, Sheldon told them, that those of the church had not desired this meeting, as being satisfied with the legal establishment; and therefore they had nothing to offer; but it belonged to the other side, who moved for alterations, to offer both their exceptions to the laws in being, and the alterations that they proposed. He told
180 them, they were to lay all they had to offer before them at once; for they would not engage to treat about any one particular, till they saw how far their demands went: and he said that all was to be transacted in writing, though the others insisted on an amicable conference; which was at first denied: yet some hopes were given of allowing it at last. Papers were upon this given in. The presbyterians moved that bishop Usher's reduction should be laid down as a groundwork to treat on; that bishops should not govern their diocese by their single authority, nor depute it to lay officers in their courts, but should, in matters of ordination and jurisdiction, take along with them the counsel and concurrence of the presbyters. They did offer several exceptions to the liturgy, against the many responses by the people; and they desired all might be made one continued prayer. They desired that no lessons should be taken out of the apocryphal books; that the psalms used in the daily service should be according to the new translation. They excepted to many parts of the office of baptism, that import the inward regeneration of all that were baptized. But as they proposed these amendments, so they did also offer a liturgy new drawn by Mr. Baxter. They

insisted mainly against kneeling at the sacrament of the Lord's supper, chiefly against the imposing it; and moved that the posture might be left free, and that the use of the surplice, of the cross in baptism, of godfathers being the sponsors in baptism, and of the holy days, might be abolished. Sheldon saw well what the effect would be of putting them to make all their demands at once. The number of them raised a mighty outcry against them, as people that could never be satisfied. But nothing gave so great an advantage against them, as their offering a new liturgy. In this they were divided among themselves. Some were for insisting only on a few important things, reckoning that if they were gained, and a union followed upon that, it would be easier to gain other things afterwards. But all this was overthrown by Mr. Baxter, who was a man of great piety; and, if he had not meddled in too many things, would have been esteemed one of the learned men of the age: he writ near two hundred books[f]: of these, three are large folios: he had a very moving and pathetical way of writing, and was his whole life long a man of great zeal and much simplicity; but was most unhappily subtle and metaphysical in every thing. There was a great submission paid to him by the whole party. So he persuaded them, that from the words of the commission they were bound to offer every thing that they thought might conduce to the good or peace of the church, without considering what was like to be obtained, or what 181

1660.

[f] Very sad ones. S. (Dr. Samuel Johnson was of a different opinion; for when asked by Mr. Boswell, what works of Baxter he should read, he said, "Read any of them, they are "all good." Boswell's Life of Johnson, vol. iv. p. 242.)

1660. effect their demanding so much might have, in irritating the minds of those who were then the superior body in strength and number. All the whole matter was at last reduced to one single point, whether it was lawful to determine the certain use of things indifferent in the worship of God? The bishops held them to that point, and pressed them to shew that any of the things imposed were of themselves unlawful. The presbyterians declined this; but affirmed, that other circumstances might make it become unlawful to settle a peremptory law about things indifferent; which they applied chiefly to kneeling in the sacrament, and stood upon it, that a law, which excluded all that did not kneel from the sacrament, was unlawful, as a limitation in the point of communion put on the laws of Christ, which ought to be the only condition of those who had a right to it. Upon this point there was a free conference, that lasted some days. The two men that had the chief management of the debate, were the most unfit to heal matters, and the fittest to widen them, that could have been found out. Baxter was the opponent, and Gunning was the respondent, who was afterwards advanced, first to Chichester, and then to Ely: he was a man of great reading, and noted for a special subtilty of arguing: all the arts of sophistry were made use of by him on all occasions, in as confident a manner as if they had been sound reasoning: he was a man of an innocent life, unwearied active to very little purpose: he was much set on the reconciling us with popery in some points: and because the charge of idolatry seemed a bar to all thoughts of reconciliation with them, he set himself with very great zeal to clear the church

of Rome of idolatry: this made many suspect him as inclining to go over to them: but he was far from it; and was a very honest, sincere man, but of no sound judgment, and of no prudence in affairs: he was for our conforming in all things to the rules of the primitive church, particularly in praying for the dead, in the use of oil, with many other rituals: he formed many in Cambridge upon his own notions, who have carried them perhaps farther than he intended. Baxter and he spent some days in much logical arguing, to the diversion of the town, who thought here were a couple of fencers engaged in disputes, that could never be brought to an end, nor have any good effect. In conclusion, this commission, being limited to such a number of days, came to an end, before any one thing was agreed on. The bishops insisted on the laws that were still in force, to which they would admit of no exception, unless it was proved that the matter of those laws was sinful. They charged the presbyterians with having made a schism, upon a charge against the church for things, which now they themselves could not call sinful. They said there was no reason to gratify such a sort of men in any thing: one demand granted would draw on many more: all authority both in church and state was struck at by the position they had insisted on, that it was not lawful to impose things indifferent, since they seemed to be the only proper matter in which human authority could interpose. So this furnished an occasion to expose them as enemies to all order. Things had been carried at the Savoy with great sharpness, and many reflections. Baxter said once, such things would offend many good men in the nation. Stearn, 1660. 182

1660. the archbishop of York[g], upon that took notice, that he would not say kingdom, but nation, because he would not acknowledge a king. Of this great complaints were made, as an indecent return for the zeal they had shewn in the restoration[h].

1661. The terms of conformity made harder.

The conference broke up without doing any good. It did rather hurt, and heightened the sharpness that was then on people's minds to such a degree, that it needed no addition to raise it higher. The presbyterians laid their complaints before the king: but little regard was had to them. And now all the concern that seemed to employ the bishops' thoughts was, not only to make no alteration on their account, but to make the terms of conformity much stricter than they had been before the war. So it was resolved to maintain conformity to the height, and to put lecturers in the same condition with the incumbents, as to oaths and subscriptions; and to oblige all persons to subscribe an unfeigned assent and consent to all and every particular contained and prescribed in the book of common prayer[i].

[g] He was then bishop of Carlisle. O.

[h] This is chiefly taken from Silvester's Life of Baxter. *Cole.*

[i] In the session of parliament, in the year 1663, a bill was sent from the commons to the lords, for the relief of such persons, as by sickness or other impediments were disabled from subscribing to the declaration of assent and consent, to the book of common prayer, required by the act of uniformity. The bill passed the lords with a clause added to it, "declaring the subscription of assent and consent, &c. should "be understood only as to "practice and obedience;" but the commons rejected the clause, which the lords not insisting upon, the bill passed without it; when this clause was added by the lords, some of them dissented to it, and entered their protestations against it, in these words; "being destructive to the church of "England, as now established." The protest was first signed by the duke of York,

Many, who thought it lawful to conform in submission, yet scrupled at this, as importing a particular approbation of every thing: and great distinction was made between a conformity in practice, and so full and distinct an assent. Yet men got over that, as importing no more but a consent of obedience: for though the words of the subscription, which were also to be publicly pronounced before the congregation, declaring the person's unfeigned assent and consent, seemed to import this, yet the clause of the act that enjoined this carried a clear explanation of it; for it enacted this declaration as an assent and consent to the use of all things contained in the book. Another subscription was enacted, with relation to the league and covenant; by which they were required to declare it unlawful upon any pretence whatsoever to take arms against the king, 183
renouncing the traitorous position of taking arms by his authority against his person, or those commissioned by him, together with a declaration, that no obligation lay on them or any other person, from the league or covenant, to endeavour any change or alteration of government in church and state, and that the covenant was in it self an unlawful oath. This was contrived against all the old men, who had both taken the covenant themselves, and had pressed it upon others. So they were now to own themselves very guilty in that matter. And those who thought it might be lawful upon great and illegal provocation to resist unjust invasions on the laws and liberties of the subjects, excepted to the subscription, though it was scarce safe for any at that time to

1661.

and then by some few temporal lords; but not one bishop. See Journal of the Lords of 25th of July 1663. O.

1661. have insisted on that point. Some thought, that since the king had taken the covenant, he at least was bound to stand to it.

The act of uniformity.

Another point was fixed by the act of uniformity, which was more at large formerly: those who came to England from the foreign churches had not been required to be ordained among us: but now all, that had not episcopal ordination, were made incapable of holding any ecclesiastical benefice. Some few alterations were made in the liturgy by the bishops themselves: a few new collects were made, as the prayer for all conditions of men, and the general thanksgiving. A collect was also drawn for the parliament, in which a new epithet was added to the king's title, that gave great offence, and occasioned much indecent raillery: he was styled *our most religious king*[k]. It was not easy to give a proper sense to this, and to make it go well down; since, whatever the signification of *religion* might be in the Latin word, as importing the sacredness of the king's person, yet in the English language it bore a signification that was no way applicable to the king. And those who took great liberties with him have often asked him, what must all his people think, when they heard him prayed for as their most religious king? Some other lesser additions were made. But care was taken that nothing should be altered,

[k] (The same expressions of *our most religious and gracious king*, as appear in the present prayer for the parliament, occur in that which was used for the same assembly in 1625. It is to be found in the Summary of Devotions compiled and used by archbishop Laud. The beginning of which prayer, as far as the words *of our sovereign and his kingdoms*, together with its conclusion, *These and all other necessaries*, &c. are exactly the same as in the present form, except in the late substitution of *dominions* for *kingdoms*.)

so as it had been moved by the presbyterians; for it 1661.
was resolved to gratify them in nothing. One im-
portant addition was made, chiefly by Gawden's
means[l]. He pressed that a declaration, explaining
the reasons of their kneeling at the sacrament,
which had been in king Edward's liturgy, but was
left out in queen Elizabeth's time, should be again
set where it had once been. The papists were high-
ly offended, when they saw such an express declara-
tion made against the real presence; and the duke
told me, that when he asked Sheldon how they
came to declare against a doctrine, which he had
been instructed was the doctrine of the church,
Sheldon answered, Ask Gawden about it, who is a 184
bishop of your own making: for the king had or-
dered his promotion for the service he had done.
The convocation that prepared those alterations, as
they added some new holy days, St. Barnabas, and
the conversion of St Paul, so they took in more les-
sons out of the Apocrypha, in particular the story of
Bell and the Dragon[m]: new offices were also drawn
for two new days, the thirtieth of January, called
king Charles the Martyr, and the twenty-ninth of
May, the day of the king's birth and return. San-
croft drew for these some offices of a very high
strain. Yet others of a more moderate strain were
preferred to them. But he, coming to be advanced
to the see of Canterbury, got his offices to be pub-
lished by the king's authority, in a time when so
high a style as was in them did not sound well in
the nation[n]. Such care was taken in the choice and

[l] See the author's History of the Reformation, vol. III. page 5 of the preface. See bishop Kennet's Register, p. 585. O.

[m] I think they acted wrong. S.

[n] But the words "grand re-"bellion" were not put in, or

1661. returns of the members of the convocation, that every thing went among them as was directed by Sheldon and Morley. When they had prepared all their alterations, they offered them to the king, who sent them to the house of commons, upon which the act of uniformity was prepared by Keeling, afterwards lord chief justice.

the other alterations made, till king James came to the throne. The word *rebellion*, I think, is never used in any act of parliament, except in one. See the act of 13. 14. of Charles II. for the distribution of 60,000*l.* to the loyal and indigent officers, &c. See also the Journal of the House of Commons, 31st of October, 1665. Note, I had the above observation from lord chancellor King, relating to the former times. See with regard to the services for the 30th of January and 29th of May, those in king Charles's time, and those of king James's, and compare them well. See my folio Clarendon, vol. iii. page last. When these services for the 30th of January, and 29th of May, in the two reigns, are compared, it may perhaps be deemed more prudent to restore those of Charles the second, than to abolish the religious observance of those two days. The suffering of the forms of king James to continue after the revolution, might possibly be in some measure owing to this author, who, in his speech upon Sacheverel's impeachment, says, the war between the king and the parliament was "plainly a rebellion" in the latter. I say nothing of his reasons, but see the whole passage in the State Trials, vol. v. pages 652, 653. For the distinction between the war, and the taking off the king's head, see Journal of the House of Commons, 13th of May 1660. I have said that in some measure it might be owing to this author, that the old forms for the 30th of January and 29th of May were not restored at the revolution: but the chief reason, no doubt, was the general principle of policy that governed that whole change, which was to connect it as little as possible with what had happened in the time of the former troubles, against which the clergy, and the body of the people, at that time had very strong prejudices. O. (With respect to the observation on the term *rebellion*, words explicitly condemning the lawfulness of the war levied by the parliament against the king, are to be found in the act of parliament, called the militia act, which was passed in the year 1662. And in the first form of prayer here mentioned by the speaker for the 30th of January these words occur, *permitting cruel men, sons of Belial, to execute the fury of their rebellion upon our late gracious sovereign.*)

When it was brought into the house, many did apprehend that so severe an act might have ill effects, and began to abate of their first heat: upon which reports were spread, and much aggravated as they were reported to the house of commons, of the plots of the presbyterians in several counties. Many were taken up on those reports: but none were ever tried for them [o]. So, the thing being let fall, it has been given out since, that these were forged by the direction of some hot spirits, who might think such arts were necessary to give an alarm, and by rendering the party odious, to carry so severe an act against them. The lord Clarendon himself was charged as having directed this piece of artifice: but I could never see any ground for fastening it on him: though there were great appearances of foul dealing among some of the fiercer sort. The act passed by no great majority [p]. And by it all who did not conform to the liturgy by the twenty-fourth of August, St. Bartholomew's day, in the year 1662, were deprived of all ecclesiastical benefices, without leaving any discretional power with the king in the execution of it, and without making provision for the maintenance of those who should be so deprived: a severity neither practised by queen Elizabeth in the enacting her liturgy, nor by Cromwell in ejecting the royalists [q], in both which a fifth part of the benefice was reserved for their subsistence. St. Bartholomew's day was pitched on, that, if they were then deprived, they should lose the profits of the 185

1661.

o A common practice. S.

p See the Journal of the House of Commons, of 16th of April, 1662, for a very extraordinary resolution, as to their not admitting any debate upon the amendments made by the convocation to the former Book of Common Prayer. O.

q But by king William. S.

1661. whole year, since the tithes are commonly due at Michaelmas. The presbyterians remembered what a St. Bartholomew's had been held at Paris ninety years before, which was the day of that massacre, and did not stick to compare the one to the other. The book of common prayer with the new corrections was that to which they were to subscribe. But the corrections were so long a preparing, and the vast number of copies, above two thousand, that were to be wrought off for all the parish churches of England, made the impression go on so slowly, that there were few books set out to sale when the day came[r]. So, many that were well affected to the church, but that made conscience of subscribing to a book that they had not seen, left their benefices on that very account. Some made a journey to London on purpose to see it. With so much precipitation was that matter driven on, that it seemed expected that the clergy should subscribe implicitly to a book they had never seen. This was done by too many, as I was informed by some of the bishops[s].

[r] See the Journal of the Lords, 25th of July 1663; and of the Commons the same day, relating to the bill for the relief of such as were disabled from subscribing the declaration in the act of uniformity, and observe the clause added by the lords to the said bill, but disagreed to by the commons. Observe also the protestation of some lords against the said clause, in which none of the bishops did join; and therefore it may be presumed that they *were* for the clause; *quod nota*; and also that the first person who signed the protestation was the duke of York: see the provision in the act of uniformity enjoining the said declaration. O. (See note before at page 182, folio edition.)

[s] Bishop Kennet, on the contrary, says, "It is certain the "book came out of the press, "not a few days but several "weeks before the 24th of "August." See also what he says further fully contradictory to this account. Kennet's *Register and Chronicle*, p. 837. *Cole.*

But the presbyterians were now in great difficulties. 1661.
They had many meetings, and much disputing about conformity. Reynolds accepted of the bishopric of Norwich. But Calamy and Baxter refused the sees of Litchfield and Hereford. And about two thousand of them fell under the parliamentary deprivation, as they gave out. The numbers have been much controverted. This raised a grievous outcry over the nation; though it was less considered at that time, than it would have been at any other. Baxter told me, that had the terms of the king's declaration been stood to, he did not believe that above three hundred of these would have been so deprived. Some few, and but few, of the episcopal party were troubled at this severity, or apprehensive of the very ill effects it was like to have. Here were many men, much valued, some on better grounds, and others on worse, who were now cast out ignominiously, reduced to great poverty, provoked by much spiteful usage, and cast upon those popular practices that both their principles and their circumstances seemed to justify, of forming separate congregations, and of diverting men from the public worship, and from considering their successors as the lawful pastors of those churches in which they had served. The blame of all this fell heaviest on Sheldon. The earl of Clarendon was charged with his having entertained the presbyterians with hopes and good words, while he was all the while carrying on, or at least giving way to the bishops' project. When the convocation had gone through the book of common prayer, it was in the next place proposed, that, according to a clause in the king's licence, they should consider the canons of the church. 186

1661. They had it then in their power to have reformed many abuses, and particularly to have provided an effectual remedy to the root of all those, which arise from the poor maintenance that is reserved to the incumbents. Almost all the leases of the church estates over England were fallen in, there having been no renewal for twenty years. The leases for years were determined: and the wars had carried off so many men, that most of the leases for lives were fallen into the incumbents' hands. So that the church estates were in them: and the fines raised by the renewing the leases rose to about a million and a half. It was an unreasonable thing to let those who were now promoted carry off so great a treasure. If the half had been applied to the buying of tithes or glebes for small vicarages, here a foundation had been laid down for a great and effectual reformation [t]. In some sees forty or fifty thousand pound was raised, and applied to the enriching the bishops' families. Something was done to churches and colleges, in particular to St. Paul's in London: and a noble collection was made for redeeming all the English slaves that were in any part of Barbary. But this fell far short of what might have been expected. In this the lord Clarendon was heavily charged, as having shown that he was more the bishops' friend than the church's. It is true, the law made those fines belong to the incumbents. But such an extraordinary occasion deserved that a law should have been made on purpose. What the bishops did with those great fines was a pattern to all the lower dignitaries, who gene-

The great fines then raised on the church estates ill applied.

[t] He judges here right, in my opinion. S.

rally took more care of themselves than of the church. The men of merit and service were loaded with many livings and many dignities. With this great accession of wealth there broke in upon the church a great deal of luxury and high living, on the pretence of hospitality[u]; while others made purchases, and left great estates, most of which we have seen melt away[x]. And with this overset of wealth and pomp, that came on men in the decline of their parts and age, they, who were now growing into old age, became lazy and negligent in all the true concerns of the church: they left preaching and writing to others, while they gave themselves up to ease and sloth. In all which sad representation, some few exceptions are to be made; but so few, that, if a new set of men had not appeared of another stamp, the church had quite lost her esteem over the nation[y]. 1661.

Divines called latitudinarians.

These were generally of Cambridge, formed under some divines, the chief of whom were Drs. Whitchcot, Cudworth, Wilkins, More, and Worthington. Whitchcot was a man of a rare temper, very mild and obliging. He had great credit with some that had been eminent in the late times; but made all the use he could of it to protect good men of all persuasions. He was much for liberty of conscience: and being disgusted with the dry systematical way of those times, he studied to raise those who conversed with him to a nobler set of thoughts, and to 187

[u] Uncharitable aggravation. S.

[x] A base innuendo. S.

[y] (To omit the mention of several of the old clergy, distinguished by their erudition as well as their loyalty, who among the successors of the Caroline bishops equalled in munificence Juxon, Sheldon, Cosin, Morley, and Warner, or surpassed in piety and learning, Sanderson, Pearson, and Fell?)

1661. consider religion as a seed of a deiform nature, (to use one of his own phrases.) In order to this, he set young students much on reading the ancient philosophers, chiefly Plato, Tully, and Plotin, and on considering the Christian religion as a doctrine sent from God, both to elevate and sweeten human nature, in which he was a great example, as well as a wise and kind instructor. Cudworth carried this on with a great strength of genius and a vast compass of learning. He was a man of great conduct and prudence: upon which his enemies did very falsely accuse him of craft and dissimulation. Wilkins was of Oxford, but removed to Cambridge. His first rise was in the elector palatine's family, when he was in England. Afterwards he married Cromwell's sister; but made no other use of that alliance, but to do good offices, and to cover the university from the sourness of Owen and Goodwin. At Cambridge he joined with those who studied to propagate better thoughts, to take men off from being in parties, or from narrow notions, from superstitious conceits, and a fierceness about opinions. He was also a great observer and a promoter of experimental philosophy, which was then a new thing, and much looked after. He was naturally ambitious, but was the wisest clergyman I ever knew. He was a lover of mankind, and had a delight in doing good. More was an open hearted and sincere Christian philosopher, who studied to establish men in the great principles of religion against atheism, that was then beginning to gain ground, chiefly by reason of the hypocrisy of some, and the fantastical conceits of the more sincere enthusiasts.

Hobbs's Leviathan.

Hobbs, who had long followed the court, and

passed there for a mathematical man, though he really knew little that way, being disgusted by the court, came into England in Cromwell's time, and published a very wicked book, with a very strange title, *The Leviathan*. His main principles were, that all men acted under an absolute necessity, in which he seemed protected by the then received doctrine of absolute decrees. He seemed to think that the universe was God, and that souls were material; thought being only subtil and imperceptible motion. He thought interest and fear were the chief principles of society: and he put all morality in the following that which was our own private will or advantage. He thought religion had no other foundation than the laws of the land. And he put all the law in the will of the prince, or of the people: for he writ his book at first in favour of absolute monarchy, but turned it afterwards to gratify the republican party. These were his true principles, though he had disguised them, for deceiving unwary readers. And this set of notions came to spread much. The novelty and boldness of them set many on reading them. The impiety of them was acceptable to men of corrupt minds, which were but too much prepared to receive them by the extravagancies of the late times. So this set of men at Cambridge studied to assert and examine the principles of religion and morality on clear grounds, and in a philosophical method. In this More led the way to many that came after him. Worthington was a man of eminent piety and great humility, and practised a most sublime way of self-denial and devotion. All these, and those who were formed under them, studied to examine farther into the na-

1661. 188

1661. ture of things than had been done formerly. They declared against superstition on the one hand, and enthusiasm on the other. They loved the constitution of the church, and the liturgy, and could well live under them: but they did not think it unlawful to live under another form. They wished that things might have been carried with more moderation. And they continued to keep a good correspondence with those who had differed from them in opinion, and allowed a great freedom both in philosophy and in divinity: from whence they were called men of latitude. And upon this men of narrower thoughts and fiercer tempers fastened upon them the name of Latitudinarians [z]. They read Episcopius much. And the making out the reasons of things being a main part of their studies, their enemies called them Socinians. They were all very zealous against popery. And so, they becoming soon very considerable, the papists set themselves against them to decry them as atheists, deists, or at best Socinians. And now that the main principle of religion was struck at by Hobbs and his followers, the papists acted upon this a very strange part. They went in so far even into the argument for atheism, as to publish many books, in which they affirmed, that there was no certain proof of the Christian religion, unless we took it from the authority of the church as infallible. This was such a delivering up of the cause to them, that it raised in all good men a very high indignation at popery; that party shewing, that they chose to make men who would not turn papists, become atheists, rather

[z] See Sir Philip Warwick's Memoirs, page 89. O.

than believe Christianity upon any other ground than infallibility. 1661.

189 The most eminent of those, who were formed under those great men I have mentioned, were Tillotson, Stillingfleet, and Patrick. The first of these was a man of a clear head and a sweet temper. He had the brightest thoughts and the most correct style of all our divines; and was esteemed the best preacher of the age. He was a very prudent man; and had such a management with it, that I never knew any clergyman so universally esteemed and beloved, as he was for above twenty years. He was eminent for his opposition to popery. He was no friend to persecution, and stood up much against atheism. Nor did any man contribute more to bring the city to love our worship than he did. But there was so little superstition, and so much reason and gentleness in his way of explaining things, that malice was long levelled at him, and in conclusion broke out fiercely on him. Stillingfleet was a man of much more learning, but of a more reserved and a haughtier temper. He, in his youth, writ an *Irenicum* for healing our divisions, with so much learning and moderation, that it was esteemed a masterpiece. His notion was, that the apostles had settled the church in a constitution of bishops, priests, and deacons, but had made no perpetual law about it, having only taken it in, as they did many other things, from the customs and practice of the synagogue; from which he inferred, that certainly the constitution was lawful, since authorized by them, but not necessary, since they had made no settled law about it. This took with many; but was cried out upon by others, as an attempt against

A character of some divines.

1661. the church. Yet the argument was managed with so much learning and skill, that none of either side ever undertook to answer it[z]. After that, he wrote against infidelity, beyond any that had gone before him. And then he engaged to write against popery, which he did with such an exactness and liveliness, that no books of controversy were so much read and valued as his were. He was a great man in many respects. He knew the world well, and was esteemed a very wise man. The writing of his *Irenicum* was a great snare to him: for, to avoid the imputations which that brought upon him, he not only retracted the book, but he went into the humours of that high sort of people beyond what became him, perhaps beyond his own sense of things. He applied himself much to the study of the law and records, and the original of our constitution, and was a very extraordinary man, [too much conceited of himself, and too much concerned for his family.] Patrick was a great preacher. He wrote much and well, and chiefly on the scriptures. He was a laborious man in his function, of great strictness of life, but a little too severe against those who differed from him. But that was, when he
190 thought their doctrines struck at the fundamentals of religion. He became afterwards more moderate[a]. To these I shall add another divine, who, though of Oxford, yet as he was formed by bishop Wilkins, so he went into most of their principles; but went far beyond them in learning. Lloyd was a great critic in the Greek and Latin authors, but chiefly in the

[z] (The book itself was answered in the year 1680, by bishop Parker, as it was then said. See Wood's *Athenæ Oxon.* art. S. Parker.)

[a] Yes, for he turned a rank whig. S.

scriptures; of the words and phrases of which he carried the most perfect concordance in his memory, and had it the readiest about him, of all men that ever I knew. He was an exact historian, and the most punctual in chronology of all our divines. He had read the most books, and with the best judgment, and had made the most copious abstracts out of them, of any in this age: so that Wilkins used to say, he had the most learning in ready cash of any he ever knew. He was so exact in every thing he set about, that he never gave over any part of study, till he had quite mastered it. But when that was done, he went to another subject, and did not lay out his learning with the diligence with which he laid it in. He had many volumes of materials upon all subjects laid together in so distinct a method, that he could with very little labour write on any of them. He had more life in his imagination, and a truer judgment, than may seem consistent with such a laborious course of study[b]. Yet, as 1661.

[b] Lloyd, after several translations, was bishop of Worcester. In the year 1712, he told queen Ann he thought it his duty to acquaint her, that the church of Rome would be utterly destroyed, and the city of Rome consumed by fire, in less than four years; which he could prove beyond contradiction, if her majesty would have the patience to hear him upon that subject. The queen appointed him next day in the forenoon; and a great Bible was brought, which was all he said would be wanting. The bishop of London came with him; and the duke of Shrewsbury, lord Oxford, lord Dartmouth, and Dr. Arbuthnot were ordered to attend by the queen. He shewed a vast memory and command of the scriptures at that age; (for he was then above eighty years old;) but the earl of Oxford offering to give another interpretation to one of his texts than he did, though in extreme civil terms, the bishop turned to the queen in the greatest passion I ever saw any man, and told her, "So says your treasurer; but "God says otherwise, whether "he like it or no." The queen seeing him so angry and rude, called for her dinner, after which

1661. much as he was set on learning, he had never neglected his pastoral care. For several years he had the greatest cure in England, St. Martin's, which he took care of with an application and diligence beyond any about him; to whom he was an example, or rather a reproach, so few following his example. He was a holy, humble, and patient man, ever ready to do good when he saw a proper opportunity: even his love of study did not divert him from that. He did, upon his promotion, find a very worthy successor in his cure, Tenison, who carried on and advanced all those good methods that he had begun in the management of that great cure. He endowed schools, set up a public library, and kept many curates to assist him in his indefatigable labours among them. He was a very learned man[c], and took much pains to state the notions and practices of heathenish idolatry, and so to fasten that charge on the church of Rome. And, Whitehall lying within that parish, he stood as in the front of the battle all king James's reign; and maintained, as well as managed, that dangerous post with great courage and much judgment, and was held in very high esteem for his whole deportment, which was ever grave and moderate. These have been the greatest divines we have had these forty years[d]:

he said, that if what he had advanced was not true, he did not know any truth, and was a very unfit person to be trusted with explaining the gospel to other people, and desired the queen would dispose of his bishopric to some man of greater ability, if what he said did not prove true; and then spoke something to the queen in a very low voice, that nobody else might hear; which she told me afterwards was, that after four years were expired, Christ would reign personally upon earth for a thousand years. D.

[c] The dullest, good for nothing man I ever knew. S. (Compare lord Dartmouth's note at vol. II. p. 136, folio edit.)

[d] (No very accurate asser-

and may we ever have a succession of such men to fill the room of those who have already gone off the stage, and of those who, being now very old, cannot hold their posts long. Of these I have writ the more fully, because I knew them well, and have lived long in great friendship with them; but most particularly with Tillotson and Lloyd. And, as I am sensible I owe a great deal of the consideration that has been had for me to my being known to be their friend, so I have really learned the best part of what I know from them: [and of the services I may have done the church, to *them*. And if I have arrived at any faculty of writing clearly and correctly, I owe that entirely to them. For as they (Tillotson and Lloyd) joined with Wilkins, in that noble though despised attempt of an *universal character*, and a philosophical language; they took great pains to observe all the common errors of language in general, and of ours in particular: and in the drawing the tables for that work, which was Lloyd's province, he looked further into a natural purity and simplicity of style, than any man I ever knew; into all which he led me, and so helped me to any measure of exactness of writing which may be thought to belong to me.] But I owed them much more on the account of those excellent principles and notions, of which they were in a particular manner communicative to me. This set of men contributed more than can be well imagined to re- 1661. 191

tion; for Pearson, whom the bishop allows to have been the greatest divine of the age, was alive within thirty years of the bishop's own death, and within twenty of his composing this history. And doctors Cave and South, both of whom were then living, not to mention the bishops Beveridge, Hooper, and Kidder, would have felt indignant at Tenison's, if not at most of the others', being preferred to them.)

1661. form the way of preaching; which, among the divines of England before them, was overrun with pedantry, a great mixture of quotations from fathers and ancient writers, a long opening of a text with the concordance of every word in it, and a giving all the different expositions with the grounds of them, and the entering into some parts of controversy, and all concluding in some, but very short, practical applications, according to the subject or the occasion. This was both long and heavy, when all was pye-balled [e], full of many sayings of different languages. The common style of sermons was either very flat and low, or swelled up with rhetoric to a false pitch of a wrong sublime. The king had little or no literature, but true and good sense; and had got a right notion of style [f]; for he was in France at a time when they were much set on reforming their language. It soon appeared that he had a true taste. So this helped to raise the value of these men, when the king approved of the style their discourses generally ran in; which was clear, plain, and short. They gave a short paraphrase of their text, unless where great difficulties required a more copious enlargement: but even then they cut off unnecessary shews of learning, and applied themselves to the matter, in which they opened the nature and reasons of things so fully, and with that simplicity, that their hearers felt an instruction of another sort than had commonly been observed be-

The way of preaching which then prevailed.

[e] A noble epithet. S.

[f] How came Burnet not to learn this stile? S. (Something is added to this note both in the 28th vol. of the *European Magazine*, and in Dr. Barrett's *Essay on the Life of Swift*, where about half of Swift's notes are published, but it is unacknowledged by the Lansdowne autograph.)

fore. So they became very much followed: and a set of these men brought off the city in a great measure from the prejudices they had formerly to the church. 1661.

There was a great debate in council, a little before St. Bartholomew's day, whether the act of uniformity should be punctually executed, or not. Some moved to have the execution of it delayed to the next session of parliament. Others were for executing it in the main, but to connive at some eminent men, and to put curates into their churches to read and officiate according to the common prayer, but to leave them to preach on, till they should die out. The earl of Manchester laid all these things before the king with much zeal, but with no great force. Sheldon, on the other hand, pressed the execution of the law: England was accustomed to obey laws: so while they stood on that ground, they were safe, and needed fear none of the dangers that seemed to be threatened: he also undertook to fill all the vacant pulpits, that should be forsaken in London, better and more to the satisfaction of the people, than they had been before: and he seemed to apprehend, that a very small number would fall under the deprivation, and that the gross of the party would conform. On the other hand, those who led the party took great pains to have them all stick together: they infused it into them, that if great numbers stood out, that would shew their strength, and produce new laws in their favour; whereas they would be despised, if, after so much noise made, the greater part of them should conform. So it was thought, that many went out 1662. The act of uniformity executed with rigour. 192

1662. in the crowd to keep their friends company. Many of these were distinguished by their abilities and zeal. They cast themselves upon the providence of God, and the charity of their friends, which had a fair appearance, as of men that were ready to suffer persecution for their consciences. This begot esteem, and raised compassion: whereas the old clergy, now much enriched, were as much despised. But the young clergy that came from the universities did good service. Learning was then high at Oxford; chiefly the study of the oriental tongues, which was much raised by the Polyglot bible, then lately set forth. They read the fathers much there. Mathematics and the new philosophy were in great esteem. And the meetings that Wilkins had begun at Oxford were now held in London too, in so public manner, that the king himself encouraged them much, and had many experiments made before him.

The royal society.

The men that formed the royal society in London were, sir Robert Murray, the lord Brounker, a profound mathematician, and doctor Ward, soon after promoted to Exeter, and afterwards removed to Salisbury. Ward was a man of great reach, went deep in mathematical studies, and was a very dexterous man, if not too dexterous; for his sincerity was much questioned. He had complied during the late times, and held in by taking the covenant: so he was hated by the high men as a time-server. But the lord Clarendon saw, that most of the bishops were men of merit by their sufferings, but of no great capacity for business. He brought Ward in, as a man fit to govern the church: for Ward, to get his former errors to be forgot, went into the high notions of a severe conformity, and became the

most considerable man on the bishops' bench. He was a profound statesman, but a very indifferent clergyman. Many physicians, and other ingenious men, went into the society for natural philosophy. But he who laboured most, at the greatest charge, and with the most success at experiments, was Robert Boyle, the earl of Cork's youngest son. He was looked on by all who knew him as a very perfect pattern. He was a very devout Christian, humble and modest, almost to a fault, of a most spotless and exemplary life in all respects. He was highly charitable; and was a mortified and self-denied man, that delighted in nothing so much as in the doing good. He neglected his person, despised the world, and lived abstracted from all pleasures, designs, and interests [g]. I preached his funeral sermon, in which I gave his character so truly, that I do not think it necessary now to enlarge more upon it. The society for philosophy grew so considerable, that they thought fit to take out a patent, which constituted them a body, by the name of the royal society; of which sir Robert Murray was the first president, bishop Ward the second, and the lord Brounker the third. Their history is writ so well by doctor Sprat, that I will insist no more on them, but go on to other matters. 1662. 193

Consultations among the papists.

After St. Bartholomew's day, the dissenters, seeing both court and parliament was so much set against them, had much consultation together what to do. Many were for going over to Holland, and settling there with their ministers. Others proposed New England, and the other plantations.

[g] Boyle was a very silly writer. S.

1662. Upon this the earl of Bristol drew to his house a meeting of the chief papists in town: and after an oath of secrecy he told them, now was the proper time for them to make some steps towards the bringing in of their religion: in order to that it seemed advisable for them to take pains to procure favour to the nonconformists; (for that became the common name to them all, as puritan had been before the war:) they were the rather to bestir themselves to procure a toleration for them in general terms, that they themselves might be comprehended within it. The lord Aubigny seconded the motion. He said, it was so visibly the interest of England to make a great body of the trading men stay within the kingdom, and be made easy in it, that it would have a good grace in them to seem zealous for it: and, to draw in so great a number of those, who had been hitherto the hottest against them, to feel their care, and to see their zeal to serve them; he recommended to them to make this the subject of all their discourses, and to engage all their friends in the design. Bennet did not meet with them, but was known to be of the secret; as the lord Stafford told me in the tower a little before his
194 death. But that lord soon withdrew from those meetings: for he apprehended the earl of Bristol's heat, and that he might raise a storm against them by his indiscreet meddling.

A declaration for toleration.

The king was so far prevailed on by them, that in December 1662 he set out a declaration, that was generally thought to be procured by the lord Bristol: but it had a deeper root, and was designed by the king himself. In it the king expressed his aversion to all severities on the account of religion,

but more particularly to all sanguinary laws; and gave hopes, both to papists and nonconformists, that he would find out such ways for tempering the severities of the laws, that all his subjects should be easy under them. The wiser of the nonconformists saw at what all this was aimed, and so received it coldly. But the papists went on more warmly, and were preparing a scheme for a toleration for them. And one part of it raised great disputes among themselves. Some were for their taking the oath of allegiance, which renounced the pope's deposing power. But all those that were under a management from Rome refused this. And the internuncio at Brussels proceeded to censure those that were for it, as enemies to the papal authority. A proposition was also made for having none but secular priests tolerated in England, who should be under a bishop, and under an established government. But that all the regulars, in particular all Jesuits, should be under the strictest penalties forbid the kingdom. 1662.

Designed for the papists.

The earl of Clarendon set this on; for he knew well it would divide the papists among themselves. But, though a few honest priests, such as Blacklow, Serjeant, Caron, and Walsh, were for it, yet they could not make a party among the leading men of their own side. It was pretended, that this was set on foot with a design to divide them, and so to break their strength[h]. The earl of Clarendon knew,

[h] (Mention was before made of the unpublished letters addressed by Boyle, then archbishop of Dublin and chancellor of Ireland, to archbishop Sheldon; in one of them, dated June 7, 1666, an account is given of some proceedings of the Roman catholics on what was entitled the *Remonstrance*. It begins thus; "Your grace, "I presume, very well remem- "bers a subscription of loy- "alty and obedience, which

1662. that cardinal de Retz, for whom he saw the king had a particular esteem, had come over incognito, and had been with the king in private. So, to let the king see how odious a thing his being suspected of popery would be, and what a load it would lay on his government, if it came to be believed, he got

" was made some three or " four years since by many of " the Romish catholic nobi- " lity and clergy of this king- " dom, and presented to his " majesty, contrived and pa- " tronised by Walsh and Car- " ron. This remonstrance, " though carried on by them " in the name of the clergy, " was disowned by a great part, " I may say the greater part, of " the ecclesiastics there; which " hath been so long contended " between them, that the re- " monstrance is considered as " a fit expedient to try their " loyalties." The archbishop proceeds to state, that when for that purpose the remonstrants designed to have a general meeting of their bishops and clergy at Dublin to decide on the adoption or rejection of this instrument, the anti-remonstrants opposed it with all their power. He adds, " that " of late one Farel, a Domi- " nican friar, hath brought over " some letters from the inter- " nuncius apostolicus at Brux- " els and from cardinal Barba- " rini at Rome, to shew the " great danger of that meeting " and the proper detestation " thereof. The letters were " intercepted by my lord lieu- " tenant, who hath sent copies " of them to my lord chan- " cellor, and also to my lord " Arlington. I have likewise " here inclosed them to your " grace." (A letter from Barbarini on this subject is to be seen amongst the Sheldon papers.) " I shall make no com- " ments upon them, but upon " the whole your grace will " perceive, that the grandees " of Rome, notwithstanding all " professions to the contrary, " will not willingly allow any " obedience to the temporal " magistrate, but in subordina- " tion to that see to dispense " with it, or disallow it, as it " shall be there thought fit." It appears from another letter, that the convention of the clergy after laying aside Walsh's remonstrance, drew up a declaration of their own, which being considered as both imperfect and ambiguous, by no means gave satisfaction to the lord lieutenant. An account is given, not to mention other works, in a *Life of King William* published at Dublin in the year 1747, of the disputes between the remonstrants and anti-remonstrants; and of the final triumph of the latter party, which supported the doctrine of the papal power in temporals as well as spirituals. vol. I. p. 263—270.)

some of his party, as sir Allain Brodrick told me, to move in the house of commons for an act rendering it capital to say the king was a papist. And, whereas the king was made to believe that the old cavaliers were become milder with relation to popery, the lord Clarendon upon this new act inferred, that it still appeared that the opinion of his being a papist would so certainly make him odious, that for that reason the parliament had made the spreading those reports so penal. But this was taken by another handle, while some said, that this act was made on purpose, that, though the design of bringing in popery should become ever so visible, none should dare to speak of it. The earl of Clarendon had a quite contrary design in it, to let the king see how fatal the effects of any such suspicions were like to be. When the earl of Bristol's declaration was proposed in council, lord Clarendon and the bishops opposed it. But there was nothing in it directly against law, hopes being only given of endeavours to make all men easy under the king's government: so it passed. The earl of Bristol carried it as a great victory. And he, with the duke of Buckingham, and all lord Clarendon's enemies, declared openly against him. But the poor priests, who had made those honest motions, were very ill looked on by all their own party, as men gained on design to betray them. I knew all this from Peter Walsh himself, who was the honestest and learnedest man I ever knew among them. He was of Irish extraction, and of the Franciscan order: and was indeed in all points of controversy almost wholly protestant: but he had senses of his own, by which he excused his adhering to the church of Rome: 1662. 195

1662. and he maintained, that with these he could continue in the communion of that church without sin: and he said, that he was sure he did some good, staying still on that side, but that he could do none at all, if he should come over: he thought, no man ought to forsake that religion in which he was born and bred, unless he was clearly convinced, that he must certainly be damned if he continued in it. He was an honest and able man, much practised in intrigues, and knew well the methods of the Jesuits, and other missionaries. He told me often, there was nothing which the whole popish party feared more than an union of those of the church of England with the presbyterians[i]: they knew, we grew the weaker, the more our breaches were widened; and that, the more we were set against one another, we would mind them the less. The papists had two maxims, from which they never departed: the one was to divide us: and the other was, to keep themselves united, and either to set on an indiscriminated toleration, or a general prosecution; for so we loved to soften the harsh word of *persecution*. And he observed, not without great indignation at us for our folly, that we, instead of uniting among ourselves, and dividing them, according to their maxims, did all we could to keep them united, and to disjoint our own body: for he was persuaded, if the government had held an heavy hand on the regulars and the Jesuits, and had been gentle to the seculars, and had set up a distinguishing test, renouncing all
196 sort of power in the pope over the temporal rights of princes, to which the regulars and the Jesuits could never submit, that this would have engaged

[i] Rogue. S.

them into such violent quarrels among themselves, 1662.
that censures would have been thundered at Rome against all that should take any such test; which would have procured much disputing, and might have probably ended in the revolt of the soberer part of that church[k]. But he found, that, though the earl of Clarendon and the duke of Ormond[l] liked the project, little regard was had to it by the governing party in the court.

The church party was alarmed at all this. And 1663.
though they were unwilling to suspect the king or Bristol's design.
the duke, yet the management for popery was so visible, that in the next session of parliament the king's declaration was severely arraigned, and the authors of it were plainly enough pointed at. This was done chiefly by the lord Clarendon's friends. And at this the earl of Bristol was highly displeased, and resolved to take all possible methods to ruin the earl of Clarendon. He had a great skill in astrology, and had possessed the king with an high opinion of it[m]: and told the duke of Buckingham, as he said to the earl of Rochester, Wilmot, from

[k] (If Walsh said all this, he was no great friend to his own church.)

[l] (The important services rendered to the church of Ireland by the duke of Ormond lord lieutenant, and by his son the gallant Ossory, whose virtues are the theme of every historian, are gratefully acknowledged in more than one of the archbishop of Dublin's letters.)

[m] It was always an objection to his skill in astrology, that he declared himself a papist the year before the restoration, which had disqualified him for any employment in England: but the truth was, he had turned, to qualify himself to serve under Don John, in Flanders, who had a very great esteem for him, and there was little prospect of the change that happened the year after, nor had any almanack foretold it: but he took care to have his children brought up protestants, that they might not lie under the like disadvantage. D.

1663. whom I had it, that he was confident that he would lay that before the king, which would totally alienate him both from his brother and from the lord Clarendon: for he could demonstrate, by the principles of that art, that he was to fall by his brother's means, if not by his hand: and he was sure this would work on the king. It would so, said the duke of Buckingham, but in another way than he expected: for it would make the king be so afraid of offending him, that he would do any thing rather than provoke him. Yet the lord Bristol would lay this before the king. And the duke of Buckingham believed, that it had the effect ever after, that he had apprehended: for though the king never loved nor esteemed the duke, yet he seemed to stand in some sort of awe of him.

He accused Clarendon in the house of lords.

But this was not all: the lord Bristol resolved to offer articles of impeachment against the earl of Clarendon to the house of lords, though it was plainly provided against by the statute against appeals in the reign of Henry the fourth. Yet both the duke of Buckingham and the lord Bristol, the fathers of these two lords, had broken through that in the former reign. So the lord Bristol drew his impeachment, and carried it to the king, who took much pains on him in a soft and gentle manner to dissuade him from it. But he would not be wrought on. And he told the king plainly, that, if he for-
197 sook him, he would raise such disorders, that all England should feel them, and the king himself should not be without a large share in them. The king, as the earl of Lauderdale told me, who said he had it from himself, said, he was so provoked at this, that he durst not trust himself in answering it,

but went out of the room, and sent the lord Aubigny to soften him: but all was in vain. It is very probable, that the lord Bristol knew the secret of the king's religion, which both made him so bold, and the king so fearful. The next day he carried the charge to the house of lords. It was of a very mixed nature: in one part he charged the lord Clarendon with raising jealousies, and spreading reports of the king's being a papist: and yet in the other articles he charged him with correspondence with the court of Rome, in order to the making the lord Aubigny a cardinal, and several other things of a very strange nature. As soon as he put it in, he, it seems, either repented of it, or at least was prevailed with to abscond. He was ever after that looked on as a man capable of the highest extravagancies possible. He made the matter worse by a letter that he wrote to the lords, in which he expressed his fear of the danger the king was in by the duke's having of guards. Proclamations went out for discovering him. But he kept out of the way till the storm was over. The parliament expressed a firm resolution to maintain the act of uniformity. And the king being run much in debt, they gave him four subsidies, being willing to return to the ancient way of taxes by subsidies. But these were so evaded, and brought in so little money, that the court resolved never to have recourse to that method of raising money any more, but to betake themselves for the future to the assessment begun in the war. The convocation gave at the same time four subsidies, which proved as heavy on them, as they were light on the temporalty. This was the last aid that the spiritualty gave: for the whole 1663.

1663. proving so inconsiderable, and yet so unequally heavy on the clergy, it was resolved on[n] hereafter to tax church benefices as temporal estates were taxed; which proved indeed a lighter burden, but was not so honourable as when it was given by themselves. Yet interest prevailing above the point of honour, they acquiesced in it. So the convocations being no more necessary to the crown, this made that there was less regard had to them afterwards. They were often discontinued and prorogued: and when they met, it was only for form. The parliament did pass another act, that was very acceptable to the court, and that shewed a confidence in the king, repealing the act of triennial parliaments, which had been obtained with so much
198 difficulty, and was clogged with so many clauses, which seemed to transfer the power from the crown to the people, that, when it was carried, it was thought the greatest security that the people had for all their other liberties. But it was now given up without a struggle, or any clauses for a certainty of parliaments, besides a general one, [hereafter the sitting and holding of parliament shall not be intermitted or discontinued above three years at the most, but] that there should be a parliament called within three years after the dissolution of the present parliament, and so ever afterwards; but with-

[n] By verbal agreement between archbishop Sheldon and lord Clarendon, and in consequence of which, without the intervention of any express law, and contrary to a former regulation of the house of commons, the inferior beneficed clergy have constantly voted for members of the house of commons, and although there be no express laws for it. But see the other volume, p. 281. O. (Where there is a longer note on this subject.)

out any severe clauses, in case the act was not observed. 1663.

As for our foreign negotiations, I know nothing in particular concerning them. Secretary Bennet had them all in his hands: and I had no confidence with any about him. Our concerns with Portugal were public: and I knew so secrets about these.

A plot discovered.

By a melancholy instance to our private family it appeared, that France was taking all possible methods to do every thing that the king desired. The commonwealth's-men were now thinking, that they saw the stream of the nation beginning to turn against the court: and upon that they were meeting, and laying plots to retrieve their lost game [o]. One of these being taken, and apprehending he was in danger, begged his life of the king, and said, if he might be assured of his pardon, he would tell where my uncle Waristoun was, who was then in Rouen: for the air of Hamborough agreed so ill with him, that he was advised to go to France; and this man was on the secret. The king sent one to the court of France, desiring he might be put in his hands: and this was immediately done: and no notice was sent to my uncle to go out of the way, as is usual in such cases, when a person is not charged with assassinations, or any infamous action, but only with crimes of state. He was sent over, and kept some months in the tower of London; and from that was sent to Scotland, as shall be told afterwards.

[o] (Lord Clarendon, in an unpublished letter, addressed to archbishop Sheldon about this time, expresses his apprehensions of a design for the surprisal of the tower of London; but adds, that he relies on the honesty of the lieutenant of that fortress, he being altogether under the direction of Monck.)

1663. The design of a war with Holland was now working. I have been very positively assured by statesmen of both sides, that the French set it on in a very artificial manner: for while they encouraged us to insist on some extravagant demands, they, at the same time, pressed the Dutch not to yield to them: and as they put them in hopes, that, if a rupture should follow, they would assist them according to their alliance, so they assured us that they would do us no hurt. Downing was then employed in Holland, a crafty fawning man, who was ready to turn to every side that was uppermost, and to betray those who by their former friendship and services thought they might depend on him; as he did some of the regicides, whom he got in his hands under trust, and then delivered them up. He had been Cromwell's ambassador in Holland, where he had offered personal affronts both to the king and
199 the duke: yet he had by some base practices got himself to be so effectually recommended by the duke of Albemarle, that all his former offences were forgiven, and he was sent into Holland as the king's ambassador, whose behaviour towards the king himself the States had observed. So they had reason to conclude he was sent over with no good intent, and that he was capable of managing a bad design, and very ready to undertake it[p]. There was no visible cause of war. A complaint of a ship taken

The design of a war with the States.

[p] Sir George Downing married Frances Howard, sister to the first earl of Carlisle of that family, who had been very instrumental in the restoration of the king, who not only protected him, but answered for his good behaviour for the future. But the bishop delights in throwing dirt upon the duke of Albemarle, and making a mystery of every thing, though never so plain and well known. D.

was ready to have been satisfied. But Downing hindered it. So it was plain, the king hated them; and fancied they were so feeble, and the English were so much superior to them, that a war would humble them to an entire submission and dependence on him in all things. The States had treated, and presented the king with great magnificence, and at a vast charge, during the time that he had stayed among them, after England had declared for him. And, as far as appearances could go, the king seemed sensible of it: insomuch that the party for the prince of Orange were not pleased, because their applications to him could not prevail to make him interpose, either in the behalf of himself or of his friends, to get the resolutions taken against him to be repealed, or his party again put in places of trust and command. The king put that off, as not proper to be pressed by him at that time. But neither then nor afterwards did he bestir himself in that matter. Though, if either gratitude or interest had been of force, and if these had not been overruled by some more prevalent considerations, he must have been inclined to make some returns for the services the late prince did him: and he must have seen, what a figure he must make by having the prince of Orange tied to him in interest, as much as he was by blood [q]. France and popery were the true springs of all these counsels. It was the interest of the king of France, that the armies of the States might fall under such a feebleness, that 1663.

q (From lord Arlington's letters to sir William Temple, it should appear, that the king was not inattentive to the interests of the prince, so far as was consistent with the relations subsisting between England and the States.)

1663. they should be in no condition to make a vigorous resistance, when he should be ready either to invade them or to fall into Flanders; which he was resolved to do, whensoever the king of Spain should die. The French did thus set on the war between the English and the Dutch, hoping that our fleets should mutually weaken one another so much, that the naval force of France, which was increasing very considerably, should be near an equality to them, when they should be shattered by a war. The States were likewise the greatest strength of the protestant interest, and were therefore to be humbled. So, in order to make the king more considerable both at home and abroad, the court re-
200 solved to prepare for a war, and to seek for such colours as might serve to justify it. The earl of Clarendon was not let into the secret of this design, and was always against it. But his interest was now sunk low: and he began to feel the power of an imperious mistress over an amorous king, who was so disgusted at the queen, that he abandoned himself wholly to amour and luxury.

This was, as far as I could penetrate into it, the state of the court for the first four years after the restoration. I was in the court a great part of the years 1662, 1663[r], and 1664; and was as inquisitive as I could possibly be, and had more than ordinary occasions to hear and see a great deal.

The affairs of Scotland. But now I return to the affairs of Scotland: the

[r] (This may be reconciled with his son's account before mentioned, of the bishop's journey to England in 1663, supposing that he came hither in the early part of that year, which would be, according to the reckoning of those days, called 1662 till the 25th day of March. He was then nineteen years of age.)

earl of Midletoun, after a delay of some months, came up to London, and was very coldly received by the king. The earl of Lauderdale moved that a Scotish council might be called. The lord Clarendon got this to be delayed a fortnight. When it met, the lord Lauderdale accused the earl of Midletoun of many malversations in the great trust he had been in, which he aggravated severely. The lord Midletoun desired he might have what was objected to him in writing. And when he had it, he sent it to Scotland; so that it was six weeks before he had his answer ready; all on design to gain time. He excused some errors in point of form, by saying, that, having served in a military way, he understood not so exactly what belonged to law and form: but insisted on this, that he designed nothing, but that the king's service might go on, and that his friends might be taken care of, and his enemies be humbled, and that so loyal a parliament might be encouraged, who were full of zeal and affection to his service; that, in complying with them, he had kept every thing so entirely in his majesty's power, that the king was under no difficulties by any thing they had done. In the mean while Sheldon was very earnest with the king to forgive the lord Midletoun's crime, otherwise he concluded the change so newly made in the church would be so ill supported, that it must fall to the ground. The duke of Albemarle, who knew Scotland, and had more credit on that head than on any other, pretended that the lord Midletoun's party was that on which the king could only rely: he magnified both their power and their zeal; and represented the earl of Lauderdale's friends as cold

1663.

Midletoun was accused by Lauderdale.

1663. and hollow in the king's service: and, to support all this, the letters that came from Scotland were full of the insolencies of the presbyterians, and of the dejection the bishops and their friends were under. Sharp was prevailed on to go up. He promised to
201 all the earl of Midletoun's friends, that he would stick firm to him; and that he would lay before the king, that his standing or falling must be the standing or falling of the church. Of this the earl of Lauderdale had advice sent him. Yet when he came to London, and saw that the king was alienated from the lord Midletoun, he resolved to make great submissions to the lord Lauderdale. When he reproached him for his engagements with the earl of Midletoun, he denied all; and said, he had never gone farther than what was decent, considering his post. He also denied, he had writ to the king in his favour. But the king had given the original letter to the lord Lauderdale, who upon that shewed it to Sharp; with which he was so struck, that he fell a crying in a most abject manner. He begged pardon for it; and said, what could a company of poor men refuse to the earl of Midletoun, who had done so much for them, and had them so entirely in his power. The lord Lauderdale, upon this, comforted him; and said, he would forgive them all that was past, and would serve them and the church at another rate than lord Midletoun was capable of doing. So Sharp became wholly his. Of all this lord Lauderdale gave me a full relation the next day; and shewed me the papers that passed between lord Midletoun and him. Sharp thought he had escaped well. The earl of Midletoun treated the bishops too much as his creatures, and assumed

a great deal to himself, and expressed a sort of authority over them; which Sharp was uneasy under, though he durst not complain of it, or resist it: whereas he reckoned, that lord Lauderdale, knowing the suspicions that lay on him, as favouring the presbyterians, would have less credit and courage in opposing any thing that should be necessary for their support. It proved that in this he judged right: for the lord Lauderdale, that he might maintain himself at court, and with the church of England, was really more compliant and easy to every proposition that the bishops made, than he would otherwise have been, if he had been always of the episcopal party. But all he did that way was against his heart, except when his passions were vehemently stirred, which a very slight occasion would readily do. 1663.

When the earls of Lauderdale and Midletoun had been writing papers and answers for above three months, an accident happened which hastened lord Midletoun's disgrace[s]. The earl of Lauderdale laid before the king the unjust proceedings in the laying on of the fines. And, to make all that party sure to himself, he procured a letter from the king to the council in Scotland, ordering them to issue out a proclamation, for superseding the execution of the act of fining till farther order. The privy council being then for the greater part composed of lord Midletoun's friends, it was pretended by some of them, that, as long as he was the king's commis- 202

[s] (It has been already observed in a note at page 151, folio edit. that these papers, Lauderdale's account of his Speech and Middleton's Answer, have been long since printed. Copies of both are amongst the Sheldon papers.)

1663. sioner, they could receive and execute no orders from the king, but through his hands. So they writ to him, desiring him to represent to the king, that this would be an affront put on the proceedings of parliament, and would raise the spirits of a party that ought to be kept down. Lord Midletoun writ back, that he had laid the matter before the king; and that he, considering better of it, ordered, that no proceeding should be made upon his former letter. This occasioned a hot debate in council. It was said, a letter under the king's hand could not be countermanded, but from the same hand. So the council wrote to know the king's mind in the matter. The king protested he knew nothing of it, and that lord Midletoun had not spoke one word on the subject to him. He upon that sent for him, and chid him so severely, that lord Midletoun concluded from it that he was ruined. Yet he always stood upon it, that he had the king's order by word of mouth for what he had done, though he was not so cautious as to procure an instruction under his hand for his warrant. It is very probable, that he spoke of it to the king, when his head was full of somewhat else, so that he did not mind it; and that, to get rid of the earl of Midletoun, he bid him do whatsoever he proposed, without reflecting much on it. For the king was at that time often so distracted in his thoughts, that he was not at all times master of himself. The queen-mother had brought over from France one Mrs. Steward, reckoned a very great beauty [t], who was afterwards married to the duke of Richmond. The king was believed to be deeply

[t] A pretty phrase. S.

in love with her. Yet his former mistress kept her ground still. And what with her humours and jealousy, and what with this new amour, the king had very little quiet, between both their passions and his own. 1663.

Towards the end of May the king called many of the English counsellors together, and did order all the papers that had passed between the earls of Lauderdale and Midletoun to be read to them. When that was done, many of them who were Midletoun's friends said much in excuse of his errors, and of the necessity of continuing him still in that high trust. But the king said, his errors were so great and so many, that the credit of his affairs must suffer, if he continued them any longer in such hands. Yet he promised them he would be still kind to him; for he looked on him as a very honest man. Few days after that, secretary Morrice was sent to him, with a warrant under the king's hand, requiring him to deliver up his commission, which he did. And so his ministry came to an end, after a sort of a reign of much violence and injustice: for he was become very imperious. He and his company were delivered up to so much excess, and to such a madness of frolic and intemperance, that as Scotland had never seen any thing like it, so upon this disgrace there was a general joy over the kingdom: though that lasted not long; for those that came after him grew worse than ever he was like to be. He had lived in great magnificence, which made him acceptable to many[u]: and he was a firm

And turned out of all.

203

[u] Hurt perhaps in his fortune by that; for he retired after his disgrace to the friery near Guildford, to one Dalmahoy there, a genteel and generous man, who was of Scotland,

1663. friend, though a violent enemy. The earl of Rothes was declared the king's commissioner. But the earl of Lauderdale would not trust him. So he went down with him, and kept him too visibly in a dependence on him for all his high character.

Waristoun's execution.

One of the first things that was done in this session of parliament was the execution of my unfortunate uncle, Waristoun[x]. He was so disordered both in body and mind, that it was a reproach to a government to proceed against him: his memory was so gone, that he did not know his own children. He was brought before the parliament, to hear what he had to say, why his execution should not be awarded. He spoke long, but in a broken and disordered strain, which his enemies fancied was put on to create pity. He was sentenced to die. [The presbyterians came about him, and prayed for him in a style like an upbraiding of God with the services he had done him.] His deportment was unequal, as might be expected from a man in his con-

had been gentleman of the horse to William, duke Hamilton, (killed at the battle of Worcester,) married that duke's widow, and by her had this house, and a considerable estate adjoining to it, where, over the river, which runs through the estate, this earl built a very handsome large bridge, calling it by his own name, and was the present he made to Mr. Dalmahoy for entertaining him at this place. The bridge is now down; but I remember it standing with brass plates upon it, that had *Midletoun Bridge* inscribed upon them. This gentleman, Dalmahoy, being much in the interest of the duke of York, and a man to be relied upon, and being a candidate for the town of Guildford, at the election of the parliament after the long one in 1678, and being opposed, as I think, by the famous Algernon Sydney, the duke of York came from Windsor to Dalmahoy's house to countenance his election, and appeared for him in the open court, where the election was taken. O.

[x] Was he hanged or beheaded? A fit uncle for such a bishop. S. (He was beheaded. See Carstares's *State Papers*, p. 92.)

dition. Yet, when the day of his execution came, he was very serene. He was cheerful, and seemed fully satisfied with his death. He read a speech twice over on the scaffold, that to my knowledge he composed himself, in which he justified all the proceedings in the covenant, and asserted his own sincerity; but condemned his joining with Cromwell and the sectaries, though even in that his intentions had been sincere, for the good of his country and the security of religion. Lord Lauderdale had lived in great friendship with him: but he saw the king was so set against him, that he, who at all times took more care of himself than of his friends, would not in so critical a time seem to favour a man, whom the presbyterians had set up as a sort of an idol among them, and on whom they did depend more than on any other man then alive. 1663.

The business of the parliament went on as the lord Lauderdale directed. The whole proceeding in the matter of the balloting was laid open. It appeared that the parliament had not desired it, but had been led into it by being made believe that the king had a mind to it. And of all the members of parliament not above twelve could be prevailed on to own, that they had advised the earl of Midletoun to ask leave of the king for it, whose private suggestions he had represented to the king as the desire of the parliament. This finished his disgrace, as well as it occasioned the putting all his party out of employments. 204

While they were going on with their affairs, they understood that an act had passed in the parliament of England against all conventicles, empowering justices of peace to convict offenders without juries; An act against conventicles.

1663. which was thought a great breach on the security of the English constitution, and a raising the power of justices to a very arbitrary pitch. Any meeting for religious worship, at which five were present more than the family, was declared a conventicle. And every person above sixteen, that was present at it, was to lie three months in prison, or to pay 5*l.* for the first offence; six months for the second offence, or to pay 20*l.* fine; and for the third offence, being convict by a jury, was to be banished to any plantation, except New England or Virginia, or to pay an 100*l.* All people were amazed at this severity[y]. But the bishops in Scotland took heart upon it, and resolved to copy from it. So an act passed there, almost in the same terms. And, at the passing it, lord Lauderdale in a long speech expressed great zeal for the church. There was some little opposition made to it by the earl of Kincardin, who was an enemy to all persecution. But, though some few voted against it, it was carried by a great majority.

The constitution of a national synod.

Another act passed, declaring the constitution of a national synod. It was to be composed of the archbishops and bishops, of all deans, and of two to be deputed from every presbytery; of which the moderator of the presbytery named by the bishop was to be one: all things were to be proposed to

[y] ("This act was temporary; "it was made upon occasion "of that general disaffection "that appeared about this time "among the dissenters in Eng- "land and Scotland. In the "north the dissenters broke "out into actual rebellion, and "assembled at Farnly wood in "Yorkshire. They had their "agents also in London, and "an oath of secrecy passed "amongst them. They assured "their friends, that the insur- "rection would be general, "and that they expected forces "from Holland and other coun- "tries to join them." *Salmon's Examination of Bishop Burnet's Hist.* p. 553.)

this court by the king or his commissioner. And whatsoever should be agreed to by the majority and the president, the archbishop of St. Andrews, was to have the force of an ecclesiastical law, when it should be confirmed by the king. Great exceptions were taken to this act. The church was restrained from meddling with any thing, but as it should be laid before them by the king; which was thought a severe restraint, like that of the *proponentibus legatis* so much complained of at Trent. The putting the negative, not in the whole bench of the bishops, but singly in the president, was thought very irregular. But it passed with so little observation, that the lord Lauderdale could scarce believe it was penned, as he found it to be, when I told him of it. Primerose told me, Sharp put that clause in with his own hand. The inferior clergy complained that the power was wholly taken from them; since, as one of their deputies was to be a person named by the bishops, so, the moderators claiming a negative vote in their presbyteries as the bishops' delegates, the other half were only to consist of persons to whom they consented. The act was indeed so penned, that nobody moved for a national synod, when they saw how it was to be constituted. 1663. 205

Two other acts passed in favour of the crown. The parliament of England had laid great impositions on all things imported from Scotland: so the parliament, being speedily to be dissolved, and not having time to regulate such impositions on English goods, as might force the English to bring that matter to a just balance, they put that confidence in the king, that they left the laying of impositions on all foreign merchandize wholly to him.

1663. Another act was looked on as a pompous compliment: and so it passed without observation, or any opposition. In it they made an offer to the king of an army of twenty thousand foot and two thousand horse, to be ready upon summons to march with forty days' provision into any part of his majesty's dominions, to oppose invasions, to suppress insurrections, or for any other cause in which his authority, power, or greatness was concerned. Nobody dreamt that any use was ever to be made of this. Yet the earl of Lauderdale had his end in it, to let the king see what use he might make of Scotland, if he should intend to set up arbitrary government in England. He told the king, that the earl of Midletoun and his party understood not what was the greatest service that Scotland could do him: they had not much treasure to offer him: the only thing they were capable of doing was, to furnish him with a good army, when his affairs in England should require it. And of this he made great use afterwards to advance himself, though it could never have signified any thing to the advancing the king's ends. Yet so easy was it to draw the parliament of Scotland to pass acts of the greatest consequence in a hurry, without considering the effects they might have. After these acts were passed, the parliament was dissolved; which gave a general satisfaction to the country, for they were a furious set of people. The government was left in the earl of Glencairn's hands, who began, now that he had little favour at court, to set himself on all occasions to oppose Sharp's violent notions. The earl of Rothes stuck firm to Sharp; and was recommended by him to the bishops of England, as the only man that sup-

An act offering an army to the king.

ported their interests. The king at this time restored lord Lorn to his grandfather's honour, of being earl of Argile, passing over his father; and gave him a great part of his estate, leaving the rest to be sold for the payment of debts, which did not raise in value above a third part of them. This occasioned a great outcry, that continued long to pursue him. 1663.

Sharp went up to London to complain of the lord Glencairn and of the privy council; where, he said, there was such a remissness, and so much popularity appeared on all occasions, that, unless some more spirit were put in the administration, it would be impossible to preserve the church. That was the word always used, as if there had been a charm in it. He moved that a letter might be writ, giving him the precedence of the lord chancellor. This was thought an inexcusable piece of vanity: for in Scotland, when there was no commissioner, all matters passed through the lord chancellor's hands, who by act of parliament was to preside in all courts, and was considered as representing the king's person. He also moved, that the king would grant a special commission to some persons for executing the laws relating to the church. All the privy counsellors were to be of it. But to these he desired many others might be added, for whom he undertook that they would execute them with zeal. Lord Lauderdale saw that this would prove a high commission court: yet he gave way to it, though much against his own mind. Upon these things I took the liberty, though then too young to meddle in things of that kind, to expostulate very freely with him. I thought he was acting the earl of Traquair's 1664. 206 Sharp drove very violently. Lauderdale gave way to it.

1664. part, giving way to all the follies of the bishops, on design to ruin them. He upon that ran into a great deal of freedom with me: he told me many passages of Sharp's past life: he was persuaded he would ruin all: but, he said, he was resolved to give him line: for he had not credit enough to stop him; nor would he oppose any thing that he proposed, unless it were very extravagant: he saw the earl of Glencairn and he would be in a perpetual war: and it was indifferent to him how matters might go between them: things would run to a height: and then the king would of himself put a stop to their career: for the king said often, he was not priest-ridden: he would not venture a war, nor travel again for any party. This was all that I could obtain from the earl of Lauderdale. I pressed Sharp himself to think of more moderate methods [y]. But he despised my applications: and from that time he was very jealous of me [z].

[y] The author was only twenty-one when he gave the archbishop advice. *Cole.*

[z] (In a long letter dated Edenburgh July 4, 1664, the archbishop complains to Sheldon of the conduct of the commissioners for ecclesiastical affairs, as calculated to promote resistance on the part of the disaffected to the church; and proceeds to say, that "in all "the dioceses of this church "we have not above one score "of ministers, or two hundred "men or women, who do with-"draw obedience to us and the "laws. It is only in the dio-"cese of Glasgow and Gallo-"way, where schism and op-"position to us is owned; "which if connived at, and "not timeously suppressed, "will soon spread its conta-"gion to the leavening of the "clergy and laity in other "places, and overturning of "all which hath been done "since his majesty's restitu-"tion for reducing this unhap-"py church and kingdom to "their duty to God and the "king; and thus irreligion, "turbulency, and sedition will "break in upon us. I can ap-"peal to the consciences of "all, who know the temper of "these people, whether hope "of connivance and impunity, "and the interest of a faction "long accustomed to submit "to nothing but what them-

Fairfoul, archbishop of Glasgow, died this year: 1664.
and one Burnet succeeded him, who was a near kinsman of the lord Rutherford's; who, from being governor of Dunkirk, when it was sold, was sent to Tangier, but soon after in an unhappy encounter, going out to view some grounds, was intercepted, and cut to pieces by the Moors. Upon Rutherford's recommendation, Burnet, who had lived many years 207
in England, and knew nothing of Scotland, was sent thither, first to be bishop of Aberdeen, and from thence he was raised to Glasgow. He was of himself a soft and good natured man, tolerably learned, and of a blameless life: but was a man of no genius: and though he was inclined to peaceable and moderate counsels, yet he was much in the power of others, and took any impression that was given him very easily[a]. I was much in his favour at first, but could not hold it long: for as I had been bred up by my father to love liberty and moderation, so I spent the greatest part of the year 1664 in Holland and France, which contributed not a little to root and fix me in those principles.

Burnet, archbishop of Glasgow.

I saw much peace and quiet in Holland, notwithstanding the diversity of opinions among them; which was occasioned by the gentleness of the government, and the toleration that made all people easy and happy. An universal industry was spread through the whole country. There was little aspiring to preferment in the state, because little was to be got that way. [It is true, there seemed to be

A view of the state of affairs in Holland and France.

" selves do conceit, doth not
" more influence into the pre-
" sent distempers, than any re-
" ligious regard to presbytery,
" or aversion from episcopacy,
" without which it is impossi-
" ble to keep the king's au-
" thority with these people.")

[a] (See note below at page 287, folio edit.)

1664. among them too much coldness and indifference in the matters of religion. But I imputed that to their phlegmatic tempers, that were not apt to take fire, rather than to the liberty they enjoyed.] They were then apprehending a war with England, and were preparing for it. From thence, where every thing was free, I went to France, where nothing was free. The king was beginning to put things in great method, in his revenue, in his troops, in his government at home, but above all in the increasing of trade, and the building of a great fleet. His own deportment was solemn and grave, save only that he kept his mistresses very avowedly. He was diligent in his own counsels, and regular in the despatch of his affairs: so that all things about him looked like the preparing of matters for all that we have seen acted since. The king of Spain was considered as dying: and the infant his son was like to die as soon as he: so that it was generally believed, the French king was designing to set up a new empire in the west. He had carried the quarrel at Rome about the Corses so high with the house of Ghigi (Chigi), that the protestants were beginning to flatter themselves with great hopes. When I was in France, cardinal Ghigi came, as legate, to give the king full satisfaction in that matter. Lord Hollis was then ambassador at Paris. I was so effectually recommended to him, that he used me with great freedom, which he continued to do to the end of his days. He stood upon all the points of an ambassador with the stiffness of former ages, which made him very unacceptable to a high-spirited young prince, who began even then to be flattered, as if he had been somewhat more than a mortal. This esta-

blished me in my love of law and liberty, and in my hatred of absolute power. When I came back, I stayed for some months at court, and observed the scene as carefully as I could, and became acquainted with all the men that were employed in Scotish affairs. I had more than ordinary opportunities of being well informed about them. This drew a jealousy on me from the bishops, which was increased from the friendship into which Leightoun received me. I passed for one, who was no great friend to church power, nor to persecution. So it was thought that lord Lauderdale was preparing me, as one who was known to have been always episcopal, to be set up against Sharp and his set of men, who were much hated by one side, and not loved, nor trusted, by the other. 1664. 208

In the mean while the earl of Glencairn died, which set Sharp at ease, but put him on new designs. He apprehended, that the earl of Tweedale might be advanced to that post: for in the settlement of the duchess of Buccleugh's estate, who was married to the duke of Monmouth, the best beloved of all the king's children, by which, in default of issue by her, it was to go to the duke of Monmouth, and the issue he might have by any other wife, the earl of Tweedale, though his children were the next heirs, who were by this deprived of their right, had yet given way to it in so frank a manner, that the king was enough inclined both to oblige and to trust him. But Sharp had great suspicions of him, as cold in their concerns. So he writ to Sheldon, that upon the disposal of the seals the very being of the church did so absolutely depend, that he begged he would press the king very earnestly in the matter, Sharp aspired to be chancellor of Scotland.

1664. and that he would move that he might be called up before that post should be filled. The king bid Sheldon assure him, he should take a special care of that matter, but that there was no occasion for his coming up: for the king by this time had a very ill opinion of him. Sharp was so mortified with this, that he resolved to put all to hazard; for he believed all was at stake: and he ventured to come up. The king received him coldly; and asked him, if he had not received the archbishop of Canterbury's letter. He said, he had: but he would choose rather to venture on his majesty's displeasure, than to see the church ruined through his caution or negligence: he knew the danger they were in in Scotland, where they had but few and cold friends, and many violent enemies: his majesty's protection, and the execution of the law, were the only things they could trust to: and these so much depended on the good choice of a chancellor, that he could not answer it to God and the church, if he did not bestir himself in that matter: he knew many thought of himself for that post: but he was so far from that thought, that, if
209 his majesty had any such intention, he would rather choose to be sent to a plantation: he desired, that he might be a churchman in heart, but not in habit, that should be raised to that trust. These were his very words, as the king reported them. From him he went to Sheldon, and pressed him to move the king for himself, and furnished him with many reasons to support the proposition; a main one being, that the late king had raised his predecessor Spotswood to that trust. Sheldon upon that did move the king with more than ordinary earnestness in it. The king suspected Sharp had set him on, and

charged him to tell him the truth. The other did it, though not without some uneasiness. Upon that the king told him what he had said to himself. And then it may be easily imagined in what a style they both spoke of him. Yet Sheldon prayed the king that, whatsoever he might think of the man, he would consider the archbishop and the church; which the king assured him he would do. Sheldon told Sharp, that he saw the motion for himself did not take; so he must think of somewhat else. Sharp proposed, that the seals might be put in the earl of Rothes's hands, till the king should pitch on a proper person. He also proposed, that the king would make him his commissioner, in order to the preparing matters for a national synod, that they might settle a book of common prayer, and a book of canons. This, he said, must be carried on slowly, and with great caution; of which the late troubles did demonstrate the necessity. 1664.

Rothes had the whole power of Scotland put in his hands.

All this was easily agreed to: for the king loved the lord Rothes: and the earl of Lauderdale would not oppose his advancement: though it was a very extravagant thing to see one man possess so many of the chief places of so poor a kingdom. The earl of Crawford would not abjure the covenant; so he had been made lord treasurer in his place: he continued to be still, what he was before, lord president of the council: and, upon the earl of Midletoun's disgrace, he was made captain of a troop of guards: and now he was both the king's commissioner, and upon the matter lord chancellor. Sharp reckoned this was his masterpiece. Lord Rothes, being thus advanced by his means, was in all things governed by him. His instructions were such as Sharp pro-

1664. posed, to prepare matters for a national synod, and in the mean while to execute the laws that related to the church with a steady firmness[c]. So, when he parted from Whitehall, Sharp said to the king, that he had now done all that could be desired of him for the good of the church: so that, if all matters went not right in Scotland, none must bear the blame, but either the earl of Lauderdale or Rothes.
210 And so they came to Scotland, where a very furious scene of illegal violence was opened. Sharp governed lord Rothes, who abandoned himself to pleasure: [and was more barefaced in some indecent courtships, than that kingdom had ever seen before.] And, when some censured this, all the answer that was made was a severe piece of raillery, that the king's commissioner ought to represent his person.

1665. Illegal and severe proceedings in Scotland.

The government of Scotland as to civil matters was very easy. All were quiet and obedient. But all those counties that lie towards the west became very fierce and intractable: and the whole work of the council was to deal with them, and to subdue them. It was not easy to prove any thing against any of them, for they did stick firm to one another. The people complained of the new set of ministers that was sent among them, as immoral, stupid, and ignorant. Generally they forsook their churches. And, if any of them went to church, they said they were little edified with their sermons. And the whole country was full of strange reports of the weakness of their preaching, and of the indecency of their whole deportment. The people treated them with great contempt, and with an aversion that

[c] See Collier's Eccl. Hist. vol. II. p. 892. *Dr. Bliss.*

broke out often into violence and injustice. But their ministers on their parts were not wanting in their complaints, aggravating matters, and possessing the bishops with many stories of designs and plottings against the state. So, many were brought before the council, and the new ecclesiastical commission, for pretended riots[d], and for using their ministers ill, but chiefly for not coming to church, and for holding conventicles. The proofs were often defective, and lay rather in presumptions, than clear evidence: and the punishments proposed were often arbitrary, not warranted by law. So the judges and other lawyers, that were of those courts, were careful to keep proceedings according to forms of law: upon which Sharp was often complaining, that favour was shown to the enemies of the church, under the pretence of law. It was said that the people of the country were in such a combination, that it was not possible to find witnesses to prove things fully: and he often said, Must the church be ruined for punctilios of law? When he could not carry matters by a vote, as he had a mind, he usually looked to the earl of Rothes; who upon that was ever ready to say, he would take it upon him to order the matter as Sharp proposed, and would do it in the king's name. Great numbers were cast in prison, where they were kept long, and ill used: and sometimes 1665.

[d] (That disturbance seems to have been more than a pretended riot, which archbishop Burnett alludes to in a letter to Sheldon, dated May 22, 1665. "I hope," he says, "your grace "and others will allow me some "more charity, when you hear "how handsomely they begin "to stone ministers even at the "gates of Edenburgh." The archbishop had previously, in a letter dated November 26th of the preceding year, made a report of another tumult at the same place.)

1665. they were fined, and the younger sort whipped about the streets. The people grew more sullen on all this ill usage. Many were undone by it, and went
211 over to the Scots in Ulster, where they were well received, and had all manner of liberty as to their way of religion[e].

Burnet was sent up to possess the king with the apprehensions of a rebellion in the beginning of the Dutch war. He proposed that about twenty of the chief gentlemen of those counties might be secured: and he undertook for the peace of the country, if they were clapped up. This was plainly illegal. But the lord Lauderdale opposed nothing. So it was done: but with a very ill effect. For those gentlemen, knowing how obnoxious they were, had kept measures a little better: but they being put in prison, both their friends and tenants laid all to the door of the clergy, and hated them the more, and used them the worse for it. The earls of Argile, Tweedale, and Kincardin, who were considered as the lord Lauderdale's chief friends, were cold in all those matters. They studied to keep proceedings in a legal channel, and were for moderate censures. Upon which Sharp said, they appeared to be the friends and favourers of the enemies of the church.

Turner executed the laws in a military way.

Wherever the people had generally forsaken their churches, the guards were quartered through the country. Sir James Turner, that commanded them, was naturally fierce, but was mad when he was drunk; and that was very often. So he was ordered by the lord Rothes to act according to such directions as Burnet should send him. And he went about the country, and received such lists as the

[e] The more the pity. S.

ministers brought him, of those who came not to church: and, without any other proof, or any legal conviction, he set such a fine on them as he thought they could pay, and sent soldiers to lie on them till it was paid. I knew him well afterwards, when he came to himself, being out of employment. He was a learned man; but had been always in armies, and knew no other rule but to obey orders. He told me, he had no regard to any law, but acted, as he was commanded, in a military way. He confessed, it went often against the grain with him to serve such a debauched and worthless company, as the clergy generally were; and that sometimes he did not act up to the rigour of his orders; for which he was often chid, both by lord Rothes and Sharp, but was never checked for his illegal and violent proceedings, And though the complaints of him were very high, so that, when he was afterwards seized on by the party, they intended to make a sacrifice of him; yet, when they looked into his orders, and found that his proceedings, how fierce soever, fell short of these, they spared him, as a man that had merited by being so gentle among them. 1665.

The truth is, the whole face of the government looked liker the proceedings of an inquisition, than of legal courts: and yet Sharp was never satisfied. So lord Rothes and he went up to court in the first year of the Dutch war. When they waited first on the king, Sharp put him in mind of what he had said at his last parting, that if their matters went not well, none must be blamed for it, but either the earl of Lauderdale, or of Rothes: and now he came to tell his majesty, that things were worse than ever: and he must do the earl of Rothes the justice to say, he had done 212

1665. his part. Lord Lauderdale was all on fire at this, but durst not give himself vent before the king. So he only desired that Sharp would come to particulars: and then he should know what he had to say. Sharp put that off in a general charge; and said, he knew the party so well, that, if they were not supported by secret encouragements, they would have been long ago weary of the opposition they gave the government. The king had no mind to enter farther into their complaints. So lord Rothes and he withdrew: and were observed to look very pleasantly upon one another, as they went away. Lord Lauderdale told the king he was now accused to his face: but he would quickly let him see what a man Sharp was. So he obtained a message from the king to him, of which he himself was to be the bearer, requiring him to put his complaints in writing, and to come to particulars. He followed Sharp home, who received him with such a gayety, as if he had given him no provocation. But lord Lauderdale was more solemn; and told him, it was the king's pleasure, that he should put the accusation with which he had charged him in writing. Sharp pretended he did not comprehend his meaning. He answered, the matter was plain: he had accused him to the king: and he must either go through with it, and make it out, otherwise he would charge him with leasing-making: and spoke in a terrible tone to him. Upon that, as he told me, Sharp fell a trembling and weeping: he protested, he meant no harm to him: he was only sorry that his friends were upon all occasions pleading for favour to the fanatics: (that was become the name of reproach.) Lord Lauderdale said, that would not serve turn:

he was not answerable for his friends, except when they acted by directions from him. Sharp offered to go with him presently to the king, and to clear the whole matter. Lord Lauderdale had no mind to break openly with him. So he accepted of this, and carried him to the king; where he retracted all he had said, in so gross a manner, that the king said afterwards, lord Lauderdale was ill-natured to press it so heavily, and to force Sharp on giving himself the lie in such coarse terms. 1665. 213

This went to Sharp's heart: so he made a proposition to the earl of Dunfreis, who was a great friend of the lord Midletoun's, to try if a reconciliation could be made between him and the earl of Rothes, and if he would be content to come into the government under lord Rothes. Lord Dunfreis went into Kent, where the lord Midletoun was then employed in a military command on the account of the war: and he laid Sharp's proposition before him. The earl of Midletoun gave lord Dunfreis power to treat in his name; but said, he knew Sharp too well to regard any thing that came from him. Before lord Dunfreis came back, Sharp had tried lord Rothes, but found he would not meddle in it: and they both understood that the earl of Clarendon's interest was declining, and that the king was like to change his measures. So when lord Dunfreis came back to give Sharp an account of his negotiation, he seemed surprised, and denied he had given him any such commission. This enraged the earl of Dunfreis so, that he published the thing in all companies: among others, he told it very particularly to my self [f]. Sharp studies to bring Midletoun into business again.

[f] (Both the Scotish archbishops, Burnett, as well as Sharp,

1665. At that time Leightoun was prevailed on to go to court, and to give the king a true account of the proceedings in Scotland; which, he said, were so violent, that he could not concur in the planting the Christian religion itself in such a manner, much less a form of government. He therefore begged leave to quit his bishopric, and to retire: for he thought he was in some sort accessory to the violences done by others, since he was one of them, and all was pretended to be done to establish them and their order. There were indeed no violences committed in his diocese. He went round it continually every year, preaching and catechising from parish to parish. He continued in his private and ascetic course of life, and gave all his income, beyond the small expense of his own person, to the poor. He studied to raise in his clergy a greater sense of spiritual matters, and of the care of souls; and was in all respects a burning and shining light, highly esteemed by the greater part of his diocese: even the presbyterians were much mollified, if not quite overcome, by his mild and heavenly course of life. The king seemed touched with the state that the country was in: he spoke very severely of Sharp: and assured Leightoun he would quickly come to other measures, and put a stop to those violent methods: but he would by no means suffer him to quit his bishopric. So the king gave orders that the ecclesiastical commission should be discontinued; and signified his pleasure, that another way of proceeding was necessary for his affairs.

in their correspondence with Sheldon, commend the earl of Dumfries as a nobleman well principled, and zealous for the interests of church and state.)

He understood, by his intelligence from Holland, that the exiles at Rotterdam were very busy, and that perhaps the Dutch might furnish the malecontents of Scotland with money and arms: so he thought it was necessary to raise more troops. Two gallant officers, that had served him in the wars, and, when these were over, had gone with his letters to serve in Muscovy, where one of them, Dalziell, was raised to be a general, and the other, Drumond, was advanced to be a lieutenant-general, and governor of Smolensko, were now, not without great difficulty, sent back by the czar. So the king intended they should command some forces that he was to raise. Sharp was very apprehensive of this: but the king was positive. A little before this, the act of fining, that had lain so long asleep, that it was thought forgot, was revived. And all who had been fined were required to bring in one moiety of their fines: but the other moiety was forgiven those who took the declaration renouncing the covenant. The money was by act of parliament to be given among those who had served and suffered for the king; so that the king had only the trust of distributing it. There was no more Scotish councils called at Whitehall after lord Midletoun's fall. But upon particular occasions the king ordered the privy counsellors of that kingdom, that were about the town, to be brought to him: before whom he now laid out the necessity of raising some more force for securing the quiet of Scotland: he only asked their advice, how they should be paid. Sharp very readily said, the money raised by the fining was not yet disposed of: so he proposed the applying it to that use. None opposed this: so it was

1665. 214 More forces raised in Scotland.

1665. resolved on. And by that means the cavaliers, who were come up with their pretensions, were disappointed of their last hopes of being recompensed for their sufferings. The blame of all this was cast upon Sharp, at which they were out of measure enraged, and charged him with it. He denied it boldly. But the king published it so openly, that he durst not contradict him. Many, to whom he had denied that he knew any thing of the matter, and called that advice diabolical invention, affirmed it to the king. And the lord Lauderdale, to complete his disgrace with the king, got many of his letters, which he had writ to the presbyterians after the time in which the king knew that he was negotiating for episcopacy, in which he had continued to protest with what zeal he was soliciting their concerns, not without dreadful imprecations on himself if he was prevaricating with them, and laid these before the king: so that the king looked on him as one of the worst of men [g].

215 1666. Some eminent clergymen in Scotland offended at these proceedings.

Many of the episcopal clergy in Scotland were much offended at all these proceedings. They saw the prejudices of the people were increased by them. They hated violent courses, and thought they were contrary to the meek spirit of the Gospel, and that they alienated the nation more from the church. They set themselves much to read church history, and to observe the state of the primitive church, and the spirit of those times: and they could not but observe so great a difference between the constitution of the church under those bishops and our

[g] Surely there was some secret cause for this perpetual malice against Sharp. S. (See below, p. 217, folio edit.)

own, that they seemed to agree in nothing but the name. I happened to be settled near two of the most eminent of them, who were often moved to accept of bishoprics, but always refused them, both out of a true principle of humility and self-denial, and also because they could not engage in the methods by which things were carried on. One of these, Mr. Nairn, was one of the politest clergymen I ever knew bred in Scotland. He had formed clear and lively schemes of things, and was the most eloquent of all our preachers. He considered the pastoral function as a dedication of the whole man to God and his service. He read the moral philosophers much; and had wrought himself into their equal temper, as much as could consist with a great deal of fire that was in his own: but he turned it all to melting devotion. He had a true notion of superstition, as a narrowness of soul, and a meanness of thought in religion. He studied to raise all that conversed with him to great notions of God, and to an universal charity. This made him pity the presbyterians, as men of low notions and ill tempers. He had indeed too much heat of imagination, which carried him to be very positive in some things, in which he afterwards changed his mind: and that made him pass for an inconstant man. In a word, he was the brightest man I ever knew among all our Scotish divines. Another of these was Mr. Charteris, a man of a composed and serene gravity, but without affectation or sourness. He scarce ever spoke in company, but was very open and free in private. He made true judgments of things and of men: and had a peculiar talent in managing such as he thought deserved his pains. He had little 1665.

1665. heat, either in body or mind: for as he had a most emaciated body, so he spoke both slow, and in so low a voice that he could not easily be heard. He had great tenderness in his temper; and was a very perfect friend, and a most sublime Christian. He lived in a constant contempt of the world, and a neglect of his person. There was a gravity in his conversation that raised an attention, and begot a
216 composedness, in all about him, without frightening them; for he made religion appear amiable in his whole deportment. He had read all the lives and the epistles of great men very carefully, [and delighted much in the mystics.] He had read the fathers much; and gave me this notion of them, that in speculative points, for which writers of controversy searched into their works, they were but ordinary men; but their excellency lay in that, which was least sought for, their sense of spiritual things, and of the pastoral care. In these he thought their strength lay. And he often lamented, not without some indignation, that, in the disputes about the government of the church, much pains were taken to seek out all those passages that shewed what their opinions were; but that due care was not taken to set out the notions that they had of the sacred function, of the preparation of mind, and inward vocation, with which men ought to come to holy orders; or of the strictness of life, the deadness to the world, the heavenly temper, and the constant application to the doing of good, that became them. Of these he did not talk like an angry reformer, that set up in that strain, because he was neglected or provoked; but like a man full of a deep, but humble sense of them. He was a great enemy to

large confessions of faith, chiefly when they were imposed in the lump as tests: for he was positive in very few things. He had gone through the chief parts of learning: but was then most conversant in history, as the innocentest sort of study, that did not fill the mind with subtilty, but helped to make a man wiser and better. These were both single persons, and men of great sobriety: and they lived in a constant low diet, which they valued more than severer fasting. Yet they both became miserable by the stone. Nairn went to Paris, where he was cut of a great one, of which he recovered, but lived not many years after. Charteris lived to a great age, and died in the end of the year 1700, having in his last years suffered unspeakable torment from the stone, which the operators would not venture to cut. But all that saw what he suffered, and how he bore it, acknowledged that in him they saw a most perfect pattern of patience and submission to the will of God. It was a great happiness for me, after I had broke into the world by such a ramble as I had made, that I fell into such hands, with whom I entered into a close and particular friendship. They both set me right, and kept me right; though I made at this time a sally that may be mentioned, since it had some relation to public affairs. I observed the deportment of our bishops was in all points so different from what became their function, that I had a more than ordinary zeal kindled within me upon it. They were not only furious against all that stood out against them, but were very remiss in all the parts of their function. Some did not live within their diocese. And those who did, seemed to take no care of them: they

1665.

217

1665. shewed no zeal against vice: the most eminently wicked in the county were their particular confidents: they took no pains to keep their clergy strictly to rules, and to their duty: on the contrary, there was a levity and a carnal way of living about them, that very much scandalized me. There was indeed one Scougal, bishop of Aberdeen, that was a man of rare temper, great piety and prudence: but I thought he was too much under Sharp's conduct, and was at least too easy to him[h].

Some of the grievances of the clergy laid before the bishops.

Upon all this I took a resolution of drawing up a memorial of the grievances we lay under by the ill conduct of our bishops. I resolved, that no other person besides my self should have a share in any trouble it might bring on me: so I communicated it to none. This made it not to be in all the parts of it so well digested, as it otherwise might have been: and I was then but three and twenty. I laid my fouudation in the constitution of the primitive church; and shewed how they had departed from it, by their neglecting their diocese, meddling so much in secular affairs, raising their families out of the revenues of the church, and above all by their violent prosecuting of those who differed from them. Of this I writ out some copies, and signed them, and sent them to all the bishops of my acquaintance. Sharp was much alarmed at it, and fancied I was set on to it by some of the lord Lauderdale's friends. I was called before the bishops, and treated with great severity. Sharp called it a libel. I said

[h] (See a high character of this bishop, and of his son, who was the author of the book entitled, *The Life of God in the Soul of Man*, in bishop Burnet's preface to his Life of Bedell.)

I had set my name to it, so it could not be called a libel. He charged me with the presumption of offering to teach my superiors. I said, such things had been not only done, but justified in all ages. He charged me for reflecting on the king's putting them on his counsels: I said, I found no fault with the king for calling them to his counsels: but with them for going out of that which was their proper province, and for giving ill counsel. Then he charged me for reflecting on some severities, which, he said, was a reproaching public courts, and a censuring the laws. I said, laws might be made *in terrorem*, not always fit to be executed: but I only complained of clergymen's pressing the rigorous execution of them, and going often beyond what the law dictated. He broke out into a great vehemence; and proposed to the bishops, that I should be summarily deprived and excommunicated: but none of them would agree to that. By this management of his the thing grew public. What I had ventured on was variously censured: but the greater part approved of it. Lord Lauderdale and all his friends were delighted with it: and he gave the king an account of it, who was not ill pleased at it. Great pains was taken to make me ask pardon, but to no purpose: so Sharp let the thing fall[i]. But, that it might appear that I had not done it upon any factious design, I entered into a very close state of retirement; and gave my self wholly to my study, and the duties of my function. 1665. 1666. 218

[i] (Dr. Cockburn, a nephew of Scougal, bishop of Aberdeen, gives a different account of Burnet's conduct in this affair. See *Specimen of Remarks, &c. occasioned by Dr. Burnet's History of his own Times, by John Cockburn, D.D.* p. 33—43.)

1664. Affairs in England.

Thus I have run over the state of Scotland in the years 1663, 1664, 1665, and till near the end of 1666. I now return to the affairs of England; in which I must write more defectively, being then so far from the scene. In winter 1664, the king declared his resolution of entering into a war with the Dutch. The grounds were so slight, that it was visible there was somewhat more at bottom than was openly owned. A great comet, which appeared that winter, raised the apprehensions of those who did not enter into just speculations concerning those matters. The house of commons was so far from examining nicely into the grounds of the war, that without any difficulty they gave the king two millions and a half for carrying it on. A great fleet was set out, which the duke commanded in person; as Opdam had the command of the Dutch fleet. But as soon as the war broke out, a most terrible plague broke out also in the city of London, that scattered all the inhabitants that were able to remove themselves elsewhere[k]. It broke the trade of the nation, and swept away about an hundred thou-

The Dutch war.

The plague broke out at the same time.

[k] (Lord Clarendon, in an unpublished letter to archbishop Sheldon, written on the 28th of September in this year, congratulates him on the decrease of no less than 1827 deaths in the bill of mortality from the number reported in the preceding week; and hopes that they shall be relieved with the same comfort every week. The number here mentioned was probably the true one, as Pepys, in his *Diary*, vol. I. p. 372, states the decrease to have been above 1800. But in Vincent's *God's terrible Voice in the City*, as cited at least by Oldmixon, in his *History of the Stuarts*, p. 522, the number given is 1627. The plague was at the highest in the preceding week, during which there died of it 7165 persons. This number is mentioned also by Pepys, at page 371. Every one must have feared to ask after his absent friend or acquaintance, lest he should find him numbered with the dead.)

sand souls; the greatest havock that any plague had ever made in England. This did dishearten all people: and coming in the very time in which so unjust a war was begun, it had a dreadful appearance. All the king's enemies and the enemies of monarchy said, here was a manifest character of God's heavy displeasure upon the nation; as indeed the ill life the king led, and the viciousness of the whole court, gave but a melancholy prospect. Yet God's ways are not as our ways. What all had seen in the year 1660 ought to have silenced those who at this time pretended to comment on providence. But there will be always much discourse of things that are very visible, as well as very extraordinary. 1665.

The victory at sea not followed.

When the two fleets met, it is well known what accidents disordered the Dutch, and what advantage the English had. If that first success had been followed, as was proposed, it might have been fatal to the Dutch, who, finding they had suffered so much, steered off. The duke ordered all the sail to be set on to overtake them. There was a council 219 of war called, to concert the method of action, when they should come up with them. In that council Pen, who commanded under the duke, happened to say, that they must prepare for hotter work in the next engagement. He knew well the courage of the Dutch was never so high, as when they were desperate. The earl of Montague, who was then a volunteer, and one of the duke's court, said to me, it was very visible that made an impression. And all the duke's domestics said, he had got honour enough: why should he venture a second time? The duchess had also given a strict charge to all the duke's servants, to do all they could to hinder

1665. him to engage too far. When matters were settled, they went to sleep: and the duke ordered a call to be given him, when they should get up to the Dutch fleet. It is not known what passed between the duke and Brounker, who was of his bedchamber, and was then in waiting: but he came to Pen, as from the duke, and said, the duke ordered the sail to be slackened. Pen was struck with the order; but did not go to argue the matter with the duke himself, as he ought to have done, but obeyed it. When the duke had slept, he, upon his waking, went out on the quarter-deck, and seemed amazed to see the sails slackened, and that thereby all hope of overtaking the Dutch was lost. He questioned Pen upon it. Pen put it on Brounker, who said nothing. The duke denied he had given any such order. But he neither punished Brounker for carrying it, nor Pen for obeying it[l]. He indeed put Brounker out of his service[m]: and it was said, that

[l] (It appears, from the extract given below by speaker Onslow, from the Journal of the House of Commons, that the order was carried, not to Penn, but to Harman. An account of the whole of this affair is given in the *Life of King James II.*, lately published by Dr. Clarke, vol. I. p. 415—417; 422, 423. Macpherson, quoted by Cole in a MS. note, remarks on the following words of the king himself respecting this action: *the Dutch were pursued till night, and had it not been for an accident, most of them would have been taken before they got into the Texel.* "Burnet has "strangely misrepresented this "fact, and indeed almost all "the facts concerning this un-"fortunate prince." Macpherson's *Original Papers*, vol. I. which contain extracts from the Life of King James, written by himself. See also Pepys, in his *Diary*, a contemporary work, pp. 143, 144. 221.)

[m] ("This is as much as to "say, that he did not punish "him, and he did punish him." *Higgons's Remarks*, page 144. Hume observes, that Burnet sufficiently accounts for Brounker's impunity, by informing us that he was a favourite of the duchess of Cleveland, the king's favourite mistress. He was expelled the house of com-

he durst do no more, because he was so much in the king's favour, and in the mistress's. Pen was more in his favour after that, than ever before, which he continued to his son after him, though a quaker: and it was thought, that all that favour was to oblige him to keep the secret. Lord Montague did believe, that the duke was struck, seeing the earl of Falmouth, the king's favourite, and two other persons of quality, killed very near him; and that he had no mind to engage again, and that Pen was privately with him. If Brounker was so much in fault as he seemed to be, it was thought, the duke, in the passion that this must have raised in him, would have proceeded to greater extremities, and not have acted with so much phlegm. This proved the breaking the designs of the king's whole reign: for the Dutch themselves believed, that, if our fleet had followed them with full sail, we must have come up with them next tide, and have either sunk or taken their whole fleet. De Wit was struck with this misfortune: and, imputing some part of it to errors in conduct, he resolved to go on board himself, as soon as their fleet was ready to go to sea again [n]. 1665.

mons, and it was ordered that he should be impeached. See Echard's *History of England*, p. 853. A very bad character is given of him by Pepys, in his *Diary*, vol. II. p. 116.)

[n] See 17th of April, 1668, in the Journal of the House of Commons.

This paper is transcribed from the originals among the papers of the house of commons.

Sir John Harman being called in and examined, says, the duke went off the deck about ten of the clock, and gave orders to bear up as close to the Dutch as they could; which they did, and were got up so close that night, that they were ready to run into the body of the enemy, and that there were but six or seven more of our fleet near; that the discourse between him and Cox, and the lowering of sail, was occasioned

1665. Upon this occasion I will say a little of him, and
220 of the affairs of Holland. His father was the de-

An account of the affairs in Holland.

by Mr. Brunckard's coming on the deck, and persuasion that he being spent with the two days' action before, desired rest; and left Cox as near the Dutch as he could adventure; that the next morning he found the topsails lowered as he left them; that there were no orders given from the duke in the least; that if Cox had kept at the distance he left them, they might have been close up with the Dutch the next morning, but they were cast behind, and further off than he expected.

Sir John Harman, called in a second time and examined, says, he did give orders to Cox for lowering the topsails, but did not give orders to bring the ship to, but Cox did it of his own head; that when Mr. Brunckard came up, he had a conference with him, and asked him, whether he was mad, to run the duke's person into such danger, and used all the arguments he could to force him to lower the sails, as that the duke was heir to the crown, and the like, and thinks he should have done it in a short time after, if Mr. Brunckard had not pressed it: then the inconveniences which were occasioned by lowering sail, and bringing the ship to, were very great, as he perceived the next morning.

Harman, called in last time, said, that having been put in mind of some passages by his servant, and recollected himself, did remember, that Mr. Brunckard did use the duke of York's name to him, in a commanding way, that he should slack sail.

Mr. Neve, called in and examined, said, that when the duke of York was going to lie down to rest, he heard him give orders to captain Harman and captain Cox, to keep up close to the enemy, and near the light, and appointed Mr. Brunckard to get up, to see that they did make sail, and keep near the enemy.

Captain Cox being examined, said, that between eleven and twelve of the clock, when the duke went to rest, he gave orders that our fleet should keep close up with the enemy; that afterwards Mr. Brunckard came upon the deck, and used many persuasions with him to slack sail, but could not prevail. That Harman did give orders to lower the topsails, but when he went off did not give any order for hoisting sail again; and that if they had not lowered sail, they might have been near up with the enemy, and might have kept the weather of them without danger.

That he told Mr. Brunckard, he did wonder the duke's mind should be soon altered, having but little before given order to the contrary.

That Mr. Brunckard did not say he had orders, but pretended the safety of the duke's person, and the like arguments, and that Harman and he had no consultation till after Mr. Brunckard came upon the deck.

puty of the town of Dort in the States, when the late prince of Orange was so much offended with 1665.

That the duke's ship lowering sail was the occasion of the other ships lowering sail, and that our fleet was cast a mile and a half astern of the enemy in the morning; and that when the duke came up the next morning, he was much displeased with it.

That by lowering sail and bringing the ship to, one or two ships seemed in danger to fall foul on each other, and that much more might have been done against the enemy, if sail had not been lowered, and the ships kept to; says, that Harman, when he went off, gave no order to him to call him again, though it was near twelve of the clock when the sails were lowered: denies that Harman gave any orders for keeping at the same distance off the enemy that he was when Harman left him; and that the sails were lowered above an hour and a half; that Harman said it was necessary to bring the ship to, and gave direction for it; but that his opinion was against it, for fear of any of our ships falling foul of one another.

That the night was dark, and could not (sic) discern our frigate (that carried the light) from the enemy.

That he was hoisting sail the next morning, when Harman came up upon the deck.

Mr. Pearse, called in and examined, says, that he was on the deck when the duke went off, about eleven of the clock, and that he then gave order to Harman and Cox to keep up close to the enemy; and that about half an hour after, Mr. Brunckard came up, and held discourse with Cox, and persuaded him to lower sail, but could not prevail, and afterward went to Harman, and used the same arguments to him; as that the duke was heir to the crown, and had obtained a glorious victory the day before; that the king would not take it well they should hazard the duke's person, our ships being at a distance, and the enemy so near; and after some discourse, Harman said, "Well, if it must be so, it must "be so, then lower the sail." He says, there was a frigate ahead of the duke's ship, and that he could plainly see the Dutch fleet and our fleet, and that our ships were about five or six cables' length at distance from each other; that he was walking to and fro on the deck, and might not hear all that passed; that there were, as he did guess, twenty of our ships in sight, and near together.

Hill, the waterman, called in and examined, said, that Mr. Brunckard came up to Harman, and told him, the duke gave order to shorten sail, for he would not engage in the night. That Harman denied to do it, and said he would go to the duke himself: Mr. Brunckard told him, he need not, he would go again; he went down, and said he had been with the duke,

1665. their proceedings in disbanding a great part of their army: and he was one of those whom he ordered

and brought the same orders again; and Harman gave order to let run the topsails, that the ship lay to about an hour, till the enemy had got so far that we could not overtake them, and then the duke, when he came up in the morning, was very angry at it.

Duskberry, the waterman, examined, says, that presently after the lowering of sail, he came down to him, and said, We are like to do well, now major Brunckard has brought orders from the duke to shorten sail. That when the ship brought to, they were in a wood, like to be lost, and fall foul of one another, and lay mustered in the sea, till towards morning; that all that squadron were close round, and near one another, and the white squadron were gone in pursuit of the enemy, and that they heard shooting all night.

That we might have kept near, as well as the other squadron.

That he did acquaint him with the passages between Mr. Brunckard and Harman, and repeats to the same effect.

Robert Sumner examined, says, that captain Harman had given orders for making more sail, before Mr. Brunckard came up: that when Mr. Brunckard came up, he told him he came from the duke with command, that he must not make more sail; at which Harman was much discontented; said he was sorry he must not make more sail, but the duke's order must be obeyed. Retired (perhaps Sumner) to his cabin, and took tobacco: :

Harman and Cox quite contrary to each other about bringing the ships to:

Pearce and Harman quite ——— about the number of ships near.

All agree that if had (sic) bore up, might have been near the Dutch in the morning.

That Tuesday next be given to Mr. Brunckard to make his further answer.

That the sergeant at arms do apprehend sir John Harman, and bring him in custody on Tuesday next, in order to his further hearing.

21 April, 1668.

Mr. Speaker,

I am in the first place to give this honourable house thanks for the favourable opinion of me, and kindness in giving me this time to recollect myself, and wish I had been so happy to have asked it of you, before I undertook to give an account of what so long since happened, by which I should have preverted that great trouble I have given you, and those hard censures that are laid upon me; but I humbly hope, that whatsoever probable reasons to the contrary do appear, you will believe what I now affirm to be the truth. That winter following, after the engagement, I was sent to Crottenburg, where I had a sharp

upon that to be carried to the castle of Lovestein. 1665.
Soon after that, his design on Amsterdam miscarrying, he saw a necessity of making up the best he could with the States. But, before he had quite healed that wound, he died of the small-pox. Upon his death all his party fell in disgrace, and the Lovesteiners carried all before them. So De Wit got his son John, then but twenty-five years of age, to be made pensioner of Dort. And within a year after, the pensioner of Holland dying, he was made pensioner of Holland. His breeding was to the civil law, which he understood very well. He was a great mathematician: and, as his *Elementa Curvarum* shew what a man he was that way, so per-

sickness, of which I am not yet recovered, either in memory or hearing, and many relics are yet upon me of that disease: and have since been wounded, of which I lay long, and endured much pain, and have, ever since my recovery, had a great hurry of his majesty's affairs upon me, besides my own, and had been this last whole year in the West Indies, and was but that morning landed. I came to the house, having had no opportunity to speak with any that were with me at that time, and also considering my coming before such an assembly that I was wholly unacquainted withal, having the greatest part of my life been employed at sea.

I hope you will excuse my speaking so disorderly, seeming to be in (sic) but do assure you that all the reasons that moved me say what I did, in my two first examinations, was no other than that I was not fully satisfied in my own memory and conscience of what I last said; and had I not been by other circumstances brought to remember the thing perfectly, I should rather have submitted myself to the mercy of this house, than have accused any wrongfully to save myself; but having now recollected myself fully, and being resolved to ——— candidly and clearly, in speaking the whole truth as far as I know, I must affirm that Mr. Brunckard, after I had denied to shorten sail upon his arguments and persuasions, went down from me, and coming up again, told me, he came from the duke, who commanded, as he said, it should be so: whereupon I gave order for the doing it. The truth of this I do affirm, and will not go from. Witness my hand this 20 of April, 1668.

John Harman. O.

1665. haps no man ever applied algebra to all matters of trade so nicely as he did. He made himself so entirely the master of the state of Holland, that he understood exactly all the concerns of their revenue, and what sums, and in what manner, could be raised upon any emergent of state: for this he had a pocketbook full of tables, and was ever ready to shew how they could be furnished with money. He was a frank, sincere man, without fraud, or any other artifice but silence: to which he had so accustomed the world, that it was not easy to know, whether he was silent on design or custom. He had a great clearness of apprehension: and when any thing was proposed to him, how new soever, he heard all patiently, and then asked such questions as occurred to him: and by the time he had done all this, he was as much master of the proposition, as the person was that had made it. He knew nothing of modern history, nor of the state of courts: and was eminently defective in all points of form. But he laid down this for a maxim, that all princes and states followed their own interests: so by observing what their true interests were, he thought he could without great intelligence calculate what they were about. He did not enough consider how far passions, amours, humours, and opinions wrought on the world; chiefly on princes. He had the notions of a commonwealth from the Greeks and Romans. And from them he came to fancy, that an army commanded by officers of their own country was both more in their own power, and would serve them with the more zeal, since they themselves had such an interest in the success[p]. And so he was

[p] He ought to have judged the contrary. S.

against their hiring foreigners, unless it was to be common soldiers, to save their own people. But he did not enough consider the phlegm and covetousness of his countrymen; of which he felt the ill effects afterwards. This was his greatest error, and it turned fatally upon him. But for the administration of justice at home, and for the management of their trade, and their forces by sea, he was the ablest minister they ever had. He had an hereditary hatred to the house of Orange. He thought it was impossible to maintain their liberty, if they were still statholders. Therefore he did all that was possible to put an invincible bar in their way, by the perpetual edict. But at the same time he took great care of preserving the young prince's fortune; and looked well to his education, and gave him, as the prince himself told me, very just notions of every thing relating to their state. For he said, he did not know, but that at some time or other he would be set over them: therefore he intended to render him fit to govern well[q]. 1665. 221

The town of Amsterdam became at that time very ungovernable. It was thought, that the West India company had been given up chiefly by their means; for it was in value so equal to the East India company, that the actions of both were often exchanged for one another. When the bishop of

[q] Old Mr. Inglish, who was surgeon to Chelsea college, told me he had it from very good hands in Holland, that De Wit corrupted the prince's nurse to give him a pinch in his secret parts, that should hinder his ever having any children: and I remember Mr. Charles Barnard, who was surgeon to queen Ann, told the last earl of Aylesford and me, that he was at the opening of king William; and observed something in relation to his private parts, that he had never seen before in any man that was not an eunuch. D.

1665. Munster began his pretensions on the city of Munster, and on a great part of Westphalia, they offered themselves up to the States, if they would preserve them. But the town of Amsterdam would not consent to it, nor submit to the charge. Yet they never seemed to set up for a superiority over the rest, nor to break the credit of the court at the Hague. Only they were backward in every thing that was proposed, that increased the charge. And they were become so weary of De Wit, that he felt how much the late miscarriage at sea had shaken his credit; since misfortunes are always imputed to the errors of those that govern. So he resolved to go on board. De Ruyter often said, that he was amazed to see how soon he came to a perfect understanding of all the sea affairs. The winds were so long backward, that it was not easy to get their great ships through the Zuyder sea. So he went out in boats himself, and plummed it all so carefully, that he found many more ways to get out by different winds, than was thought formerly practicable. He got out in time to be master of the sea before the end of the season: and so recovered the affront of the former losses, by keeping at sea after the English fleet was forced to put in. The earl of Sandwich was sent to the north with a great part of the fleet, to lie for the East India ships. But he was thought too remiss. They got, before he was aware of it, into Berghen
222 in Norway. If he had followed them quick, he would have forced the port, and taken them all. But he observed forms, and sent to the viceroy of Norway demanding entrance. That was denied him. But while these messages went backward and forward, the Dutch had so fortified the entrance into

the port, that, though it was attempted with great courage, yet Tiddiman, and those who composed that squadron, were beat off with great loss, and forced to let go a very rich fleet: for which lord Sandwich was much blamed, though he was sent ambassador into Spain, that his disgrace might be a little softened by that employment. The duke's conduct was also much blamed: and it was said, he was most in fault, but that the earl of Sandwich was made the sacrifice [s]. 1665.

An account of the affairs of Berghen.

Here I will add a particular relation of a transaction relating to that affair, taken from the account given of it by sir Gilbert Talbot, then the king's envoy at the court of Denmark, in a MS. that I have in my hands. That king did, in June 1665, open himself very freely to Talbot, complaining of the States, who, as he said, had drawn the Swedish war on him, on design that he might be forced to depend on them for supplies of money and shipping, and so to get the customs of Norway and the Sound into their hands for their security. Talbot upon that told him, that the Dutch Smyrna fleet was now in Berghen, besides many rich West India ships; and that they staid there in expectation of a double East India fleet, and of De Ruyter, who was returning with the spoils of the coast of Guinea. So he said, the king of Denmark might seize those ships before the convoy came, which they expected. The king of Denmark said, he had not strength to execute that. Talbot said, the king his master would send a force to effect it: but it was reason-

[s] "(The duke was at this time "at London, above one hun- "dred and fifty leagues from "Bergen in Norway." *Higgons's Remarks on this Hist.* p. 145.)

1665. able he should have half of the spoil. To which the king of Denmark readily agreed, and ordered him to propose it to his master. So he immediately transmitted it to the king, who approved of it, and promised to send a fleet to put it in execution. The ministers of Denmark were appointed to concert the matter with Talbot. But nothing was put in writing; for the king of Denmark was ashamed to treat of such an affair, otherwise than by word of mouth. Before the end of July, news came that De Ruyter, with the East India fleet, was on the coast of Norway. Soon after he came into Berghen. The riches then in that port were reckoned at many millions.

The earl of Sandwich was then in those seas. So Talbot sent a vessel express to him with the news. But that vessel fell into the hands of the Dutch fleet, and was sent to Holland. The king of Denmark writ to the viceroy of Norway, and to the go-
223 vernor of Berghen, ordering them to use all fair means to keep the Dutch still in their harbour, promising to send particular instructions in a few days to them how to proceed. Talbot sent letters with these, to be delivered secretly to the commanders of the English frigates, to let them know that they might boldly assault the Dutch in port; for the Danes would make no resistance, pretending a fear that the English might destroy their town: but that an account was to be kept of their prizes, that the king of Denmark might have a just half of all: they were not to be surprised, if the Danes seemed at first to talk high: that was to be done for shew: but they would grow calmer, when they came to engage. The earl of Sandwich sent his secretary

to Talbot, to know the particulars of the agreement with the king of Denmark. But the vessel that brought him was ordered, upon landing the secretary, to come back to the fleet. So that it was impossible to send by that vessel what was desired. And no other ships could be got to carry back the secretary. And thus the earl of Sandwich went to attack the Dutch fleet without staying for an answer from Talbot, or knowing what orders the governor of Berghen had yet received: for though the orders were sent, yet it was so great a way, ten or twelve days' journey, that they could not reach the place, but after the English fleet had made the attack. The viceroy of Norway, who resided at Christiana, had his orders sooner, and sent out two galleys to communicate the agreement to the earl of Sandwich; but missed him, for he was then before Berghen. The governor of Berghen, not having yet the orders that the former express promised him, sent a gentleman to the English fleet, desiring they would make no attack for two or three days; for by that time he expected his orders. Clifford was sent to the governor, who insisted that till he had orders he must defend the port, but that he expected them in a very little time. Upon Clifford's going back to the fleet, a council of war was called, in which the officers, animated with the hope of a rich booty, resolved without further delay to attack the port, either doubting the sincerity of the Danish court, or unwilling to give them so large a share of that, on which they reckoned as already their prize. Upon this Tiddiman began the attack, which ended fatally. Divers frigates were disabled, and many officers and seamen were killed. The squadron was

1665.

1665. thus ruined, and Tiddiman was ready to sink: so he was forced to slip his cables, and retire to the fleet, which lay without the rocks. This action was on the third of August: and on the fourth the governor received his orders. So he sent for Clifford, and shewed him his orders. But, as the Eng-
224 lish fleet had by their precipitation forced him to do what he had done, so he could not, upon what had happened the day before, execute those orders, till he sent an account of what had passed to the court of Denmark, and had the king's second orders upon it. And, if the whole English fleet would not stay in those seas so long, he desired they would leave six frigates before the harbour; and he would engage, the Dutch should not in the mean while go out to sea. But the English were sullen upon their disappointment, and sailed away. The king of Denmark was unspeakably troubled at the loss of the greatest treasure he was ever like to have in his hands. This was a design well laid, that would have been as fatal to the Dutch, as ignominious to the king of Denmark, and was, by the impatient ravenousness of the English, lost, without possibility of recovering it. And indeed there was not one good step made after this in the whole progress of the war.

The parliament at Oxford.

England was at this time in a dismal state. The plague continued for the most part of the summer in and about London, and began to spread over the country. The earl of Clarendon moved the king to go to Salisbury. But the plague broke out there. So the court went to Oxford, where another session of parliament was held. And though the conduct at sea was severely reflected on, yet all that was ne-

cessary for carrying on the war another year was given. The house of commons kept up the ill humour they were in against the nonconformists very high. A great many of the ministers of London were driven away by the plague; though some few staid. Many churches being shut up, when the inhabitants were in a more than ordinary disposition to profit by good sermons, some of the non-conformists upon that went into the empty pulpits, and preached; and, it was given out, with very good success: and in many other places they began to preach openly, not without reflecting on the sins of the court, and on the ill usage that they themselves had met with. This was represented very odiously at Oxford. So a severe bill was brought in, requiring all the silenced ministers to take an oath, declaring it was not lawful on any pretence whatsoever to take arms against the king, or any commissioned by him; and that they would not at any time endeavour an alteration in the government of the church or state. Such as refused this were not to come within five miles of any city, or parliament borough, or of the church where they had served. This was much opposed in both houses, but more faintly in the house of commons. The earl of Southampton spoke vehemently against it in the house of lords. He said, he could take no such oath himself: for how firm soever he had always been to the church, yet, as things were managed, he did not know but he himself might see cause to endeavour an alteration. Doctor Earl, bishop of Salisbury, died at that time. But, before his death, he declared himself much against this act. He was the man of all the clergy for whom the king had the greatest

1665.

225

1665. esteem. He had been his subtutor, and had followed him in all his exile with so clear a character, that the king could never see or hear of any one thing amiss in him. So he, who had a secret pleasure in finding out any thing that lessened a man esteemed eminent for piety, yet had a value for him beyond all the men of his order. Sheldon and Ward were the bishops that acted and argued most for this act, which came to be called the five mile act. All that were the secret favourers of popery promoted it: their constant maxim being, to bring all the sectaries into so desperate a state, that they should be at mercy, and forced to desire a toleration on such terms, as the king should think fit to grant it on. Clifford began to make a great figure in the house of commons. He was the son of a clergyman, born to a small fortune: but was a man of great vivacity. He was reconciled to the church of Rome before the restoration. The lord Clarendon had many spies among the priests: and the news of this was brought him among other things. So, when Clifford began first to appear in the house, he got one to recommend him to the lord Clarendon's favour. The lord Clarendon looked into the advice that was brought him: and by comparing things together, he perceived that he must be that man: and upon that he excused himself the best he could. So Clifford struck in with his enemies; and tied himself particularly to Bennet, made lord, and afterwards earl of Arlington. While the act was before the house of commons, Vaughan, afterwards made chief justice of the common pleas, moved that the word *legally* might be added to the word *commissioned by the king:* but Finch, then attorney-gene-

ral, said that was needless; since, unless the commission was legal, it was no commission, and, to make it legal, it must be issued out for a lawful occasion, and to persons capable of it, and must pass in the due form of law. The other insisted that the addition would clear all scruples, and procure an universal compliance. But that could not be obtained; for it was intended to lay difficulties in the way of those against whom the act was levelled. When the bill came up to the lords, the earl of Southampton moved for the same addition; but was answered by the earl of Anglesey, upon the same grounds on which Finch went. Yet this gave great satisfaction to many who heard of it, this being the 226
avowed sense of the legislators. The whole matter was so explained by Bridgman, when Bates with a great many more came into the court of common pleas to take the oath. The act passed: and the nonconformists were put to great straits. They had no mind to take the oath. And they scarce knew how to dispose of themselves according to the terms of the act. Some moderate men took pains to persuade them to take the oath. It was said by *endeavour* was only meant an unlawful endeavour; and that it was so declared in the debates in both houses. Some judges did on the bench expound it in that sense. Yet few of them took it. Many more refused it, who were put to hard shifts to live, being so far separated from the places from which they drew their chief subsistence. Yet as all this severity in a time of war, and of such a public calamity, drew very hard censures on the promoters of it, so it raised the compassions of their party so much, that I have been told they were supplied more plen- 1665.

1665. tifully at that time than ever. There was better reason, than perhaps those of Oxford knew, to suspect practices against the state.

The designs of the commonwealth party.

Algernoon Sidney, and some others of the commonwealth party, came to De Wit, and pressed him to think of an invasion of England and Scotland, and gave him great assurances of a strong party: and they were bringing many officers to Holland to join in the undertaking. They dealt also with some in Amsterdam, who were particularly sharpened against the king, and were for turning England again into a commonwealth. The matter was for some time in agitation at the Hague. But De Wit was against it, and got it to be laid aside[t]. He said, their going into such a desgin would provoke France to turn against them: it might engage them in a long war, the consequences of which could not be foreseen: and, as there was no reason to think, that, while the parliament was so firm to the king, any discontents could be carried so far as to a general rising, which these men undertook for; so, he said, what would the effect be of turning England into a commonwealth, if it could possibly be brought about, but the ruin of Holland? It would naturally draw many of the Dutch to leave their country, that could not be kept and maintained but at a vast charge, and to exchange that for the plenty and security

[t] (Compare Ralph's *History of England*, vol. I. p. 116. where the following passage from d'Estrade's *Negotiations* is quoted: "Monsieur De Wit is not "of opinion to give any appre- "hension of a descent in Eng- "land, Scotland, or Ireland. "He is satisfied it would ruin "all; that the divisions there "should only be fomented, "and the kingdom be insti- "gated to rise; after which "the party that takes arms "should be assisted power- "fully, but they should come "to action before we openly "succour them.")

that England afforded. Therefore all that he would engage in was, to weaken the trade of England, and to destroy their fleet; in which he succeeded the following year beyond all expectation. The busy men in Scotland, being encouraged from Rotterdam, went about the country, to try if any men of weight would set themselves at the head of their designs for an insurrection. The earl of Cassilis and Lockhart were the two persons they resolved to try. But they did it at so great a distance, that, from the proposition made to them, there was no danger of misprision of treason. Lord Cassilis had given his word to the king, that he would never engage in any plots: and he had got under the king's hand a promise, that he and his family should not be disturbed, let him serve God in what way he pleased. So he did not suffer them to come so far as to make him any propositions. Lockhart did the same. They seeing no other person that had credit enough in the country to bring the people about him, gave over all the projects for that year. But, upon the informations that the king had of their caballing at Rotterdam, he raised those troops of which mention was formerly made[u]. 1665. 227

[u] (Archbishop Burnett writes in these terms in a MS. letter to Sheldon, dated Feb. 5, 166$\frac{5}{6}$, "If the war with France and "Holland go on, it will be ne- "cessary to provide for the "peace and security of this "kingdom, for at present we "may possibly prevent domes- "tic disorders and insurrec- "tions, but the least commo- "tion in England or Ireland, "or encouragement from fo- "reigners, would certainly en- "gage us in a new rebellion. "This I often pressed and re- "peated at court, and I am "confident there is hardly one "unbiassed person in the nation "that will dissent from me in "it." After observing also that severe laws are made, but few or none punished for transgressing them, the archbishop proceeds to say, "About ten "days ago the earl of Galloway

1665. An accident happened this winter at Oxford, too inconsiderable and too tender to be mentioned, if it were not that great effects were believed to have followed on it. The duke had always one private amour after another, in the managing of which he seemed to stand more in awe of the duchess, than, considering the inequality of their rank, could have been imagined. Talbot was looked on as the chief manager of those intrigues. The duchess's deportment was unexceptionable, which made her authority the greater. At Oxford there was then a very graceful young man of quality that belonged to her court, whose services were so acceptable, that she was thought to look at him in a particular manner[x]. This was so represented to the duke, that he, being resolved to emancipate himself into more open prac-

The duke of York's jealousy.

" complained of a meeting in " that county, at which there " were about a thousand men, " besides women and young " fellows, and most of them " were armed with swords, pis- " tols and carrabines; and yet " no man must say that we are " providing or preparing for a " new rebellion. And these " meetings grow so frequent, " that hardly a week passeth " in which they have not one " or two. Since I wrote this, I " am credibly informed, that the " northern counties are in arms " fearing a foreign invasion. " And yet I am confident there " is not a person here knows " how, or where, to begin to " make opposition to any that " shall invade or assault this " kingdom.")

[x] Mr. Henry Sidney, afterwards earl of Romney. O.

Harry Sidney, since earl of Rumney. D. and S. Bishop Burnet took the liberty to tell this story once before her daughter queen Mary, in a good deal of company, as the earl of Jerssey, who was present, told me; only with this difference, that he did not conceal the gentleman's name. D. Mr. Sidney, brother of Algernon. See Mr. Walpole's edition of *Memoires de Grammont*, p. 245. and his note. Burnet seems to have taken this story, and that of lady Southesk, from the same Memoires, as also that of lord Chesterfield. *Cole's MS. Note.* (In Pepys's *Diary*, vol. I. pp. 380. 386. 470. the suspicions concerning the duchess and Sidney are noticed.)

tices, took up a jealousy; and put the person out of his court with so much precipitation, that the thing became very public by this means. The duchess lost the power she had over him so entirely, that no method she could think on was like to recover it, except one. She began to discover what his religion was, though he still came not only to church, but to sacrament. And upon that, she, to regain what she had lost, entered into private discourses with his priests; but in so secret a manner, that there was not for some years after this the least suspicion given. She began by degrees to slacken in her constant coming to prayers and to sacrament, in which she had been before that regular, almost to superstition. She put that on her ill health: for she fell into an ill habit of body, which some imputed to the effect of some of the duke's distempers communicated to her. A story was set about, and generally believed, that the earl of Southesk, that had married a daughter of duke Hamilton's, suspecting some familiarities between the duke and his wife, had taken a sure method to procure a disease to himself, which he communicated to his wife, and was by that means set round till it came to the duchess, who was so tainted with it, that it was the occasion of the death of all her children, except the two daughters, our two queens; and was believed the cause of an illness under which she languished long, and died so corrupted, that in dressing her body after her death, one of her breasts burst, being a mass of corruption. Lord Southesk was for some years not ill pleased to have this believed. It looked like a peculiar strain of revenge, with which he seemed much delighted. But I know he has to some of his friends denied the

1665.

His amours. 228

1665. whole of the story very solemnly. Another[y] acted a better part. He did not like a commerce that he observed between the duke and his wife. He went and expostulated with him upon it. The duke fell a commending his wife much. He told him, he came not to seek his wife's character from him: the most effectual way of commending her, was to have nothing to do with her. He added, that if princes would do those wrongs to subjects, who could not demand such reparations of honour as they could from their equals, it would put them on secreter methods of revenge: for some injuries were such, that men of honour could not bear them. And, upon a new observation he made of the duke's designs upon his wife, he quitted a very good post, and went with her into the country, where he kept her till she died[z]. Upon the whole matter the duke was often ill. The children were born with ulcers, or they broke out upon them soon after: and all his sons died young, and unhealthy[a]. This has, as far as any thing that could not be brought in the way of proof, prevailed to create a suspicion, that so healthy a child as the pretended prince of Wales could neither be his, nor be born of any wife with whom he had

[y] Philip Stanhope earl of Chesterfield. *Cole.*

[z] Countess of Chesterfield, daughter to the duke of Ormond. D.

[a] (But count Hamilton, in his *Memoirs of Grammont* above referred to, tells the story, as if lord Southesk was disappointed of his revenge, by his lady's having no longer any correspondence with the duke. And as to the well known Ferguson's account, and this author's suggestion, that James's constitution was ruined by disease, the fact is contradicted by the report of Cockburn, who had been in attendance on his person, and of Boileau the king's surgeon, contained in a Tract by the former; it is cited above, and entitled *Specimen of Remarks*, &c. p. 13—17.)

lived long[b]. The violent pain that his eldest daughter had in her eyes, and the gout which has early seized our present queen, are thought the dregs of a tainted original. Willis, the great physician, being called to consult for one of his sons, gave his opinion in those words, *mala stamina vitæ;* which gave such offence, that he was never called for afterwards. 1665.

I know nothing of the counsels of the year 1666, nor whose advices prevailed. It was resolved on, that the duke should not go to sea; but that Monk should command the great fleet of between fifty and sixty ships of the line, and that prince Rupert should be sent with a squadron of about twenty-five ships to meet the French fleet, and to hinder their conjunction with the Dutch: for the French had pro- 229
mised a fleet to join the Dutch, but never sent it. Monk went out so certain of victory, that he seemed only concerned for fear the Dutch should not come out. The court flattered themselves with the hopes of a very happy year: but it proved a fatal one. The Dutch fleet came out, De Wit and some of the States being on board. They engaged the English fleet for two days, in which they had a manifest superiority. But it cost them dear; for the English fought well. But the Dutch were superior in number, and were so well furnished with chained shot, (a peculiar contrivance of which De Wit had the honour to be thought the inventor,) that the English fleet was quite unrigged. And they were in no 1666.

The fleet was almost quite lost, and happily saved by prince Rupert.

[b] (The duke, afterwards king James II., had a daughter, the princess Louisa, born in France after his dethronement, who lived to twenty years of age. The bishop and his annotator lord Dartmouth mention some particulars respecting her, vol. II. p. 602.

1666. condition to work themselves off. So they must have all been taken, sunk, or burnt, if prince Rupert, being yet in the channel, and hearing that they were engaged by the continued roaring of guns, had not made all possible haste to get to them. He came in good time. And the Dutch, who had suffered much, seeing so great a force come up, steered off. He was in no condition to pursue them; but brought off our fleet, which saved us a great loss, that seemed otherwise unavoidable. The court gave out that it was a victory: and public thanksgivings were ordered, which was a horrid mocking of God, and a lying to the world [c]. We had in one respect reason to thank God, that we had not lost our whole fleet. But to complete the miseries of this year: the plague was so sunk in London, that the inhabitants began to return to it, and brought with them a great deal of manufacture, which was lying on the hands of the clothiers and others, now in the second year of the war, in which trade and all other consumptions were very low. It was reckoned, that a peace must come next winter. The merchants were upon that preparing to go to market as soon as possible. The summer had been the driest that was known of some years. And London being for the most part built of timber filled up with plaister, all was extreme dry. On the second of September a fire broke out, that raged for three days, as if it had a commission to devour every thing that was in its way. On the fourth day it stopt in the midst of very combustible matter.

The fire of London.

[c] "Although the English by "their obstinate courage reaped "the chief honour in the engagement, it is somewhat uncertain who obtained the victory." *Hume's Hist. of Great Britain*, Charles II. p. 170.

I will not enlarge on the extent nor the destruction made by the fire: many books are full of it. That which is still a great secret is, whether it was casual, or raised on design. The English fleet had landed on the Vly, an island lying near the Texel, and had burnt it: upon which some came to De Wit, and offered a revenge, that, if they were assisted, they would set London on fire: [if they might be well furnished, and well rewarded for it.] He rejected the proposition: for he said, he would not make the breach wider, nor the quarrel irreconcilable. He said, it was brought him by one of the Labadists [d], as sent to them by some others. He made no farther reflections on the matter till the city was burnt. Then he began to suspect there had been a design, and that they had intended to draw him into it, and to lay the odium of it upon the Dutch. But he could hear no news of those who had sent that proposition to him. In the April before, some commonwealth's men were found in a plot, and hanged; who at their execution confessed they had been spoken to, to assist in a design of burning London on the second of September. This was printed in the gazette of that week, which I my self read [e]. Now the fire breaking out on the second, made all people conclude, that there was a design some time before on foot for doing it [f]. 1666. 230

[d] (Followers of Labadie the mystic.)

[e] (Pepys, in his *Diary*, says, that this account in the gazette was pointed out to him; but it was the third, not the second, the day on which the fire really began, which was mentioned in the gazette. The truth of this appears as well by an extract from it subjoined by the noble editor, as by Mr. Pepys's own report, vol. I. p. 491.)

[f] Yet after all this, it must be laid on the papists. *Cole's MS. Note.*

1666. The papists were generally charged with it. One Hubert, a French papist[g], was seized on in Essex, as he was getting out of the way in great confusion. He confessed he had begun the fire, and persisted in his confession to his death; for he was hanged upon no other evidence but that of his own confession. It is true, he gave so broken an account of the whole matter, that he was thought mad. Yet he was blindfolded, and carried to several places of the city: and then, his eyes being opened, he was asked, if that was the place: and he being carried to wrong places, after he looked round about for some time, he said that was not the place: but when he was brought to the place where it first broke out, he affirmed that was the true place. And Tillotson told me, that Howell, then the recorder of London, was with him, and had much discourse with him; and that he concluded, it was impossible that it could be a melancholy dream: the horror of the fact, and the terror of death, and perhaps some engagements in confession, might put him in such disorder, that it was not possible to draw a clear account of any

It was charged on the papists.

[g] ("Hubert, who was known "to all his countrymen here, "as well as the whole town of "Rouen in Normandy, to have "been born and bred a pro- "testant, lived a protestant, "and owned himself to be a "protestant, on his examina- "tion as well as at his execu- "tion, if a man who was down- "right distracted may be said "to be of one religion more "than of another. Yet the "committee of the house of "commons reported him to be "a papist, although they al- "lowed he professed himself "to be a protestant. But what "is more considerable, by the "oath of Lawrence Peterson, "the master of the vessel, who "brought Hubert to England "at this time, he was still on "board, and did not set his "foot on English ground till "two days after the fire began." *Higgons's Postscript to his Remarks on this History*, p. 342. Compare Pepys's *Diary*, vol. I. p. 21.)

thing from him, but of what related to himself. 1666.
Tillotson, who believed that the city was burnt on design, told me a circumstance that made the papists employing such a crazed man in such a service more credible. Langhorn, the popish counsellor at law, who for many years passed for a protestant, was despatching a half-witted man to manage elections in Kent before the restoration. Tillotson, being present, and observing what a sort of man he was, asked Langhorn how he could employ him in such services. Langhorn answered, it was a maxim with him in dangerous services to employ none but half-witted men, if they could be but secret and obey orders: for if they should change their minds, and turn informers instead of agents, it would be easy to discredit them, and to carry off the weight of any discoveries they could make, by shewing they 231
were madmen, and so not like to be trusted in critical things.

A strong presumption of it.

The most extraordinary passage, though it is but a presumption, was told me by doctor Lloyd and the countess of Clarendon. The latter had a great estate in the new river that is brought from Ware to London, which is brought together at Islington, where there is a great room full of pipes that convey it through all the streets of London. The constant order of that matter was, to set all the pipes a running on Saturday night, that so the cisterns, might be all full by Sunday morning, there being a more than ordinary consumption of water on that day. There was one Grant, a papist, under whose name sir William Petty published his observations on the bills of mortality: he had some time before applied himself to Lloyd, who had great credit with

1666. the countess of Clarendon [h], and said, he could raise that estate considerably, if she would make him a trustee for her. His schemes were probable: and he was made one of the board that governed that matter: and by that he had a right to come, as oft as he pleased, to view their works at Islington. He went thither the Saturday before the fire broke out, and called for the key of the place where the heads of the pipes were, and turned all the cocks that were then open, and stopped the water, and went away, and carried the keys with him. So when the fire broke out next morning, they opened the pipes in the streets to find water, but there was none. And some hours were lost in sending to Islington, where the door was to be broke open, and the cocks turned. And it was long before the water got to London. Grant indeed denied that he had turned the cocks. But the officer of the works affirmed, that he had, according to order, set them all a running, and that no person had got the keys from him, besides Grant; who confessed he had carried away the keys, but pretended he did it without design [i]. There were

[h] The countess of Clarendon was a very weak woman, but a great pretender to learning and devotion; which occasioned her conversing much with the clergy: and the Revelations had turned Lloyd's head, who was naturally a jealous, passionate man. D.

[i] (The following record is produced by Bevill Higgons, p. 149 of his Remarks, in contradiction to this account. "Islington, March 3, 172$\frac{3}{7}$. Captain John Grant admitted a member of the New River Company, on Tuesday September 25, 1666. (23 days after the fire.) No particular member of the company has power to order the main to be shut down; nor can it ever be done without a particular direction of the board, of which minutes are always taken; and there are no minutes of this, as will appear by the company's books." Cole, in a MS. note, refers to Maitland's *History of London*, vol. I. pp. 435, 436, where the above extract from the company's books is also inserted.)

many other stories set about, as that the papists in 1666.
several places had asked if there was no news of the burning of London, and that it was talked of in many parts beyond sea, long before the news could get thither from London. In this matter I was much determined by what sir Thomas Littleton, the father, told me. He was a man of a strong head and sound judgment. He had just as much knowledge in trade, history, the disposition of Europe, and the constitution of England, as served to feed and direct his own thoughts, and no more. He lived all the summer long in London, where I was his next neighbour, and had for seven years a constant and daily conversation with him. He was treasurer of the navy in conjunction with Osborn, who was afterwards lord treasurer, who supplanted him in 232
that post, and got it all into his own hands. He had a very bad opinion of the king; and thought that he had worse intentions than his brother, but that he had a more dexterous way of covering and managing them; only his laziness made him less earnest in prosecuting them. He had generally the character of the ablest parliament man in his time. His chief estate lay in the city, not far from the place where the fire broke out, though it did not turn that way. He was one of the committee of the house of commons, that examined all the presumptions of the city's being burnt on design: and he often assured me, that there was no clear presumption made out about it, and that many stories, which were published with good assurance, came to nothing upon a strict examination. He was at that time, that the inquiry was made, in employment at court. So whether that biassed him, or not, I can-

1666. not tell. There was so great a diversity of opinions in the matter, that I must leave it under the same uncertainty in which I found it. If the French and Dutch had been at that time designing an impression elsewhere, it might have been more reasonable to suppose it was done on design to distract our affairs. But it fell out at a dead time, when no advantage could be made of it. And it did not seem probable, that the papists had engaged in the design, merely to impoverish and ruin the nation; for they had nothing ready then to graft upon the confusion that this put all the people in. Above twelve thousand houses were burnt down, with the greatest part of the furniture and merchandise that was in them. All means used to stop it proved ineffectual; though the blowing up of houses was the most effectual of any. But the wind was so high, that fleaks of fire and burning matter were carried in the air cross several streets. So that the fire spread not only in the next neighbourhood, but at a great distance. The king and the duke were almost all the day long on horseback with the guards, seeing to all that could be done, either for quenching the fire, or for carrying off persons and goods to the fields all about London. The most astonishing circumstance of that dreadful conflagration was, that, notwithstanding the great destruction that was made, and the great confusion in the streets, I could never hear of any one person that was either burnt or trodden to death. The king was never observed to be so much struck with any thing in his whole life, as with this. But the citizens were not so well satisfied with the duke's behaviour. They thought he looked too gay, and too little concerned. A jealousy of his being con-

cerned in it was spread about with great industry, but with very little appearance of truth. Yet it grew to be generally believed, chiefly after he owned he was a papist. 1666. 233

In Scotland the fermentation went very high. Turner was sent again into the west in October this year: and he began to treat the country at the old rate. The people were alarmed, and saw they were to be undone. They met together, and talked with some fiery ministers. Semple, Maxwell, Welsh, and Guthry were the chief incendiaries[i]. Two gentlemen that had served in the wars, one a lieutenant-colonel, Wallace, and the other that had been a major, Learmoth, were the best officers they had to rely on. The chief gentlemen of those counties were all clapt up in prison, as was formerly told. So that preserved them: otherwise they must either have engaged with the people, or have lost their interest among them. The people were told, that the fire of London had put things in that confusion at court, that any vigorous attempt would disorder all the king's affairs. If the new levied troops had not stood in their way, they would have been able to have carried all things against them: for the two troops of guards, with the regiment of foot guards, would not have been able to have kept their ground before them. The people, as some of them told me afterwards, were made to believe that the whole nation was in the same disposition. So on the thirteenth of November they ran together: and two

Disorders in Scotland.

[i] (Cruikshank, in his *History of the Church of Scotland*, vol. I. c. 2. p. 219. denies that this rising of the people was the effect of any previous consultation with their ministry, which bishop Burnet here intimates, as Cruikshank expresses himself, without any ground or proof.)

1666. hundred of them went to Dunfreis, where Turner then lay with a few soldiers about him; the greatest part of his men being then out in parties for the levying of fines[k]. So they surprised him before he could get to his arms: otherwise, he told me, he would have been killed rather than taken, since he expected no mercy from them. With himself they seized his papers and instructions, by which it appeared he had been gentler than his orders were. So they resolved to keep him, and exchange him as occasion should be offered. But they did not tell him what they intended to do with him; so he thought, they were keeping him, till they might hang him up with the more solemnity. There was a considerable cash in his hands, partly for the pay of his men, partly of the fines which he had raised in the country, that was seized: but he, to whom they trusted the keeping of it, ran away with it. They spread a report, which they have since printed, and it passed for some time current, that this rising was the effect of a sudden heat, that the country was put in by seeing one of their neighbours tied on a horse hand and foot, and carried away, only because he could not pay a high fine that was set upon him; and that upon this provocation the neighbours, who did not know how soon such usage would fall to
234 their own turn, ran together, and rescued him; and that, fearing some severe usage for that, they kept together, and that, others coming into them, they went on and seized Turner. But this was a story made only to beget compassion: for, after the insurrection was quashed, the privy council sent some

[k] (See above, p. 211, folio edit.)

round the country, to examine the violences that had been committed, particularly in the parish where it was given out that this was done. I read the report they made to the council, and all the depositions that the people of the country made before them: but this was not mentioned in any one of them. 1666.

The news of this rising was brought to Edenburgh, fame increasing their numbers to some thousands. And this happening to be near Carlisle, the governor of that place sent an express to court, in which the strength of the party was magnified much beyond the truth. The earl of Rothes was then at court, who had assured the king, that all things were so well managed in Scotland, that they were in perfect quiet. There were, he said, some stubborn fanatics still left, that would be soon subdued: but there was no danger from any thing that they or their party could do. He gave no credit to the express from Carlisle: but, two days after, the news was confirmed by an express from Scotland. Sharp was then at the head of the government: so he managed this little war, and gave all the orders and directions in it. Dalziel was commanded to draw all the force they had together, which lay then dispersed in quarters. When that was done, he marched westward. A great many ran to the rebels, who came to be called whigs. At Lanarick, in Cliddisdale, they had a solemn fast day, in which, after much praying, they renewed the covenant, and set out their manifesto: in which they denied that they rose against the king; they complained of the oppression under which they had groaned; they desired that episcopacy might be put down, and that presbytery, and the covenant, might be set up, and

A rebellion in the west.

1666. their ministers restored again to them; and then they promised, that they would be in all other things the king's most obedient subjects. The earl of Argile raised fifteen hundred men, and wrote to the council that he was ready to march upon order. Sharp thought, that if he came into the country, either he or his men would certainly join with the rebels: so he sent him no order at all. But he was at the charge of keeping his men together to no purpose. Sharp was all the while in a dreadful consternation, and wrote dismal letters to court, praying that the forces which lay in the north of England might be ordered down; for, he wrote, they were surrounded with the rebels, and did not
235 know what was become of the king's forces. He also moved, that the council would go and shut themselves up in the castle of Edenburgh. But that was opposed by the rest of the board, as an abandoning of the town, and the betraying an unbecoming fear, which might very much encourage the rebels, and such as intended to go over to them. Orders were given out for raising the country: but there was no militia yet formed. In the mean while Dalziel followed the rebels as close as he could. He published a proclamation of pardon, as he was ordered, to all that should in twenty-four hours' time return to their houses, and declared all that continued any longer in arms rebels. He found the country was so well affected towards them, that he could get no sort of intelligence, but what his own parties brought into him[1]. The whigs marched to-

[1] (This circumstance is confirmed by what is said by the archbishop of Glasgow, in a letter to Sheldon, dated Dec. 27, 1666, that "so great and general is the affection of the

wards Edenburgh, and came within two miles of the town. But finding neither town nor country declare for them, and that all the hopes their leaders had given them proved false, they lost heart. From being once above two thousand, they were now come to be not above eight or nine hundred. So they resolved to return back to the west, where they knew the people were of their side; and where they could more easily disperse themselves, and get either into England or Ireland. The ministers were very busy in all those counties, plying people of rank not to forsake their brethren in this extremity. And they had got a company of about three or fourscore gentlemen together, who were marching towards them, when they heard of their defeat: and upon that they dispersed themselves. The rebels thought to have marched back by the way of Pentland hill. They were not much concerned for the few horses they had. And they knew that Dalziel, whose horse was fatigued with a fortnight's constant march, could not follow them. And if they had gained but one night more in their march, they had got out of his reach. But on the twenty-eighth of November, about an hour before sun-set, he came up to them. They were posted on the top of a hill: so he engaged with a great disadvantage. They, finding they could not get off, stopt their march. Their ministers did all they could by preaching and praying to infuse courage into them: and they sung the seventy-fourth and the seventy-eighth Psalms. And so they turned

1666.

The defeat given the rebels at Pentland hill.

" people, especially in the west,
" to that party, that my lord
" commissioner complains of
" these that are known to be
" returned home to their houses,
" few or none can be secured
" or apprehended.)

1666. on the king's forces. They received the first charge that was given by the troop of guards very resolutely, and put them in disorder. But that was all the action; for immediately they lost all order, and ran for their lives. It was now dark: about forty were killed on the spot, and a hundred and thirty were taken. The rest were favoured by the darkness of
236 the night, and the weariness of the king's troops, that were not in case to pursue them, and had no great heart to it: for they were a poor harmless company of men[m], become mad by oppression: and they had taken nothing during all the time they had been together, but what had been freely given them by the country people. The rebellion was broken with the loss of only five on the king's side. The general came next day into Edenburgh with his prisoners.

Severe proceedings against the prisoners.

The two archbishops were now delivered out of all their fears: and the common observation, that cruelty and cowardice go together, was too visibly verified on this occasion. Lord Rothes came down full of rage: and that being inflamed by the two archbishops, he resolved to proceed with the utmost severity against the prisoners. Burnet advised the hanging of all those who would not renounce the covenant, and promise to conform to the laws for the future: but that was thought too severe. Yet he was sent up to London, to procure of the king an instruction, that they should tender the declaration renouncing the covenant to all who were thought disaffected; and proceed against those who refused that, as against seditious persons. The best of the episcopal clergy set upon the bishops, to lay

[m] A fair historian!

hold on this opportunity for regaining the affections of the country, by becoming intercessors for the prisoners and for the country, that was like to be quartered on and eat up for the favour they had expressed to them. Many of the bishops went into this, and particularly Wishart of Edenburgh, though a rough man, and sharpened by ill usage[n]. Yet upon this occasion he expressed a very Christian temper, such as became one who had felt what the rigours of a prison had been; for he sent every day very liberal supplies to the prisoners: which was indeed done by the whole town in so bountiful a manner, that many of them, who being shut up had neither air nor exercise, were in greater danger by their plenty, than they had been by all their unhappy campaign. But Sharp could not be mollified. On the contrary, he encouraged the ministers in the disaffected counties to bring in all the informations they could gather, both against the prisoners, and against all those who had been among them, that they might be sought for, and proceeded against. Most of those got over to Ireland. But the ministers in those parts acted so ill a part, so unbecoming their characters, that the aversion of the country to them was increased to all possible degrees: they looked on them now as wolves, and not as shepherds. It was a moving sight, to see ten of the prisoners hanged upon one gibbet at Edenburgh: thirty-five more were sent to their countries, and hanged up before 237
their own doors; their ministers all the while using them hardly, and declaring them damned for their rebellion. They might all have saved their lives, if

1666.

[n] (See above, p. 143. folio edit.)

1666. they would have renounced the covenant: so they were really a sort of martyrs[o] for it. They did all at their death give their testimony, according to their phrase, to the covenant, and to all that had been done pursuant to it: and they expressed great joy in their sufferings. Most of them were but mean and inconsiderable men in all respects: yet even these were firm and inflexible in their persuasions. Many of them escaped, notwithstanding the great search was made for them. Guthry, the chief of their preachers, was hid in my mother's house, who was bred to her brother Waristoun's principles, and could never be moved from them: he died next spring. One Maccail, that was only a probationer preacher, and who had been chaplain in sir James Steward's house, had gone from Edenburgh to them. It was believed, he was sent by the party in town, and that he knew their correspondents. So he was put to the torture, which in Scotland they call the boots; for they put a pair of iron boots close on the leg, and drive wedges between these and the leg. The common torture was only to drive these in the calf of the leg: but I have been told they were sometimes driven upon the shin bone. He bore the torture with great constancy: and either he could say nothing, or he had the firmness not to discover those who had trusted him. Every man of them could have saved his own life, if he would accuse any other: but they were all true to their friends. Maccail, for all the pains of the torture, died in a rapture of joy: his last words were, Farewell sun, moon, and stars, farewell kindred and friends, fare-

[o] Decent term. S.

well world and time, farewell weak and frail body; welcome eternity, welcome angels and saints, welcome Saviour of the world, and welcome God the Judge of all: which he spoke with a voice and manner that struck all that heard it. 1666.

His death was the more cried out on, because it came to be known afterwards, that Burnet, who had come down before his execution, had brought with him a letter from the king, in which he approved of all that they had done; but added, that he thought there was blood enough shed, and therefore he ordered that such of the prisoners as should promise to obey the laws for the future should be set at liberty, and that the incorrigible should be sent to plantations. Burnet let the execution go on, before he produced his letter, pretending there was no council-day between. But he, who knew the contents of it, ought to have moved the lord Rothes to call an extraordinary council to prevent the execution. So that blood was laid on him. He was, contrary to his natural temper, very violent at that time, much inflamed by his family, and by all about him. Thus this rebellion, that might have been so turned in the conclusion of it, that the clergy might have gained reputation and honour by a wise and merciful conduct, did now exasperate the country more than ever against the church. The forces were ordered to lie in the west, where Dalziel acted the Muscovite too grossly. He threatened to spit men, and to roast them: and he killed some in cold blood, or rather in hot blood; for he was then drunk, when he ordered one to be hanged, because he would not tell where his father was, for whom 1667. The king is more gentle than the bishops. 238

1667. he was in search. When he heard of any that did not go to church, he did not trouble himself to set a fine upon him: but he set as many soldiers upon him, as should eat him up in a night. By this means all people were struck with such a terror, that they came regularly to church. And the clergy were so delighted with it, that they used to speak of that time, as the poets do of the golden age[p]. They never interceded for any compassion to their people; nor did they take care to live more regularly, or to labour more carefully. They looked on the soldiery as their patrons: they were ever in their company, complying with them in their excesses: and, if they were not much wronged, they rather led them into them, than checked them for them. Dalziel himself and his officers were so disgusted with them, that they increased the complaints, that had now more credit from them, than from those of the country, who were looked on as their enemies. Things of so strange a pitch in vice were told of them, that they seemed scarce credible. The person, whom I believed the best as to all such things, was one sir John Cunningham, an eminent lawyer, who had an estate in the country, and was the most extraordinary man of his profession in that kingdom. He was episcopal beyond most men in Scotland, who for the far greatest part thought that forms of government were in their own nature indifferent, and might be either good or bad, according to the hands in which they fell; whereas he thought episcopacy was of a divine right, settled by Christ. He was not only very learned in the civil and canon

[p] Or as Gilbert Burnet does of the reign of Oliver, p. 61. folio edit. *Coles's MS. Note.*

law, and in the philosophical learning, but was very universal in all other learning: he was a great divine, and well read in the fathers and in ecclesiastical history. He was, above all, a man of eminent probity, and of a sweet temper, and indeed one of the piousest[q] men of the nation. The state of the church in those parts went to his heart: for it was not easy to know how to keep an even hand between the perverseness of the people on the one side, and the vices of the clergy on the other. They looked on all those that were sensible of their miscarriages, as enemies of the church. It was after all hard to believe all that was set about against them. 1667. 239

The king's affairs in England forced him to soften his government every where. So at this time the earls of Tweedale and Kincardin went to court, and laid before the king the ill state the country was in. Sir Robert Murray talked often with him about it. Lord Lauderdale was more cautious, by reason of the jealousy of his being a presbyterian. Upon all which the king resolved to put Scotland into other hands. A convention of estates had been called the year before, to raise money for maintaining the troops. This was a very ancient practice in the Scotish constitution: a convention was summoned to meet within twenty days: they could only levy money, and petition for the redress of grievances; but could make no new laws; and meddled only with that for which they were brought together. In the former convention Sharp had presided, being named by the earl of Rothes as

A change of counsel, and more moderation in the government.

[q] Is that Scotch? S.

1667. the king's commissioner. In the winter 1666, or rather in the spring 1667, there was another convention called, in which the king, by a special letter, appointed duke Hamilton to preside [r]. And the king, in a letter to lord Rothes, ordered him to write to Sharp to stay within his diocese, and to come no more to Edenburgh. He upon this was struck with so deep a melancholy, that he shewed as great an abjectness under this slight disgrace, as he had shewed insolence before, when he had more favour. The convention continued the assessment for another year at six thousand pounds a month. Sharp, finding he was now under a cloud, studied to make himself popular by looking after the education of the marquis of Huntly, now the duke of Gordon. He had an order long before from the king to look to his education, that he might be bred a protestant; for the strength of popery within that kingdom lay in his family. But, though this was ordered during the earl of Midletoun's ministry, Sharp had not all this while looked after it. The earl of Rothes's mistress was a papist, and nearly related to the marquis of Huntly. So Sharp, either to make his court the better, or at the lord Rothes's desire, had neglected it these four years: but now he called for him. He was then above fifteen, well hardened in his prejudices by the loss of so much time. What pains was taken on him, I know not. But, after a trial of some months, Sharp said, he

[r] (Archbishop Burnett, in a letter to Sheldon dated October 24, 1667, praises the duke of Hamilton, who, he says, lived in the most disaffected country in all the west, for "appearing constantly active "in opposing and repressing "the late rebellion.")

saw he was not to be wrought on, and sent him back to his mother. So the interest that popery had in Scotland was believed to be chiefly owing to Sharp's compliance with the earl of Rothes's amours. The neglect of his duty in so important a matter was much blamed: but the doing it upon such a motive was reckoned yet more infamous. After the convention was over, lord Rothes sent up Drumond to represent to the king the ill affections of the western parts. And, to touch the king in a sensible point, he said, the covenant stuck so deep in their hearts, that no good could be done till that was rooted out. So he proposed, as an expedient, that the king would give the council a power to require all whom they suspected to renounce the covenant, and to proceed against such as refused it as traitors. Drumond had yet too much of the air of Russia about him, though not with Dalziel's fierceness: he had a great measure of knowledge and learning, and some true impressions of religion: but he [was ambitious and covetous, and] thought, that upon such powers granted, there would be great dealing in bribes and confiscations. A slight accident happened, which raised a jest that spoiled his errand. The king flung the cover of the letter from Scotland into the fire, which was carried up all in a flame, and set the chimney on fire: upon which it was said, that the Scotish letter had fired Whitehall: and it was answered, the cover had almost set Whitehall on fire, but the contents of it would certainly set Scotland all in a flame. It was said, that the law for renouncing the covenant inferring only a forfeiture of employments to those who refused it, the stretching it so far as was now proposed would 1667. 240

1667. be liable to great exception. Yet in compliance with a public message, the instruction was sent down, as it was desired: but by a private letter lord Rothes was ordered to make no use of it, except upon a special command; since the king had only given way to what was desired, to strike terror in the ill affected. The secret of it broke out: so it had no effect, but to make the lord Rothes and his party more odious. Burnet, upon Sharp's disgrace, grew to be more considered. So he was sent up with a proposition of a very extraordinary nature, that the western counties should be cantoned under a special government, and peculiar taxes, together with the quartering of soldiers upon them. It was said, that those counties put the nation to the charge of keeping up such a force: and therefore it seemed reasonable that the charge should lie wholly on them. He also proposed, that a special council should be appointed to sit at Glasgow: and, among other reasons to enforce that motion, he said to the king, and afterwards to lord Lauderdale, that some at the council board were ill affected to the church, and favoured her enemies, and that traitors had been pleaded for at that board. Lord Lauderdale writ down presently to know what ground there was for this; since, if it was not true, he
241 had Burnet at mercy for leasing-making, which was more criminal when the whole council was concerned in the lie that was made. The only ground for this was, that one of the rebels, excepted in the indemnity that was proclaimed some time before, being taken, and it being evident that his brain was turned, it was debated in council, whether he should be proceeded against, or not: some argued

against that, and said, it would be a reproach to the government to hang a madman. This could in no sort justify such a charge: so lord Lauderdale resolved to make use of it in due time. The proposition itself was rejected, as that which the king could not do by law. Burnet upon this went to the lord Clarendon, and laid before him the sad estate of their affairs in Scotland. He spoke to the king of it: and he took care to set the English bishops on the king, with whom Burnet had more credit, as more entirely theirs, than ever Sharp had. The earl of Clarendon's credit was then declining: and it was a clear sign of it, when the king told lord Lauderdale all that he had said to him on Scotish affairs; which provoked him extremely. Burnet was sent down with good words: but the king was resolved to put the affairs of Scotland under another management. Lord Kincardin came down in April, and told me, that lord Rothes was to be stript of all his places, and to be only lord chancellor. The earl of Tweedale and sir Robert Murray were to have the secret in their hands. He told me the peace was as good as made: and when that was done, the army would be disbanded; and things would be managed with more temper, both in church and state. This was then so great a secret, that neither the lord Rothes nor the two archbishops had the least hint of it. Some time after this, lord Rothes went to the north, [to visit his mistress, who was obliged to live in the north;] upon which an accident happened that hastened his fall. 1667.

The Scots had during the war set out many privateers; and these had brought in many rich prizes. The Dutch, being provoked with this, sent Van

1667. Gheudt with a good fleet into the Frith, to burn the coast, and to recover such ships as were in that part. He came into the Frith on the first of May. If he had at first hung out English colours, and attacked Leith harbour immediately, which was then full of ships, he might have done what mischief he pleased: for all were secure, and were looking for sir Jeremy Smith with some frigates for the defence of the coast, since the king had set out no fleet this year. There had been such a dissipation of treasure, that, for all the money that was given, there was not enough left to set out a fleet. But the court covered this by saying, the peace was as good as concluded at Breda, where the lord Hollis and
242 sir William Coventry[s] were treating about it as plenipotentiaries: and, though no cessation was agreed on, yet they reckoned on it as sure. Upon this, a saying of the earl of Northumberland's was much repeated: when it was said, that the king's mistress was like to ruin the nation, he said, it was she that saved the nation. While we had a house of commons that gave all the money that was asked, it was better to have the money squandered away in luxury and prodigality, than to have it saved for worse purposes. Van Gheudt did nothing in the Frith for some hours: he shot against Bruntisland without doing any mischief. The country people ran down to the coast, and made a great show. But this was only a feint, to divert the king from that which was chiefly intended: for he sailed out and joined De Ruyter: and so the shameful attack was made upon the river of Medway: the chain at the mouth of it, which was then all its security, was

The Dutch fleet came into the Frith.

[s] Mr. H. Coventry. O.

broke: and the Dutch fleet sailed up to Chatham: of which I will say no more in this place, but go on with the affairs of Scotland. 1667. And went to Chatham, and burnt our fleet.

Lord Rothes's being out of the way when the country was in such danger was severely aggravated by the lord Lauderdale, and did bring on the change somewhat the sooner. In June sir Robert Murray came down with a letter from the king, superseding lord Rothes's commission, putting the treasury in commission, and making lord Rothes lord chancellor. He excused himself from being raised to that post all he could; and desired to continue lord treasurer: but he struggled in vain, and was forced to submit at last. Now all was turned to a more sober and more moderate management. Even Sharp grew meek and humble: and said to my self, it was a great happiness to have to deal with sober and serious men; for lord Rothes and his crew were perpetually drunk. When the peace of Breda was concluded, the king wrote to the Scotish council, and communicated that to them; and with that signified, that it was his pleasure that the army should be disbanded[t]. The earl of Rothes, Burnet, and all the officers, opposed this much. The rebellious disposition of the western counties was much aggravated: it seemed necessary to govern them by a military power. Several expedients were proposed on the other hand. Instead of renouncing the covenant, in which they pretended there were many points of religion concerned, a bond was proposed for keeping the peace, and against rising in arms. This seemed the better test; since it secured the public quiet, and the peace of the country, which

[t] Four *thats* in one line. S.

1667. was at present the most necessary: the religious
243 part was to be left to time and good management. So an indemnity of a more comprehensive nature was proclaimed: and the bond was all the security that was demanded. Many came into the bond: though there were some among them that pretended scruples: for, it was said, peace was a word of a large extent: it might be pretended, that obeying all the laws was implied in it. Yet the far greater number submitted to this. Those who were disturbed with scruples were a few melancholy inconsiderable persons.

In order to the disbanding the army with more security, it was proposed, that a county militia should be raised, and trained for securing the public peace. The two archbishops did not like this: they said, the commons, of whom the militia must be composed, being generally ill affected to the church, this would be a prejudice rather than a security. But, to content them, it was concluded, that in counties that were ill affected, there should be no foot raised, and only some troops of horse. Burnet complained openly, that he saw episcopacy was to be pulled down, and that in such an extremity he could not look on, and be silent. He writ upon these matters a long and sorrowful letter to Sheldon: and upon that Sheldon writ a very long one to sir R. Murray; which I read, and found more temper and moderation in it, than I could have expected from him[u], Murray had got so far into his confidence, and he

[u] Sheldon was a very great and excellent man. S. (Of this eminent prelate, who, sir Francis Wenman said, was born and bred to be archbishop of Canterbury, see an account in the Biographia Britannica, and in bishop Parker's work, *De Rebus sui Temporis Commentarii*, p. 35—46.)

seemed to depend so entirely on his sincerity, that no informations against him could work upon Sheldon[x]. Upon Burnet's carrying things so high, Sharp was better used, and was brought again to the council board, where he began to talk of moderation: and in the debate concerning the disbanding the army, he said, it was better to expose the bishops to whatsoever might happen, than to have the kingdom governed, for their sakes, by a military power. Yet in private he studied to possess all people with prejudices against the persons then employed, as the enemies of the church[y]. At that time lord Lauderdale got the king to write to the privy council, letting them know that he had been informed, traitors had been pleaded for at that board. This was levelled at Burnet. The council, in their answer, as they denied the imputation, so they desired to know who it was that had so aspersed them. Burnet, when the letter was offered to him to be signed by him, said, he could not say traitors had never been pleaded for at that board, since he himself had once pleaded for one, and put them in mind of the particular case. After this, he saw how much he had ex- 1667.

[x] (Whether Murray returned the confidence of Sheldon, may be doubted; for there is extant among the Sheldon papers, preserved in the Bodleian library, a long glozing letter from sir Robert to the archbishop, in which, although he begins with owning his obligation, "to give "his grace the scene in which "he is engaged," yet he so contrives, as to inform him of nothing. It is dated Oct. 17. in this year.)

[y] (Still, in a confidential letter to archbishop Sheldon, dated November 2 in this year, Sharp speaks in the following manner of sir Robert Murray; "I am "converted to the persuasion, "that if I be not deceived, he "is as right for episcopal go- "vernment in the church, and "as dissatisfied with the way "of our opposers, as any per- "son intrusted by the king for "his service in Scotland.")

1667. posed himself, and grew tamer. The army was disbanded: so lord Rothes's authority as general, as well as his commission, was now at an end, after it had lasted three years. The pretence of his commission was the preparing matters for a national
244 synod: yet in all that time there was not one step made towards one: for the bishops seemed concerned only for their authority and their revenues, and took no care of regulating either the worship or the discipline. The earls of Rothes and Tweedale went to court. The former tried what he could do by the duke of Monmouth's means, who had married his niece: but he was then young, and was engaged in a mad ramble after pleasure, and minded no business. So lord Rothes saw the necessity of applying himself to lord Lauderdale: and he did dissemble his discontent so dexterously, that he seemed well pleased to be freed from the load of business that lay so heavy upon him. He moved to have his accounts of the treasury passed, to which great exceptions might have been made; and to have an approbation passed under the great seal of all he had done while he was the king's commissioner. Lord Tweedale was against both; and moved that he should be for some time kept under the lash: he knew, that, how humble soever he was at that time, he would be no sooner secured from being called to an account for what was passed, than he would set up a cabal in opposition to every thing; whereas they were sure of his good behaviour, as long as he continued to be so obnoxious. The king loved lord Rothes: so the earl of Lauderdale consented to all he asked. But they quickly saw good cause to repent of their forwardness.

At this time a great change happened in the course of the earl of Lauderdale's life, which made the latter part of it very different from what the former had been. Mr. Murray of the bedchamber had been page and whipping boy to king Charles I; and had great credit with him, not only in procuring private favours, but in all his counsels. He was well turned for a court, very insinuating, but very false; and of so revengeful a temper, that rather than any of the counsels given by his enemies should succeed, he would have revealed them, and betrayed both the king and them. It was generally believed, that he had discovered the most important of all his secrets to his enemies [z]. He had one particular quality, that when he was drunk, which was very often, he was upon a most exact reserve, though he was pretty open at all other times. He got a warrant to be an earl, which was signed at Newcastle. Yet he

1667.

A great change in Lauderdale's temper.

[z] (That he was an agent of the Scotish covenanters to persuade king Charles the first to comply with their demands in the year 1646, appears from Baillie's *Letters*, vol. II. p. 225. Particular instances of his treachery may be seen in bishop Guthrie's *Memoirs*, p. 101. But the nefarious contrivance by which he deprived his royal master of the town of Hull, and sir John Hotham, the governor, eventually of his life, is mentioned in Carte's *Hist. of England*, vol. IV. p. 428. It was the fate of the house of Stuart to be served by unfaithful attendants on their persons. Thus, in the year 1596, James the first was in the greatest personal danger from an insurrection at Edenburgh, which originated in the infidelity of his servants. Being disgusted at some new regulations in the royal household, they informed the people, that the king their master was about to turn papist. See a tract entitled *Presbytery Displayed*, p. 47—49; and compare archbishop Spotiswood's *History of the Church of Scotland* under the year 1596. Thus too his son, of whom we first spoke, was accustomed to have his letters copied, whilst he was asleep, and sent to his enemies by his unfaithful servants, of whom this Murray was one. See Evelyn's *Memoirs*, vol. I. p. 253.)

1667. got the king to antedate it, as if it had been signed at Oxford, to get the precedence of some whom he hated: but he did not pass it under the great seal during the king's life; but did it after his death, though his warrant, not being passed, died with the king. His eldest daughter, to whom his honour, such as it was, descended, married sir Lionel Tall-
245 mash of Suffolk, a man of a noble family. After her father's death, she took the title of countess of Dysert. She was a woman of great beauty, but of far greater parts. She had a wonderful quickness of apprehension, and an amazing vivacity in conversation. She had studied not only divinity and history, but mathematics and philosophy. She was violent in every thing she set about, a violent friend, but a much more violent enemy. She had a restless ambition, lived at a vast expense, and was ravenously covetous; and would have stuck at nothing by which she might compass her ends. [She had blemishes of another kind, which she seemed to despise, and to take little care of the decencies of her sex.] She had been early in a correspondence with lord Lauderdale, that had given occasion to censure. When he was prisoner after Worcester fight, she made him believe he was in great danger of his life, and that she saved it by her intrigues with Cromwell: which was not a little taken notice of. Cromwell was certainly fond of her, and she took care to entertain him in it; till he, finding what was said upon it, broke it off[a]. Upon the king's restoration, she thought that lord Lauderdale made not those

[a] Cromwell had gallantries with her. S. (Compare a note by the earl of Dartmouth, at page 140 of the second folio volume of this History.)

returns that she expected. They lived for some years at a distance. But upon her husband's death she made up all quarrels: so that lord Lauderdale and she lived so much together, that his lady was offended at it, and went to Paris, where she died about three years after. The lady Dysert came to have so much power over the lord Lauderdale, that it lessened him much in esteem of all the world; for he delivered himself up to all her humours and passions. All applications were made to her: she took upon her to determine every thing: she sold all places, and was wanting in no methods that could bring her money, which she lavished out in a most profuse vanity. As the conceit took her, she made him fall out with all his friends, one after another: with the earls of Argile, Tweedale, and Kincardin, with duke Hamilton, the marquis of Athol, and sir Robert Murray, who had all their turns in her displeasure, which very quickly drew lord Lauderdale's after it. If after such names it is not a presumption to name my self, I had my share likewise. From that time to the end of his days he became quite another sort of man than he had been in all the former parts of his life. Sir Robert Murray had been designed by her father to be her husband, and was long her true friend. She knew his integrity was proof against all attempts. He had been hitherto the lord Lauderdale's chief friend, and main support. He had great esteem paid him, both by the king and by the whole court: and he employed it all for the earl of Lauderdale's service. He used great freedom with him at proper times; and was a faithful adviser, and reprover as much as the other could bear it. Lady Dysert laid hold on his absence 246 1667.

1667. in Scotland to make a breach between them. She made lord Lauderdale believe, that Murray assumed to himself the praise of all that was done, and was not ill pleased to pass as his governor. Lord Lauderdale's pride was soon fired with those ill impressions.

Scotland was very well governed.

The government of Scotland had now another face. All payments were regularly made: there was an overplus of 10,000*l.* of the revenue saved every year: a magazine of arms was bought with it: and there were several projects set on foot for the encouragement of trade and manufactures. Lord Tweedale and sir Robert Murray were so entirely united, that, as they never disagreed, so all plied before them. Lord Tweedale was made a privy counsellor in England: and, his son having married the earl of Lauderdale's only child, they seemed to be inseparably united. When he came down from London, he brought a letter from the king to the council, recommending the concerns of the church to their care: in particular, he charged them to suppress conventicles, which began to spread generally through the western counties: for upon the disbanding the army, the country, being delivered from that terror, did now forsake their churches, and got their old ministers to come among them; and they were not wanting in holding conventicles from place to place. The king wrote also by him a letter to Sharp with his own pen, in which he assured him of his zeal for the church, and of his favour to himself. Lord Tweedale hoped this would have gained him to his side: but he was deceived in it. Sharp quickly returned to his former insolence. Upon the earl of Tweedale's return, there was a great application to

public business: no vice was in reputation: justice was impartially administered: and a commission was sent to the western counties to examine into all the complaints of unjust and illegal oppressions by Turner, Dalziel[b], and others. Turner's warrants had been seized with himself; and though upon the defeat given the whigs he was left by them, so that, beyond all men's expectations, he escaped out of their hands, yet he had nothing to justify himself by. The truth is, this inquiry was chiefly levelled at lord Rothes and Burnet, to cast the odium of the late rebellion on their injustice and ill conduct. And it was intended that Turner should accuse them: but he had no vouchers to shew. These were believed to be withdrawn by an artifice of the lord Rothes. But, before the matter was quite ended, those in whose hands his papers were left, sent them sealed up to his lodgings. But he was by that time broken: so, since the government had used him hardly, he, who was a man of spirit, would not shew his vouchers, nor expose his friends. 247
So that matter was carried no farther. And the people of the country cried out against those censures. It was said, that when by such violent proceedings men had been inflamed to a rebellion, upon which so much blood was shed, all the reparation 1667.

[b] (Archbishop Burnett, in a letter to Sheldon dated August 9, 1667, says of general Dalziel, that however they may represent him to his grace, or the king, he is the only person he ever saw fit to curb the insolencies of that surly party; and that if his counsel had been followed, he himself is confident, that the kingdom had by this time been in a very happy and quiet condition. In another of his letters the archbishop calls him a very rough, but a very honest man. Dalziel was a man of very singular manners and appearance. He had served in Russia, which circumstance the author above alludes to in page 238, folio edition.)

1667. given was, that an officer or two were broken; and a great man was taken down a little upon it, without making any public examples for the deterring others.

Great complaints made of the clergy.

Sir Robert Murray went through the west of Scotland. When he came back, he told me, the clergy were such a set of men, so ignorant, and so scandalous, that it was not possible to support them, unless the greatest part of them could be turned out, and better men found to be put in their places. But it was not easy to know how this could be done. Burnet had placed them all: and he thought himself in some sort bound to support them. The clergy were so linked together, that none of them could be got to concur in getting proofs of crimes brought against their brethren. And the people of the country pretended scruples. They said, to accuse a minister before a bishop was an acknowledging his jurisdiction over his clergy, or, to use a hard word much in use among them, it was homologating his power. So Murray proposed, that a court should be constituted by a special commission from the king, made up of some of the laity as well as the clergy, to try the truth of these scandalous reports that went upon the clergy: and he writ about it to Sheldon, who approved of it. Sharp also seemed well pleased with it, though he abhorred it in his heart: for he thought it struck at the root of their authority, and was Erastianism in the highest degree. Burnet said, it was a turning him out of his bishopric, and the declaring him either incapable of judging his clergy, or unworthy of that trust. His clergy cried out upon it; and said, it was a delivering them up to the rage of their enemies, who hated them only for

the sake of their functions, and for their obedience to the laws; and that, if irregular methods were taken to encourage them, they would get any thing, true or false, to be sworn against them. The difficulties that arose upon this put a stop to it. And the earl of Lauderdale's aversion to sir Robert Murray began a disjointing of all the counsels of Scotland. Lord Tweedale had the chief confidence: and next him lord Kincardin was most trusted. The presbyterians, seeing a softening in the execution of the law, and observing that the archbishops were jealous of lord Tweedale, fancied he was theirs in his heart. Upon that they grew very insolent. The clergy was in many places ill used by them [c]. They despaired of any farther protection from the government. They saw designs were forming to turn them all out: and, hearing that they might 248

1667.

[c] (Salmon, in his Examination of Burnet's History, vol. I. page 586, produces a passage from the bishop's Four Conferences, published in 1673, in which, after particularizing the cruel usage the conforming clergy met with from these people, the author says, "Believe "me, these barbarous outrages "have been such, that worse "could not have been ap- "prehended from heathens. "——— From these things I "may well assume, that the "persecution lies mainly on "the conformists' side, who, "for their obedience to the "laws, lie thus open to the "fury of their enemies." p. 290. His namesake, archbishop Burnett, in a letter without date to the archbishop of Canterbury, informs him, that the clamours against the soldiers are great, "but I am "afraid," he proceeds to say, "greater upon design; and I "am sure, if those who com- "mand the militia vindicate "not themselves to his ma- "jesty's satisfaction, I shall not "plead for them. Our minis- "ters who are loyal, and own "the present government, will "be forced, for what I see, to "desert their stations; several "of them have been robbed, "and sore beaten, and some "wounded. The counsell is "now considering what will "be the best and most effect- "ual remedy against the an- "ger and fury of those merci- "less rebels, who, in the army's "absence from the west, range "up and down the country in "small parties.")

1667. be better provided in Ireland, they were in many places bought out, and prevailed on to desert their cures[d]. The people of the country hoped, that, upon their leaving them, they might have their old ministers again; and upon that were willing enough to enter into those bargains with them: and so in a very little time there were many vacancies made all over those counties. The lord Tweedale took great pains to engage Leightoun into the same counsels with him. He had magnified him highly to the king, as much the greatest man of the Scotish clergy. And the lord Tweedale's chief aim, with relation to church matters, was to set him at the head of them: for he often said to me, that more than two parts in three of the whole business of the government related to the church. So he studied to bring in a set of episcopal men of another stamp, and to set Leightoun at their head. He studied to draw in Mr. Charteris. But he had such sad thoughts of mankind, and such humble ones of himself, that he thought little good could be done, and that, as to that little, he was not a proper instrument. Leightoun was prevailed on to go to London, where, as he told me, he had two audiences of the king. He laid before him the madness of the former administration of church affairs, and the necessity of turning to more moderate counsels: in particular, he proposed a comprehension of the presbyterian party, by altering the terms of the laws a little, and by such abatements as might preserve the whole for the future, by granting somewhat for the present. But he entered into no expedients: only he studied to fix the king in the design that the course of his

[d] So Ireland was well provided. S.

affairs led him to, though contrary to his own inclinations, both in England and Scotland. In order to the opening this, I must change the scene. 1667.

The Dutch war had turned so fatally on the king, that it made it necessary for him to try how to recover the affections and esteem of his people. He found a slackening the execution of the law went a great way in the city of London, and with the trading part of the nation. The house of commons continued still in their fierceness, and aversion to all moderate propositions; but in the intervals of parliament the execution was softened. The earl of Clarendon found his credit was declining, that all the secrets of state were trusted to Bennet, and that he had no other share in them than his post required. The lady Castlemain set herself most violently against him. And the duke of Buckingham, as often as he was admitted to any familiarities with the king, studied with all his wit and humour to make lord Clarendon and all his counsels appear ridiculous[e]. Lively jests were at all times apt to take 249 with the king. The earl of Clarendon fell under two other misfortunes before the war broke out. The king had granted him a large piece of ground

Affairs in England.

Clarendon's disgrace.

[e] (Pepys was informed, on good authority, "that the duke "of Buckingham did by his "friends treat with my lord "chancellor by the mediation "of Matt. Wren and Clifford, "to fall in with my lord chan- "cellor, which he (Gibson) "tells me, he did advise my "lord chancellor to accept of, "as that, that with his own in- "terest and the duke of York's, "would undoubtedly have se- "cured all to him and his fa- "mily; but that my lord chan- "cellor was a man not to be "advised, thinking himself too "high to be counselled; and "so all is come to nothing; "for by that means the duke "of Buckingham became des- "perate, and was forced to "fall in with Arlington to his "ruin." Pepys's *Diary*, vol. II. p. 280. It would have been the union of a virtuous man, not with a vitious man, but with vice itself.)

1667. near St. James's to build a house on: he intended a good ordinary house: but, not understanding those matters himself, he put the managing of that into the hands of others; who run him into a vast charge of about 50,000*l.* three times as much as he had designed to lay out upon it[f]. During the war, and in the plague year, he had about three hundred men at work, which he thought would have been an acceptable thing, when so many men were kept at work, and so much money, as was duly paid, circulated about. But it had a contrary effect. It raised a great outcry against him. Some called it Dunkirk house, intimating that it was built by his share of the price of Dunkirk. Others called it Holland house, because he was believed to be no friend to the war: so it was given out, that he had the money from the Dutch. It was visible, that in a time of public calamity he was building a very noble palace. Another accident was, that before the war there were some designs on foot for the repairing of St. Paul's: and many stones were brought thither. That project was laid aside during the war. He upon that bought the stones, and made use of them in building his own house. This, how slight soever it may seem to be, yet had a great effect by the management of his enemies.

Southampton's death.

Another misfortune was, that he lost his chief friend, to whom he trusted most, and who was his

[f] His son, the earl of Rochester, told me, when he left England, he ordered him to tell all his friends, that if they could excuse the vanity and folly of the great house, he would undertake to answer for all the rest of his actions himself. D. (The bishop's account is also confirmed by that of lord Clarendon himself, in the Continuation of his Life, p. 512. Compare too speaker Onslow's note a little below, at p. 254. folio edit.)

greatest support, the earl of Southampton. The pain of the stone grew upon him to such a degree, that he had resolved to be cut: but a woman came to him, who pretended she had an infallible secret for dissolving the stone, and brought such vouchers to him, that he put himself into her hands. The medicine had a great operation, though it ended fatally: for he passed great quantities of gravel, that looked like the coats of a stone sliced off. This encouraged him to go on, till his pains increased so, that no man was ever seen to die in such torments; which made him oft tremble all over, so that the bed shook with it; yet he bore it with an astonishing patience. He not only kept himself from saying any indecent thing, but endured all that misery with the firmness of a great man, and the submission of a good Christian. The cause of all appeared when he was opened after his death: for the medicine had stripped the stone of its outward slimy coats, which made it lay soft and easy upon the muscles of the bladder; whereas when these were dissolved, the inner and harder parts of the stone, that were all ragged by the dissolution that was begun, lay upon the neck of the bladder, which raised those violent pains of which he died. The court was now delivered of a great man, whom they did not much love, and who they knew did not love them. The treasury was put in commission: and the earl of Clarendon had no interest there. He saw the war, though managed by other counsels, yet was like to end in his ruin: for all errors were cast on him. The business of Chatham was a terrible blow: and though the loss was great, the infamy was greater. The parliament had given above five millions towards the war: but, through 1667.

1667. the luxury and waste of the court, this money was so squandered away, that the king could neither set out a fleet nor defend his coasts. Upon the news of the Dutch fleet's being in the river, the king did not ride down himself, nor appear at the head of his people, who were then in such imminent danger. He only sent the duke of Albemarle down, and was intending to retire to Windsor. But that looked so like a flying from danger, that he was prevailed on to stay. And it was given out, that he was very cheerful that night at supper with his mistresses, which drew many libels upon him, that were writ with as much wit as malice, and brought him under a general contempt. He was compared to Nero, who sung while Rome was burning. A day or two after that, he rode through London, accompanied with the most popular men of his court, and assured the citizens he would live and die with his people, upon which there were some acclamations: but the matter went heavily. The city was yet in ashes: and the jealousy of burning it on design had got so among them, that the king himself was not free from suspicion[g]. If the Dutch had pursued their

[g] (The house of commons resolved, "*That the thanks of "that house should be given "his majesty for his great care "and endeavour to prevent the "burning of the city of Lon-"don.*" Salmon's Examination, vol. I. p. 602. It is observable, that Oates makes use of the known fact of the king's activity in preventing the progress of the fire; for when, according to Burnet's account below, he accused the papists of an intention to kill the king during the conflagration, he said that they relented upon seeing him so active in quenching it. See p. 427. "It is not "indeed imaginable," writes Evelyn in his *Memoirs*, vol. I. p. 374, "how extraordinary the "vigilance and activity of the "king and duke was, even la-"bouring in person, and being "present to command, order, "reward, or encourage work-"men, by which he shewed "his affection to his people "and gained theirs.")

advantage in the first consternation, they might have done more mischief, and have come a great way up the Thames, and burnt many merchant ships: but they thought they had done enough, and so they sailed away. The court was at a stand what to do: for the French had assured them the treaty was as good as finished. Whether the French set this on, as that which would both weaken the fleet of England, and alienate the king so entirely from the Dutch, that he would be easily engaged into new alliances to revenge this affront, as many believe, I cannot pretend to determine. 1667.

The earl of Essex was at that time in Paris, on his way home from the waters of Bourbon: and he told me, the queen-mother of England sent for him, as being one of her son's privy council; and told him, the Irish had sent over some to the court of France, desiring money and arms with some officers, and undertook to put that island into the hands of the French [h]. He told me, he found the

The Irish sought the protection of France.

[h] During the late war with the Dutch, Boyle, archbishop of Dublin and chancellor of Ireland, draws the following picture of the state of affairs in that kingdom: "The condition "of this kingdom is at present "very unpleasant. We receive "daily rumours of intendment "in the Irish to raise another "rebellion: I confess I am no "farther alarmed at this, than "to collect the discontents and "dissatisfactions of the people "from these reports, for I know "they are no way able to do "any thing considerable upon "that account. That which in- "deed gives us greater disturb- "ance is, that great robbery "and plundering of houses "made by them in several "places of this kingdom, which "they commit in parties of "twenty or thirty horse, to the "great terror of the people; "which affrights them out of "the country to shelter them- "selves in towns; wherein they "will not be able to continue "long for want of means to "support their families and "themselves, and they must "necessarily return again to "England, to the discourage- "ment of all persons in this "country; and though my lord "lieutenant doth very indus-

1667. queen was in her inclinations and advices true to her son's interest: but he was amazed to see, that a woman, who in a drawing-room was the liveliest woman of the age, and had a vivacity of imagination that surprised all who came near her, yet after all her practice in affairs, had so little either of judgment or conduct: and he did not wonder at the miscarriage of the late king's counsels, since she had such
251 a share in them. But the French had then greater things in view. The king of Spain was dead. And now, after the French had managed the war so, that they had been at no part of the expense of it, nor brought a ship to the assistance of the Dutch in any engagement, and that both England and Holland had made a great loss both in ships and treasure, they resolved to manage the peace so, as to oblige the king by giving him a peace, when he was in no condition to carry on a war. I enter not into our negotiation with the bishop of Munster, nor his treacherous departing from his engagements, since I know nothing of that matter, but what is in print.

As soon as the peace was made, the king saw with what disadvantage he was like to meet his parliament. So he thought the disgracing a public minister, who, by his being long in so high a post, had drawn upon himself much envy, and many enemies, would cover himself and the rest of his court. Other things concurred to set this forward. The king was grown very weary of the

" triously appear in the prose-
" cution of such villainies, yet
" the want of money for the
" army is so great, that he is
" not able to cause them to
" march upon any design, lest
" he should increase their dis-
" contents, which for want of
" pay are grown so high al-
" ready." Letter to archbishop Sheldon, among the Sheldon papers.)

queen: and it was believed, he had a great mind to be rid of her. The load of that marriage was cast on the lord Clarendon, as made on design to raise his own grandchildren. Many members of the house of commons, such as Clifford, Osborn, Ker, Littleton, and Seimour, were brought to the king; who all assured him, that upon his restoration they intended both to have raised his authority and to have increased his revenue; but that the earl of Clarendon had discouraged it, and that all his creatures had possessed the house with such jealousies of the king, that they thought it was not fit to trust him too much nor too far. This made a deep impression on the king, who was weary of lord Clarendon's imposing way, and had a mind to be freed from the authority, to which he had been so long accustomed, that it was not easy to keep him within bounds. 1667.

The duke of Richmond's marriage.

Yet the king was so afraid to engage himself too deep in his own affairs, that it was a doubt whether he would dismiss him or not, if a concern of one of his amours had not sharpened his resentment; so that what other considerations could not do, was brought about by an ill-grounded jealousy. Mistress Steward had gained so much on the king, and yet had kept her ground with so much firmness, that the king seemed to design, if possible, to legitimate his addresses to her, when he saw no hope of succeeding any other way[h]. The duke of 252

[h] The king was once so much provoked as to tell her, he hoped he should live to see her ugly and willing: but after she was married, she had more complaisance, which king Charles could not forbear telling the duke of Richmond, when he was drunk, at lord Townshend's in Norfolk, as my uncle told me, who was present. D.

1667. Richmond, being a widower, courted her. The king seemed to give way to it; and pretended to take such care of her, that he would have good settlements made for her. He hoped by that means to have broke the matter decently; for he knew the duke of Richmond's affairs were in disorder. So the king ordered lord Clarendon to examine the estate he pretended to settle. But he was told, whether true or false I cannot tell, that lord Clarendon told her, that the duke of Richmond's affairs, it was true, were not very clear; but that a family so near related to the king could never be left in distress, and that such a match would not come in her way every day; so she had best consider well, before she rejected it. This was carried to the king, as a design he had that the crown might descend to his own grandchildren; and that he was afraid, lest strange methods should be taken to get rid of the queen, and to make way for her. When the king saw that she had a mind to marry the duke of Richmond, he offered to make her a duchess, and to settle an estate on her. Upon this she said, she saw she must either marry him, or suffer much in the opinion of the world. And she was prevailed on by the duke of Richmond, who was passionately in love with her, to go privately from Whitehall, and marry him without giving the king notice. The earl of Clarendon's son, the lord Cornbury, was going to her lodgings, upon some assignation that she had given him about her affairs, knowing nothing of her intentions. He met the king in the door coming out full of fury. And he, suspecting that lord Cornbury was in the design, spoke to him as one in a rage, that forgot all de-

cency, and for some time would not hear lord Cornbury speak in his own defence. In the afternoon he heard him with more temper, as he himself told me[i]. Yet this made so deep an impression, that he resolved to take the seals from his father. The king said to the lord Lauderdale, that he had talked of the matter with Sheldon; and that he convinced him, that it was necessary to remove lord Clarendon from his post. And as soon as it was done, the king sent for Sheldon, and told him what he had done. But he answered nothing. When the king insisted to oblige him to declare himself, he said, *Sir, I wish you would put away this woman that you keep.* The king upon that replied sharply, why had he never talked to him of that sooner, but took this occasion now to speak of it[k]. Lauderdale told me, he had all this from the king: and that the king and Sheldon had gone into such expostulations upon it, that from that day forward Sheldon could never recover the king's confidence[l]. 1667. 253

[i] Who told him? S. (Lord Cornbury, as it should seem.)

[k] (Salmon, in his Examination of Burnet's History, vol. I. p. 608, remarks, "that if he "archbishop's friendship to the "lord Clarendon was one in- "ducement for his grace's "using this freedom, as our "author would insinuate, this "rather advances than de- "presses Sheldon's character." The reverential regard which the earl of Clarendon had for the archbishop, is evidenced by the following passage in a letter addressed by him to Sheldon about this time. "I beg your "grace a thousand pardons for "the presumption in intruding "in an affair of this nature," (his lordship had objected to the translation of the bishop of Limerick to an English bishopric,) "which, God knows, "nothing could have led me "into but my faithful and filial "duty to the church, whose "peace and lustre I pray for "as much as I do for myself. "Forgive me, and give me "your benediction." The letter is among the Sheldon papers now in the Bodleian library.)

[l] Sheldon had refused the sacrament to the king for living

1667. The seals were given to sir Orlando Bridgman, lord chief justice of the common pleas, then in great esteem, which he did not maintain long after his advancement. His study and practice lay so entirely in the common law, that he never seemed to apprehend what equity was: nor had he a head made for business or for such a court. He was a man of great integrity, and had very serious impressions of religion on his mind. He had been always on the side of the church[m]: yet he had great tenderness for the nonconformists: and the bishops having all declared for lord Clarendon, except one or two, he and the new scene of the ministry were inclined to favour them. The duke of Buckingham, who had been in high disgrace before lord Clarendon's fall, came upon that into great favour, and set up for a patron of liberty of conscience and of all the sects. The see of Chester happened to fall vacant soon after: and doctor Wilkins was by his means promoted to that see. It was no small prejudice to him, that he was recommended by so bad a man. Wilkins had a courage in him that could stand against a current, and against all the reproaches with which ill-natured clergymen studied

Bridgman made lord keeper.

in adultery. S. The king had asked Sheldon, if the church of England would allow of a divorce, where both parties were consenting, and one of them lay under a natural incapacity of having children; which he took time to consider of, under a strict command of secresy: but the duke of Richmond's clandestine marriage, before he had given an answer, made the king suspect he had revealed the secret to lord Clarendon, whose creature Sheldon was known to be. And this was the true cause of lord Clarendon's disgrace. D. (Ludlow in his *Memoirs*, vol. III. p. 207, says, that this was amongst the various reasons given to justify the king for his abandoning the chancellor.)

[m] What side should he be of? S.

to load him. He said, he was called for by the king, without any motion of his own, to a public station, in which he would endeavour to do all the good he could, without considering the ill effects that it might have on himself. The king had such a command of himself, that when his interest led him to serve any end, or to court any sort of men, he did it so dexterously, and with such an air of sincerity, that till men were well practised in him, he was apt to impose on them. He seemed now to go into moderation and comprehension with so much heartiness, that both Bridgman and Wilkins believed he was in earnest in it: though there was nothing that the popish counsels were more fixed in, than to oppose all motions of that kind. But the king saw it was necessary to recover the affections of his people. And since the church of England was now gone off from him, upon lord Clarendon's disgrace, he resolved to shew some favour to the sects, both to soften them, and to force the others to come back to their dependence upon him. 1667.

The French king's pretensions to Flanders.

He began also to express his concerns in the affairs of Europe: and he brought about the peace between Castile and Portugal. The French king pretended that, by the law of Brabant, his queen, as the heir of the late king of Spain's first marriage, though a daughter, was to be preferred to the young king of Spain, the heir of the second venter, without any regard to the renunciation of any succession to his queen stipulated by the peace of the Pyrenees: and was upon that pretension like to overrun the Netherlands. Temple was sent over to enter into an alliance with the Dutch, by which some parts of Flanders were yielded up to France, 254

1667. but a barrier was preserved for the security of Holland. Into this the king of Sweden, then a child, was engaged: so it was called the triple alliance. I will say no more of that, since so particular an account is given of it by him who could do it best, Temple himself. It was certainly the masterpiece of king Charles's life: and, if he had stuck to it, it would have been both the strength and the glory of his reign. This disposed his people to forgive all that was passed, and to renew their confidence in him, which was much shaken by the whole conduct of the Dutch war.

Clarendon's integrity.

The parliament were upon their first opening set on to destroy lord Clarendon. Some of his friends went to him a few days before the parliament met; and told him, many were at work to find out matter of accusation against him. He best knew what could be brought against him with any truth; for falsehood was infinite, and could not be guessed at. They desired, he would trust some of them with what might break out, since probably nothing could lie concealed against so strict a search. And the method in which his friends must manage for him, if there was any mixture or allay in him, was to be very different from that they could use, if he was sure that nothing could be brought out against him. The lord Burlington and bishop Morley both told me, they talked to this purpose to him. Lord Clarendon upon that told them, that if, either in matters of justice or in any negotiations abroad, he had ever received a farthing, he gave them leave to disown all friendship to him. The French king, hearing he had sent for all the books of the Louvre impression, had sent these to him,

which he took, as thinking it a trifle, as indeed it was: and this was the only present he ever had from any foreign prince: he had never taken any thing by virtue of his office, but that which his predecessors had claimed as a right[n]. But now hue and cry were sent out against him: and all persons who had heard him say any thing that could bear an ill construction, were examined. Some thought, they had matters of great weight against him: and, when they were told these would not amount to high treason, they desired to know what would amount to it[o]. 1667.

When twenty-three articles were brought into the house against him, the next day he desired his

He was impeached in the house of commons.

[n] And it has been said, that he should say to sir Stephen Fox, "If my friends can but "forgive me the folly of the "great house, there is nothing "they may not well defend me "upon against my enemies." O. (See above, p. 249. folio ed.)

[o] When they made some difficulty, in the house of commons, of accusing him without proof, the last earl of Carbery told them, if they would but impeach him, he would undertake to make out the facts afterwards: though I have heard him since say, he did not know any one thing against him, but knew he had so many enemies, that he could never want assistance to make good what he said. D. (This earl of Carbery was a man of pleasure and wit, and is said by Pepys in his *Diary*, vol. II. p. 155, to have vowed the destruction of the chancellor. But the answer also of the chancellor's great adversary, sir William Coventry, to Pepys, exonerates his character from any grievous charge. "I did then," (Pepys says,) "desire to hear what was the great "matter that grounded his desire of the chancellor's removal? He told me many things "not fit to be spoken, and yet "not any thing of his being unfaithful to the king, but *instar* "*omnium*, he told me that while "he was so great at the council-board, and in the administration of matters, there was "no room for any body to propose any remedy to what was "amiss, or to compass any "thing, though never so good "for the kingdom, unless approved by the chancellor, he "managing all things with that "greatness; which now will be "removed, that the king may "have the benefit of others' "advice." p. 119. see also p. 160.)

1667. second son, the now earl of Rochester, to acquaint
255 the house, that he, hearing what articles were brought against him, did in order to the despatch of the business, desire that those, who knew best what their evidence was, would single out any one of the articles that they thought could be best proved; and, if they could prove that, he would submit to the censure due upon them all. But those, who had the secret of this in their hands, and knew they could make nothing of it, resolved to put the matter upon a preliminary, in which they hoped to find cause to hang up the whole affair, and fix upon the lords the denial of justice. So, according to some few and late precedents, they sent up a general impeachment to the lords' bar, of high treason, without any special matter; and demanded, that upon that he might be committed to prison. They had reason to believe the lords would not grant this: and therefore they resolved to insist on it; and reckoned, that, when so much money was to be given, the king would prevail with the lords. Upon this occasion it appeared, that the private animosities of a court could carry them to establish the most destructive precedent that could have been thought on. For if this had passed, then every minister upon a general impeachment was to be ruined, though no special matter was laid against him. Yet the king himself pressed this vehemently. It was said, the very suspicions of a house of commons, especially such a one as this was, was enough to blast a man, and to secure him: for there was reason to think, that every person so charged would run away, if at liberty. Lord Clarendon's enemies had now gone far: they thought they were not safe till his

head was off: and they apprehended, that, if he were once in prison, it would be easy either to find, or at least to bring witnesses against him. This matter is all in print: so I will go no further in the particulars. The duke was at this time taken with the small-pox: so he was out of the whole debate. The peers thought, that a general accusation was only a clamour, and that their dignities signified little, if a clamour was enough to send them to prison. All the earl of Clarendon's friends pressed the king much on his behalf, that he might be suffered to go off gently, and without censure, since he had served both his father and himself so long, so faithfully, and with such success. But the king was now so sharpened against him, that, though he named no particulars, he expressed a violent and irreconcileable aversion to him; which did the king much hurt in the opinion of all that were not engaged in the party. The affair of the king's marriage was the most talked of, as that which indeed was the only thing that could in any sort justify such a severity. Lord Clarendon did protest, as some that had it from himself told me, that he had no other hand in that matter, than as a counsellor: 256 and in that he appealed to the king himself. After many debates, and conferences, and protestations, in which the whole court went in visibly to that which was plainly destructive both to the king and to the ministry, the majority of the house stood firm, and adhered to their first resolution against commitment. The commons were upon that like to carry the matter far against the peers, as denying justice. The king, seeing this, spoke to the duke, to persuade lord Clarendon to go beyond sea,

1667.

The king desired he would go beyond sea.

1667. as the only expedient that was left to make up the breach between the two houses: and he let fall some words of kindness, in case he should comply with this The earl of Clarendon was all obedience and submission; and was charmed with those tender words that the king had said of him. So, partly to serve the king, and save himself and his family, but chiefly that he might not be the occasion of any difference between the king and the duke, who had heartily espoused his interest, he went privately beyond sea; and writ a letter from Calais to the house of lords, protesting his innocence in all the points objected to him, and that he had not gone out of the kingdom for fear, or out of any consciousness of guilt, but only that he might not be the unhappy occasion of any difference between the two houses, or of obstructing public business. This put an end to the dispute. But his enemies called it a confession of guilt, and a flying from justice: such colours will people give to the most innocent actions[p].

He was banished by act of parliament.

A bill was brought in, banishing him the king's dominions, under pain of treason if he should return: and it was made treason to correspond with him, without leave from the king. This act did not pass without much opposition. It was said, there was a known course of law when any man

[p] (Evelyn in his *Memoirs*, vol. I. p. 394, relates that after paying a melancholy visit to the fallen minister, he heard the next morning that he was gone; and proceeds to remark, "I "am persuaded that had he "gone sooner, though but to "Cornbury, (his country seat,) "and there lain quiet, it would "have satisfied the parliament. "That which exasperated them "was his presuming to stay, "and to contest the accusation "as long as possible; and they "were on the point of sending "him to the Tower.")

fled from justice: and it seemed against the common course of justice, to make all corresponding with him treason, when he himself was not attainted of treason[q]: nor could it be just to banish him, unless a day were given him to come in: and then, if he did not come in, he might incur the punishment upon contempt. The duke, whom the king had employed to prevail with him to withdraw himself, thought he was bound in honour to press the matter home on the king; which he did so warmly, that for some time a coldness between them was very visible. The part the king had acted in this matter came to be known; and was much censured, as there was just cause for it. The vehemence that he shewed in this whole matter was imputed by many to very different causes. Those who knew him best, but esteemed him least, said to me on this occasion, that all the indignation that 257
appeared in him on this head, was founded on no reason at all; but was an effect of that easiness, or rather laziness of nature, that made him comply with every person that had the greatest credit with him. The mistress, and the whole bedchamber, were perpetually railing at him[r]. This, by a sort of

1667.

[q] Bishop of Rochester's case. S.

[r] I have heard my uncle say, (who was a groom of the bedchamber,) the first proof the courtiers had of his being out of favour, was Harry Killigrew's mimicking of him before the king; which he could do in a very ridiculous manner, by carrying the bellows about the room, instead of a purse, and another before him with a shovel for a mace, and could counterfeit his voice and style very exactly; which the king was so much pleased with, that he made him do it before the duchess of Cleveland, who hated lord Clarendon most heartily, therefore took care he should know what a jest he was made of at court, in hopes (knowing him to be a very proud man) that it would have provoked him to have quitted his post. D. In the MS. be-

1667. infection, possessed the king, who, without giving himself the trouble of much thinking, did commonly go into any thing that was at the present time the easiest, without considering what might at any other time follow on it. Thus the lord Clarendon fell under the common fate of great ministers; whose employment exposes them to envy, and draws upon them the indignation of all who are disappointed in their pretensions. Their friends do generally shew, that they are only the friends of their fortunes: and upon the change of favour, they not only forsake them in their extremity, but, that they may secure to themselves the protection of a new favourite, they will labour to redeem all that is past, by turning as violently against them as they formerly fawned abjectly upon them[s]: and princes are so little sensible of merit or great services, that they sacrifice their best servants, not only when their affairs seem to require it, but to gratify the humour of a mistress, or the passion of a rising favourite.

The character of his two sons.

I will end this relation of lord Clarendon's fall with an account of his two sons. The eldest, now the earl of Clarendon, is a man naturally sincere: [except in the payment of his debts; in which he has a particular art, upon his breaking of his promises, which he does very often, to have a plausible excuse, and a new promise ever ready at hand: in which he has run longer than one could think possible.] He is a friendly and good-natured man. He

fore spoken of, he intimates, that his misfortunes were chiefly owing to the ladies and laughers at court. This MS. is now in print, which see, for the account of the prosecution against him. O. (Lord Clarendon's Life and the Continuation.)

[s] Stupid moralist. S.

keeps an exact journal of all that passes [t], and is punctual to tediousness in all that he relates. He was very early engaged in great secrets: for his father, apprehending of what fatal consequence it would have been to the king's affairs, if his correspondence had been discovered by unfaithful secretaries, engaged him when very young to write all his letters to England in cipher; so that he was generally half the day writing in cipher, or deciphering, and was so discreet, as well as faithful, that nothing was ever discovered by him. He continued to be still the person whom his father trusted most: and was the most beloved of all the family; for he was humble and obliging, [but was peevish and splenetic [u].] His judgment was not to be much depended on; for he was much carried by vulgar prejudices and false notions. He was much [x] in the queen's favour, and was her chamberlain long. His father's being so violently prosecuted on the account of her marriage, made that she thought herself bound to protect him in a particular manner. He was so provoked at the ill usage his father met with, that he struck in violently with the party that opposed the court: and the king spoke always of him with great 258
sharpness and much scorn. His brother, now earl of Rochester, is a man of far greater parts. He has a very good pen [y], but speaks not gracefully [z]. He 1667.

[t] (It was published by Dr. Douglass, afterwards bishop of Salisbury, together with his State Letters, in two volumes quarto, from the Clarendon press in 1763. These pieces have been lately republished by Mr. Singer, with the addition of the Correspondence and Diary of his brother Laurence Hyde earl of Rochester.)

[u] *though sometimes peevish,* was substituted by the editors.

[x] much, much, much. S.

[y] I suppose it was of gold or silver. S.

[z] He was apt to give a positive assertion instead of an ar-

1667. was thought the smoothest man in the court: and during all the dispute concerning his father, he made his court so dexterously, that no resentments ever appeared on that head. When he came into business, and rose to high posts, he grew violent [and insolent:] but was thought an incorrupt man. He has high notions of government, and thinks it must be maintained with great severity. He delivers up his own notions to his party, that he may lead them; [and on all occasions he is wilful and imperious.] He passes for a sincere man, and seems to have too much heat to be false. [This natural heat is inflamed by frequent excesses in drinking.] Morley was long dean of the chapel: but he stuck so to the lord Clarendon, that he was sent into his diocese: and Crofts, bishop of Hereford, was made dean in his room. Crofts was a warm devout man, but of no discretion in his conduct: so he lost ground quickly. He used much freedom with the king; but it was in the wrong place, not in private, but in the pulpit.

The king was much offended with the bishops.

The king was highly offended at the behaviour of most of the bishops: and he took occasion to vent it at the council board. Upon the complaints that were made of some disorders, and of some conventicles, he said, the clergy were chiefly to blame for these disorders; for if they had lived well, and had gone about their parishes, and taken pains to convince the nonconformists, the nation might have

gument; and when any objection was made to it, all the answer was, that he could not help thinking so. And I never knew a man that was so soon put into a passion, that was so long before he could bring himself out of it, in which he would say things that were never forgot by any body but himself: therefore had always more enemies than he thought he had; though he had as many professedly so, as any man of his time. D.

been by that time well settled. But they thought of nothing but to get good benefices, and to keep a good table. This I read in a letter that sir Robert Murray writ down to Scotland: and it agrees with a conversation that the king was pleased to have with my self once, when I was alone with him in his closet. While we were talking of the ill state the church was in, I was struck to hear a prince of his course of life so much disgusted at the ambition, covetousness, and the scandals of the clergy. He said, if the clergy had done their part, it had been an easy thing to run down the nonconformists: but he added, they will do nothing, and will have me do every thing: and most of them do worse than if they did nothing. He told me, he had a chaplain, that was a very honest man, but a very great blockhead, to whom he had given a living in Suffolk, that was full of that sort of people: he had gone about among them from house to house; though he could not imagine what he could say to them; for he said he was a very silly fellow: but that, he believed, his nonsense suited their nonsense, for he had brought them all to church: and, in reward of 259 his diligence, he had given him a bishopric in Ireland[a]. 1667.

[a] Bishop Woolley of Clonfert. S. (Bishop Woolley had been chaplain to both the Charles's, and had suffered much for his adherence to their cause. He was afterwards, according to the account of him in Antony Wood's Athenæ Oxon. made rector of a church in Essex, in order to counteract the effects of the preaching of Stephen Marshall, the famous independent minister, his predecessor in that cure. In 1665 he was promoted to the see of Clonfert, "where," as it is said by the same writer, "he sat for some "time, and was held in great "admiration for his admirable "way of preaching, and ex"emplary life and conversa"tion.)

1668. Bridgman and Wilkins set on foot a treaty, for a comprehension of such of the dissenters as could be brought into the communion of the church, and a toleration of the rest. Hale, the chief justice, concurred with them in the design. Tillotson, Stillingfleet, and Burton[b] joined also in it. Bates, Manton, and Baxter were called for on the side of the presbyterians. And a project was prepared, consisting chiefly of those things that the king had promised by his declaration in the year 1660. Only in the point of re-ordination this temper was proposed, that those who had presbyterian ordination should be received to serve in the church by an imposition of hands, accompanied with words which imported, that the person so ordained was received to serve as a minister in the church of England. This treaty became a common subject of discourse. All lord Clarendon's friends cried out, that the church was undermined and betrayed: it was said, the cause of the church was given up, if we yielded any of those points, about which there had been so much disputing: if the sectaries were humble and modest, and would tell what would satisfy them, there might be some colour for granting some concessions[c]: but it was unworthy of the church to go and court, or treat with enemies; when there was no reason to think, that after we had departed from our grounds, which was to confess we had been in the wrong, that we should gain much by it, unless it was to bring scorn and contempt on ourselves. On the other hand it was said, the nonconformists could not legally meet together, to offer any schemes in the

A treaty for a comprehension of the presbyterians.

[b] (Hezekiah Burton, a London divine, whose posthumous sermons were published by archbishop Tillotson.)

[c] I think so too. S.

name of their party: it was well enough known, what they had always excepted to, and what would probably bring over most of the presbyterians: such a yielding in some lesser matters would be no reproach, but an honour to the church; that, how much soever she might be superior both in point of argument and of power, she would yet of her own accord, and for peace sake, yield a great deal in matters indifferent: the apostles complying with many of the observances of the Jews, and the offers that the church of Africk made to the Donatists were much insisted on: the fears of popery, and the progress that atheism was making, did alarm good and wise men: and they thought, every thing that could be done without sin ought to be done towards the healing our divisions. Many books were upon that account writ, to expose the presbyterians, as men of false notions in religion, which led to Antinomianism, and which would soon carry them into a dissolution of morals, under a pretence of being justified by faith only, without works. The three volumes of the Friendly Debate, though writ by a very good man[d], and with a good intent, had an ill effect in sharpening people's spirits too much against them. But the most virulent of all that writ against the sects was Parker, afterwards made bishop of Oxford by king James; who was full of satirical vivacity, and was considerably learned; but was a man of no judgment, and of as little virtue, and as to religion rather impious. After he had for some years entertained the nation with several virulent books, writ with much life, he was attacked by the 1668. 260

[d] Writt by bishop Patrick. S.

1668. liveliest droll[e] of the age, who writ in a burlesque strain, but with so peculiar and so entertaining a conduct, that, from the king down to the tradesman, his books were read with great pleasure. That not only humbled Parker, but the whole party: for the author of the Rehearsal Transprosed [f] had all the men of wit (or, as the French phrase it, all the *laughers*) on his side. But what advantages soever the men of comprehension might have in any other respect, the majority of the house of commons was so possessed against them, that when it was known in a succeeding session, that a bill was ready to be offered to the house for that end, a very extraordinary vote passed, that no bill to that purpose should be received.

The city of London rebuilt.

An act passed in this session for rebuilding the city of London, which gave lord chief justice Hale a great reputation: for it was drawn with so true a judgment, and so great foresight, that the whole city was raised out of its ashes without any suits of law; which, if that bill had not prevented them, would have brought a second charge on the city, not much less than the fire it self had been. And upon that, to the amazement of all Europe, London was in four years' time rebuilt, with so much beauty and magnificence, that we who saw it in both states, before and after the fire, cannot reflect on it without wondering where the wealth could be found to bear so vast a loss as was made by the fire, and so prodigious an expense as was laid out in the rebuilding

[e] What is a droll? S.

[f] Andrew Marvel. S. ("We "still read Marvel's answer to "Parker with pleasure, though "the book it answers is sunk "long ago." *Swift's Apology prefixed to the Tale of the Tub.*)

it. This did demonstrate, that the intrinsic wealth of the nation was very high, when it could answer such a dead charge. 1668.

I return to the intrigues of the court. Lord Clarendon's enemies thought they were not safe, as long as the duke had so much credit with the king, and the duchess had so much power over him: so they fell on propositions of a strange nature to ruin them. The duke of Buckingham pressed the king to own a marriage with the duke of Monmouth's mother: and he undertook to get witnesses to attest it. The duke of York told me, in general, that there was much talk about it: but he did not descend to particulars. The earl of Carlisle offered to begin the matter in the house of lords. The king would not consent to this: yet he put it by in such a manner, as made them all conclude, he wished it might be done, but did not know how to bring it about. These discourses were all carried to the duke of Monmouth, and got fatally into his head. When the duke talked of this matter to me in the year seventy-three, I asked him if he thought that the king had still the same inclinations? He said he believed not: he thought the duke of Monmouth had not spirit enough to think of it: and he commended the duchess of Monmouth so highly as to say to me, that the hopes of a crown could not work on her to do an unjust thing. I thought he gave that matter too much countenance, by calling the duke of Monmouth nephew: but he said it pleased the king. When the party saw they could make nothing of the business of the duke of Monmouth, they tried next by what methods they could get rid of the queen; that so the king might marry another wife: Designs for putting away the queen. 261

1668. for the king had children by so many different creatures, that they hoped for issue, if he had a wife capable of any. Some thought, the queen and he were not legally married: but the avowing a marriage, and the living many years in that state, did certainly supply any defect in point of form. Others pretended, she was barren from a natural cause, and that seemed equivalent to impotence in men. But the king often said, he was sure she had once miscarried[g]. This, though not overthrown by such an evidence, could never be proved; unless the having no children was to be concluded a barrenness: and the dissolving a marriage on such an account could neither be justified in law nor conscience. Other stories were given out of the queen's person, which were false: for I saw in a letter under the king's own hand, that the marriage was consummated. Others talked of polygamy: and officious persons were ready to thrust themselves into any thing that could contribute to their advancement. Lord Lauderdale and sir Robert Murray asked my opinion of these things. I said, I knew speculative people could say a great deal in the way of argument for polygamy and divorce: yet these things were so decried, that they were rejected by all Christian societies: so that all such propositions would throw us into great convulsions; and entail war upon us, if any issue came from a marriage so grounded [h].

[g] (The earl of Arlington, in a letter to sir William Temple, informs him, that in this year her majesty miscarried of a child, of which she had gone twenty weeks. *Salmon's Examination*, page 616. Compare Pepys's *Diary*, vol. II. p. 227. It appears also by this *Diary*, pp. 342, 344, 345, that she was thought to be far gone with child in the year succeeding.)

[h] (There is extant a brief resolution by Burnet of two cases

An accident happened at that time, that made the discoursing of those matters the common subject of conversation. The lord Roos, afterwards earl of Rutland, brought proofs of adultery against his wife; and obtained a sentence of divorce in the spiritual court; which amounting only to a separation from bed and board, he moved for a bill dissolving the bond, and enabling him to marry another wife. The duke and all his party apprehended the consequences of a parliamentary divorce: so they opposed this with great heat: and almost all the bishops were of that side: only Cosins and Wilkins, the bishops of Durham and Chester, were for it. And the king was as earnest in the setting it on, as the duke was in opposing it. The zeal which the two brothers expressed on that occasion made all people conclude, that they had a particular concern in the matter. The bill passed: and upon that precedent some moved the king, that he would order a bill to be brought in to divorce him from the queen. This went so far, that a day was agreed on for making the motion in the house of commons, as Mr. May of the privy purse told me; (who had the greatest and longest share in the king's secret confidence of any man in that time; for it was never

1668. A divorce enacted for adultery. 262

of conscience, viz, *Is a woman's barrenness a just ground for divorce or polygamy; and is polygamy in any case lawful under the Gospel?* The questions are resolved affirmatively. The original, in the author's hand-writing, was copied at Ham in 1680, with duke Lauderdale's permission, by Paterson, archbishop of Glasgow, as he had testified under his episcopal seal, Burnet's papers being then in the duke's possession. The cases were printed in 1731. It is however somewhere asserted, that he soon afterwards replied to his own resolution of these cases. And he says above, at page 174 folio edit., that he was once persuaded, that the queen was not fit for marriage.)

1668. broke off, though often shaken, he being in his notions against every thing that the king was for, both France, popery, and arbitrary government: but a particular sympathy of temper, and his serving the king in his vices, created a confidence much envied, and often attempted to be broke, but never with any success beyond a short coldness:) but he added, when he told me of this design, that three days before the motion was to be made, the king called for him, and told him, that matter must be let alone, for it would not do. This disturbed him much; for he had engaged himself far in laying the thing, and in managing those who were to undertake the debate.

A great dissolution of morals in court.

At this time the court fell into much extravagance in masquerading; both king and queen, and all the court, went about masked, and came into houses unknown, and danced there with a great deal of wild frolic. In all this people were so disguised, that without being on the secret none could distinguish them[i]. They were carried about in hackney chairs. Once the queen's chairmen, not knowing who she was, went from her: so she was alone, and was much disturbed, and came to Whitehall in a hackney coach: some say it was in a cart. The duke of Buckingham proposed to the king, that he would give him leave to steal her away, and send her to a plantation, where she should be well and carefully looked to, but never heard of any more; so it should be given out, that she had deserted;
263 and upon that it would fall in with some principles to carry an act for a divorce, grounded upon

[i] King George. S. (Swift alludes to similar frolics in the court of George the first.)

the pretence of a wilful desertion. Sir Robert Murray told me, that the king himself rejected this with horror. He said, it was a wicked thing to make a poor lady miserable, only because she was his wife, and had no children by him, which was no fault of hers. The hints of this broke out: for the duke of Buckingham could conceal nothing. And upon that the earl of Manchester, then lord chamberlain, told the queen, it was neither decent nor safe for her to go about in such a manner as she had done of late: so she gave it over. But at last all these schemes settled in a proposition, into which the king went; which was to deal with the queen's confessor, that he might persuade her to leave the world, and to turn religious: upon which the parliament would have been easily prevailed on to pass a divorce. This came to be known: but what steps were made in it were never known. It was believed, that upon this the duchess of York sent an express to Rome with the notice of her conversion; and that orders were sent from Rome to all about the queen to persuade her against such a proposition, if any should suggest it to her. She herself had no mind to be nun: and the duchess was afraid of seeing another queen: and the mistress, created at that time duchess of Cleveland, knew that she must be the first sacrifice to a beloved queen: and she reconciled herself upon this to the duchess of York. The duke of Buckingham upon that broke with her, and studied to take the king from her by new amours: and because he thought a gayety of humour would take much with the king, he engaged him to entertain two players one after another, Davies and Guin. The first did not keep her hold long; but Guin, the indiscreetest and 1668.

1668. wildest creature that ever was in a court, continued to the end of the king's life in great favour, and was maintained at a vast expense. The duke of Buckingham told me, that when she was first brought to the king, she asked only five hundred pounds a year: and the king refused it. But when he told me this, about four years after, he said, she had got of the king about sixty thousand pounds. She acted all persons in so lively a manner, and was such a constant diversion to the king, that even a new mistress could not drive her away. But after all, he never treated her with the decencies of a mistress[k] [but rather with the lewdness of a prostitute; as she had been indeed to a great many: and therefore she called the king her Charles the third. Since she had been formerly kept by two of that name.] The king had another mistress, that was managed by lord Shaftsbury, who was the daughter of a clergyman, Roberts; in whom her first education had so deep a root, that, though she fell into many scandalous disorders, with very dismal adventures in them all, yet a principle of religion was so deep-laid in her, that, though it did not restrain her, yet it kept alive in
264 her such a constant horror at sin, that she was never easy in an ill course, and died with a great sense of her former ill life. I was often with her the last three months of her life. The duchess of Cleveland, finding that she had lost the king[l], abandoned herself to great disorders: one of which, by the artifice of the duke of Buckingham, was discovered by the king in

[k] Pray what decencies are those? S.

[l] The king made Will Legge sing a ballad to her, that began with these words—*Poor Allinda's growing old; those charms are now no more*—which she understood were applied to herself. D.

person, the party concerned leaping out of the window [m]. She also spoke of the king to all people in such a manner, as brought him under much contempt. But he seemed insensible: and though libels of all sorts had then a very free course, yet he was never disturbed at it. 1668.

Many libels writ by the best wits of that time.

The three most eminent wits of that time, on whom all the lively libels were fastened, were the earls of Dorset and Rochester, and sir Charles Sidley. Lord Dorset was a generous good-natured man. He was so oppressed with phlegm, that till he was a little heated with wine he scarce ever spoke: but he was upon that exaltation a very lively man. Never was so much ill nature in a pen as in his, joined with so much good nature as was in himself, even to excess; for he was against all punishing, even of malefactors. He was bountiful, even to run himself into difficulties: and charitable to a fault; for he commonly gave all he had about him, when he met an object that moved him. But he was so lazy, that, though the king seemed to court him to be a favourite, he would not give himself the trouble that belonged to that post. He hated the court, and despised the king, when he saw he was neither generous nor tender hearted. Wilmot, earl of Rochester, was naturally modest, till the court corrupted him. His wit had in it a peculiar brightness, to which none could ever arrive. He gave himself up to all sorts of extravagance, and to the wildest frolics that a wanton wit could devise. He would have

[m] Jack Churchill, since duke of Marlborough; who, the duchess said, had received a great deal of her money for very little service done her, to a near relation of hers, from whom I had it. D.

1668. gone about the streets as a beggar, and made love as a porter. He set up a stage as an Italian mountebank. He was for some years always drunk, and was ever doing some mischief. The king loved his company for the diversion it afforded, better than his person: and there was no love lost between them[n]. He took his revenges in many libels. He found out a footman that knew all the court, and he furnished him with a red coat and a musket as a centinel, and kept him all the winter long every night at the doors of such ladies as he believed might be in intrigues. In the court a centinel is little minded, and is believed to be posted by a captain of the guards to hinder a combat: so this man saw who walked about, and visited at forbidden hours. By this means lord Rochester made many discoveries. And when he was well furnished with materials, he used to
265 retire into the country for a month or two to write libels: once being drunk, he intended to give the king a libel that he had writ on some ladies: but by a mistake he gave him one written on himself. He fell into an ill habit of body: and in several fits of sickness he had deep remorses; for he was guilty both of much impiety and of great immoralities. But as he recovered he threw these off, and turned again to his former ill courses. In the last year of his life I was much with him, and have writ a book of what passed between him and me. I do verily believe, he was then so entirely changed, that, if he had recovered, he would have made good all his resolutions. Sidley had a more sudden and copious wit, which furnished a perpetual run of dis-

[n] A noble phrase. S.

course[o]: but he was not so correct as lord Dorset, nor so sparkling as lord Rochester. The duke of Buckingham loved to have these much about him: and he gave himself up to a monstrous course of studied immoralities of the worst kinds: he was so full of mercury, that he could not fix long in any friendship, or to any design. Bennet, now made lord Arlington, and he fell out: Bennet was all cunning and artifice, and so could not hold long with him, who was so open that he disclosed every thing. Lord Arlington was engaged in a great intimacy with Clifford, Litletoun, and Duncomb. I have already given some account of the two first. Duncomb was a judicious man, but very haughty, and apt to raise enemies against himself: he was an able parliament man: but could not go into all the designs of the court; for he had a sense of religion, and a zeal for the liberty of his country. The duke of Buckingham's chief friends were the earls of Shaftsbury and Lauderdale, but above all sir Thomas Osborn, raised afterwards [by him] to be lord treasurer and earl of Danby, and since made duke of Leeds by the late king. 1668.

Sir William Coventry's character.

The king took sir William Coventry from the duke, and put him in the treasury. He was in a fair way to be the chief minister, and deserved it more than all the rest did. But he was too honest to engage in the designs into which the court was resolved to go, as soon as it had recovered a little reputation; which was sunk very low by the ill management of the Dutch war, and the squandering away of the money given for it. He was a man of

[o] No better a critic in wit than style. S.

1668. the finest and the best temper that belonged to the court[p]. The duke of Buckingham and he fell out; I know not for what reason: and a challenge passed between them, upon which Coventry was forbid the court[q]. And he upon that seemed to retire very willingly: and he was become a very religious man when I knew him. He was offered after that the best post in the court, oftener than once: but he
266 would never engage again[r]. He saw what was at bottom, and was resolved not to go through with it; and so continued to his death in a retired course of life.

The government of Ireland changed.

The duke of Ormond continued still in the government of Ireland, though several interests joined together against him. The earls of Orrery and Ranelagh on the one hand, and Talbot on the other. Lord Orrery [was a deceitful and vain man, who] loved to appear in business; but dealt so much underhand, that he had not much credit with any side. Lord Ranelagh was a young man of great parts, and as great vices: he had a pleasantness in his conversation that took much with the king, and had a great

p Compare this with lord Clarendon's account of him, in the History of his own Life. Lord Clarendon and bishop Burnet wrote of him, at different parts of his life, and as they were differently acquainted with him. O.

q Sir William Coventry was the most esteemed and beloved of any courtier that ever sat in the house of commons, where his word always passed for an undoubted truth without further inquiry, which the duke of Buckingham would have had him made use of to deceive them, upon which Coventry challenged him, as his nephew, lord Weymouth, told me. D.

r In any court office: but continued to attend the parliament, acting a great part there, in very able though decent opposition to the court measures; and those debates were chiefly carried on between him and his brother Mr. Henry Coventry, then secretary of state, who however was of a fair character in himself, and deemed the only honest minister the king had since my lord Clarendon. O.

dexterity in business. Many complaints were secretly brought against the duke of Ormond. The king loved him: and he accommodated himself much to the king's humour. Yet the king was, with much difficulty, prevailed on to put an end to his government of Ireland, and to put lord Roberts, afterwards made earl of Radnor, in his place; who was a [sullen and] morose man, believed to be severely just, and as wise as a cynical humour could allow him to be[s]. The manner of removing the duke of Ormond will give a particular character of the king's temper. He sent lord Arlington to him for his commission. The duke of Ormond said, he had received it from the king's own hands, and he would go and deliver it to him. When he carried it to the king, the king denied he had sent him any such message. Two days after that, lord Arlington was sent again with the same message: and he had the same answer: and the king disowned it again to the duke. So the king declared in the privy council the change of the government of Ireland, and made Roberts lord-lieutenant. And it flew abroad as a piece of news. The duke of Ormond hearing that, came to the king in great wrath, to expostulate upon it. But the king denied the whole thing, and sent him away: but he sent for Fitzpatrick, who had married his sister, and who told me the whole story, and sent him to the duke of Ormond, to tell him, the king had denied the matter, though it was true, for he observed he was in such a heat, that he was afraid he might have said indecent things: and he was resolved not to fall out with him: for, though his affairs made it necessary to change the government of Ire- 1668.

[s] How does that hinder wisdom? S.

1668. land, yet he would still be kind to him, and continue him lord steward. Lord Radnor did not continue long in Ireland: he was cynical in his whole administration, and uneasy to the king in every thing: and in one of his peevish humours he writ to the king, that he had but one thing to ask of him, which if it might be granted, he would never ask another, and that was, to be discharged of his employment. The lord Berkeley succeeded him, who was brother to
267 the lord Fitzharding, and from small beginnings had risen up to the greatest post a subject was capable of. In the war he was governor of Exeter for the king, and one of his generals. He was named by him governor to the duke of York. He was now made lord-lieutenant of Ireland; and afterwards sent ambassador to France, and plenipotentiary to Nimeguen. He was a man [bold and enterprising] in whom it appeared with how little true judgment courts distribute favours and honours. He had a positive way of undertaking and determining in every thing, [and looked fierce and big: and was a very weak man[t], and corrupt without shame or decency[u].]

The committee of Brookhouse.

The court delivered itself up to vice. And the house of commons lost all respect in the nation; for they gave still all the money that was asked. Yet those who opposed the court carried one great

[t] I have read some letters of his, which shew him to be a man of no mean parts, though of very loose principles; the letters were written to Long, secretary to Charles the second; both before and after his father's death. They are in the custody of sir Robert Long of Wilts. O.

[u] The editors substituted, *but was a very weak man, and not incorrupt.* Bowyer's Transcript of the Suppressed passages has above, *he was a bold assuming man;* and in this place, *but was a very weak, and a very proud man.*

point, that a committee should be named to examine the accounts of the money that was given during the Dutch war. It was carried, that they should be all men out of the house. Lord Brereton was the chief of them, and had the chair. He was a philosophical man, and was all his life long in search of the philosopher's stone, by which he neglected his own affairs; but was a man of great integrity, and was not to be gained by the flatteries, hopes, or threatenings of the court. Sir William Turner was another of the committee, who had been lord mayor of London the former year, under whose wise and just administration the rebuilding of the city advanced so fast, that he would have been chosen lord mayor for the ensuing year, if he had not declined it. Pierpoint was likewise of this committee: so was sir James Langham, a very weak man, famed only for his readiness of speaking florid Latin, which he had attained to a degree beyond any man of the age; but [he was become a pedant with it, and] his style was too poetical, and full of epithets and figures. 1668.

Halifax's character.

I name sir George Saville last, because he deserves a more copious character. He rose afterwards to be viscount, earl, and marquis of Halifax. He was a man of a great and ready wit; full of life, and very pleasant; much turned to satire[y]. He let his wit run much on matters of religion: so that he passed for a bold and determined atheist; though he often protested to me, he was not one; and said,

y I remember Burnet once made a very long impertinent speech in the house of lords, for prohibiting the use of French salt; which the marquis desired the house would excuse, it being none of that salt which seasoned all things; if it had, he was sure the bishop would have spoke more to the purpose, though possibly less in quantity. D.

1668. he believed there was not one in the world: he confessed, he could not swallow down every thing that divines imposed on the world: he was a Christian in submission: he believed as much as he could, and he hoped that God would not lay it to his charge, if he could not digest iron, as an ostrich did, nor take into his belief things that must burst him: if he had any scruples, they were not sought for, nor cherished by him; for he never read an atheistical book. [These were his excuses, but I could not quite believe him, yet[z]] In a fit of sickness, I knew him very much touched with a sense
268 of religion. I was then often with him. He seemed full of good purposes: but they went off with his sickness. He was always talking of morality and friendship. He was punctual in all payments, and just in all his private dealings. But, with relation to the public, he went backwards and forwards, and changed sides so often, that in conclusion no side trusted him. He seemed full of commonwealth notions: yet he went into the worst part of king Charles's reign. [He was out of measure vain and ambitious.] The liveliness of his imagination was always too hard for his judgment. A severe jest was preferred by him to all arguments whatsoever. And he was endless in consultations: for when after much discourse a point was settled, if he could find a new jest, to make even that which was suggested by himself seem ridiculous, he could not hold, but would study to raise the credit of his wit, though it made others call his judgment in question[a]. When

[z] Bowyer's Transcript.

[a] In the house of lords he affected to conclude all his discourses with a jest, though the subject were never so serious, and if it did not meet with the applause he expected, would be extremely out of countenance

he talked to me, as a philosopher, of his contempt of the world, I asked him what he meant by getting so many new titles, which I called the hanging himself about with bells and tinsel. He had no other excuse for it, but this, that, since the world were such fools as to value those matters, a man must be a fool for company: he considered them but as rattles: yet rattles please children: so these might be of use to his family. His heart was much set on raising his family. But, though he made a vast estate for them, he buried two of his sons himself, and almost all his grandchildren. The son that survived was an honest man, but far inferior to him, [which appeared the more sensibly, because he affected to imitate him; but the distance was too wide.] I do not remember who besides these were of that committee, which, because it sat in Brookhouse, was called by the name of that house. 1668.

The court was much troubled to see an inquiry of this kind set on foot. It was said, the king was basely treated, when all his expense was to be looked into. On the other hand it was answered, that the parliament did not look into his revenue, but only to the distribution of that treasure that was trusted to him for carrying on the war. I was told, that, after all the most shameful items that 1669. Many parliament men gained by the court.

and silent, till an opportunity offered to retrieve the approbation he thought he had lost; but was never better pleased than when he was turning bishop Burnet and his politics into ridicule. In king James's time he told his lady he was sorry he must part with her, but he designed to turn papist. She said, she hoped he would consider better of it, but if he did, where was the necessity of parting from her? He said, because he was resolved to be a priest, and having considered the matter fully, thought it was much better to be a coachman than a coach-horse. D.

1669. could be put into an account, there was none offered for about 800,000*l.* But I was not then in England: so I was very imperfectly informed as to this matter. The chief men that promoted this were taken off, (as the word then was for corrupting members,) in which the court made so great a progress, that it was thought the king could never have been prevailed on to part with a parliament so much practised on, and where every man's price was known; for as a man rose in his credit in the house, he raised his price, and expected to be treated accordingly. In all this inquiry the carelessness and luxury of the court came to be so much
269 exposed, that the king's spirit was much sharpened upon it. All the flatterers about him magnified foreign governments, where the princes were absolute, that in France more particularly. Many, to please him, said, it was a very easy thing to shake off the restraints of law, if the king would but set about it. The crown of Denmark was elective, and subject to a senate, and yet was in one day, without any visible force, changed to be both hereditary and absolute, no rebellion nor convulsion of state following on it. The king loved the project in general; but would not give himself the trouble of laying or managing it. And therefore, till his affairs were made easier, and the project grew clearer, he resolved to keep all things close within himself; and went on in the common maxim, to balance party against party, and by doing popular things to get money of his parliament, under the pretence of supporting the triple alliance. So money-bills passed easily in the house of commons: which by a strange reverse came to be opposed in the house of lords;

who began to complain, that the money-bills came up so thick, that it was said, there was no end of their giving. *End* signifying purpose, as well as a measure, this passed as a severe jest at that time. Sir John Coventry made a gross reflection on the king's amours. He was one of those who struggled much against the giving money. The common method is: after those who oppose such bills fail in the main vote, the next thing they endeavour is, to lay the money on funds that will be unacceptable, and will prove deficient. So these men proposed the laying a tax on the playhouses, which, in so dissolute a time, were become nests of prostitution. And the stage was defiled beyond all example, Dryden, the great master of dramatic poesy, being a monster of immodesty and of impurity of all sorts[b]. This was opposed by the court: it was said, the players were the king's servants, and a part of his pleasure. Coventry asked, whether did the king's pleasure lie among the men or the women that acted? This was carried with great indignation to the court. It was said, this was the first time that the king was personally reflected on; if it was passed over, more of the same kind would follow; and it would grow a fashion to talk so: it was therefore fit to take such severe notice of this, that nobody should dare to talk at that rate for the future. The duke of York told me, he said all he could to the king to divert him from the resolution 1669.

[b] As to his personal character, there was nothing remarkably vicious in it; but his plays are some of them the fullest of obscenity of any now extant. *Note in the 8vo. edition,* 1754. See Mr. Dryden's character vindicated from the vile and scandalous aspersion of this defamer general by my lord Lansdowne, in his works. vol. II. p. 253. *Cole's MS. Note.*

1669. he took; which was to send some of the guards, and watch in the streets where sir John lodged, and leave a mark upon him. Sands and Obrian, and some others, went thither; and as Coventry was going home, they drew about him. He stood up to the wall, and snatched the flambeau out of his servant's hands: and with that in the one hand, and his sword in the other, he defended himself so well, that he got more credit by it than by all the actions of his life. He wounded some of them; but was soon disarmed: and then they cut his nose to the bone, to teach him to remember what respect he owed to the king: and so they left him, and went back to the duke of Monmouth's, where Obrian's arm was dressed. That matter was executed by orders from the duke of Monmouth: for which he was severely censured, because he lived then in professions of friendship with Coventry; so that his subjection to the king was not thought an excuse for directing so vile an attempt on his friend, without sending him secret notice of what was designed. Coventry had his nose so well needled up, that the scar was scarce to be discerned[c]. This put the house of commons in a furious uproar. They passed a bill of banishment against the actors of it; and put a clause in it, that it should not be in the king's

Coventry's nose was cut.

[c] Sir J. Coventry always professed himself a zealous protestant, and was much engaged in the Whig party, but in his will recommended his soul to the intercession of the Blessed Virgin, and desired his body might be buried in Somerset house chapel, and left most of his estate to the English Jesuits at St. Omer's; to the great surprise of all his family, (as lord Weymouth told me, who was his near relation, and present at the opening of it,) there having never been the least suspicion during his life. The will was afterwards set aside by law. D.

power to pardon them[d]. This gave great advantages to all those that opposed the court: and was often remembered, and much improved, by all the angry men of this time. The names of the court and country party, which till now had seemed to be forgotten, were again revived. 1669.

When the city was pretty well rebuilt, they began to take care of the churches, which had lain in ashes some years. And in that time conventicles abounded in all the parts of the city. It was thought hard to hinder men from worshipping God any way as they could, when there were no churches, nor ministers to look after them. But they began to raise churches of boards, till the public allowance should be raised towards the building the churches. These they called tabernacles: and they fitted them up with pews and galleries as churches. So now an act was proposed, reviving the former act against conventicles, with some new

A new prosecution of conventicles.

[d] And to perpetuate the memory of this mean outrage, there is a provision in the act to make it felony without benefit of clergy, maliciously to maim or disfigure any person in the manner there mentioned. See, in the State Trials, that of one Coke, convicted upon this act. The words spoken by Coventry were indiscreet and very indecent in the place where he was, and the house might well have censured him for them; but this method of punishing him was of the highest concernment to both houses; and unnoticed, might have been of the most dangerous consequence with regard to their privileges. The duke of York's behaviour in this matter was like that of a great man, and the king's and duke of Monmouth's that of assassins. O. (True, but sir John's uncle, the celebrated sir William Coventry, on being informed that there was a design to ridicule him, by some farcical representation in a play, told Mr. Killigrew "to tell his actors, who-"ever they were, that he would "not complain to my lord "chamberlain, which was too "weak, nor get him beaten, as "sir Charles Sedley is said to "have done, but that he would "cause his nose to be cut." Pepys's *Diary*, vol. II. p. 312.)

1669. clauses in it. One was very extraordinary, that if any doubt should arise concerning the meaning of any part of this act, it was to be determined in the sense that was the most contrary to conventicles, it being the intention of the house to repress them in the most effectual manner possible. The other was, the laying a heavy fine on such justices of the peace, as should not execute the law, when informations were brought them. Upon this, many, who would not be the instruments of such severities, left the bench, and would sit there no longer. This act was executed in the city very severely in Starling's mayoralty; and put things in such disorder, that many of the trading men of the city began to talk
271 of removing with their stocks over to Holland. But the king ordered a stop to be put to farther severities. Many of the sects either discontinued their meetings, or held them very secretly with small numbers, and not in hours of public worship. Yet informers were encouraged, and were every where at work. The behaviour of the quakers was more particular, and had something in it that looked bold. They met at the same place and at the same hour as before. And when they were seized, none of them would go out of the way: they went all together to prison: they staid there till they were dismissed; for they would not petition to be set at liberty, nor would they pay their fines set on them, nor so much as the jail fees, calling these the wages of unrighteousness. And as soon as they were let out, they went to their meeting-houses again: and, when they found these were shut up by order, they held their meetings on the streets, before the doors of those houses. They said, they

would not disown or be ashamed of their meeting together to worship God: but, in imitation of Daniel, they would do it the more publicly, because they were forbidden the doing it. Some called this obstinacy, while others called it firmness. But by it they carried their point: for the government grew weary of dealing with so much perverseness, and so began with letting them alone. 1669.

The king had by this time got all the money that he expected from the house of commons, and that after great practice on both lords and commons. Many bones of contention were thrown in, to create differences between the two houses, to try if by both houses insisting on them the money-bills might fall. But, to prevent all trouble from the lords, the king was advised to go, and be present at all their debates. Lord Lauderdale valued himself to me on this advice, which he said he gave. At first the king sat decently on the throne, though even that was a great restraint on the freedom of debate; which had some effect for a while: though afterwards many of the lords seemed to speak with the more boldness, because, they said, one heard it to whom they had no other access but in that place; and they took the more liberty, because what they had said could not be reported wrong. The king, who was often weary of time, and did not know how to get round the day, liked the going to the house, as a pleasant diversion. So he went constantly. And he quickly left the throne, and stood by the fire; which drew a crowd about him, that broke all the decency of that house: for before that time every lord sat regularly in his place: but the king's coming broke the order of their sitting as

The king went commonly to the house of lords.

1669. became senators. The king's going thither had a
272 much worse effect: for he became a common solicitor, not only in public affairs, but even in private matters of justice. He would in a very little time have gone round the house, and spoke to every man that he thought worth speaking to. And he was apt to do that upon the solicitation of any of the ladies in favour, or of any that had credit with them. He knew well on whom he could prevail: so being once in a matter of justice desired to speak to the earl of Essex and the lord Hollis, he said, they were stiff and sullen men: but when he was next desired to solicit two others, he undertook to do it; and said, they are men of no conscience, so I will take the government of their conscience into my own hands. Yet when any of the lords told him plainly, that they could not vote as he desired, he seemed to take it well from them. When the act against conventicles was debated in that house, Wilkins argued long against it. The king was much for having it pass, not that he intended to execute it, but he was glad to have that body of men at mercy, and to force them to concur in the design for a general toleration. He spoke to Wilkins not to oppose it. He answered, he thought it an ill thing both in conscience and policy: therefore, both as he was an Englishman and a bishop, he was bound to oppose it. The king then desired him not to come to the house while it depended. He said, by the law and constitution of England, and by his majesty's favour, he had a right to debate and vote: and he was neither afraid nor ashamed to own his opinion in that matter, and to act pursuant to it. So he went on: and the king was not

offended with his freedom. But though he bore with such a frank refusing to comply with his desire, yet if any had made him such general answers, as led him to believe they intended to be compliant, and had not in all things done as he expected, he called that a juggling with him; and he was apt to speak hardly of them on that account. No sooner was the king at ease, and had his fleet put in good case, and his stores and magazines well furnished, than he immediately fell to negotiating with France, both to ruin Holland, and to subvert the government of England. The Brook-house business, as well as the burning his fleet, stuck as deep as any thing could do in his heart. He resolved to revenge the one, and to free himself from the apprehensions of the other's returning upon him: though the house of commons were so far practised on, that the report of Brook-house was let fall; and that matter was no more insisted on. Yet he abhorred the precedent, and the discoveries that had been made upon it. 1669. 273

The prince of Orange came over to him in the winter 1669. He was then in the twentieth year of his age: so he came over, both to see how the king intended to pay the great debt that he owed him, which had been contracted by his father on his account, and likewise to try what offices the king would do in order to his advancement to the stadtholdership. The king treated him civilly. He assured him he would pay the debt: but did not lay down any method of doing it: so these were only good words. He tried the prince, as the prince himself told me, in point of religion: he spoke of all the protestants as a factious body, broken among themselves ever since they had broken off from the

The prince of Orange came to the king.

1669. main body; and wished, that he would take more pains, and look into these things better, and not to be led by his Dutch blockheads. The prince told all this to Zuylesteyn, his natural uncle. They were both amazed at it: and wondered, how the king could trust so great a secret, as his being a papist, to so young a person. The prince told me, that he never spoke of this to any other person, till after his death [e]: but he carried it always in his own mind, and could not hinder himself from judging of all the king's intentions after that, from the discovery he had then made of his own sentiments. Nor did he, upon his not complying with that proposition, expect any real assistance of the king, but general intercessions, which signified nothing: and that was all he obtained.

The affairs of Scotland.

So far have I carried on the thread of the affairs of England, down from the peace of Breda to the year 1670, in which the negotiation with the court of France was set on foot. I am not sure, that every thing is told in just order; because I was all the while very much retired from the world and from company. But I am confident, I have given a true representation of things; since I had most of these matters from persons who knew them well, and who were not like to deceive me. But now I return to my own country, where the same spirit appeared in the administration.

A treaty for an accommodation with the presbyterians in Scotland.

The king was now upon measures of moderation and comprehension: so these were also pursued in Scotland. Leightoun was the only person among the bishops who declared for these methods: and he made no step without talking it over to me. A great many churches were already vacant. The

[e] That is, his own death. S.

people fell off entirely from all the episcopal clergy in the western counties: and a set of hot, fiery, young teachers went about among them, inflaming them more and more: so it was necessary to find a remedy for this. Leightoun proposed, that a treaty should be set on foot in order to the accommodating our differences, and for changing the laws that had carried the episcopal authority much higher than any of the bishops themselves put in practice. He saw both church and state were rent: religion was like to be lost: popery, or rather barbarity, was like to come in upon us: and therefore he proposed such a scheme, as he thought might have taken in the soberest men of presbyterian principles; reckoning that, if the schism could be once healed, and order be once restored, it might be easy to bring things into such management, that the concessions then to be offered should do no great hurt in present, and should die with that generation. He observed the extraordinary concessions made by the African church to the Donatists, who were every whit as wild and extravagant as our people were: therefore he went indeed very far in the extenuating the episcopal authority: but he thought it would be easy afterwards to recover what seemed necessary to be yielded at present. 1669. 274

He proposed, that the church should be governed by the bishops and their clergy, mixing together in the church judicatories: in which the bishop should act only as a president, and be determined by the majority of his presbyters, both in matters of jurisdiction and ordination: and that the presbyterians should be allowed, when they sat down first in these judicatories, to declare, that their sitting under a

1669. bishop was submitted to by them only for peace sake, with a reservation of their opinion with relation to any such presidency: and that no negative vote should be claimed by the bishop: that bishops should go to the churches, in which such as were to be ordained were to serve, and hear and discuss any exceptions that were made to them, and ordain them with the concurrence of the presbytery: that such as were to be ordained should have leave to declare their opinion, if they thought the bishop was only the head of the presbyters. And he also proposed, that there should be provincial synods, to sit in course every third year, or oftener, if the king should summon them; in which complaints of the bishops should be received; and they should be censured accordingly. The laws that settled episcopacy, and the authority of a national synod, were to be altered according to this scheme. To justify, or rather to excuse these concessions, which left little more than the name of a bishop, he said, as for their protestation, it would be little minded, and soon forgotten: the world would see the union that would be again settled among us, and the protestation would lie dead in the books, and die with those that made it: as for the negative vote, bishops generally managed matters so, that they had no occasion for it: but, if it should be found necessary, it might be
275 lodged in the king's name with some secular person, who should interpose as often as the bishop saw it was expedient to use it: and if the present race could be but laid in their graves in peace, all those heats would abate, if not quite fall off. He also thought, it was a much decenter thing, for bishops to go upon the place where the minister was to

serve, and to ordain after solemn fasting and prayer, than to huddle it up at their cathedrals, [f] with no solemnity, and scarce with common decency. It seemed also reasonable, that bishops should be liable to censure, as well as other people: and that in a fixed court, which was to consist of bishops, and deans, and two chosen from every presbytery. The liberty offered to such as were to be ordained, to declare their opinion, was the hardest part of the whole. It looked like the perpetuating a factious and irregular humour. But few would make use of it. All the churches in the gift of the king, or of the bishops, would go to men of other principles. But though some things of an ill digestion were at such a time admitted, yet, if by these means the schism could be once healed, and the nation again settled in a peaceable state, the advantage of that would balance all that was lost by those abatements that were to be made in the episcopal authority; which had been raised too high, and to correct that was now to be let fall too low, if it were not for the good that was to be hoped for from this *accommodation:* for this came to be the word, as *comprehension* was in England. He proposed farther, that a treaty might be set on foot, for bringing the presbyterians to accept of these concessions. The earl of Kincardin was against all treating with them: they were a trifling sort of disputatious people, [that loved logic and sophistry.] They would fall into much wrangling, and would subdivide among themselves: and the 1669.

[f] This reflection is designed for England, as there are few or no cathedrals left standing in that country, through the zeal of Knox, and the calvinistical reformers, who pulled them down. *Cole.*

1669. young and ignorant men among them, that were accustomed to popular declamations, would say, here was a bargain made to sell Christ's kingdom and his prerogative. He therefore proposed, that since we knew both their principles and their tempers, we ought to carry the concessions as far as it was either reasonable or expedient, and pass these into laws: and then they would submit to a settlement that was made, and that could not be helped, more easily than give a consent beforehand to any thing that seemed to entrench on that which they called the liberty of the church. Leightoun did fully agree with him in this. But lord Lauderdale would never consent to that. He said, a law that did so entirely change the constitution of the church, when it came to be passed and printed, would be construed in England as a pulling down of episcopacy; unless
276 he could have this to say in excuse for it, that the presbyterians were willing to come under that model. So he said, since the load of what was to be done in Scotland would fall heaviest on him, he would not expose himself so much, as the passing any such act must certainly do, till he knew what effects would follow on it. So we were forced to try how to deal with them in a treaty.

I was sent to propose this scheme to Hutchinson, who was esteemed the learnedest man among them. But I was only to try him, and to talk of it as a notion of my own. He had married my cousin-german; and I had been long acquainted with him. He looked on it as a project that would never take effect: so he would not give his opinion about it. He said, when these concessions were passed into laws, he would know what he should think of them:

but he was one of many, so he avoided to declare himself. The next thing under consideration was, how to dispose of the many vacancies, and how to put a stop to conventicles. Leightoun proposed, that they should be kept still vacant, while the treaty was on foot; and that the presbyterians should see how much the government was in earnest in the design of bringing them to serve in the church, when so many places were kept open for them. 1669.

The earl of Tweedale thought the treaty would run into a great length and to many niceties, and would perhaps come to nothing in conclusion. So he proposed the granting some of the outed ministers leave to go and serve in those parishes by an act of the king's indulgence, from whence it came to be called the indulgence. Leightoun was against this. He thought, nothing would bring on the presbyterians to a treaty, so much as the hopes of being again suffered to return to their benefices; whereas, if they were once admitted to them, they would reckon they had gained their point, and would grow more backward. I was desired to go into the western parts, and to give a true account of matters, as I found them there. So I went, as in a visit to the duke of Hamilton: whose duchess was a woman of great piety and great parts. She had much credit among them; for she passed for a zealous presbyterian, though she protested to me she never entered into the points of controversy, and had no settled opinion about forms of government; only she thought their ministers were good men, who kept the country in great quiet and order: they were, she said, blameless in their lives, devout in their way, and di- An indulgence proposed.

1669. ligent in their labours. The people were all in a phrensy, and were in no disposition to any treaty. The furiousest men among them were busy in conventicles, inflaming them against all agreements: so
277 she thought, that, if the more moderate presbyterians were put in vacant churches, the people would grow tamer, and be taken out of the hands of the mad preachers, that were then most in vogue: this would likewise create a confidence in them: for they were now so possessed with prejudices, as to believe that all that was proposed was only an artifice to make them fall out among themselves, and deceive them at last. This seemed reasonable: and she got many of the more moderate of them to come to me: and they all talked in the same strain.

An attempt to murder Sharp.

A strange accident happened to Sharp in July 1668, as he was going into his coach in full daylight, the bishop of Orkney being with him. A man came up to the coach, and discharged a pistol at him with a brace of bullets in it, as the bishop of Orkney was going up into the coach. He intended to shoot through his cloak at Sharp, as he was mounting up: but the bullet stuck in the bishop of Orkney's arm, and shattered it so, that, though he lived some years after that, they were forced to open it every year for an exfoliation. Sharp was so universally hated, that, though this was done in full daylight, and on the high street, yet nobody offered to seize the assassin. So he walked off, and went home, and shifted himself of an odd wig, which he was not accustomed to wear, and came out, and walked on the streets immediately. But Sharp had viewed him so narrowly, that he discovered him afterwards, as shall be mentioned in its proper place.

I lived then much out of the world: yet I thought it decent to go and congratulate on this occasion. He was much touched with it, and put on a shew of devotion upon it. He said with a very serious look, My times are wholly in thy hand, O thou God of my life! This was the single expression savouring of piety that ever fell from him in all the conversation that passed between him and me[g]. Proclamations were issued out with great rewards for discovering the actor: but nothing followed on them. On this occasion it was thought proper that he should be called to court, and have some marks of the king's favour put on him. He promised to make many good motions: and he talked for a while like a changed man: and went out of his way, as he was going to court, to visit me at my parsonage house, and seemed resolved to turn to other methods. The king, as he had a particular talent that way, when he had a mind to it, treated him with special characters of favour and respect. But he made no proposition to the king: only in general terms he approved of the methods of gentleness and moderation then in vogue. 1669.

When he came back to Scotland, he moved in council that an indulgence might be granted to some of the public resolutioners, with some rules and restraints; such as, that they should not speak or preach against episcopacy, and that they should not admit to either of the sacraments any of the neighbouring parishes without a desire from their own ministers; and that they should engage themselves to observe these rules. He knew that his proposition, for all the shew of moderation that was in it, 278

Sharp proposed the indulging some ministers that did not conform.

g Rank malice. S.

1669. could have no effect: for the resolutioners and the protestors had laid down their old disputes, and were resolved to come under no discrimination on that account; nor would they engage to observe any limitations that should be laid on them. They said, the government might lay restraints on them, and punish them if they broke through them: and they would obey them, or not, at their peril. But they laid down this for a maxim, that they had received a complete ministry from Christ, and that the judicatories of the church had only power to govern them in the exercise of their function. If the king should lay any limitations on them, they might obey these, as prudence should direct: but they would not bind themselves up by any engagement of their own. Burnet and his clergy, (for the diocese of Glasgow is above the fourth part of all Scotland,) came to Edenburgh full of high complaints, that the churches were universally forsaken, and that conventicles abounded in every corner of the country, A proclamation was upon that issued out, in imitation of the English act, setting a fine of 50*l.* upon every landlord, on whose grounds any conventicle was held, which he might recover, as he could, of those who were at any such conventicle. This was plainly against law; for the council had no power by their authority to set arbitrary fines. It was pretended, on the other hand, that the act of parliament that had restored episcopacy had a clause in it, recommending the execution of that act to the privy council by all the best ways they could think of. But the lawyers of the council-board said, that in matters of property their power was certainly tied up to the direction of the law: and the clause men-

tioned related only to particular methods, but could not be construed so far as this proclamation carried the matter. The proclamation went out, but was never executed. It was sent up to London, and had a shew of zeal; and so was made use of by the earl of Lauderdale, to bear down the clamour that was raised against him and his party in Scotland, as if they designed to pull down episcopacy. The model of the county militia was now executed: and above two thousand horse, and sixteen thousand foot were armed, and trained, and cast into inde- 279
pendent regiments and troops, who were all to be under such orders as the council issued out. All this was against law: for the king had only a power upon an extraordinary occasion to raise and march such a body of men as he should summon together; and that at his own charge: but the converting this into a standing militia, which carried with it a standing charge, was thought a great stretch of prerogative. Yet it was resolved on; though great exceptions were made to it by the lawyers, chiefly by sir John Nisbit, the king's advocate, a man of great learning, both in law and in many other things, chiefly in the Greek learning: he was a person of great integrity, [only he loved money too much: but he] always stood firm to the law. The true secret of this design was, that lord Lauderdale was now pressing to get into the management of the affairs of England. And he saw what the court was aiming at. And he had a mind to make himself considerable by this, that he had in his hand a great army, with a magazine of arms, and a stock of money laid up in Scotland for any accident that might happen. So all his creatures, and lady Dy- 1669.

1669. sert more than all the rest, had this up in all companies, that none before him ever dreamt how to make Scotland considerable to the king: but now it began to make a great figure. An army, a magazine, and a treasure, were words of a high sound; chiefly now that the house of commons was like to grow so intractable, that the duke of Buckingham despaired of being able to manage them. He moved the dissolving the parliament, and calling a new one: and thought the nation would choose men less zealous for the church; for these were all against him. But the king would not venture on it. He knew the house of commons was either firm to him by their own principles: or by his management they could be made so: and therefore he would not run the risk of any new election. He had the dissenters much in his power, by the severe laws under which they lay at his mercy: but he did not know what influence they might have in elections, and in a new parliament: these he knew were in their hearts enemies to prerogative; which he believed they would shew, as soon as they got themselves to be delivered from the laws, that then put them in the king's power.

Propositions for the union of the two kingdoms.

Lord Tweedale was then at London: and he set on foot a proposition, that came to nothing, but made so much noise, and was of such importance, that it deserves to be enlarged on. It was for the union of both kingdoms[h]. The king liked it; be-

[h] King William told the earl of Jersey, that it was a standing maxim in the Steward family, (whatever advances they pretended to make towards it,) never to suffer an union between the two kingdoms, though in his opinion it would be an advantage; for it could not be done without admitting a good number of Scotch members into both houses, who must de-

cause he reckoned, that, at least for his time, he should be sure of all the members that should be sent up from Scotland. The duke of Buckingham went in easily to a new thing: and lord keeper Bridgman was much for it. The lord Lauderdale pressed it vehemently: it made it necessary to hold a parliament in Scotland, where he intended to be the king's commissioner. The earl of Tweedale was for it on other accounts, both to settle the establishment of the militia, and to get some alterations made in the laws that related to the church: and he really drove at the union, as a thing which he thought might be brought about. Scotland, he said, was even then under great uneasiness, though the king knew the state of that kingdom: but when another king should reign that knew not Joseph, (so he expressed it,) the nation would be delivered up to favourites, and be devoured by them: rich provinces, like those that belonged to Spain, could hold out long under oppression; but a poor country would be soon dispeopled, if much oppressed: and if a king of deep designs against public liberty should caress the Scots, he might easily engage them; since a poor country may be supposed willing to change their seats, and to break in on a richer one: there was indeed no fear of that at present; for the dotage of the nation on presbytery, and the firmness with which the government supported episcopacy, set them so far from one another, that no engagement of that sort could be attempted: but if 1669. 280

pend upon the crown for their subsistence; but said he was not desirous the experiment should be made in his reign, for he had not the good fortune to know what would satisfy a Scotchman. D.

1669. a king should take a dexterous method for putting that out of the way, he might carry Scotland to any design he thought fit to engage in. Lord Tweedale blamed sir Francis Bacon much for laying it down as a maxim, that Scotland was to be reckoned as the third part of the island, and to be treated accordingly: whereas he assured me, Scotland for numbers of people was not above a tenth part, and for wealth not above a fortieth part of the island.

The discourse of the union was kept up, till it was resolved to summon a new parliament in Scotland. Then lord Lauderdale made the king reflect on the old scheme he had laid before him at the restoration: and he undertook to manage the parliament so, as to make it answer that end more effectually than any before him had ever done. This was resolved on in the summer 1669. I being then at Hamilton, and having got the best information of the state of the country that I could, wrote a long account of all I had heard to the lord Tweedale, and concluded it with an advice to put some of the more moderate of the presbyterians into the vacant churches. Sir Robert Murray told me, the letter was so well liked, that it was read to the king.
281 Such a letter would have signified nothing, if lord Tweedale had not been fixed in the same notion. He had now a plausible thing to support it. So my principles, and zeal for the church, and I know not what besides, were raised, to make my advice signify somewhat. And it was said, I was the man that went most entirely into Leightoun's maxims. So this indiscreet letter of mine, sent without communicating it to Leightoun, gave the deciding stroke. And, as may be easily believed, it drew much hatred

on me from all that either knew it, or did suspect it. 1669.

The king wrote a letter to the privy council, ordering them to indulge such of the presbyterians as were peaceable and loyal, so far as to suffer them to serve in vacant churches, though they did not submit to the present establishment: and he required them to set them such rules as might preserve order and peace, and to look well to the execution of them: and as for such as could not be provided to churches at that time, he ordered a pension of twenty pounds sterling a year to be paid every one of them, as long as they lived orderly. Nothing followed on the second article of this letter: the presbyterians looked on this, as the king's hire to be silent, and not to do their duty: and none of them would accept of it. But, as to the first part of the letter, on the first council day after it was read, twelve of the ministers were indulged: they had parishes assigned them: and about thirty more were afterwards indulged in the same manner: and then a stop was put to it for some time. With the warrants that they had for their churches, there was a paper of rules likewise put in their hands. Hutcheson, in all their names, made a speech to the council: he began with decent expressions of thanks to the king and their lordships: he said, they should at all times give such obedience to laws and orders, as could stand with a good conscience. And so they were dismissed. As for those of them that were allowed to go to the churches where they had served before, no difficulty could be made: but those of them that were named to other churches would not enter on the serving them, till the church

The king gave orders for the indulgence.

1669. sessions, and the inhabitants of the parish met, and made choice of them for their pastors, and gave them a call (as they worded it) to serve among them. But upon this, scruples arose among some, who said the people's choice ought to be free; whereas now they were limited to the person named by the council, which looked like an election upon a *congé d'élire* with a letter naming the person, with which they had often diverted themselves. But scruples are mighty things, when they concur with inclination or interest: and when they are not sup-
282 ported by these, men learn distinctions to get free from them. So it happened in this case: for though some few were startled at these things, yet they lay in no man's way; for every man went, and was possessed of the church marked out for him. And at first the people of the country ran to them with a sort of transport of joy. Yet this was soon cooled. It was hoped, that they would have begun their ministry with a public testimony against all that had been done in opposition to what they were accustomed to call the work of God. But they were silent at that time, and preached only the doctrines of Christianity. This disgusted all those who loved to hear their ministers preach to the times, as they called it. The stop put to the indulgence made many conclude, that those who had obtained the favour, had entered into secret engagements. So they came to call them the king's curates, as they had called the clergy in derision the bishops' curates. Their caution brought them under a worse character of *dumb dogs*, that could not bark. Those, who by their fierce behaviour had shut themselves out from a share in the

indulgence, began to call this Erastianism, and the civil magistrates assuming the power of sacred matters. They said, this was visibly an artifice to lay things asleep with the present generation; and was one of the depths of Satan, to give a present quiet, in order to the certain destruction of presbytery. And it was also said, that there was a visible departing of the divine assistance from those preachers: they preached no more with the power and authority that had accompanied them at conventicles. So many began to fall off from them, and to go again to conventicles. Many of the preachers confessed to me, that they found an ignorance and a deadness among those who had been the hottest upon their meetings, beyond what could have been imagined. They that could have argued about the intrinsic power of the church, and episcopacy, and presbytery, upon which all their sermons had chiefly run for several years, knew very little of the essentials of religion. But the indulged preachers, instead of setting themselves with the zeal and courage that became them against the follies of the people, of which they confessed to my self they were very sensible, took a different method; and studied by mean compliances to gain upon their affections, and to take them out of the hands of some fiery men, that were going up and down among them. The tempers of some brought them under this servile popularity, into which others went out of a desire to live easy. 1669.

The indulgence was settled in a hurry. But when it came to be descanted on, it appeared to be plainly against law: for by the act restoring episcopacy none were capable of benefices, but such as 283 This complained of as against law.

1669. should own the authority of bishops, and be instituted by them. So now the episcopal party, that were wont to put all authority in the king, as long as he was for them, began to talk of law. They said, the king's power was bounded by the law; and that these proceedings were the trampling of law under foot. For all parties, as they need the shelter of law, or the stretches of the prerogative, are apt by turns to magnify the one or the other. Burnet and his clergy were out of measure enraged at the indulgence. They were not only abandoned, but ill used by the people, who were beginning to threaten, or to buy them out of their churches, that they also might have the benefit of the indulgence. The synod of the clergy was held at Glasgow in October: and they moved, that an address might be drawn up, representing to the king the miseries they were under, occasioned by the indulgence: they complained of it as illegal, and as like to be fatal to the church. This was, according to the words in some of their acts of parliament, a misrepresenting the king's proceedings, in order to the alienating the hearts of his subjects from him; which was made capital, as may appear by the account given in the former book of the proceedings against the lord Balmerinoch [i]. He that drew this address was one Ross, afterwards archbishop, first of Glasgow, and then of St. Andrew's; who [is yet alive, and was always a proud, ill-natured, and an ignorant man, covetous [k],] and violent out of measure. So it was drawn full of acrimony. Yet they resolved to keep it secret, till advice should be taken upon it;

[i] (See p. 22—25.) [k] The first editors printed only, *was an ignorant man.*

and accordingly to present it to the privy council, or not. A copy of this was procured by indirect methods: and it was sent up to court, after the earl of Lauderdale was come off, and was in his way to hold the parliament in Scotland. Lord Lauderdale had left all his concerns at court with sir Robert Murray: for though, at his mistress's instigation, he had used him very unworthily, yet he had so great an opinion of his virtue and candour, that he left all his affairs to his care. As soon as the king saw the clergy's address, he said, it was a new western remonstrance: and he ordered, that Burnet should not be suffered to come to the parliament, and that he should be proceeded against as far as the law could carry the matter. It was not easy to stretch this so far as to make it criminal. But Burnet being obnoxious on other accounts, they intended to frighten him to submit, and to resign his bishopric. 1669.

The parliament was opened in November. Lord Lauderdale's speech ran upon two heads. The one was, the recommending to their care the preservation of the church, as established by law: upon which he took occasion to express great zeal for episcopacy. The other head related to the union of both kingdoms. All that was done relating to that was, that an act passed for a treaty about it[1]: and in the following summer, in a subsequent session, commissioners were named, who went up to treat about it. But they made no progress: and the thing fell so soon, that it was very visible it was never intended in good earnest. 284 A parliament in Scotland.

[1] An act passed also in the English parliament, for the same purpose, 22d of Charles the second, chap. 9th. O.

1669. The two first acts that passed in parliament were of more importance, and had a deeper design. The first explained and asserted the king's supremacy: but carried it [as they are apt to do in Scotland] in such general words, that it might have been stretched to every thing. It was declared, that the settling all things relating to the external government of the church was a right of the crown: and that all things relating to ecclesiastical meetings, matters, and persons, were to be ordered according to such directions as the king should send to his privy council: and that these should be published by them, and should have the force of laws. Lord Lauderdale very probably knew the secret of the duke's religion, and had got into his favour. So it was very likely that he intended to establish himself in it, by putting the church of Scotland wholly in his power. But that was yet a secret to us all in Scotland. The method he took to get it passed was this: he told all those who loved presbytery, or that did not much favour the bishops, that it was necessary to keep them under, by making them depend absolutely on the king: this was indeed a transferring the whole legislature, as to the matters of the church, from the parliament, and vesting it singly in the king: yet, he told them, if this was done, as the circumstances might happen to be favourable, the king might be prevailed on, if a dash of a pen would do it, to change all on the sudden: whereas that could never be hoped for, if it could not be brought about, but by the pomp and ceremony of a parliament. He made the nobility see, they needed fear no more the insolence of bishops, if they were at mercy, as this would make them. Sharp did not

The supremacy carried very high.

like it, but durst not oppose it. He made a long dark speech, copied out of doctor Taylor, distinguishing between the civil and ecclesiastical authority; and then voted for it: so did all the bishops that were present: some absented themselves. Leightoun was against any such act, and got some words to be altered in it. He thought, it might be stretched to ill ends: and so he was very averse to it. Yet he gave his vote for it, not having sufficiently considered the extent of the words, and the consequences that might follow on such an act; for which he was very sorry as long as he lived. But at that time there was no apprehension in Scotland of the danger of popery. Many of the best of the episcopal clergy, Nairn and Charteris in particular, were highly offended at the act. They thought it plainly made the king our pope. The presbyterians said, it put him in Christ's stead. They said, the king had already too much power in the matters of the church: and nothing ruined the clergy more than their being brought into servile compliances and a base dependance upon courts. I had no share in the counsels about this act. I only thought it was designed by lord Tweedale to justify the indulgence, which he protested to me was his chief end in it. And nobody could ever tell me how the words *ecclesiastical matters* were put in the act. Leightoun thought, he was sure [m] it was put in after the draught and form of the act was agreed on. It was generally charged on lord Lauderdale. And when the duke's religion came to be known, then all people saw, how much the legal settlement of our religion was put in his power by this means. 1669. 285

[m] Nonsense. S.

1669. Yet the preamble of the act being only concerning the external government of the church, it was thought that the words *ecclesiastical matters* were to be confined to the sense that was limited by the preamble.

An act for the county militia.

The next act that passed was concerning the militia: all that had been done in raising it was approved: and it was enacted, that it should still be kept up, and be ready to march into any of the king's dominions, for any cause in which his majesty's authority, power, or greatness should be concerned; and that the orders should be transmitted to them from the council-board, without any mention of orders from the king. Upon this great reflections were made. Some said, that by this the army was taken out of the king's power and command, and put under the power of the council: so that if the greater part of the council should again rebel, as they did in the year 1638, the army was by the words of this act bound to follow their orders. But, when jealousies broke out in England of the ill designs that lay hid under this matter, it was thought that the intent of this clause was, that if the king should call in the Scotish army, it should not be necessary that he himself should send any orders for it: but that, upon a secret intimation, the council might do it without order, and then, if the design should miscarry, it should not lie on the king, but only on the council, whom in that case the king
286 might disown; and so none about him should be blameable for it. The earl of Lauderdale valued himself upon these acts, as if he had conquered kingdoms by them. He wrote a letter to the king upon it, in which he said all Scotland was now in

his power: the church of Scotland was now more subject to him than the church of England was: this militia was now an army ready upon call: and that every man in Scotland was ready to march, whensoever he should order it, with several very ill insinuations in it. But so dangerous a thing it is to write such letters to princes: this letter fell into duke Hamilton's hands some years after: and I had it in my hands for some days. It was intended to found an impeachment on it. But that happened at the time when the business of the exclusion of the duke from the succession of the crown was so hotly pursued, that this, which at another time would have made great noise, was not so much considered as the importance of it might seem to deserve. The way how it came into such hands was this: the king, after he had read the letter, gave it to sir Robert Murray: and when he died, it was found among his papers. He had been much trusted in the king's laboratory, and had several of his chymical processes in his hands. So the king after his death did order one to look over all his papers for chymical matters: but all the papers of state were let alone. So this, with many other papers, fell into the hands of his executors. And thus this letter came into duke Hamilton's hands; who would have made use of it, if greater matters had not been then in agitation. This is not the single instance, that I have known, of papers of great consequence falling into the hands of the executors of great ministers, that might have been turned to very bad uses, if they had fallen into ill hands. It seems of great concern, that when a minister or an ambassador dies, or is recalled, or is disgraced, all papers relating

1669.

1669. to the secrets of his employment should be of right in the power of the government. But I of all men should complain the least of this, since by this remissness many papers of a high nature have fallen in my way.

Burnet turned out, and Leighton made archbishop of Glasgow.

By the act of supremacy the king was now master, and could turn out bishops at pleasure[n]. This had its first effect on Burnet; who was offered a pension[o], if he would submit and resign, and was threatened to be treated more severely, if he stood out[p]. He complied, and retired to a private state of

([n] There is a printed copy of this act, which passed on the first of November in the year 1669, among the Sheldon papers in the Bodleian library. Whether the following clauses in it authorized the crown to deprive bishops of their sees, is left to the determination of lawyers; The title is, *An Act asserting his majesties supremacy over all persons and in all causes ecclesiastical.* By it, it is enacted, asserted, and declared, that "his majestie hath the supreme "authority and supremacy "over all persons, and in all "causes ecclesiastical within "this his kingdom, and that "by virtue thereof the order-"ing and disposal of the ex-"ternal government and polity "of the church, doth properly "belong to his majestie and "his successors as an inherent "right of the crown. And that "his majestie and his succes-"sors may settle, enact, and "emit such constitutions, acts, "and orders concerning the "administration of the external "government of the church, "and the persons employed in "the same, and concerning all "ecclesiastical meetings and "matters to be proposed and "determined therein, as they "in their royal wisdom shall "think fit." It should appear that to dispossess him of his see, Burnett's own resignation of it was thought necessary. A copy of this resignation, subscribed at Edinburgh, Dec. 24, 1669, is preserved amongst the Sheldon papers. It is stated, however, by our author, that an archbishop and bishop were displaced in Scotland in the next reign by the king's command. See p. 681, folio edit.)

[o] (It was three hundred pounds a year out of the revenues of the see of Glasgow.)

[p] ("My great crime was," the archbishop says, in a letter addressed to Sheldon on the very day of his resignation, "the information which I gave "his majestie in your grace's "hearing. Yet I bless God, "most men here think my in-"tegrity is my greatest crime.")

life, and bore his disgrace better than he had done his honours. He lived four years in the shade, and was generally much pitied: he was of himself good-natured, and sincere; but was much in the power of others[q]: he meddled too much in that which did not belong to him, and he did not understand; for he was not cut out[r] for a court, or for the ministry[s]: and he was too remiss in that which was properly his business, and which he understood to a good degree; for he took no manner of care of the spiritual part of his function. 1669. 287

The state I found things in at Glasgow.

At this time the university of Glasgow, to whom the choice of the professor of divinity does belong, chose me, though unknown to them all, to be professor there. There was no sort of artifice or management to bring this about: it came of themselves: and they did it without any recommendation of any person whatsoever[t]. So I was advised by all my friends to change my post, and go thither. This engaged me both into much study and in a great deal of business. The clergy came all to me, thinking I had some credit with those that governed, and laid their grievances and complaints before me. They were very ill used, and were so entirely forsaken by their people, that in most places they shut up their churches: they were also threatened and

[q] (There are preserved more than forty letters written by this prelate to archbishop Sheldon during the space of seven years, from 1663 to 1669, and he appears in all of them to recommend vigorous proceedings from his own opinion of their necessity, and not through the suggestion of other persons.)

[r] A phrase of dignity. S.

[s] It seems Burnet archbishop of Glasgow, a good natured, sincere man, had not the like call to meddle in matters that did not belong to him, that Burnet bishop of Salisbury had, who was cut out for a court and ministry, from nineteen years of age to seventy-two. D.

[t] Modest. S.

1669. affronted on all occasions. On the other hand, the gentlemen of the country came much to me, and told me such strange things of the vices of some, the follies of others, and the indiscretions of them all, that, though it was not reasonable to believe all that they said, yet it was impossible not to believe a great deal of it. And so I soon saw what a hard province I was like to have of it. Accounts of the state of those parts were expected from me, and were like to be believed. And it was not easy to know what ought to be believed, nor how matters were to be represented: for I found [lying and] calumny were so equally practised on both sides, that I came to mistrust every thing that I heard. One thing was visible, that conventicles abounded, and strange doctrine was vented in them. The king's supremacy was now the chief subject of declamation: it was said, bishops were indeed enemies to the liberties of the church, but the king's little finger would be heavier than their loins had been. After I had been for some months among them, and had heard so much, that I believed very little, I wrote to lord Tweedale, that disorders did certainly increase; but, as for any particulars, I did not know what to believe, much less could I suggest what remedies seemed proper: I therefore proposed, that a committee of council might be sent round the country to examine matters, and to give such orders as were at present necessary for the public quiet; and that they might prepare a report against the next session of parliament, that then proper remedies might be found out.

288 Duke Hamilton, lord Kincardin, Primerose, and Drumond, were sent to these parts. They met first

A committee of

at Hamilton, next at Glasgow: then they went to other parts; and came back, and ended their circuit at Glasgow. They punished some disorders, and threatened both the indulged ministers and the countries with greater severities, if they should still grow more and more insolent upon the favour that had been shewed them. I was blamed by the presbyterians for all they did, and by the episcopal party for all they did not; since these thought they did too little, as the others thought they did too much. They consulted much with me; and suffered me to intercede so effectually for those whom they had put in prison, that they were all set at liberty. The episcopal party thought I intended to make my self popular at their cost: so they began that strain of fury and calumny that has pursued me ever since from that sort of people[u], as a secret enemy to their interest, and an underminer of it. But I was, and still am, an enemy to all force and violence in matters of conscience: and there is no principle that is more hated by bad, ill-natured clergymen, than that. 1669. council sent round the west.

The earls of Lauderdale and Tweedale pressed Leightoun much to accept of the see of Glasgow. He declined it with so much aversion, that we were all uneasy at it. Nothing moved him to hearken to it, but the hopes of bringing about the accommodation that was proposed; in which he had all assistance promised him from the government. The king ordered him to be sent for to court. He sent for me on his way; where he stopt a day, to know from me what prospect there was of doing any good. I could not much encourage him: yet I gave him all the hopes that I could raise my self to: and I

[u] A civil term for all who are episcopal. S.

1669 was then inclined to think, that the accommodation was not impracticable. Upon his coming to London, he found lord Lauderdale's temper was much inflamed: he was become fierce and intractable. But lord Tweedale made every thing as easy to him as was possible. They had turned out an archbishop: so it concerned them to put an eminent man in his room, who should order matters with such moderation, that the government should not be under perpetual disturbance by reason of complaints from those parts.

1670. But now the court was entering into new designs, into which lord Lauderdale was thrusting himself, with an obsequious, or rather an officious zeal. I will dwell no longer at present on that, than just to name the duchess of Orleans's coming to Dover, of which a more particular account shall be
289 given, after that I have laid together all that relates to Scotland in the year 1670, and the whole business of the accommodation. Leightoun proposed to the king his scheme of the accommodation, and the great advantages that his majesty's affairs would have, if that country could be brought into temper. The king was at this time gone off from the design of a comprehension in England. Toleration was now thought the best way. Yet the earl of Lauderdale possessed him with the necessity of doing somewhat to soften the Scots, in order to the great design he was then engaging in. Upon that the king, who seldom gave himself the trouble to think twice of any one thing, gave way to it. Leightoun's paper was in some places corrected by sir Robert Murray; and was turned into instructions, by which lord

Instructions for an accommodation.

Lauderdale was authorized to pass the concessions that were to be offered, into laws. This he would never own to me, though Leightoun shewed me the copy of them. But it appeared probable, by his conduct afterwards, that he had secret directions to spoil the matter, and that he intended to deceive us all. Lord Tweedale was more to be depended on. But he began to lose ground with lady Dysert: and so his interest did not continue strong enough to carry on such a matter. 1670.

Leightoun undertook the administration of the see of Glasgow: and it was a year after this before he was prevailed on to be translated thither. He came upon this to Glasgow, and held a synod of his clergy; in which nothing was to be heard, but complaints of desertion and ill usage from them all. Leightoun, in a sermon that he preached to them, and in several discourses, both in public and private, exhorted them to look up more to God, to consider themselves as the ministers of the cross of Christ, to bear the contempt and ill usage they met with, as a cross laid on them for the exercise of their faith and patience, to lay aside all the appetites of revenge, to humble themselves before God, to have many days for secret fasting and prayers, and to meet often together, that they might quicken and assist one another in those holy exercises: and then they might expect blessings from heaven upon their labours. This was a new strain to the clergy. They had nothing to say against it: but it was a comfortless doctrine to them: and they had not been accustomed to it. No speedy ways were proposed for forcing the people to come to church, nor for sending soldiers among them, or raising the fines to

Leightoun's advices to his clergy.

1670. which they were liable. So they went home, as little edified with their new bishop, as he was with them. When this was over, he went round some parts of the country to the most eminent of the
290 indulged ministers, and carried me with him. His business was, to persuade them to hearken to propositions of peace. He told them, some of them would be quickly sent for to Edenburgh, where terms would be offered them in order to the making up our differences: all was sincerely meant: they would meet with no artifices nor hardships: and if they received those offers heartily, they would be turned into laws: and all the vacancies then in the church would be filled by their brethren. They received this with so much indifference, or rather neglect, that it would have cooled any zeal that was less warm and less active than that good man's was. They were scarce civil; and did not so much as thank him for his tenderness and care: the more artful among them, such as Hutcheson, said, it was a thing of general concern, and they were but single men. Others were more metaphysical, and entertained us with some poor arguings and distinctions. Leightoun began to lose heart. Yet he resolved to set the negotiation on foot, and carry it as far as he could.

A conference between Leightoun and some presbyterians.

When lord Lauderdale came down to hold a session of parliament, letters were writ to six of the presbyterian preachers, ordering them to come to town. There was a long conference between Leightoun and them, before the earls of Lauderdale, Rothes, Tweedale, and Kincardin. Sharp would not be present at it: but he ordered Paterson, afterwards archbishop of Glasgow, to hear all, and to

bring him an account of what passed. Leightoun laid before them the mischief of our divisions, and of the schism that they had occasioned: many souls were lost, and many more were in danger by these means: so that every one ought to do all he could to heal this wide breach, that had already let in so many evils among us, which were like to make way to many more: for his own part, he was persuaded that episcopacy, as an order distinct from presbyters, had continued in the church ever since the days of the apostles; that the world had every where received the Christian religion from bishops, and that a parity among clergymen was never thought of in the church before the middle of the last century, and was then set up rather by accident than on design: yet, how much soever he was persuaded of this, since they were of another mind, he was now to offer a temper to them, by which both sides might still preserve their opinions, and yet unite in carrying on the ends of the gospel and their ministry: they had moderators amongst them, which was no divine institution, but only a matter of order: the king therefore might name these: and the making them constant could be no such encroachment on their function, as that the peace of the church must be broke on such an account: nor could they say, 291
that the blessing of the men named to this function by an imposition of hands did degrade them from their former office, to say no more of it: so they were still at least ministers: it is true, others thought they had a new and special authority, more than a bare presidency: that did not concern them, who were not required to concur with them in any thing, but in submitting to this presidency: and, as

1670.

1670. to that, they should be allowed to declare their own opinion against it, in as full and as public a manner as they pleased: he laid it to their consciences, to consider of the whole matter, as in the presence of God, without any regard to party or popularity. He spoke in all near half an hour, with a gravity and force that made a very great impression on those who heard it. Hutcheson answered, and said, their opinion for a parity among the clergy was well known: the presidency now spoke of had made way to a lordly dominion in the church: and therefore how inconsiderable soever the thing might seem to be, yet the effects of it both had been and would be very considerable: he therefore desired, some time might be given them to consider well of the propositions now made, and to consult with their brethren about them: and, since this might seem an assembling together against law, he desired they might have the king's commissioner's leave for it. This was immediately granted. We had a second conference, in which matters were more fully opened, and pressed home, on the grounds formerly mentioned. Lord Lauderdale made us all dine together, and came to us after dinner: but could scarce restrain himself from flying out; for their behaviour seemed both rude and crafty. But Leightoun had prepared him for it, and pressed him not to give them a handle to excuse their flying off, by any roughness in his deportment towards them. The propositions offered them were now generally known. Sharp cried out, that episcopacy was to be undermined, since the negative vote was to be let go. The inferior clergy thought, that if it took effect, and the presbyterians were to be generally brought

into churches, they would be neglected, and that their people would forsake them. So they hated the whole thing. The bigoted presbyterians thought it was a snare, and the doing that which had a fair appearance at present, and was meant only to lay that generation in their graves in peace; by which means episcopacy, that was then shaking over all the nation, would come to have another root, and grow again out of that. But the far greater part of the nation approved of this design: and they reckoned, either we should gain our point, and then all would be at quiet, or, if such offers were rejected by the presbyterians, it would discover their temper, and alienate all indifferent men from them; and the nation would be convinced, how unreasonable and stubborn they were, and how unworthy they were of any farther favour. All that was done in this session of parliament was, the raising a tax, and the naming commissioners for the union with England; besides two severe acts passed against conventicles. 1670. 292

There had been a great one held in Fife, near Dunfermlin, where none had ever been held before. Some gentlemen of estates were among them: and the novelty of the thing drew a great crowd together; for intimation had been given of it some days before. Many of these came in their ordinary arms. That gave a handle to call them the rendezvous of rebellion. Some of them were taken, and brought to Edenburgh, and pressed to name as many as they knew of their fellow conventiclers: but they refused to do it. This was sent up to court, and represented as the forerunner of rebellion. Upon which lord Lauderdale, hearing what use his enemies made of it, was transported almost to fits of

New severities against conventicles.

1670. rage. Severe acts passed upon it, by which their fines were raised higher, and they were made liable to arbitrary severities. The earl of Lauderdale with his own hand put in a word in the act, that covered the papists, the fines being laid on such of the reformed religion as went not to church. He pretended by this to merit with the popish party, the duke in particular; whose religion was yet a secret to us in Scotland, though it was none at court. He said to my self, he had put in these words on design to let the party know, they were to be worse used than the papists themselves. All field conventicles were declared treasonable: and in the preacher they were made capital. The landlords, on whose grounds they were held, were to be severely fined: and all who were at them were to be punished arbitrarily, if they did not discover all that were present, whom they knew. House conventicles, crowded without the doors, or at the windows, were to be reckoned and punished as field conventicles. Sir Robert Murray told me, that the king was not well pleased with this act, as being extravagantly severe; chiefly in that of the preachers being to be punished by death.

The reformed religion.

He said, bloody laws did no good; and that he would never have passed it, if he had known it beforehand. The half of the parliament abhorred this act. Yet so abject were they in their submissions to lord Lauderdale, that the young earl of Cassilis was the single person that voted in the negative. [He was heir to his father's stiffness, but not to his other virtues.] This passed in parliament so suddenly, that
293 Leightoun knew nothing of it, till it was too late. He expostulated with lord Tweedale severely about it: he said, the whole complex of it was so contrary

to the common rules of humanity, not to say christianity, that he was ashamed to mix in counsels with those who could frame and pass such acts; and he thought it somewhat strange, that neither he nor I had been advised with in it. The earl of Tweedale said, the late field conventicle being a new thing, it had forced them to severities, that at another time could not be well excused: and he assured us, there was no design to put it in execution. 1670.

Leightoun sent to the western counties six episcopal divines, all, except my self, brought from other parts: Nairn and Charteris were two of them: the three others, Aird, Cook, and Paterson, were the best we could persuade to go round the country to preach in vacant churches, and to argue upon the grounds of the accommodation with such as should come to them. The episcopal clergy, who were yet in the country, could not argue much for any thing; and would not at all argue in favour of a proposition that they hated. The people of the country came generally to hear us, though not in great crowds. We were indeed amazed to see a poor commonalty so capable to argue upon points of government, and on the bounds to be set to the power of princes in matters of religion: upon all these topics they had texts of scripture at hand; and were ready with their answers to any thing that was said to them. This measure of knowledge was spread even among the meanest of them, their cottagers, and their servants. They were indeed vain of their knowledge, much conceited of themselves, and were full of a most entangled scrupulosity; so that they found, or made, difficulties in every thing that could be laid before them. We stayed about three months in the

1670. country: and in that time there was a stand in the frequency of conventicles. But, as soon as we were gone, a set of those hot preachers went round all the places in which we had been, to defeat all the good we could hope to do. They told them, the Devil was never so formidable, as when he was transformed into an angel of light.

The presbyterians resolved to reject the offers made them.

The outed ministers had many meetings in several parts of the kingdom. They found themselves under great difficulties. The people had got it among them, that all that was now driven at, was only to extinguish presbytery, by some seeming concessions, with the present generation; and that if the ministers went into it, they gave up their cause, that so they themselves might be provided for during their lives, and die at more ease. So they, who were strangely subdued by their desire of popularity, re-
294 solved to reject the propositions, though they could not well tell on what grounds they should justify it. A report was also spread among them, which they believed, and had its full effect upon them: it was said, that the king was alienated from the church of England, and weary of supporting episcopacy in Scotland; and so was resolved not to clog his government any longer with it; and that the concessions now made did not arise from any tenderness we had for them, but from an artifice to preserve episcopacy: so they were made believe, that their agreeing to them was really a strengthening of that government, which was otherwise ready to fall with its own weight. And because a passage of scripture, according to its general sound, was apt to work much on them, that of *touch not, taste not, handle not*, it was often repeated among them. It was generally

agreed on to reject the offers made them. The next debate among them was, about the reasons they were to give for rejecting them; or whether they would comply with another proposition, which Leightoun had made them, that, if they did not like the propositions he had made, they would see, if they could be more happy than he was, and offer at other propositions. In their meetings [there was much sad stuff;] they named [in some of them] two, to maintain the debate, *pro* and *con*. They disputed about the protestation that they were allowed to make: and *protestatio contraria facto* was a maxim that was in great vogue among them. They argued upon the obligation by the covenant to maintain their church, as then established, in doctrine, worship, discipline, and government: and so every thing that was contrary to that was represented as a breach of covenant: and none durst object to that. But that they might make a proposition, which they were sure would not be hearkened to, they proposed that among the concessions to be insisted on, one might be a liberty to ordain without the bishops. When we heard what their reasonings were, papers were writ, and sent among them, in answer to them. But it is a vain thing to argue, when a resolution is taken up, not founded on argument; and arguments are only sought for, to justify that which is already resolved on. We pressed them with this, that, notwithstanding their covenant, they themselves had afterwards made many alterations, much more important than this of submitting to a constant moderator, named by the king. Cromwell took from them the power of meeting in general assemblies: yet they went on doing the other duties of their function; though this, 1670.

1670. which they esteemed the greatest of all their rights, was denied them: when an order came out to sequester the half of the benefices of such as should still pray for the king, they upon that submitted,
295 though before they had asserted it as a duty, to which they were bound by their covenant: they had discontinued their ministry, in obedience to laws and proclamations now for nine years: and those, who had accepted the indulgence, had come in by the king's authority, and had only a parochial government, but did not meet in presbyteries: from all which we inferred, that, when they had a mind to lay down any thing that they thought a duty, or to submit to any thing that they thought an invasion of their rights, they could find a distinction for it: and it was not easy to shew, why they were not as compliant in this particular. But all was lost labour: hot men among them were positive: and all of them were full of [contentious logic. Two passages of scripture were generally applied to them. To one sort of them, that in the Proverbs, *The fool rageth, and is confident:* and to the other that in Micah, chap. vii. ver. 4, *The best of them is as a brier: the most upright* (of them) *is sharper than a thorn-hedge*[x].]

Duchess Hamilton sent for some of them, Hutcheson in particular. She said, she did not pretend to understand nice distinctions, and the terms of dispute: here was plain sense: the country might be again at quiet, and the rest of those that were outed admitted to churches, on terms that seemed to all reasonable men very easy: their rejecting this would give a very ill character of them, and would have

[x] The word *contention* was substituted for this clause.

very bad effects, of which they might see cause to repent, when it would be too late. She told me, all that she could draw from him, that she understood, was, that he saw the generality of their party was resolved against all treaties, or any agreement: and that, if a small number should break off from them, it would not heal the old breaches, but would create new ones. In conclusion, nothing was like to follow on this whole negotiation. We, who were engaged in it, had lost all our own side by offering at it; and the presbyterians would not make one step towards us. 1670.

Leightoun desired another meeting with them at Pasley, to which he carried me and one or two more. They were about thirty. We had two long conferences with them. Leightoun laid out before them the obligations that lay on them to seek for peace at all times, but more especially when we already saw the dismal effects of our contentions: there could be no agreement, unless on both sides there was a disposition to make some abatements, and some steps towards one another: it appeared, that we were willing to make even unreasonable ones on our side: and would they abate nothing in theirs? Was their opinion so mathematically certain, that they could not dispense with any part of it, for the peace of the church, and for the saving of souls? Many poor things were said on their side, which would have made a less mild man than he was lose all patience. But he bore with all [their trifling impertinences,] and urged this question on them, Would they have held communion with the church of God at the time of the council of Nice, or not? If they should say, not, he would be less de- Some conferences upon that subject. 296

1670. sirous of entering into communion with them; since he must say of the church at that time, *Let my soul be with theirs:* if they said, they would; then he was sure, they would not reject the offers now made them, which brought episcopacy much lower than it was at that time. One of the most learned among them had prepared a speech full of quotations, to prove the difference between the primitive episcopacy and ours at present. I was then full of those matters: so I answered all his speech, and every one of his quotations, and turned the whole upon him with advantages that were too evident to be so much as denied by their own party: and it seemed the person himself thought so; for he did not offer at one word of reply. In conclusion, the presbyterians desired that the propositions might be given them in writing: for hitherto all had passed only verbally; and words, they said, might be misunderstood, misrepeated, and denied, Leightoun had no mind to do it: yet, since it was plausible, to say they had nothing but words to shew to their brethren, he wrote them down, and gave me the original, which I still have in my hands; but suffered them to take as many copies of it as they pleased. At parting, he desired them to come to a final resolution, as soon as they could; for he believed they would be called for by the next January to give their answers. And by the end of that month they were ordered to come to Edenburgh. I went thither at the same time upon Leightoun's desire.

At last they refused to accept of the concessions.

We met at the earl of Rothes's house, where all this treaty came to a short conclusion. Hutcheson, in all their names, said, they had considered the propositions made to them, but were not satisfied in

their consciences to accept of them Leightoun desired to know upon what grounds they stood out. Hutcheson said, it was not safe to argue against law. Leightoun said, that since the government had set on a treaty with them, in order to the altering the laws, they were certainly left to a full freedom of arguing against them: these offers were no laws: so the arguing about them could not be called an arguing against law: he offered them a public conference upon them, in the hearing of all that had a mind to be rightly informed: he said, the people were drawn into those matters so far, as to make a schism upon them: he thought it was therefore very reasonable, that they should likewise hear the grounds examined, upon which both sides went. Hutcheson refused this: he said, he was but one man; and that what he said was in the name of his brethren, who had given him no farther authority. Leightoun then asked, if they had nothing on their 297
side to propose towards the healing of our breaches. Hutcheson answered, their principles were well enough known, but he had nothing to propose. Upon this Leightoun, in a long discourse, told what was the design he had been driving at in all this negotiation; it was to procure peace and to promote religion: he had offered several things, which he was persuaded were great diminutions of the just rights of episcopacy: yet since all church power was for edification, and not for destruction, he had thought, that in our present circumstances it might have conduced as much to the interest of religion, that episcopacy should divest itself of a great part of the authority that belonged to it, as the bishops' using it in former ages had been an advantage to re- 1670.

1670. ligion: his offers did not flow from any mistrust of the cause: he was persuaded episcopacy was handed down through all the ages of the church from the apostles' days: perhaps he had wronged the order by the concessions he had made: yet he was confident God would forgive it, as he hoped his brethren would excuse it: now they thought fit to reject these concessions, without either offering any reason for doing it, or any expedient on their side: therefore the continuance of our divisions must lie at their door, both before God and man: if ill effects followed upon this, he was free of all blame, and had done his part. Thus was this treaty broke off, to the amazement of all sober and dispassionate people, and to the great joy of Sharp, and the rest of the bishops; who now for a while seemed even pleased with us, because we had all along asserted episcopacy, and had pleaded for it in a high and positive strain.

Censures passed upon this whole matter.

I hope this will be thought an useful part of the history of that time: none knew the steps made in it better than my self. The fierce episcopal men will see, how much they were to blame for accusing that apostolical man Leightoun, as they did, on this occasion; as if he had designed in this whole matter to betray his own order, and to set up presbytery. The presbyterians may also see, how much their behaviour disgusted all wise, moderate, and good men, [how little sincere and honest they were in it, when the desire of popularity made them reject [x]] propositions, that came so home even to the maxims they had set up, that nothing but the fear of offending, that is, of losing the credit they had with their party, could be so much as pretended for

[x] *when they rejected* was substituted.

their refusing to agree to them. Our part in the whole negotiation was sincere and open. We were acted with no other principle, and had no other design, but to allay a violent agitation of men's spirits, that was throwing us into great distractions; and to heal a breach, that was like to let in an inundation of miseries upon us, as has appeared but too evi- 298
dently ever since. The high party, keeping still their old bias to persecution, and recovering afterwards their credit with the government, carried violent proceedings so far, that, after they had thrown the nation into great convulsions, they drew upon themselves such a degree of fury from enraged multitudes, whom they had oppressed long and heavily, that, in conclusion, the episcopal order was put down, [with as much injustice and violence, as had been practised in supporting it,] as shall be told in its proper place. The roughness of our own side, and the perverseness of the presbyterians, did so much alienate me from both, that I resolved to withdraw my self from any farther meddling, and to give my self wholly to study. I was then, and for three years after that, offered to be made a bishop: but I refused it. I saw the counsels were altering above: so I resolved to look on, and see whither things would turn. 1670.

My acquaintance at Hamilton, and the favour and friendship I met with from both the duke and duchess, made me offer my service to them, in order to the search of many papers that were very carefully preserved by them: for the duchess's uncle had charged her to keep them with the same care, as she kept the writings of her estate; since in these a full justification of her father's public actings, and 1671. The Memoirs of the Dukes of Hamilton was writ by me at that time.

1671. of his own, would be found, when she should put them in the hands of one that could set them in order, and in a due light. She put them all in my hands, which I acknowledge was a very great trust: and I made no ill use of it. I found there materials for a very large history. I writ it with great sincerity; and concealed none of their errors. I did indeed conceal several things that related to the king: I left out some passages that were in his letters [y]; in some of which was too much weakness, and in others too much craft and anger. [And this I owe to truth to say, that by many indications, that lay before me in those letters, I could not admire either the judgment, the understanding, or the temper of that unfortunate prince. He had little regard to law, and seemed to think he was not bound to observe promises or concessions, that were extorted from him by the necessity of his affairs. He had little tenderness in his nature; and probably his government would have been severe, if he had got the better in the war: his ministers had a hard time un-

[y] The letters, if they had been published, could not have given a worse character. S. See those Memoirs, p. 379. O. (Salmon, in his Examination of this History, vol. i. p. 691, points out a passage in these Memoirs of the Dukes of Hamilton, p. 93, in which the bishop thus expresses himself: "Because of "an ambiguous word which "was in the paper the marquis "was to offer in his majesties "name to the assembly, so "strictly conscientious was his "majesty (Charles I.) that he "wrote the sense of it in the "following letter, which is here "subjoined." Speaker Onslow refers to p. 379, of the Memoirs, and in this page are the following words:——"Having "proposed to myself nothing "more in this whole work, than "to let the world see the great "piety and strictness of con-"science that blessed prince "carried along with him in all "his affairs, and to publish "such remains of his pen as "had not formerly been seen "or known, I shall therefore "insert a copy of verses writ-"ten by his majesty in his cap-"tivity.")

der him. He loved violent counsels, but conducted them so ill, that they saw they must all perish with him. Those who observed this, and advised him to make up matters with his parliament by concessions, rather than venture on a war, were hated by him, even when the extremities to which he was driven made him follow their advices, though generally too late, and with so ill a grace, that he lost the merit of his concessions in the awkward way of granting them. This was truly duke Hamilton's fate, who in the beginning of the troubles went in warmly enough into acceptable counsels; but when he saw how unhappy the king was in his conduct, he was ever after that against the king's venturing on a war, which he always believed would be fatal to him in the conclusion.] I got through that work in a few months. When the earl of Lauderdale heard that I had finished it, he desired me to come up to him; for he was sure he could both rectify many things and enlarge on a great many more. His true design was to engage me to put in a great deal relating to himself in that work. I found another degree of kindness and confidence from him upon my coming up, than ever before. I had nothing to ask for my self, but to be excused from the offer of two bishoprics. But whatsoever I asked for any other person was granted: and I was considered as his favourite. He trusted me with all secrets, and seemed to have no reserves with me. He indeed pressed me to give up with sir Robert Murray: and I saw, that upon my doing that, I should have as much credit with him as I could desire. Sir Robert himself apprehended this would be put to me: and pressed me to 299
comply with him in it. But I hated servitude, as 1671.

1671. much as I loved him: so I refused it flatly. I told lord Lauderdale, that sir Robert had been as a second father or governor to me, and therefore I could not break friendship with him. But I promised to speak to him of nothing that he trusted to me. And this was all that ever he could bring me to, though he put it often to me. [I was in great doubt, whether it was fit for me to see his mistress. Sir Robert put an end to that; for he assured me, there was nothing in that commerce that was between them besides a vast fondness. Yet I asked lord Lauderdale how he had parted with his wife. He gave me a better account of it than I expected. I knew that she was an imperious and ill-tempered woman. He said that she herself had desired it; and that she owned that she was not at all jealous of his familiarities with lady Dysert; but that she could not endure it, because she hated her. I was thus persuaded to go to her, and was treated by them both [a]] with an entire confidence. Applications were made to me: and every thing that I proposed was done. I laid before him the ill state the affairs of Scotland were falling into, by his throwing off so many of his friends. Duke Hamilton and he had been for some years in ill terms. I laid down a method for bringing them to a better understanding. I got kind letters to pass on both sides, and put their reconciliation in so fair a way, that upon my return to Scotland it was for that time fully made up. I had authority from him to try how both the earls of Argile and Tweedale might return to their old friendship with him. The earl of Argile was ready to do every thing. But

[a] *I was treated by him*, was substituted by the editors in the room of this passage.

the earl of Athol had proposed a match between his son and lady Dysert's daughter, and he had an hereditary hatred to the lord Argile and his family: so that could not be easily brought about. Lord Tweedale was resolved to withdraw from business. The earl of Lauderdale had for many years treated his brother the lord Halton with as much contempt as he deserved; for he was both weak and violent, insolent and corrupt. He had promised to settle his estate on his daughter, when the lord Tweedale's son married her. But his brother offered now every thing that lady Dysert desired, provided she would get his brother to settle his estate on him. So lord Halton was now taken into affairs; and had so much credit with his brother, that all the dependance was upon him. And thus the breach between the earls of Lauderdale and Tweedale was irreconcileable; though I did all I could to make it up. 1671.

As to church affairs, lord Lauderdale asked my opinion concerning them. I gave it frankly to this purpose: there were many vacancies in the disaffected counties, to which no conformable men of any worth could be prevailed on to go: so I proposed, that the indulgence should be extended to them all; and that the ministers should be put into those parishes by couples, and have the benefice divided between them; and, in the churches where the indulgence had already taken place, that a second minister should be added, and have the half of the benefice: by this means I reckoned that all the outed ministers would be again employed, and kept from going round the uninfected parts of the kingdom: [I said, if this was done, either the parishes

A farther indulgence proposed.

1671. would by gratuities mend their benefices, that so the two, who had only the legal provision of one, might subsist; and if they did this, as I had reason to doubt of it, it would be a settled tax of them, of which they would soon grow weary; but if they did not, it would create quarrels, and at least a coldness among them.] I also proposed that they
300 should be confined to their parishes, not to stir out of them without leave from the bishop of the diocese, or a privy counsellor; and that, upon transgressing the rules that should be set them, a proportion of their benefice should be forfeited, and applied to some pious use. Lord Lauderdale heard me to an end: and then, without arguing one word upon any one branch of this scheme, he desired me to put it in writing; which I did. And the next year, when he came down again to Scotland, he made one write out my paper, and turned it into the style of instructions. So easily did he let himself be governed by those whom he trusted, even in matters of great consequence. Four bishops happened to die that year, of which Edenburgh was one. I was desired to make my own choice: but I refused them all. Yet I obtained a letter to be writ, by the king's order, to lord Rothes, that he should call the two archbishops, and four of the officers of state, and send up their opinion to the king of the persons fit to be promoted: and a private letter was writ to the lords, to join with Leightoun in recommending the persons that he should name. Leightoun was uneasy, when he found that Charteris, and Nairn, as well as my self, could not be prevailed on to accept bishoprics. They had an ill

opinion of the court [b], and could not be brought to leave their retirement. Leightoun was troubled at this. He said, if his friends left the whole load on him, he must leave all to Providence. Yet he named the best men he could think on. And, that Sharp might not have too public an affront put on him, Leightoun agreed to one of his nomination. But now I go to open a scene of another nature. 1671.

Foreign affairs.

The court was now going into other measures. The parliament had given the king all the money he had asked for repairing his fleet, and for supplying his stores and magazines. Additional revenues were also given for some years. But at their last sitting, in the beginning of the year 1670, it appeared that the house of commons were out of countenance for having given so much money, and seemed resolved to give no more. All was obtained under the pretence of maintaining the triple alliance. When the court saw how little reason they had to expect farther supplies, the duke of Buckingham told the king, that now the time was come in which he might both revenge the attempt on Chatham, and shake off the uneasy restraint of a house of commons. And he got leave from the king to send over sir Ellis Leightoun to the court of France, to offer the project of a new alliance and a new war. Sir Ellis told me this himself: and was proud to think that he was the first man employed in those black and fatal designs. But, in the first proposition made by us, the subduing of England, and the toleration of popery, here was offered, as that with which the design must be begun. France,

An alliance with France set on foot.

301

[b] For that very reason they should have accepted bishoprics. S.

1671. seeing England so inclined, resolved to push the matter farther [c].

The duchess of Orleans came to Dover.

The king's sister, the duchess of Orleans, was thought the wittiest woman in France, [but she had no sort of virtue, and scarce retained common decency.] The king of France had made love to her, [which she had readily entertained, but] with which she was highly incensed, when she saw it was only a pretence to cover his addresses to madamoiselle la Valiere, one of her maids of honour, whom he afterwards declared openly to be his mistress: yet she had reconciled herself to the king; and was now so entirely trusted by him, that he ordered her to propose an interview with her brother at Dover. The king went thither, and was so much charmed with his sister, that every thing she proposed, and every favour she asked, was granted: [it did not pass without the severest censures [d].] The king could

[c] (Ralph, in his History of England, vol. I. p. 185, remarks, in opposition to this account by bishop Burnet, that there is, dated from Paris, Aug. 15, 1670, extant a letter of the duke of Buckingham to lord Arlington, in which he says, "You will receive in two or "three days a proposition from "this court, concerning the "making war upon Holland "*only*, (that is, without other "stipulations,) which you may "enlarge as you please. M. "de Lionne shewed me the "model of it last night, and I "shall see the particulars be-"fore they are sent." Compare Echard's Hist. of England, p. 866. The information given the bishop by sir Ellis Leighton may, notwithstanding, have been correct, setting aside the assertion, that he was the first person employed in these negotiations. Concerning the secret treaty previously concluded with France, without the participation of Buckingham, consult Lingard's History of England, vol. VII. chap. 8. p. 509—513.)

[d] ("Before her death, it is "said, that she sent for Mr. "Ralph Mountague, the Eng-"lish ambassador, and disco-"vered to him the object of her "interview with her brother, "swearing in the most solemn "manner, that the suspicion of "having entertained too fami-"liar attachment to any of her "own blood was utterly ground-

deny her nothing. She proposed an alliance, in order to the conquest of Holland[e]. The king had a mind to have begun at home. But she diverted him from that. It could not be foreseen what difficulties the king might meet with upon the first opening the design: as it would alarm all his people, so it would send a great deal of wealth and trade, and perhaps much people, over to Holland: and by such an accession they would grow stronger, as he would grow weaker. So she proposed, that they should begin with Holland, and attack it vigorously, both by sea and land; and upon their success in that, all the rest would be an easy work. This account of that negotiation was printed twelve years after, at Paris, by one abbot Primi. I had that part of the book in my hands in which this was contained. Lord Preston was then the king's envoy at Paris: so he, knowing how great a prejudice the publishing this would be to his master's affairs, complained of it. The book was upon that suppressed; and the writer was put in the Bastille. 1671.

"less." *Cunningham's History of Great Britain, translated by Dr. Thomson, from the Latin MS.* vol. I. p. 25. Compare Burnet below, p. 612, folio ed. Mr. Fox, in his Hist. of James the second, observes, that though Burnet more covertly, and Ludlow more openly, insinuates that his fondness for his sister was of a criminal nature, he could never find that there was any ground whatever for such a suspicion; and that the little that remains of their epistolary correspondence gives it not the smallest countenance, p. 71.)

[e] ("She (the duchess) asked "me if I had remembered what "she had said to me the night "before, of your majesty's in- "tentions to join with France "against Holland; I told her, "Yes. Pray then, said she, tell "my brother, I never persuad- "ed him to it out of my own "interest, or to be more con- "sidered in this country; but "because I thought it for his "honour and advantage." *Montague's Letter to the King, giving an account of his sister's death, added to the Earl of Arlington's Letters, addressed to sir William Temple, and published in* 1701, p. 444.)

1671. But he had drawn it out of the papers of Mr. le Tellier's office: so there is little reason to doubt of the truth of the thing. Madame, as this book says, prevailed to have her scheme settled, and so went back to France. The journey proved fatal to her: for the duke of Orleans had heard such things of her behaviour, that it was said he ordered a great dose of sublimate to be given her in a glass of succory water, of which she died a few hours after in great torments: and when she was opened, her stomach was all ulcerated [f].

Soon after was poisoned.

Some of her intrigues.

Since I mention her death, I will set down one story of her, that was told me by a person of distinction, who had it from some who were well informed of the matter [g]. The king of France had courted madame Soissons, and made a shew of courting madame. But his affections fixing on madamoiselle la Valiere, she whom he had forsaken, as well as she whom he had deceived, resolved to be revenged: and they entered into a friendship in order to that. They had each of them a gallant: madame had the count de Guiche [h], and the other

302

[f] Mountague (afterwards duke of) seems to think she was poisoned, as appears in some manuscript letters of his, which I have seen, and which are now (1756) in the hands of the earl of Cardigan. Mountague was then our ambassador in France, and, as he says in one of these letters, was with her at the time of her death. O. Sir William Temple told me, the king employed him in searching into the truth of this report, but finding there was more in it than was fit to be known, unless he had been in a condition to resent it as a great king ought, advised him to drop the inquiry, for fear it should prejudice her daughters, who were afterwards married to the duke of Savoy and king of Spain. D.

[g] Poor authority. S.

[h] (Sir John Reresby, in his Memoirs, as others also, mentions this count as a reputed favourite of the duchess, p. 10. There is extant, among other pieces, one called *Histoire Galante du Comte de Guiche et Ma-*

had the marquis des Vardes, then in great favour with the king, and a very graceful person. When the treaty of the king of France's marriage was set on foot, there was an opinion generally received, that the infanta of Spain was a woman of great genius, and would have a considerable stroke in all affairs. So, many young men of quality set themselves to learn the Spanish language, to give them the more credit with the young queen. All that fell to the ground, when it appeared how weak a woman she was[i]. These two were of that number. Count de Guiche watched an occasion, when a letter from the king of Spain was given to his daughter by the Spanish ambassador, and she tore the envelope, and let it fall. He gathered up all the parcels of it, together with the seal. From these they learnt to imitate the king of Spain's writing. And they sent to Holland to get a seal engraven from the impression of the wax. When all was prepared, a letter was writ, as in the name of the king of Spain, reproaching his daughter for her tameness in suffering such an affront as the king put on her by his amours, with reflections full both of contempt and anger against the king. There was one Spanish

1671.

Some of the intrigues.

dame, 1667. But Cole refers to lord Lansdowne's Works, vol. II. p. 253, where this scandal, as he says, is wiped off. Dr. Lingard, in the seventh volume of his History of England, observes, that "the report, that to punish "the infidelity of her husband "she indulged in similar infi- "delities, was solemnly con- "tradicted by her in her last "moments, and the suspicion "that she had been poisoned "by his order, with a cup of "succory water, received no "support from the appearance "of the body, when it was "opened after death." page 512.)

[i] A story taken from an idle French Romance, called Conquêtes Amoreuses, &c. and, I think, in madame de Motteville's History of Anne of Austria. *Cole.*

1671. lady left about the queen: so they forged another letter, as from the Spanish ambassador to her, with that to the queen inclosed in it, desiring her to deliver it secretly into the queen's own hand. And they made a livery, such as the Spanish ambassador's pages wore: and a boy was sent in it with the letter. The lady suspected no forgery; but fancied the letter might be about some matter of state. She thought it safest to carry it to the king, who, reading it, ordered an inquiry to be made about it. The Spanish ambassador saw he was abused in it. The king spoke to the marquis des Vardes, not suspecting that he was in it, and charged him to search after the author of this abuse that was intended to be put on him. The two ladies now rejoiced, that the looking after the discovery was put in the hands of a man so much concerned in it. He amused the king with the inquiries that he was making, though he was ever in a wrong scent. But in all this time madame was so pleased with his conduct, that she came to like his person; and had so little command of herself, that she told madame Soissons, she was
303 her rival. The other readily complied with her. And, by an odd piece of extravagance, he was sent for: and madame Soissons told him, since he was in madame's favour, she released him from all obligations, and delivered him over to her. The marquis des Vardes thought, this was only an artifice of gallantry, to try how faithful he was to his amours: so he declared himself incapable of changing, in terms full of respect for madame, and of passion for the other. This raised in madame so deep a resentment, that she resolved to sacrifice Des Vardes, but to save the count de Guiche. So she

gave him notice, that the king had discovered the whole intrigue; and charged him to hasten out of France. And, as soon as she believed that he was in Flanders, she told all to the king of France. Upon which Des Vardes was not only disgraced, but kept long a prisoner in Aigues Mortes. And afterwards he was suffered to come to Montpelier. And it was almost twenty years after, before he was suffered to come to court. I was at court when he came first to it. He was much broke in his health, but was become a philosopher, and was in great reputation among all Des Cartes's followers. Madame had an intrigue with another person, whom I knew well, the count of Treville. When she was in her agony, she said, *Adieu Treville.* He was so struck with this accident, that it had a good effect on him; for he went and lived many years among the fathers of the oratory, and became both a very learned and devout man. He came afterwards out into the world. I saw him often[k]. He was a man of a very sweet temper, only a little too formal for a Frenchman. But he was very sincere. He was a Jansenist. He hated the Jesuits. And had a very mean opinion of the king, which appeared in all the instances in which it was safe for him to shew it. 1671.

Upon madame's death, as the marshal Bellefonds came from France with the compliment to the court of England, so the duke of Buckingham was sent thither on pretence to return the compliment, but really to finish the treaty. The king of France used him in so particular a manner, knowing his vanity, and caressed him to such a degree, that he

The treaty with France negotiated.

[k] Pretty jumping periods. S.

1671. went in without reserve into the interests of France. Yet he protested to me, that he never consented to the French fleet's coming into our seas and harbours. He said, he was offered 40,000*l.* if he could persuade the king to yield to it: and he appealed to the earl of Dorset for this, who was on the secret. He therefore concluded, since, after all the uneasiness shewed at first, the king had yielded to it, that lord Arlington had the money. Lord Shaftsbury laid the blame of this chiefly on the duke of Buckingham: for he told me, that he himself had writ a peremptory instruction to him from the king, to give up all treaty, if the French did insist on the sending a fleet to our assistance. And therefore he blamed him[1], as having yielded it up, since he ought to have broke off all farther treaty, upon their insisting on this. But the duke of York told me, there was no money given to corrupt the king's ministers; that the king and he had long insisted on having all their supplies from France in money, without a fleet; and that the French shewed them it was not possible for them to find out funds for so great an expense, unless we took a squadron of their ships; since they could not both maintain their own fleet, and furnish us with the money that would be necessary, if we took not their squadron. It was agreed, that the king should have 350,000*l.* a year during the war, together with a fleet from France. England was to attack the Dutch by sea, while the king of France should invade them by land with a mighty army. It was not doubted, but that the States would find it impossible to resist so great a force, and would therefore submit to the

304

[1] Who blamed whom? S.

two kings: so the division they agreed on was, that England should have Zealand, and that the king of France should have all the rest, except Holland, which was to be given to the prince of Orange, if he would come into the alliance: and it should be still a trading country, but without any capital ships. Lord Lauderdale said upon that occasion to me, that whatsoever they intended to do, they were resolved to do it effectually all at once; but he would not go into farther particulars. That the year 1672 might be fatal to other commonwealths, as well as to the States, the duke of Savoy was encouraged to make a conquest of Genoa[m]; though he afterwards failed in the attempt: and the king of Denmark was invited into the alliance, with the offer of the town of Hamburgh, on which he had long set his heart. The duke of Richmond was sent to give a lustre to that negotiation, which was chiefly managed by Mr. Henshaw; who told me, that we offered that king some ships to assist him in seizing that rich town. But he was then in those engagements with the states of Holland, that even this offer did not prevail on him. 1671.

Lockhart sent to France.

Lockhart was at this time brought to court by lord Lauderdale, hoping that he would continue in an entire dependance on him, and be his creature. He was under so great a jealousy from the government for his former actings, that he was too easy to enter into any employment that might bring him into favour, not so much out of any ambition to rise, as from a desire to be safe, and to be no longer looked on as an enemy to the court: for when a foreign minister asked the king's leave to treat with

[m] Geneva. S.

1671. him in his master's name, the king consented; but
305 with this severe reflection, that he believed he would be true to any body but himself[n]. He was sent to the courts of Brandenburgh and Lunenburgh, either to draw them into the alliance, or, if that could not be done, at least to secure them from all apprehensions. But in this he had no success. And indeed when he saw into what a negotiation he was engaged, he became very uneasy: for though the blackest part of the secret was not trusted to him, as appeared to me by his instructions, which I read after his death; yet he saw whither things were going. And that affected him so deeply, that it was believed to have contributed not a little to the languishing he soon fell under, which ended in his death two years after.

Pretended reasons for the Dutch war.

The war being thus resolved on, some pretences were in the next place to be sought out to excuse it: for, though the king of France went more roundly to work, and published that he was so ill satisfied with the conduct of the States, that it did not consist with his glory to bear it any longer, yet we thought it decent for us to name some particulars. It was said, we had some pretensions on Surinam, not yet completely satisfied; and that the States harboured traitors, that fled from justice, and lived in Holland: some medals were complained of, that seemed dishonourable to the king; as also some pictures: and, though these were not made by public order, yet a great noise was raised about them. But an accident happened, that the court laid great hold of. The Dutch fleet lay off the coast of England the former year: and one of the king's yachts

[n] Does he mean, Lockhart would not be true to Lockhart? S.

sailed by, and expected they should strike sail. 1671.
They said, they never refused it to any man of war: but they thought that honour did not belong to such an inconsiderable vessel. I was then at court: and I saw joy in the looks of those that were in the secret. Selden had, in his *Mare clausum*, raised this matter so high, that he made it one of the chief rights and honours of the crown of England, as the acknowledgment of the king's empire in the four seas. The Dutch offered all satisfaction for the future in this matter: but they would not send their admiral over as a criminal. While France was treating with England, they continued to amuse the Dutch: and they so possessed De Groot, then the Dutch ambassador at Paris, or they corrupted him into a belief that they had no design on them[o], that they were too secure, and depended too much on his advertisements. Yet the States entered into a negotiation, both with Spain and the emperor, and with the king of Denmark, the elector of Brandenburgh, and the duke of Lunenburgh. The king of Sweden was yet under 306
age: and the ministry there desired a neutrality. France and England sent two ambassadors to them, both men of great probity, Pompone and Coventry[p], who were both recalled at the same time to be secretaries of state. Coventry was a man of wit and heat, of spirit and candour. He never gave bad advices: but when the king followed the ill advices that others gave, he thought himself bound to excuse, if not to justify them[q]. For this the duke of

o Who on whom? S.
p Henry Coventry. O.
q A very unhappy practice of my lord Clarendon's, which for what he was not the author subjugated him to more censure

1671. York commended him much to me. He said, in that he was a pattern to all good subjects, since he defended all the king's counsels in public, even when he had blamed them most in private with the king himself. [He had accustomed himself to the northern ways of entertainment; and this grew upon him with age.]

1672. The shutting up of the exchequer. Our court having resolved on a war, did now look out for money to carry it on. The king had been running into a great debt ever since his restoration. One branch of it was for the pay of that fleet that brought him over. The main of it had been contracted during the former Dutch war. The king, in order to the keeping his credit, had dealt with some bankers, and had assigned over the revenue to them. They drove a great trade, and had made great advantage by it. The king paid them at the rate of 8 per cent: and they paid those who put money in their hands only 6 per cent: and had great credit; for payments were made very punctually. The king had in some proclamations given his faith, that he would continue to make good all his assignments, till the whole debt should be paid, which was now growing up to almost a million and a half. So one of the ways proposed for supplying the king with money was, that he should stop these

of, than what he really did advise procured him either blame or approbation. It wrought his ruin with the people, and put the king himself very likely upon venturing at measures he would otherwise have been afraid to have attempted. The treasurer Southampton did not act so. But in one instance my lord Clarendon did very nobly oppose, in the house of lords, a favourite measure of the king's. It may be seen in the printed History of his Life. The part he acted on this occasion contributed very much to his ruin with the king. O.

payments for a year, it being thought certain that by the end of the year the king would be out of all his necessities, by the hopes they had of success in the war. The earl of Shaftsbury was the chief man in this advice[r]. He excused it to me, telling me what advantage the bankers had made, and how just it was for the king to bring them to an account for their usury and extortions: and added, that he never meant the stop should run beyond the year. He certainly knew of it beforehand; and took all his own money out of the bankers' hands, and warned some of his friends to do the like[s]. Lord Lauder- 1672.

[r] Clifford had the merit of this. S. (Evelyn also says the same, although some, he adds, ascribe the measure to Shaftsbury's advice. *Memoirs*, vol. I. p. 425. "This counsel," writes Mr. North, "supposed to be "the invention of the earl of "Shaftsbury, then chancellor "of the exchequer, was as un- "happily given, as desperately "taken and executed by the lord "treasurer Clifford; though, "considering the impending "evils from the Dutch, since "it was war with them, it "might as well have pleaded "necessity, as other steps in "latter times have done. It is "plain enough that as things "stood, it was of evils the "lesser; and the wrong done "to the bankers and their cre- "ditors might have been re- "paired by the parliament; "but ill humour succeeded, and "that could not be obtained. "But at length the king him- "self, out of his great justice, "made amends by a perpetual "interest charged upon the "hereditary excise." *North's Examen of the Complete Hist. of England*, p. 37. Compare History of Customs, Aids, &c. part 1. p. 30. 8vo. But Ralph well observes, in his *History of England*, vol. I. p. 199, that the parliament at their last meeting had given eight hundred thousand pounds to fit out a fleet, besides their grants in discharge of the king's debts; and that a further supply was not possible to be given by them, if they were not permitted to meet again.)

[s] He told it to sir Charles Duncombe, who had a very great sum of his own in the exchequer, besides thirty thousand pounds of the marquis of Winchester's, that he drew out before the stop; which was the reason the duke of Bolton espoused his interest so zealously, upon his impeachment in king William's reign: and brought him off by one vote in the house of lords; though it

1672. dale did about this time marry lady Dysert upon his own lady's death: and she writ me a long account of the shutting up the exchequer, as both just and necessary. The bankers were broke; and great multitudes, who had trusted their money in their hands,
307 were ruined by this dishonourable and perfidious action. But this gave the king only his own revenue again. So other ways were to be found for an increase of treasure.

The attempt on the Dutch Smyrna fleet.

By the peace of Breda it was provided, that, in order to the security of trade, no merchants' ships should be for the future fallen on, till six months after a declaration of war. The Dutch had a rich fleet coming from Smyrna, and other parts in the Mediterranean, under the convoy of a few men of war. Our court had advice of this. And [that at the same time they might be equally infamous at home and abroad,] Holmes was ordered to lie for them, and to take them near the Isle of Wight with eight men of war. As he was sailing thither, he met Spragge, who was returning from the Straits with a squadron of our ships; and told him, that he had sailed along with the Dutch most of the way, and that they would pass within a day or two. Holmes thought he was much too strong for them; so did not acquaint Spragge with his design: for, if he had stopped him to assist in the execution, probably the whole fleet had been taken, which was reckoned worth a million and a half. When they came up, Holmes fell upon them: but their convoy did their part so well, that not only the whole fleet

was generally thought, not without some charge to Duncombe: besides some engagements in relation to another affair, then depending between Carey and Bertie. D.

sailed away, while they kept him in play, but they themselves got off at last, favoured by a mist: and there were only a few ships taken, of so small a value, that they were not worth the powder that was spent in the action. This was a breach of faith, such as even Mahometans and pirates would have been ashamed of. The unsuccessfulness of it made it appear as ridiculous as it was base. Holmes was pressed to put it on the Dutch refusing to strike sail. Yet that was so false, and there were so many witnesses to it, that he had not the impudence to affirm it[t]. 1672.

To crown all, a declaration was ordered to be set out, suspending the execution of all penal laws, both against papists and nonconformists. Papists were no more to be prosecuted for their way of worship in their own houses, and the nonconformists were allowed to have open meeting houses; for which they were to take out licenses, and none were to disturb those who should meet for worship by virtue of those licenses. Lord Keeper Bridgman had lost all credit at court: so they were seeking an occasion to be rid of him, who had indeed lost all the reputation he had formerly acquired, by his being advanced to a post of which he was not capable. He refused to put the seal to the declaration, as judging it contrary to law[u]. So he was dismissed, and the earl of A declaration for toleration.

[t] (Of the unfairness and failure of this transaction, a similar account is given by Sheffield duke of Bucks, in his short Memoirs. Works, vol. II. p. 10. Ormond's son, the excellent earl of Ossory, who had been employed in this attack on the Dutch, often spoke of it to Mr. Evelyn in terms of regret and detestation, saying, that it was the only blot in his life. See Evelyn's *Memoirs*, vol. I. pp. 424, 499.)

[u] ("The declaration for suspending the penal laws was

1672. Shaftsbury was made lord chancellor. Lord Clifford was made lord treasurer: lord Arlington and lord Lauderdale had both of them the garter: and, as Arlington was made an earl, Lauderdale was made
308 a duke: and this junto, together with the duke of Buckingham, being called the cabal, it was observed, that *cabal* proved a technical word, every letter in it being the first letter of those five, Clifford, Ashly, Buckingham, Arlington, and Lauderdale. They had all of them great presents from France, besides what was openly given them: for the French ambassador gave them all a picture of the king of France set in diamonds, to the value of 3000*l*. Thus was the nation, and our religion, as well as the king's faith and honour, set to sale, and sold. Lord Shaftsbury resolved to recommend himself to the confidence of the court by a new strain never before thought of. He said, the writs for choosing the members of the house of commons might be issued out in the intervals of a session; and the elections made upon them were to be returned into chancery, and settled there. So the writs were issued out; but whether any elections were made upon them, and returned, I cannot tell[x]. I know, the house of commons intended to have impeached him for this among other things: but he had the foresight and skill to prevent it. When the declaration for toleration was published, great endeavours were

" published the 15th of March, " 167$\frac{1}{2}$; and sir Orlando Bridg- " man resigned the seals the " 17th of November, 1672; " being above eight months " after the declaration was pub- " lished." *Salmon's Examination*, vol. I. p. 662.)

[x] There were; but the persons were not admitted to sit, and other writs were ordered for those places by the house of commons. O.

used by the court to persuade the nonconformists to make addresses and compliments upon it. But few were so blind, as not to see what was aimed at by it. 1672.

The duke was now known to be a papist: and the duchess was much suspected. Yet the presbyterians came in a body: and Dr. Manton, in their name, thanked the king for it; which offended many of their best friends. There was also an order to pay a yearly pension of fifty pounds to most of them, and of an hundred pounds a year to the chief of the party. Baxter sent back his pension, and would not touch it. But most of them took it. All this I say upon Dr. Stillingfleet's word, who assured me, he knew the truth of it. And in particular, he told me, that Pool, who wrote the Synopsis of the critics, confessed to him, that he had had fifty pounds for two years. Thus the court hired them to be silent: and the greatest part of them were so, and very compliant[y]. But now the pulpits were full of a new strain: popery was every where preached against, and the authority of the laws was much magnified. The bishops, the bishop of London (Henchman) in particular, charged the clergy to preach against popery, and to inform the people of the controversy between us and the church of Rome. This alarmed the court, as well as the city, and the whole nation. Clifford began to shew the heat of his temper; and seemed a sort of enthusiast for popery. The king com- The presbyterians gave the king thanks for the toleration.

y (Dr. Calamy, in the *Historical Account* of his own *Life*, vol. II. p. 469, complains of this reflection on the nonconformists, if silence with regard to the papists is intended by it, and because the writings of Pool, Clarkson, and the Morning Exercise, against popery, printed in 1675, within three years of the time, shew it, he says, to have been altogether unmerited.)

1672. plained to Sheldon of this preaching on controversy, as done on purpose to inflame the people, and to alienate them from him and his government. Upon
309 this, Sheldon called some of the clergy together, to consider what answer he should make the king, if he pressed him any farther on that head. Tillotson was one of these: and he suggested this answer, that, since the king himself professed the protestant religion, it would be a thing without a precedent, that he should forbid his clergy to preach in defence of a religion which they believed, while he himself said he was of it. But the king never renewed the motion [z].

The duchess of York died.

While things were in this fermentation, the duchess of York died. It was observed, that for fifteen months before that time, she had not received the sacrament; and that upon all occasions she was excusing the errors that the church of Rome was charged with, and was giving them the best colours they were capable of. An unmarried clergy was also a common topic with her. Morley had been her

[z] (Of the evasions of the king at this time from declaring himself a Roman catholic, according to his own illusory proposal, for the purpose of obtaining money from France, before he should join them in a war with the Dutch, Dr. Lingard, in his History of England, gives this entertaining account. "A year later," he adds, "Louis returned to the same "subject, and Charles objected religious scruples, which "made him desirous of consulting some celebrated theologian, but a theologian also "skilled in chemistry, that the "subject of their conversations "might be supposed to be his "favourite science. Soon afterwards he determined to "make the celebration of mass "in English, and the administration of the sacrament "under both forms the indispensable conditions of his "conversion. But Louis was "then satisfied: he had obtained his purpose of drawing the king into the war, "and therefore ceased to call "for a declaration, which must "have rendered him a useless "and burthensome ally." Vol. VII. p. 514.)

father confessor: for, he told me, she practised secret confession to him from the time that she was twelve years old: and, when he was sent away from the court, he put her in the hands of Blanford, who died bishop of Worcester[a]. Morley also told me, that upon the reports that were brought him of her slackness in receiving the sacrament, she having been for many years punctual to once a month, he had spoken plainly to her about it, and told her what inferences were made upon it. She pretended ill health and business; but protested to him, she had no scruples with relation to her religion, and was still of the church of England; and assured him, that no popish priest had ever taken the confidence to speak to her of those matters. He took a solemn engagement of her, that, if scruples should arise in her mind, she would let him know them, and hear what he should offer to her upon all of them. And he protested to me, that to her death she never owned to him that she had any scruples, though she was for some days entertained by him at Farnham, after the date of the paper which was afterwards published in her name. All this passed between the bishop and me, upon the duke's shewing me that paper all writ in her own hand, which was afterwards published by Maimburg. He would not let me take a copy of it; but he gave me leave to read it twice. And I went immediately to Morley, and gave him an account of it; from whom I had all the particulars already mentioned. And upon that he concluded, that that unhappy princess had been prevailed on to give falsehoods under her hand, and to pretend that these were the grounds of 1672.

[a] (He was at that time bishop of Oxford.)

1672. her conversion. A long decay of health came at last to a quicker crisis than had been apprehended. All of the sudden, she fell into the agony of death. Blanford was sent for, to prepare her for it, and to offer her the sacrament. Before he could come, the
310 queen came in, and sat by her. He was modest and humble, even to a fault. So he had not presence of mind enough to begin prayers, which probably would have driven the queen out of the room. But that not being done, she, pretending kindness, would not leave her. The bishop spoke but little, and fearfully [b]. He happened to say, he hoped she continued still in the truth: upon which she asked, what is truth: and then, her agony increasing, she repeated the word *truth*, *truth*, often: and in a few minutes after she died, very little beloved or lamented. Her haughtiness had raised her many enemies. She was indeed a firm and a kind friend: but the change of her religion made her friends reckon her death rather a blessing than a loss at that time to them all. Her father, when he heard of her shaking in her religion, was more troubled at it, than at all his own misfortunes. He writ her a very grave and long letter upon it, inclosed in one to the duke. But she was dead before it came into England. I have set down all that I know concerning the fatal alliance with France, and our preparations for the second Dutch war.

But that I may open the scene more distinctly, I will give as particular an account as I was able to gather of the affairs of the States of Holland at this

[b] (He had just before been informed by the duke, that she had been reconciled to the church of Rome. See Life of king James II. vol. I. p. 453.)

time. And because this was the fifth great crisis, under which the whole protestant religion was brought, I will lead my reader through a full account of them all; since I may probably lay things before him, that he may otherwise pass over, without making due reflections on them. 1672.

The first crisis was, when Charles V. by the defeating the duke of Saxony, and the getting him and the landgrave of Hesse into his hands, had subdued the Smalcaldick league; in which the strength of the protestant religion did then consist, having been weakened by the succeeding deaths of Henry VIII. and Francis I. Upon that defeat, all submitted to the emperor: only the town of Magdeburgh stood out. The emperor should either not have trusted Maurice, or have used him better: and it seems, that he reckoned Maurice had neither religion nor honour, since his ambition had made him betray his religion and abandon his party. When Maurice had got the electorate, he made himself sure of the army; and entered into an alliance with France, and other princes of the empire; and made so quick a turn on the emperor, that he had almost surprised him at Inchspruck, and of a sudden overturned all that design, upon which the emperor had been labouring for many years. This ended in the edict of Passau, which settled the peace of Germany for that time. The first crisis of the protestant religion.

The second crisis was towards the end of queen Mary's reign, when the protestant religion seemed extinguished in England; and the two cardinals of Lorrain and Granvell, then the chief ministers of the two crowns, designed a peace for that very end, that their masters might be at leisure to extirpate 311 The second crisis.

1672. heresy, which was then spreading in both their dominions. But, after they had formed their scheme, queen Mary died, and was succeeded by queen Elizabeth in England. Soon after that, the king of France was accidentally killed: so that kingdom fell under a long continuance of a minority and a civil war. And the Netherlands felt from thence, and from England, such encouragement, that they made the longest and bravest resistance that is to be found in all history; which was in a great measure owing to the obstinate and implacable cruelty of Philip II, and his great distance from the scene of the war; and was past all possibility of being made up, by reason of his perfidious breach of all agreements, and his using those that served him well in so base a manner, as he did both the duke of Alva and the prince of Parma.

The third crisis.

The third crisis lasted from 1585 to the year 1589. Then began the league of France. The prince of Parma was victorious in the Netherlands. The prince of Orange was murdered. The States fell under great distractions. And Spain entered into a design of dethroning the queen of England, and putting the queen of Scots in her stead. In order to that, they were for some years preparing the greatest fleet that the world had ever seen, which came to be called the invincible armada. All Europe was amazed at these great preparations: and many conjectures were made concerning the design of such a vast fleet. Some thought of Constantinople. Others talked of Egypt, in conjunction with the emperor of the Abissens. But that which was most probable was, that king Philip intended to make a great effort, and put an end to

the war of the Netherlands in one campaign. At last the true intent of it was found out. Walsingham's chief spies were priests: as he used always to say, an active but vicious priest was the best spy in the world. By one of these he had advice, that the king of Spain had fixed on a resolution with relation to his fleet; but that it was not yet communicated to any of his ministers in foreign courts. The king himself had indeed writ a letter about it to the pope: but it was not entered in any office: so this was all that the intelligence from Madrid could discover. Upon this, one was sent to Venice, from whence the correspondence with Rome was held. And at Rome it was found out, that one of the pope's chief confidents had a mistress, to whom twenty thousand crowns were given for a sight and copy of that letter. The copy of it was sent over soon after Christmas, in the winter 1586. By it the king of Spain had acquainted the pope, that the design of his fleet was to land in England, to destroy queen Elizabeth and heresy, and to set the queen of Scots on the throne: in this he had the concurrence of the house of Guise: and he also depended on the king of Scotland. This proved fatal to the queen of Scots. It is true, king James sent one Steward, the ancestor of the lord Blantyre, who was then of his bedchamber, with an earnest and threatening message to queen Elizabeth for saving his mother [c]. But in one of the intercepted letters

1672.

312

[c] (A letter from the king to Archibald Douglas, dated in October 1586, expresses great impatience, that he should earnestly exert himself in favour of his mother, declaring, that if her life should be taken, he would have no more to do with the instruments of her death. See *Original Letters*, edited by Mr. Ellis, vol. III. p. 14. Let. 222. The 224th Letter is a

1672. of the French ambassador's then in Scotland, found among Walsingham's papers, it appears, that the king, young as he was then, was either very double, or very inconstant in his resolutions. The French ambassador assured him, that Steward had advised the queen to put a speedy end to that business, which way she pleased; and that as for his master's anger, he would soon be pacified, if she would but send him dogs and deer. The king was so offended at this, that he said, he would hang him up in his boots, as soon as he came back. Yet when he came back, it was so far from that, that he lay all that night in the bedchamber [d]. As for the pompous embassy that was sent from France to protest against it, Maurier has told a very probable story of Henry III. writing a letter with them to the queen, advising her to proceed with all haste to do that which the embassy was sent to prevent. He saw, the house of Guise built a great part of their hopes

long and importunate one from the king to queen Elizabeth herself on the same subject, in which he seems to be aware, or at least fearful, that his wishes were misrepresented. For he thus concludes: "But "in case any do vaunt themselves to know further of my "mind in this matter, than "my ambassadors do, who indeed are fully acquainted "therewith, I pray you not to "take me to be a camelion, "but by the contrary them to "be malicious impostors, as "surely they are.")

[d] (Archbishop Spotiswood, in his History of the Church of Scotland, says, that when queen Elizabeth understood that her messenger, whom she had sent with a letter to the king, excusing the fact of his mother's death, "was returned without "audience, she laboured by her "ministers, of whom she was "ever well furnished, to pacify "his mind, and divert him "from the war he had intended. These working privately "with the king's chief counsellors, and such of his chamber as he was known to affect, dealt so as they kept off "things from breaking forth "into open hostility, which "was every day expected." Book 6. p. 359.)

on the prospect of their cousin's coming to the crown of England, which would cut off all the hopes the house of Bourbon had of assistance from thence. I have seen an original letter of the earl of Leicester's to the earl of Bedford, who had married his sister, and was then governor of Berwick, telling him, that, how high soever the French ambassadors had talked in their harangues upon that occasion, calling any proceeding against the queen of Scots an open indignity, as well as an act of hostility against France, since she was queen dowager of France; yet all this was only matter of form and decency, that was extorted from the king of France; and, how high soever they might talk, they were well assured he would do nothing upon it. So that unfortunate queen fell at that time, by reason of the Spanish preparations to conquer England, under the pretence of setting her on the throne. She died, much more decently than she had lived, in Febr. 1587 [e]. 1672.

[e] There is one particular circumstance of her life, that I do not remember any of her advocates to have mentioned, which is, that during her being in England, which was from the twenty-sixth year of her age to the forty-fifth, there was not the least imputation upon her of any commerce of irregular amours here; though from the frequent accession of men to her, she was not without opportunities enough for it. The story of the countess of Shrewsbury's jealousy of her husband's having that intercourse with her, was believed by nobody, and thought to be a piece of malice only in that strange woman. As to the necessity, and indeed justice, of the proceedings against the queen of Scots, see the Hatfield Papers, especially the second volume, lately published. O. (But what justice could there be in taking away the life of one, who was neither a subject of queen Elizabeth, nor at any time enjoying the protection of the laws, but a suppliant detained against her will in England? However, the guilt does not rest solely with Elizabeth; a jealous and apprehensive parliament concurred with a cruel woman in perpetrating this foul deed.)

1672. 313 The Spanish fleet came not as at first intended.

But the court of England saw, that if king Philip's fleet was in a condition to conquer England, he would not abandon the design, for her being put out of the way; and that he certainly intended to conquer it for himself, and not for another. So orders were given to make all possible haste with a fleet. Yet they were so little provided for such an invasion, that, though they had then twenty good ships upon the stocks, it was not possible to get them in a condition to serve that summer: and the design of Spain was to sail over in 1587. So, unless by corruption, or any other method, the attempt could be put off for that year, there was no strength ready to resist so powerful a fleet. But, when it seemed not possible to divert the present execution of so great a design, a merchant of London, to their surprise, undertook it [f]. He was well acquainted with the state of the revenue of Spain, with all their charge, and all that they could raise. He knew all their funds were so swallowed up, that it was impossible for them to victual and set out their fleet, but by their credit in the bank of Genoa. So he undertook to write to all the places of trade, and to get such remittances made on that bank, that he should by that means have it so entirely in his hands, that there should be no money current there, equal to the great occasion of victualling the fleet of Spain. He reckoned, the keeping such a treasure dead in his hands till the season of victualling was over would be a loss of 40,000*l*. And at that rate

[f] There is a tradition in the Charter-house, that this was Thomas Sutton, esquire, the founder of that hospital, at that time the richest merchant in London. *Bowyer's MS. Note.* (The same account is given in *Dr. Bearcroft's Life of Sutton*, p. 11, published in 1737.)

he would save England. He managed the matter with such secrecy and success, that the fleet could not be set out that year. At so small a price, and with so skilful a management, was the nation saved at that time. This, it seems, was thought too great a mystery of state to be communicated to Cambden, or to be published by him, when the instructions were put in his hands for writing the history of that glorious reign. But the famous Boyle, earl of Cork, who had then a great share in the affairs of Ireland, came to know it; and told it to two of his children, from whom I had it. The story is so coherent, and agrees so well with the state of affairs at that time, that it seems highly credible. And, if it is true, it is certainly one of the curiousest passages in our whole English history. I return from this digression, which I hope will be no unacceptable entertainment to the reader: it is well known how the design of the armada miscarried: and soon after that the duke of Guise was stabbed: not long after, Henry III. was also stabbed: and Henry IV. succeeded, who broke the league, with which the great designs of Spain fell to the ground. So happily did this third crisis pass over. 1672.

The fourth crisis was from the battle of Prague to the year 1630, in which, as was told in the first book, not only the elector Palatine fell, but almost all the empire came under the Austrian yoke. All attempts to shake it off proved unsuccessful, and fatal to those who undertook it, till the young and great king of Sweden, Gustavus Adolphus, engaged in it. The wars of Rochelle, together with the loss of that important place, seemed to threaten the destruction of the protestants of France. England fell 314 The fourth crisis.

1672. under those unhappy jealousies, which began a disjointing between the king and his people. And the States were much pressed by the Spaniards under Spinola. Breda was taken. But the worst of all was, a quarrel that was raised between prince Maurice and Barnevelt, that will require a fuller discussion than was offered in the former book. All agree, that William prince of Orange was one of the greatest men in story, who, after many attempts for the recovery of the liberty of the provinces, was in conclusion successful, and formed that republic. In the doing of it he was guilty of one great error, unless he was forced to it by the necessity of his affairs; which was the settling a negative in every one of the towns of Holland, in the matters of religion, of taxes, and of peace and war. It had been much safer, if it had been determined that the two thirds must concur; by which the government would have been much stronger. Some thought, that he brought in so many little towns to balance the greater, of whom he could not be sure; whereas he could more easily manage these smaller ones. Others have said, that he was forced to it, to draw them to a more hearty concurrence in the war, since they were to have such a share in the government for the future. But, as he settled it, the corruption of any one small town may put all the affairs of Holland in great disorder. He was also blamed, because he laboured to raise the power of the stadtholder so high, that in many regards it was greater than the power of the counts of Holland had been. But this was balanced by its being made elective, and by the small appointments he took to himself. It seems, he designed to have settled that honour in his family:

for after his death there were several letters found among his papers from the duke of Anjou, when the provinces invited him to be their prince, by which the duke engaged himself to leave Holland and Zealand in the prince's hands. Before he died, he had in a great measure lost the affections of the clergy: because he was very earnest for the toleration of papists, judging that necessary for the engaging men of all persuasions in the common concerns of liberty, and for encouraging the other provinces to come into the union. This was much opposed by the preachers in Holland, who were for more violent methods. Those, who but a few years before had complained of the cruelty of the church of Rome, were no sooner delivered from that, than they began to call for the same ways of prosecuting those who were of the other side. This made that great prince lose ground with the zealots of his own side before he died. With him all their affairs sunk so fast, that they saw the necessity of seeking protection elsewhere. Their ministers did of themselves, without the concurrence of the States, send to queen Elizabeth, to desire her to take them under her protection, on such terms as she should prescribe. And, though the States were highly offended at this, yet they durst not at that time complain of it, much less punish it; but were forced by the clamour of their people to follow an example that was so irregularly set them. This I had from Halewyn of Dort, of whom I shall have occasion to write afterwards. When the queen sent over the earl of Leicester, with a new title, and an authority greater than was either in the counts of Holland or in the stadtholder, by the name of supreme go-

1672. 315

1672. vernor; he, as soon as he landed at Flushing, went first to church, where he ordered prayers to be offered up for a blessing on his counsels, and desired that he might receive the sacrament next day: and there he made solemn protestations of his integrity and zeal. This pleased the people so much, that Barnevelt and the States at the Hague thought it necessary to secure themselves from the effects of such a threatening popularity: so they sent for the count, afterwards prince, Maurice, who was then at Leyden, not yet eighteen, and chose him stadtholder of Holland and Zealand. There had been no provision made against that in their treaty with the earl of Leicester. Yet he was highly offended at it. I will go no farther into the errors of his government, and the end that the queen put to it; which she did, as soon as it appeared that he was incapable of it, and was beginning to betray, and to sell their best places.

Differences between prince Maurice of Orange and Barnevelt.

Prince Maurice and Barnevelt continued long in a perfect conjunction of counsels: till upon the negotiation for a peace, or at least for a truce, they differed so much, that their friendship ended in a most violent hatred, and a jealousy that could never be made up. Prince Maurice was for carrying on the war, which set him at the head of a great army. And he had so great an interest in the conquests they made, that for that very reason Barnevelt infused it into the States, that they were now safe, and needed not fear the Spaniards any more; so
316 there was no reason for continuing the war. Prince Maurice on the other hand said, their persecuted brethren in the popish provinces wanted their help to set them at liberty. The work seemed very

easy, and the prospect of success was great. In opposition to this it was said; since the seven provinces were now safe, why should they extend their territories? Those who loved their religion and liberty in the other provinces might come and live among them: this would increase both their numbers and their wealth: whereas the conquest of Antwerp might prove fatal to them: besides, that both France and England interposed: they would not allow them to conquer more, nor become more formidable. All the zealous preachers were for continuing the war: and those that were for peace were branded as men of no religion, who had only carnal and political views. While this was in debate every where, the disputes began between Arminius and Gomarus, two famous professors at Leyden, concerning the decrees of God and the efficacy of grace; in which those two great men, Maurice and Barnevelt, went upon interest, to lead the two parties, from which they both differed in opinion. Prince Maurice in private always talked on the side of the Arminians; and Barnevelt believed predestination firmly. But, as he left reprobation out in his scheme, so he was against the unreasonable severity with which the ministers drove those points. He found the Arminians were the better patriots: and he thought the other side out of their zeal were engaged for carrying on the war, so as that they called all the others indifferent as to all religions, and charged them as favourers of Spain and popery. I will go no farther into the differences that followed, concerning the authority of the states general over the several provinces. It is certain, that every province is a separated state, and has an entire 1672.

1672. sovereignty within itself; and that the states general are an assembly of the deputies of the several provinces, but without any authority over them. Yet it was pretended, that extraordinary diseases required extraordinary remedies: and prince Maurice, by the assistance of a party that the ministers made for him among the people, engaged the States to assume an authority over the province of Holland, and to put the government in new hands. A court was erected by the same authority, to judge those who had been formerly in the magistracy. Barnevelt was accused, together with Grotius and some others, as fomenters of sedition, and for raising distractions in the country. He was condemned, and beheaded. Others were condemned to perpetual imprisonment. And every one of the judges had a great gold medal given them, in the reverse
317 of which the synod of Dort was represented, which was called by the same authority. I saw one of those medals in the possession of the posterity of one of those judges. King James assisted prince Maurice in all this: so powerfully do the interests of princes carry them to concur in things that are most contrary to their own inclinations. The prevailing passion of that king was his hatred of the puritans: that made him hate these opinions into which they went with great heat: and, though he encouraged all that were of the Arminian party in his own dominions, yet he helped to crush them in Holland: he hated Barnevelt upon another score; for his getting the cautionary towns out of his hands: and, according to the nature of impotent passions, this carried him to procure his ruin. After this victory that prince Maurice had got over the

party that opposed him, he did not study to carry it much farther. He found quickly how much he had lost the hearts of the people, who had before that time made him their idol, and now looked at him with horror. He studied to make up matters the best he could, that he might engage the States in the Bohemian war. But all that was soon at an end. It was plain that he had no design upon their liberty; though he could not bear the opposition that he began to meet with from a free state. 1672.

His death put an end to all jealousies: and his brother, prince Henry Frederick, quickly settled the disputes of Arminianism, by the toleration that was granted them. He was known to be a secret favourer of their tenets: he conducted the armies of the States with so much success, and left them so much at liberty as to all their state affairs, that all the jealousies which his brother's conduct had raised were quite extinguished by him. The States made him great presents. He became very rich. And his son had the survivance of the stadtholdership. But his son had more of his uncle's fire in him, than of his father's temper. He opposed the peace of Munster all he could. The States came then to see, that they had continued too long in their alliance with France against Spain, since France had got the ascendant by too visible a superiority. So that their interest led them now to support Spain against France. Prince William fell to be in ill terms with his mother. And she, who had great credit with the States, set up such an open opposition to her son, that the peace of Munster was in a great measure the effect of their private quarrel. Prince William, being married into the royal family of England, did all he

Prince Henry Frederick's wise government.

His son's heat.

1672. could to embroil the States with the new commonwealth. But he met with such opposition, that he, finding the States were resolved to dismiss a great
318 part of their army, suffered himself to be carried to violent counsels. I need not enlarge on things that are so well known, as his sending some of the states prisoners to Lovestein, and his design to change the government of Amsterdam: which was discovered by the postboy, who gave the alarm a few hours before the prince could get thither.

These things, and the effects that followed on them, are well known: as is also his death, which followed a few weeks after, in the most unhappy time possible for the princess royal's big belly. For as she bore her son a week after his death, in the eighth month of her time, so he came into the world under great disadvantages[g]. The States were possessed with great jealousies of the family; as if the aspiring to subdue the liberties of their country was inherent in it, and inseparable from it. His private affairs were also in a very bad condition: two great jointures went out of his estate, to his mother and grandmother, besides a vast debt that his father had contracted to assist the king. Who could have thought that an infant, brought into the world with so much ill health, and under so many ill circumstances, was born for the preservation of Europe and of the protestant religion? So unlike do the events of things prove to their first appearances. And, since I am writing of his birth, I will set down a story, much to the honour of astrology, how little regard soever I my self have to it. I had it from the late queen's own mouth; and she directed me

[g] A pretty contrast. S.

to some who were of the prince's court in that time, who confirmed it to me. An unknown person put a paper in the old princess's hands, which she took from him, thinking it was a petition. When she looked into it, she found it was her son's nativity, together with the fortunes of his life, and a full deduction of many accidents, which followed very punctually, as they were predicted. But that which was most particular was, that he was to have a son by a widow, and was to die of the small-pox in the twenty-fifth year of his age[h]. So those who were apt to give credit to predictions of that sort fancied, that the princess royal was to die; and that he was upon that to marry the widow of some other person. It was a common piece of raillery in the court, upon the death of any prince, to ask what a person his widow was. But when he was taken ill of the small-pox, then the deciphering the matter was obvious, and it struck his fancy so much, that probably it had an ill effect upon him. Thus was the young prince born; who was some years after barred by the perpetual edict from all hopes of arriving at the stadtholdership. 1672.

The chief error in De Wit's administration was, that he did not again raise the authority of the council of state; since it was very inconvenient to have both the legislature and the execution in the same hands. It seemed necessary to put the conduct of affairs in a body of men, that should indeed be accountable to the States, but should be bred to business. By this means their counsels might be both quick and secret; whereas, when all is to be determined by the States, they can have no secrets: and 319 The errors of De Wit's government.

[h] Was, was, was, was. S.

1672. they must adjourn often to consult their principals; so their proceedings must be slow. During De Wit's ministry, the council of state was so sunk, that it was considered only as one of the forms of the government. But the whole execution was brought to the States themselves. Certainly a great assembly is a very improper subject of the executive part of power. It is indeed very proper, that such a body should be a check on those, who have the executive power trusted to them. It is true, De Wit found it so; which was occasioned by reason of the English ambassador's being once admitted to sit in that council. They pretended, indeed, that it was only on the account of the cautionary towns; which moved the States to give England a right to some share in their counsels. After these were restored, they did not think it decent to dispute the right of the ambassador's sitting any more there. But the easier way was, the making that council to signify nothing, and to bring all matters immediately to the States. It had been happy for De Wit himself, and his country, if he had made use of the credit he had in the great turn upon prince William's death, to have brought things back to the state in which they had been anciently; since the established errors of a constitution and government can only be changed in a great revolution. He set up on a popular bottom: and so he was not only contented to suffer matters to go on in the channel in which he found them; but in many things he gave way to the raising the separated jurisdiction of the towns, and to the lessening the authority of the courts at the Hague. This raised his credit, but weakened the union of the provinces. The secret of all affairs, chiefly the

foreign negotiations, lay in few hands. Others, who were not taken into the confidence, threw all miscarriages on him; which was fatal to him. The reputation he had got in the war with England, and the happy conclusion of it, broke a party that was then formed against him. After that, he dictated to the States: and all submitted to him. The concluding the triple alliance in so short a time, and against the forms of their government, shewed, how sure he was of a general concurrence with every thing that he proposed. In the negotiations between the States, and France, and England, he fell into great errors. He still fancied that the king of England must see his own interest so visibly in the exaltation of the prince of Orange, that he reckoned that the worst that could happen was to raise him to the trust of stadtholder; since England could not gain so much by a conjunction with France, as by the king's having such an interest in their government, as he must certainly come to have, when his nephew should be their stadtholder. So he thought, he had a sure reserve to gain England at any time over to them. But he had no apprehension of the king's being a papist, and his design to make himself absolute at home. And he was amazed to find, that, though the court of England had talked much of that matter of the prince of Orange when the States were in no disposition to hearken to it, and so used it as a reproach or a ground of a quarrel; yet when it came more in view, they took no sort of notice of it, and seemed not only cold, but even displeased with it. The prince [was left so much to himself in his education, that he was soon let loose to that idleness, to which youth is naturally carried; nor

1672.

320

1672. was he acquainted either with history or military matters; yet] as his natural reservedness saved him from committing many errors, so his gravity, and other virtues, recommended him much to the ministers, and to the body of the people. The family of De Wit, and the town of Amsterdam, carried still the remembrance of what was passed fresh in their thoughts. They set it also up for a maxim [i], that the making of a stadtholder was the giving up their liberty, and that the consequence of it would be the putting the sovereignty of their country in him, or at least in his family. The long continuance of a ministry in one person, and that to so high a degree, must naturally raise envy, and beget discontent, especially in a popular government. This made many become De Wit's enemies, and by consequence the prince's friends. And the preachers employed all their zeal to raise the respect of the people for a family, under which they had been so long easy and happy.

The prince of Orange made general.

When the prince was of full age, it was proposed in so many places that he should have the supreme command of their armies and fleets, that De Wit saw the tide was too strong to be resisted. So, after he had opposed it long, he proposed some limitations, that should be settled previous to his advancement. The hardest of all was, that he should bind himself by oath never to pretend to be stadtholder, nor so much as to accept of it, though it should be offered him. These conditions were not of an easy digestion. Yet it was thought necessary, that the prince should be once at the head of their armies: that

[i] He can vary a phrase; set up for a maxim, and lay down for a maxim. S.

would create a great dependence on him: and if God blessed him with success, it would not be possible to keep him so low as these limitations laid him: and the obligation never to accept of the stadtholdership could only be meant of his not accepting the offer from any tumultuary bodies of the populace, or the army; but could not be a restraint on him, if the States should make the offer, since his oath was made to them, and by consequence it was in their power to release the obligation that did arise from it to themselves [k]. The court of England blamed him for submitting to such conditions. But he had no reason to rely much on the advices of those who had taken so little care of him during all the credit they had with the States, while the triple alliance gave them a great interest in their affairs. As soon as he was brought into the command of the armies, he told me, he spoke to De Wit, and desired to live in an entire confidence with him. His answer was cold: so he saw that he could not depend upon him. When he told me this, he added, that he was certainly one of the greatest men of the age, and he believed he served his country faithfully [l]. De Wit reckoned that the French could not come to Holland but by the Maese. And he had taken great care of the garrison of Maestricht; but very little of those that lay on the Rhine and the Isel, where the States had many places, but none of them good. They were ill fortified and ill supplied. But most of them were worse commanded, by men of no courage, nor practice in military affairs, who considered their governments as places of 1672. 321

[k] Bad casuist. S. (See below, p. 326. folio edit.) [l] Yet the prince contrived he should be murdered. S.

1672. which they were to make all the advantage that they could.

The fifth crisis.

Now I come to give an account of the fifth crisis brought on the whole reformation, which has been of the longest continuance, since we are yet in the agitations of it[m]. The design was first laid against the States. But the method of invading them was surprising, and not looked for. The elector of Colen was all his life long a very weak man: yet it was not thought that he could have been prevailed on to put the French in possession of his country, and to deliver himself with all his dominions over into their hands. When he did that, all upon the Rhine were struck with such a consternation, that there was no spirit nor courage left. It is true, they could not have made a great resistance. Yet if they had but gained a little time, that had given the States some leisure to look round them, to see what was to be done.

The French success.

The king of France came down to Utrecht like a land flood. This struck the Dutch with so just a terror, that nothing but great errors in his management could have kept them from delivering themselves entirely up to him. Never was more applause given with less reason than the king of France had
322 upon this campaign. His success was owing rather to De Wit's errors, than to his own conduct. There was so little heart or judgment shewn in the management of that run of success[n], that, when that year is set out, as it may well be, it will appear to be one of the *least glorious* of his life[o]; though, when

[m] Under the queen and lord Oxford's ministry. S.

[n] A metaphor, but from gamesters. S.

[o] Bowyer's Transcript has, *most infamous*.

seen in a false light, it appears one of the most glorious in history. The conquest of the Netherlands at that time might have been so easily compassed, that, if his understanding and his courage had not been equally defective, he could not have miscarried in it. When his army passed the Rhine, upon which so much eloquence and poetry have been bestowed, as if all had been animated by his presence and direction, he was viewing it at a very safe distance: [where he took the care that he has always done, to preserve himself.] When he came to Utrecht, he had neither the prince of Condé nor Mr. Turenne to advise with: and he was wholly left to his ministers. The prince of Condé was slightly wounded, as he passed the Rhine: and Turenne was sent against the elector of Brandenburgh, who was coming down with his army, partly to save his own country of Cleve, but chiefly to assist his allies the Dutch. So the king had none about him to advise with, but Pompone and Louvoy, when the Dutch sent to him to know what he demanded. Pompone's advice was wise and moderate, and would in conclusion have brought about all that he intended. He proposed, that the king should restore all that belonged to the seven provinces, and require of them only the places that they had without them; chiefly Maestricht, Bois Le Duc, Breda, and Bergen-op-zoom: thus the king would maintain an appearance of preserving the seven provinces entire, which the crown of France had always protected. To this certainly the Dutch would have yielded, without any difficulty. By this he had the Spanish Netherlands entirely in his power, separated from Holland and the empire; and might have 1672.

1672. taken them, whensoever he pleased. This would have an appearance of moderation, and would stop the motion that all Germany was now in; which could have no effect, if the States did not pay and subsist the troops. Louvoy on the other hand proposed, that the king should make use of the consternation the Dutch were then in, and put them out of a condition of opposing him for the future. He therefore advised, that the king should demand of them, besides all that Pompone moved, the paying a vast sum for the charge of that campaign; the giving the chief church in every town for the exercise of the popish religion; and that they should put themselves under the protection of France; and should send an ambassador every year with a medal acknowledging it; and should enter 323 into no treaties, or alliances, but by the directions of France, [or till advice was asked and followed.] The Dutch ambassadors were amazed, when they saw that the demands rose to so extravagant a pitch. One of them swooned away, when he heard them read: he could neither think of yielding to them, nor see how they could resist them. There was an article put in for form, that they should give the king of England full satisfaction. But all the other demands were made without any concert with England, though Lockhart was then following the court[p].

But followed by an ill management.

[p] Dr. Lingard, in the seventh volume of his *History of England*, after relating, that the protestant elector of Brandenburgh, and the Roman catholic count palatine of the Rhine, had concluded in the spring of this year a treaty, by which the churches were divided between the two communions, and provision was made for their respective ministers, out of the property formerly belonging to the clergy, in the duchies of Cleves, Juliers, and Berg, and the coun-

I say nothing of the sea-fight in Solbay, in which De Ruyter had the glory of surprising the English fleet, when they were thinking less of engaging the enemy, than of an extravagant preparation for the usual disorders of the twenty-ninth of May, which he prevented, engaging them on the twenty-eighth, in one of the most obstinate sea-fights that has happened in our age; in which the French took more care of themselves than became gallant men; [but it was believed][q] they had orders to look on, and leave the English and Dutch to fight it out, while they preserved the force of France entire. De Ruyter disabled the ship in which the duke was, whom some blamed for leaving his ship too soon. Then his personal courage began first to be called in question[r]. The admiral of the blue squadron was burnt 1672.

ties of Mark and Ravensburg, thus proceeds: "Louis, following the example, demanded for the catholics within the territory of the States, the use of one church where there were two, and the permission to build another where there was only one, with a decent provision for the clergyman out of the old church property, or some other fund. (*Dumont, Corps Univ. Diplomatique*, VII. 205.) This demand, however, gave occasion to the opponents of the court to represent Charles as leagued with Louis in a crusade for the establishment of popery; and to excite greater irritation, they informed the public, that the *principal* church in each town was demanded for the catholics." (Burnet, vol. I. p. 560.) "Another falsehood spread at the time was, that Louis assured the States that he would make peace if they accepted his conditions, whether Charles were satisfied or not." (Marvell I. 492.) Yet the contrary is the truth. In article XII. he declared that the acceptance of his conditions will not be sufficient: they must also satisfy the king of England before peace can be made. (Dumont, 206. chap. 8. p. 540.")

[q] *unless* was substituted by the editors.

[r] Publicly, I suppose the author means: for see page 219. O. (Higgons, in his Remarks, p. 179, gives the following account of the duke of York's behaviour. "The duke's ship was so disabled, that she lay a wreck on the water, upon

1672. by a fire-ship, after a long engagement with a Dutch ship much inferior to him in strength. In it the earl of Sandwich perished with a great many about him, who would not leave him, as he would not leave his ship, by a piece of obstinate courage, to

" which he went into the boat; " and though all about him " most earnestly intreated that " he would strike his flag, he " would not consent; his cou- " rage surmounted his pru- " dence; he displayed his co- " lours, and with a triumphant " bravery insulted the foe in " his cockboat; this distin- " guished him to be there in " person, and exposed him to " the incessant fire from the " whole line of the enemy, who " endeavoured to sink him; " but by a happy temerity he " passed through them all, got " on board a fresh ship, where " he hoisted his flag, restored " the fight, and renewed his " dangers. Whereas, if he had " continued in the disabled " ship, he would have been " towed out of the battle, and " falling back behind the line, " have remained in perfect safe- " ty." This relation is confirmed by Sheffield then lord Mulgrave, afterwards duke of Bucks, who was present at the engagement. His words are these: " But the duke of York himself " had the noblest share in this " day's action; for when his " ship was so maimed as to be " made incapable of service, he " made her lie by to refit, and " went on board another, that " was hotly engaged, where he " kept up his standard, till she " was disabled also, and then " left her for a third, in order " to renew the fight, which " lasted from break of day " till sunset." *Duke of Buckingham's Works*, vol. II. p. 14. Among the *Rawdon Papers* lately published, there is a letter written two days after Southwold, or Solebay, fight, in which it is related, that " on Tuesday " morning, about six of the " clock, both the fleets en- " gaged, and before ten of the " clock the duke's ship, the " Prince, had received sixty " broadsides, and then being " disabled, he went aboard the " Saint Michael; there he " stayed till four in the after- " noon, and then went aboard " the London, and there stayed " that night. The fight conti- " nued till eleven next day, " being Wednesday. He went " aboard the Prince again, " which was then mended in " all she was disabled," p. 252. Captain Calvert in his *Memoirs*, printed in 1743, who was himself on board the London, speaks of the gallantry of the duke's conduct. And, finally, sir William Coventry's account of his cool and excellent judgment in the midst of the dangers of war may be appealed to, and is worth reading in Pepys's *Diary*, vol. I. p. 298.)

which he was provoked by an indecent reflection the duke made on an advice he had offered, of drawing nearer the shore, and avoiding an engagement, as if in that he took more care of himself than of the king's honour[s]. The duke of Buckingham came aboard the fleet; though it was observed, that he made great haste away, when he heard the Dutch fleet was in view. The duke (of York) told me, that he said to him, since they might engage the enemy quickly, he intended to make sure of another world: so he desired to know who was the duke's priest, that he might reconcile himself to the church. The duke told him, Talbot would help him to a priest. And he brought one to him. They were for some time shut up together. And the priest said, he had reconciled him according to their form. The duke of Buckingham, who had no religion at heart, did this only to recommend himself to the duke's confidence[t]. 1672.

It may easily be imagined, that all things were at this time in great disorder at the Hague. The French possessed themselves of Naerden: and a party had entered into Muyden, who had the keys of the gates brought to them. But they, seeing it was an inconsiderable place, not knowing the importance of it, by the command of the water that could drown all to Amsterdam, flung the keys into the ditch, and went back to Naerden. But when The Dutch in great extremities. 324

[s] (See a character and an account of this accomplished nobleman by the accomplished Evelyn, in his *Memoirs*, vol. I. pp. 429, 430.)

[t] (Of this fight off Southwold bay see an interesting account in the first volume of the Life of King James II. p. 461—477. At p. 415—417. 422. 433. the duke's conduct in the engagement mentioned above, p. 219. folio edition, is completely vindicated.)

1672. the consequence of the place was understood, another party was sent to secure it. But before their return, two battalions were sent from the prince of Orange, who secured the place: and by that means preserved Amsterdam, where all were trembling, and thought of nothing but of treating and submission. The States were very near the extremities of despair. They had not only lost many places, but all their garrisons in them. Guelder, Overyssel, and Utrecht, were quite lost: and the bishop of Munster was making a formidable impression on Groninghen, and at last besieged it. All these misfortunes came so thick one after another, that no spirit was left. And, to complete their ruin, a jealousy was spread through all Holland, that they were betrayed by those who were in the government; and that De Wit intended all should perish, rather than the family of Orange should be set up. Mombas, one of their generals, who married De Groot's sister, had basely abandoned his post, which was to defend the Rhine where the French passed it: and when he was put in arrest for that, he made his escape, and went to the French for sanctuary. Upon this the people complained loudly: and the States were so puzzled, that their hearts quite failed them. When they were assembled, they looked on one another like men amazed; sometimes all in tears. Once the Spanish ambassador came, and demanded audience. And when he was brought in, he told them, that out of the affection that he bore them, and the union of his master's interest with theirs, he came to blame their conduct: they looked sad: they never appeared in the Vorhaut in their coaches: and upon all occasions they looked like men despairing of their coun-

try: this quite disheartened their people: therefore he advised them to put on another countenance, to publish that they had good news, that their allies were in march; and to feed their people with probable stories, and so to keep up their spirits. They thought the advice was seasonable, and followed it. 1672.

They sent two ambassadors, Dycvelt and Halewyn, to join with Borel, who was still in England, to try if it was possible to divide England from France. And the morning in which they were despatched away, they had secret powers given them to treat concerning the prince of Orange's being their stadtholder: for lord Arlington had so oft reproached Borel for their not doing it, that he in all his letters continued still to press that on them. When they came over, they were for form's sake put under a guard. Yet Borel was suffered to come to them; and was transported with joy, when they told him what powers they had in that affair of the prince. And 325 immediately he went to lord Arlington: but came soon back, like one amazed, when he found that no regard was had to that, which he had hoped would have entirely gained the court. But he was a plain man, and had no great depth. The others were sent to Hampton court; and were told, that the king would not treat separately, but would send over ambassadors to treat at Utrecht. They met secretly with many in England, and informed themselves by them of the state of the nation. They gave money liberally, and gained some in the chief offices to give them intelligence. The court understanding that they were not idle, and that the nation was much inflamed, since all the offers that they made were rejected, commanded them to go

Ambassadors sent to England.

1672. back. The duke of Buckingham and lord Arlington were ordered to go to Utrecht. And, to give the nation some satisfaction, lord Halifax was sent over afterwards. But he was not put on the secret. The Dutch, hearing that their ambassadors were coming over without making peace with England, ran together in great numbers to Maesland sluice, and resolved to cut them in pieces at their landing; for they heard they were at the Brill. But, as they were crossing the Maes, a little boat met them, and told them of their danger, and advised them to land at another place, where coaches were staying to carry them to the Hague. So they missed the storm, that broke out fatally at the Hague the next day, where men's minds were in great agitation.

The tragical end of De Wit.

De Wit was once at night going home from the States, when four persons set on him to murder him. He shewed on that occasion both an intrepid courage, and a great presence of mind. He was wounded in several places. Yet he got out of their hands. One of them was taken, and condemned for it. All De Wit's friends pressed him to save his life. But he thought, that such an attempt on a man in his post was a crime not to be pardoned: though, as to his own part in the matter, he very freely forgave it. The young man confessed his crime, and repented of it: and protested he was led to it by no other consideration, but that of zeal for his country and religion, which he thought were betrayed. And he died as in a rapture of devotion, which made great impression on the spectators. At the same time a barber accused De Wit's elder brother of a practice on him, in order to his murdering the prince. There were so many improbabilities in his story, which

was supported by no circumstances, that it seemed no way credible. Yet Cornelius de Wit was put to the torture on it, but stood firm to his innocence. The sentence was accommodated rather to the state of affairs, than to the strict rules of justice. In the mean time, while his brother had resigned his charge of pensionary, and was made one of the judges of the high court, Cornelius de Wit was banished; which was intended rather as a sending him out of the way, than as a sentence against him. I love not to describe scenes of horror, as was that black and infamous one committed on the two brothers. I can add little to what has been so often printed. De Wit's going in his own coach to carry his brother out of town was a great error: and looked like a triumph over a sentence, which was unbecoming the character of a judge. Some furious agitators, who pretended zeal for the prince, gathered the rabble together. And by that vile action that followed they did him more hurt, than they were ever able to repair. His enemies have taken advantages from thence to cast the infamy of this on him, and on his party, to make them all odious; though the prince spoke of it always to me with the greatest horror possible[u]: the ministers in Holland did upon this occasion shew a very particular violence. In their sermons, and in some printed treaties, they charged the judges with corruption, who had carried the sentence no farther than to banishment: and compared the fate of the De Wits to Haman's. 1672. 326

I need not relate the great change of the magistracy in all the provinces; the repealing the perpetual edict; and the advancing the prince of The prince of Orange made stadtholder.

[u] Yet he was guilty enough. S.

1672. Orange to be stadtholder, after they had voided the obligation of the oath he had taken, about which he took some time to deliberate. Both lawyers and divines agreed, that those to whom he had made that oath, releasing the obligation of it, he was no longer bound by it. The States gave him, for that time, the full power of peace and war. All this was carried farther by the town of Amsterdam; for they sent a deputation to him, offering him the sovereignty of their town. When he was pleased to tell me this passage, he said, he knew the reason for which they made it was, because they thought all was lost: and they chose to have the infamy of their loss fall on him, rather than on themselves[x]. He added, that he was sure the country could not bear a sovereign; and that they would contribute more to the war, when it was in order to the preserving their own liberty, than for any prince whatsoever. So he told them, that, without taking any time to consult on the answer to be made to so great an offer, he did immediately refuse it. He was fully satisfied with the power already lodged with him, and would never endeavour to carry it any farther.

The prince's advancement gave a new life to the whole country. He, though then very young, and
327 little acquainted with the affairs of state or war, did apply himself so to both, that, notwithstanding the desperate state in which he found matters, he neither lost heart nor committed errors. The duke of

[x] The following curious fact is mentioned by Dr. Saywell, in his *Catholic Unity of the Church of England*, printed in 1682. "Holland was overrun "lately in a month; and I "have been credibly informed, "that the city of Amsterdam had made a golden base to present their keys in "to the royal conqueror." p. 281.

Buckingham and the lord Arlington tried to bring the king of France to offer them better terms; but in vain. That prince was so lifted up, that he seemed to consider the king very little. While he was so high on the one hand, and the prince of Orange so steady on the other, the English ambassadors soon saw, that all the offices they could do were ineffectual. One day the prince (who told me this himself) was arguing with them upon the king's conduct, as the most unaccountable thing possible, who was contributing so much to the exaltation of France, which must prove in conclusion fatal to himself; and was urging this in several particulars. The duke of Buckingham broke out in an oath, which was his usual style, and said, he was in the right; and so offered to sign a peace immediately with the prince. Lord Arlington seemed amazed at his rashness. Yet he persisted in it, and said positively he would do it. The prince upon that, not knowing what secret powers he might have, ordered the articles to be engrossed. And he believed, if he could possibly have got them ready while he was with him, that he would have signed them. They were ready by next morning: but by that time he had changed his mind. That duke, at parting, pressed him much to put himself wholly in the king's hands: and assured him he would take care of his affairs, as of his own. The prince cut him short: he said, his country had trusted him, and he would never deceive nor betray them for any base ends of his own. The duke answered, he was not to think any more of his country, for it was lost: if it should weather out the summer, by reason of the waters that had drowned a great part of it, the

1672.

The English ambassadors were wholly in the interest of France.

1672. winter's frost would lay them open: and he repeated the words often, Do not you see it is lost? The prince's answer deserves to be remembered: he said, he saw it was indeed in great danger: but there was a sure way never to see it lost, and that was to die in the last ditch.

The character of Fagel. The person that the prince relied on chiefly, as to the affairs of Holland, was Fagel: a man very learned in the law, who had a quick apprehension, and a clear and ready judgment. He had a copious eloquence, more popular than correct: and was fit to carry matters with a torrent in a numerous assembly. De Wit had made great use of him: for he joined with him very zealously in the carrying the perpetual edict, which he negotiated with the states of Frizeland, who opposed it most: and he was made greffier, or secretary to the states general,
328 which is the most beneficial place in Holland. He was a pious and virtuous man: only he was too eager and violent, [and out of measure partial to his kindred.] He was [vain, and] too apt to flatter himself, [and not ill pleased when others flattered him.] He had much heart when matters went well; but had not the courage that became a great minister on uneasy and difficult occasions.

Prince Waldeck. Prince Waldeck was their chief general: a man of a great compass[y] and a true judgment: equally able in the cabinet and in the camp. But he was always unsuccessful, because he was never furnished according to the schemes that he had laid down. The opinion that armies had of him, as an unfortunate general, made him really so: for soldiers cannot have much heart, when they have not an

[y] i. e. very fat. S.

entire confidence in him that has the chief command. 1672.

Dickvelt, on his return from England, seeing the ruin of the De Wits, with whom he was formerly united, and the progress the French had made in Utrecht, where his estate and interest lay, despaired too soon; and went and lived under them. Yet he did great service to his province. Upon every violation of articles, he went and demanded justice, and made protestations with a boldness, to which the French were so little accustomed, that they were amazed at it. Upon the French leaving Utrecht, and on the re-establishing that province, he was left out of the government. Yet his great abilities, and the insinuating smoothness of his temper, procured him so many friends, that the prince was prevailed on to receive him into his confidence: and he had a great share of it to the last, as he well deserved it. He had a very perfect knowledge of all the affairs of Europe, and great practice in many embassies. He spoke [as almost (all) the Dutch do] too long, and with too much vehemence. He was, in his private deportment, a virtuous and religious man, and a zealous protestant. In the administration of his province, which was chiefly trusted to him, there were great complaints of partiality and of a defective justice. Dickvelt.

Halewyn, a man of great interest in the town of Dort, and one of the judges in the court of Holland, was the person of them all whom I knew best and valued most: and was the next to Fagel in the prince's confidence. He had a great compass of learning, besides his own profession, in which he was very eminent. He had studied divinity with And Halewyn.

1672. great exactness; and was well read in all history, but most particularly in the Greek and Roman authors. He was a man of great vivacity: he apprehended things soon, and judged very correctly. He spoke short, but with life. He had a courage and vigour in his counsels, that became one who had
329 formed himself upon the best models in the ancient authors. He was a man of severe morals. And as he had great credit in the court where he sat, so he took care that the partialities of friendship should not mix in the administration of justice. He had in him all the best notions of a great patriot, and a true Christian philosopher. He was brought in very early to the secret of affairs, and went into the business of the perpetual edict very zealously. Yet he quickly saw the error of bringing matters of state immediately into numerous assemblies. He considered the States maintaining in themselves the sovereign power, as the basis upon which the liberty of their country was built. But he thought the administration of the government must be lodged in a council. He thought it a great misfortune, that the prince was so young at his first exaltation; and so possessed with military matters, to which the extremity of their affairs required that he should be entirely applied, that he did not then correct that error, which could only be done upon so extraordinary a conjuncture. He saw the great error of De Wit's ministry, of keeping the secret of affairs so much in his own hands. Such a precedent was very dangerous to public liberty, when it was in the power of one man to give up his country. Their people could not bear the lodging so great a trust with one, who had no distinction of birth or rank.

Yet he saw it was necessary to have such an authority, as De Wit's merits and success had procured him, lodged some where. The factions and animosities, that were in almost all their towns, made it as necessary for their good government at home, as it was for the command of their armies abroad, to have this power trusted to a person of that eminence of birth and rank, that he might be above the envy that is always among equals, when any one of them is raised to a disproportioned degree of greatness above the rest. He observed some errors that were in the prince's conduct. But after all, he said, it was visible that he was always in the true interest of his country: so that the keeping up a faction against him was like to prove fatal to all Europe, as well as to themselves. 1672.

The prince studied to correct the errors he fell in at first.

The greatest misfortune in the prince's affairs was, that the wisest and the most considerable men in their towns, that had been acquainted with the conduct of affairs formerly, were now under a cloud, and were either turned out of the magistracy, or thought it convenient to retire from business. And many hot, but poor men, who had signalized their zeal in the turn newly made, came to be called the prince's friends, and to be put every where in the magistracy. They quickly lost all credit, having little discretion, and no authority. They were very partial in the government, and oppressive, chiefly of 330
those of the other side. The prince saw this sooner than he could find a remedy for it. But by degrees the men of the other side came into his interest: and promised to serve him faithfully, in order to the driving out the French, and the saving their country. The chief of those were, Halewyn of

1672. Dort, Pats of Rotterdam, and Van Beuning of Amsterdam.

Van Beuning's character.

The last of these was so well known, both in France and England, and had so great credit in his own town, that he deserves to be more particularly set out. He was a man of great notions; [but talked perpetually, so that it was not possible to convince him, in discourse at least; for he heard nobody speak but himself.] He had a wonderful vivacity, but too much levity in his thoughts. His temper was inconstant; firm, and positive for a while; but apt to change, from a giddiness of mind, rather than from any falsehood in his nature. He broke twice with the prince, after he came into a confidence with him. He employed me to reconcile him to him[z] for the third time: but the prince said, he could not trust him any more. He had great knowledge in all sciences, and had such a copiousness of invention, with such a pleasantness, as well as a variety, of conversation, that I have often compared him to the duke of Buckingham: only he was virtuous and devout; much in the enthusiastical way. In the end of his days, he set himself wholly to mind the East India trade. But that was an employment not so well suited to his natural genius. And it ended fatally: for, the actions sinking on the sudden on the breaking out of a new war, that sunk him[a] into a melancholy, which quite distracted him.

Errors committed by the town of Amsterdam.

The town of Amsterdam was for many years conducted by him as by a dictator. And that had exposed them to as many errors, as the irregularity of his notions suggested. The breaking the West India company, and the loss of Munster in the year

[z] Perspicuity. S. [a] Eloquent. S.

1658, was owing to that. It was then demonstrated, 1672.
that the loss of that town laid the States open on that side: and that Munster, being in their hands, would not only cover them, but be a fit place for making levies in Westphalia. Yet Amsterdam would not consent to that new charge; and fancied, there was no danger on that side. But they found afterwards, to their cost, that their unreasonable managery in that particular drew upon them an expense of many millions, by reason of the unquiet temper of that martial bishop, who had almost ruined them this year on the side of Frizeland. But his miscarriage in the siege of Groninghen, and the taking Coevorden by surprise in the end of the year, as it was among the first things that raised the spirits of the Dutch, so both the bishop's strength 331
and reputation sunk so entirely upon it, that he never gave them any great trouble after that.

Another error, into which the managery of Amsterdam drew the States, was occasioned by the offer that D'Estrades, the French ambassador, made them in the year 1663, of a division of the Spanish Netherlands, by which Ostend and a line from thence to Maestricht, within which Bruges, Ghent, and Antwerp were to be comprehended, was offered to them; the French desiring only St. Omer, Valenciennes, Cambray, and Luxemburgh: and the dominions that lay between those lines were to be a free commonwealth; as Halewyn assured me, who said he was in the secret at that time. This was much debated all Holland over. It was visible, that this new commonwealth, taken out of the hands of the Spaniards, must naturally have fallen into a dependance on the States; and have become

1672. more considerable, when put under a better conduct. Yet this would have put the States at that time to some considerable charge. And to avoid that, the proposition was rejected, chiefly by the opposition that Amsterdam made to it; where the prevailing maxim was, to reduce their expense, to abate taxes, and to pay their public debts [b]. By such an unreasonable parsimony matters were now brought to that state, that they were engaged into a war of so vast an expense, that the yearly produce of their whole estates did not answer all the taxes that they were forced to lay on their people.

The prince animates the States to continue the war.

After the prince saw that the French demands were at this time so high, and that it was not possible to draw England into a separate treaty, he got the States to call an extraordinary assembly, the most numerous that has been in this age. To them the prince spoke near three hours, to the amazement of all that heard him, which was owned to me by one of the deputies of Amsterdam. He had got great materials put in his hands, of which he made very good use. He first went through the French propositions, and shewed the consequence and the effects that would follow on them; that the accepting them would be certain ruin, and the very treating about them would distract and dispirit their people: he therefore concluded, that the entertaining a thought of these was the giving up their country:

[b] The true reason of the opposition made by the town of Amsterdam, was an apprehension that Antwerp, under a commonwealth, would soon recover her lost trade; being much better situated for that purpose than they are, which in all likelihood would have drawn it back again to Antwerp; from whence they had it, upon the troubles in the Spanish Netherlands. D.

if any could hearken to such a motion, the lovers of religion and liberty must go to the Indies, or to any other country where they might be free and safe. After he had gone through this, near an hour, he in the next place shewed the possibility of making a stand, notwithstanding the desperate state to which their affairs seemed reduced: he shewed the force of all their allies; that England could not hold out long without a parliament; and they were well assured, that a parliament would draw the king to other measures: he shewed the impossibility of the French holding out long, and that the Germans coming down to the Lower Rhine must make them go out of their country as fast as they came into it. In all this he shewed, that he had a great insight into the French affairs. He came last to shew, how it was possible to raise the taxes that must be laid on the country, to answer such a vast and unavoidable expense; and set before them a great variety of projects for raising money. He concluded, that, if they laid down this for a foundation, that religion and liberty could not be purchased at too dear a rate, and that therefore every man among them, and every minister in the country, ought to infuse into all the people, that they must submit to the present extremity, and to very extraordinary taxes; by this means, as their people would again take heart, so their enemies would lose theirs, who built their chief hopes on that universal dejection among them, that was but too visible to all the world. Every one that was present seemed amazed to hear so young a man speak to so many things, with so much knowledge and so true a judgment. It raised his character wonderfully, and contributed not a lit- 1672. 332

1672. tle to put new life in a country, almost dead with fear, and dispirited with so many losses. They all resolved to maintain their liberty to the last; and, if things should run to extremities, to carry what wealth they could with them to the East Indies. The state of the shipping capable of so long a voyage was examined: and it was reckoned, that they could transport above two hundred thousand people thither.

The French king goes back to Paris.

Yet all their courage would probably have served them in little stead, if the king of France could have been prevailed on to stay longer at Utrecht. But he made haste to go back to Paris. Some said, it was the effect of his amours, and that it was hastened by some quarrels among his mistresses. Others thought, he was hastening to receive the flatteries that were preparing for him there. And indeed in the outward appearances of things there was great occasion for them; since he had a run of success beyond all expectation, though he himself had no share in it, unless it was to spoil it, [by an indecent care of himself, and a want of heart to push forward that rapidity of success.] He left a garrison in every place he took, against Turenne's advice, who was for dismantling them all, and keeping his army still about him. But his ministers saw so far into his temper, that they resolved to play a sure game, and to put nothing to hazard. Upon the elector of Brandenburgh's coming down,
333 Monsieur Turenne was sent against him: by which means the army about the king was so diminished, that he could undertake no great design, besides the siege of Nimeguen, that held out some weeks, with so small a force. And though the prince of Orange

had not above eight thousand men about him, employed in keeping a pass near Woerden, yet no attempt was made to force him from it. Another probable reason of his returning back so soon was, a suggestion of the desperate temper of the Dutch, and that they were capable of undertaking any design, how black soever, rather than perish. Some told him of vaults under the streets of Utrecht, where gunpowder might be laid to blow him up, as he went over them: and all these were observed to be avoided by him. He would never lodge within the town, and came but seldom to it. He upon one or other of these motives went back. Upon which the prince of Condé said, he saw he had not the soul of a conqueror in him; and that his ministers were the best *commis*, but the poorest ministers in the world, who had not souls made for great things, or capable of them. 1672.

If the king had a mind to be flattered by his people, he found at his return enough even to surfeit him. Speeches, verses, inscriptions, triumphal arches, and medals, were prepared with a profusion, and excess of flattery, beyond what had been offered to the worst of the Roman emperors, bating the ceremony of adoration. But blasphemous impieties were not wanting to raise and feed his vanity. A solemn debate was held all about Paris, what title should be given him. Le Grand was thought too common. Some were for Invincible. Others were for Le Conquerant. Some, in imitation of Charlemagne, for Lewis le magne. Others were for Maximus. But Tres Grand sounded not so well: no more did Maxime. So they settled on Le Grand. And all the bodies of Paris seemed to vie in flattery. It ap-

1672. peared, that the king took pleasure in it: so there has followed upon it the greatest run of the most fulsome flattery that is in history. Had the king of France left such a man as Turenne at Utrecht, it might have had ill effects on the resolutions taken by the Dutch. But he left Luxemburgh there, [a cruel, impious, and brutal man,] who had no regard to articles; but made all people see what was to be expected, when they should come under [the tyranny of] such a yoke, that was then so intolerable a burden, even while it ought to have been recommended to those who were yet free by a gentle administration. This contributed not a little to fix the Dutch in those obstinate resolutions they had taken up.

334 The Dutch saved by some extraordinary providence.

There was one very extraordinary thing that happened near the Hague [and in sight] this summer: I had it from many eyewitnesses: and no doubt was made of the truth of it by any at the Hague. Soon after the English fleet had refitted themselves, (for they had generally been much damaged by the engagement in Solbay,) they appeared in sight of Scheveling, making up to the shore. The tide turned: but they reckoned that with the next flood they would certainly land the forces that were aboard, where they were like to meet with no resistance. So they sent to the prince for some regiments to hinder the descent. He could not spare many men, having the French very near him. So between the two the country was given for lost, unless De Ruyter should quickly come up. The flood returned, which they thought was to end in their ruin. But to all their amazement, after it had flowed two or three hours, an ebb of many hours succeeded, which carried the fleet again to the

sea. And, before that was spent, De Ruyter came in view. This they reckoned a miracle wrought for their preservation. Soon after that they escaped another design, that otherwise would very probably have been fatal to them. 1672.

The earl of Ossory, eldest son to the duke of Ormond, a man of great honour, generosity, and courage, had been oft in Holland: and, coming by Helvoetsluys, he observed, it was a place of great consequence, but very ill looked to. The Dutch trusting to the danger of entering into it, more than to any strength that defended it, he thought it might be easy to seize and fortify that place. The king approved this. So some ships were sheathed and victualled, as for a voyage to a great distance. He was to have five men of war, and transport ships for twelve or fifteen hundred men. And a second squadron, with a farther supply, if he succeeded in the attempt, was to follow. He had got two or three of their pilots brought out on a pretended errand: and these he kept very safe to carry him in. This was communicated to none but to the duke and to lord Arlington: and all was ready for the execution. Lord Ossory went to this fleet, and saw every thing ready as was ordered, and came up to receive the king's sailing orders. But the king, who had ordered him to come next morning for his despatch, discovered the design to the duke of Buckingham, who hated both the duke of Ormond and lord Ossory, and would have seen the king and all his affairs perish, rather than that a person whom he hated should have the honour of such a piece of merit. He upon that did turn all his wit to make the thing appear ridiculous and impracticable. He represented 335

Ossory intended to surprise Helvoetsluys.

1672. it as unsafe on many accounts; and as a desperate stroke, that put things, if it should succeed out of a possibility of treaty or reconciliation. The king could not withstand this. Lord Ossory found next morning that the king had changed his mind. And it broke out, by the duke of Buckingham's loose way of talking, that it was done by his means. So the design was laid aside. But when the peace was made, lord Ossory told it to the Dutch ambassadors, and said, since he did not destroy them by touching them in that weak and sore part, he had no mind they should lie any longer open to such another attack. When the ambassadors wrote this over to their masters, all were sensible, how easy it had been to have seized and secured that place; and what a terrible disorder it would have put them in; and upon this they gave order to put the place in a better posture of defence for the future. So powerfully did spite work on those about the king: and so easy was he to the man of wit and humour. The duke stayed long at sea, in hopes to have got the East India fleet. But they came sailing so near the German coast, that they passed him before he was aware of it. So he came back after a long and inglorious campaign. He lost the honour of the action that was at Solbay; and missed the wealth of that fleet, which he had long waited for.

An army from Utrecht came on the ice to Holland.

I will complete the transactions of this memorable year with an account of the impression that Luxemburgh made on the Dutch near the end of it; which would have had a very tragical conclusion, if a happy turn of weather had not saved them. Stoupe was then with him, and was on the secret. By many feints, that amused the Dutch so skilfully that there

was no suspicion of the true design, all was prepared for an invasion, when a frost should come. It came at last: and it froze and thawed by turns for some time, which they reckoned makes the ice firmest. At last a frost continued so strong for some days, that upon piercing and examining the ice, it was thought it could not be dissolved by any ordinary thaw in less than two days. So about midnight Luxemburgh marched out of Utrecht towards Leyden with about sixteen thousand men. Those of Utrecht told me, that, in the minute in which they began to march, a thaw wind blew very fresh. Yet they marched on till day-light, and came to Summerdam and Bodegrave, which they gained not without difficulty. There they stopt, and committed many outrages of crying lust and barbarous cruelty; and vented their impiety in very blasphemous expressions, upon the continuance of the thaw, which now had quite melted the ice, so that it was not pos- 336
sible to go back the way that they came, where all had been ice, but was now dissolved to about three foot depth of water. There were causeways: and they were forced to march on these. But there was a fort, through which they must pass. And one Painevine, with two regiments, was ordered to keep it, with some cannon in it. If he had continued there, they must all have been taken prisoners, which would have put an end to the war. But, when he saw them march to him in the morning, he gave all for lost; and went to Tergow, where he gave the alarm, as if all was gone. And he offered to them, to come to help them by that garrison to a better capitulation. So he left his post, and went thither. The French army, not being stopt by that 1672.

1672. fort, got safe home. But their behaviour in those two villages was such, that, as great pains was taken to spread it over the whole country, so it contributed not a little to the establishing the Dutch in their resolutions, of not only venturing, but of losing all, rather than come under so cruel a yoke.

Painevine's sentence.

Painevine's withdrawing had lost them an advantage never to be regained. So the prince ordered a council of war to try him. He pleaded, that the place was not tenable; that the enemy had passed it; so he thought the use it was intended for was lost: and if the enemy had come to attack him, he must have surrendered upon discretion: and he pleaded farther, that he went from it upon the desire of one of their towns to save it. Upon this defence, he was acquitted as to his life, but condemned to infamy, as a coward, and to have his sword broke over his head, and to be for ever banished the States' dominions. But an appeal lay, according to their discipline, to a council of war, composed of general officers: and they confirmed the sentence. The towns of Holland were highly offended at these proceedings. They said, they saw the officers were resolved to be gentle to one another, and to save their fellow officers, how guilty soever they might be. The prince yielded to their instances, and brought him to a third trial before himself and a court of the supreme officers, in which they had the assistance of six judges. Painevine stood on it, that he had undergone two trials, which was all that the martial law subjected him to; and in those he was acquitted. Yet this was overruled. It was urged against him, that he himself was present in the council of war that ordered the making that

fort; and he knew, that it was not intended to be a 1672.
place tenable against an army, but was only meant
to make a little stand for some time, and was in-
tended for a desperate state of affairs; and that
therefore he ought not to have left his post, because 337
of the danger he was in: he saw the thaw began;
and so ought to have stayed, at least till he had seen
how far that would go: and being put there by the
prince, he was to receive orders from none but him.
Upon these grounds he was condemned and exe-
cuted, to the great satisfaction of the States, but to
the general disgust of all the officers, who thought
they were safe in the hands of an ordinary council
of war, and did not like this new method of pro-
ceeding.

They were also not a little troubled at the strict discipline that the prince settled, and at the severe execution of it. But by this means he wrought up his army to a pitch of obedience and courage, of sobriety and good order, that things put on another face: and all men began to hope that their armies would act with another spirit, now that the discipline was so carefully looked to. It seems the French made no great account of them: for they released twenty-five thousand prisoners, taken in several places, for fifty thousand crowns.

Thus I have gone far into the state of affairs of Holland in this memorable year[c]. I had most of these particulars from Dyckvelt and Halewyn. And I thought this great turn deserved to be set out with all the copiousness with which my informations could furnish me. This year the king declared a

[c] Why, you called it so but just now before. S. See p. 335. folio edit.

1672. new mistress, and made her duchess of Portsmouth. She had been maid of honour to madame, the king's sister, and had come over with her to Dover; where the king had expressed such a regard to her, that the duke of Buckingham, who hated the duchess of Cleveland, intended to put her on the king. He told him, that it was a decent piece of tenderness for his sister to take care of some of her servants. So she was the person the king easily consented to invite over. That duke assured the king of France, that he could never reckon himself sure of the king, but by giving him a mistress that should be true to his interests. It was soon agreed to. So the duke of Buckingham sent her with a part of his equipage to Dieppe; and said, he would presently follow. But he, who was the most inconstant and forgetful of all men, never thought of her more: but went to England by the way of Calais. So Montague, then ambassador at Paris[d], hearing of this, sent over for a yacht for her, and sent some of his servants to wait on her, and to defray her charge, till she was brought to Whitehall: and then lord Arlington took care of her. So the duke of Buckingham lost the merit he might have pretended to; and brought over a mistress, whom his own strange conduct threw into
338 the hands of his enemies. The king was presently taken with her. She studied to please and observe him in every thing: so that he passed away the rest

A French mistress made duchess of Portsmouth.

[d] Mountague told sir William Temple, he designed to go ambassador to France. Sir William asked how that could be; for he knew the king did not love him, and the duke hated him. "That's "true," said he, "but they shall "do, as if they loved me." Which, sir William told me, he soon brought about, as he supposed, by means of the ladies, who were always his best friends, for some secret perfections, that were hid from the rest of the world. D.

of his life in a great fondness for her. He kept her at a vast charge. And she by many fits of sickness, some believed real, and others thought only pretended, gained of him every thing she desired[e]. She stuck firm to the French interest, and was its chief support. The king divided himself between her and Mistress Gwyn: and had no other avowed amour. But he was so entirely possessed by the duchess of Portsmouth, and so engaged by her in the French interest, that this threw him into great difficulties, and exposed him to much contempt and distrust. 1672.

The affairs of Scotland.

I do now return to the affairs of Scotland, to give an account of a session of parliament, and the other transactions there in this critical year. About the end of May, duke Lauderdale came down with his lady in great pomp. He was much lifted up with the French success; and took such pleasure in talking of De Wit's fate, that it could not be heard without horror. He treated all people with such scorn, that few were able to bear it. He adjourned the parliament for a fortnight, that he might carry his lady round the country; and was every where waited on and entertained with as much respect, and at as great a charge, as if the king had been there in person. This enraged the nobility. And they made great applications to duke Hamilton, to lead a party against him, and to oppose the tax that he demanded, of a whole year's assessment. I soon grew so weary of the court, though there was scarce a person so well used by him as I my self was,

Lauderdale's great insolence.

[e] Lord Sunderland once stopt her going to the Bath, by asking of her, if she would be so silly as to shew the king that he could live without her. D.

1672. that I went out of town. But duke Hamilton sent for me; and told me, how vehemently he was solicited by the majority of the nobility to oppose the demand of the tax. He had promised me not to oppose taxes in general: and I had assured duke Lauderdale of it. But he said, this demand was so extravagant, that he did not imagine it would go so far: so he did not think himself bound, by a promise made in general words, to agree to such a high one. Upon this I spoke to duke Lauderdale, to shew him the inclinations many had to an opposition to that demand, and the danger of it. He rejected it in a brutal manner, saying, they durst as soon be damned as oppose him. Yet I made him so sensible of it, that he appointed the marquis of Athol to go and talk in his name to duke Hamilton, who moved that I might be present: and that was easily admitted. Lord Athol pressed duke Hamilton to come into an entire confidence with duke Lauderdale; and promised, that he should have the chief direction of all affairs in Scotland under the other. Duke Hamilton
339 asked, how stood the parliament of England affected to the war. Lord Athol assured him, there was a settled design of having no more parliaments in England. The king would be master, and would be no longer curbed by a house of commons. He also laid out the great advantages that Scotland, more particularly the great nobility, might find by striking in heartily with the king's designs, and in making him absolute in England. Duke Hamilton answered very honestly, that he would never engage in such designs: he would be always a good and faithful subject: but he would be likewise a good country man. He was very unwilling to concur in

the land tax. He said, Scotland had no reason to engage in the war, since as they might suffer much by it, so they could gain nothing, neither by the present war, nor by any peace that should be made. Yet he was prevailed on, in conclusion, to agree to it. And upon that the business of the session of parliament went on smoothly without any opposition. 1672.

The duchess of Lauderdale, not contented with the great appointments they had, set herself by all possible methods to raise money. They lived at a vast expense: and every thing was set to sale[f]. She carried all things with a haughtiness, that could not have been easily borne from a queen. She talked of all people with an ungoverned freedom, and grew to be universally hated. I was out of measure weary of my attendance at their court, but was pressed to continue it. Many found I did good offices. I got some to be considered and advanced, that had no other way of access. But that which made it more necessary was, that I saw Sharp and his creatures were making their court with the most abject flattery, and all the submissions possible. Leightoun went seldom to them, though he was always treated

[f] In a letter of the duke of York's, from Scotland, he says, "I hear duchess Lauderdale "is very angry with me, for "the removes which have been "made in the sessions; I do "not wonder at it, for some "of them were her creatures, "and she received the last "register's pension, and some "say, went a share in the per-"quisites of his place. That "which vexes her is, that she "sees she can no more squeeze "this country, as she has done "for several years past, and "got very considerable sums "of money for this country." D. The letters from the duke of York (to which lord Dartmouth so frequently refers) were written by him to George lord Dartmouth, father to the author of these notes, and are at present in the collection of the earl of Dartmouth, at Sandwell. H. L. (Henry Legge.)

1672. by them with great distinction. So it was necessary for me to be about them, and keep them right: otherwise all our designs were lost without recovery. This led me to much uneasy compliance; though I asserted my own liberty, and found so often fault with their proceedings, that once or twice I used such freedom, and it was so ill taken, that I thought it was fit for me to retire. Yet I was sent for, and continued in such high favour, that I was again tried, if I would accept of a bishopric, and was promised the first of the two archbishoprics that should fall. But I was still fixed in my former resolutions, not to engage early, being then but nine and twenty: nor could I come into a dependance on them.

He expected addresses for a toleration.

Duke Lauderdale, at his coming down, had expected that the presbyterians should have addressed themselves to him for a share in that liberty which
340 their brethren had now in England; and which he had asserted in a very particular manner at the council table in Whitehall. One Whatley, a justice of peace in Lincolnshire, if I remember the county right, had disturbed one of the meeting houses, that had got a license pursuant to the declaration for a toleration: and he had set fines on those that met in it, conformably to the act against conventicles. Upon which he was brought up to council, to be reprimanded for his high contempt of his majesty's declaration. Some privy counsellors shewed their zeal in severe reflections on his proceedings. Duke Lauderdale carried the matter very far: he said, the king's edicts were to be considered and obeyed as laws, and more than any other laws. This was writ down by some that heard it, who were resolved

to make use of it against him in due time. He looked on near two months after he came down to Scotland, waiting still for an application for liberty of conscience. But the designs of the court were now clearly seen into. The presbyterians understood, they were only to be made use of in order to the introducing of popery. So they resolved to be silent and passive. Upon this he broke out into fury and rage against them. Conventicles abounded in all places of the country. And some furious zealots broke into the houses of some of the ministers, wounding them, and robbing their goods, forcing some of them to swear that they would never officiate any more in their churches. Some of these were taken and executed. I visited them in prison; and saw in them the blind madness of ill-grounded zeal, of which they were never fully convinced. One of them seemed to be otherwise no ill man. Another of them was a bold villain. He justified all that they had done, from the Israelites robbing the Egyptians, and destroying the Canaanites. 1672.

That which gave duke Lauderdale a juster ground of offence was, that one Carstairs, much employed since that time in greater matters, was taken in a ship that came from Rotterdam [g]. He himself escaped out of their hands: but his letters were taken. They had a great deal writ in white ink; which shewed, that the design of sending him over was, to know in what disposition the people were, promising arms and other necessaries, if they were in a condition to give the government any dis- Designs from Holland to raise a rebellion in Scotland.

g King William's favourite and chaplain; whose Letters are published this year, 1774. See more of him page 375, folio edit. *Cole.*

1672. turbance. But the whole was so darkly writ, much being referred to the bearer, that it was not possible to understand what lay hid under so many mysterious expressions. Upon this a severe prosecution of conventicles was set on foot: and a great deal of money was raised by arbitrary fines. Lord Athol made of this in one week 1900*l.* sterling. I did all
341 I could to moderate this fury: but all was in vain. Duke Lauderdale broke out into the most frantic fits of rage possible. When I was once saying to him, was that a time to drive them into a rebellion? Yes, said he, would to God they would rebel, that so he might bring over an army of Irish papists to cut all their throats. Such a fury as this seemed to furnish work for a physician, rather than for any other sort of men. But after he had let himself loose into these fits for near a month, he calmed all on the sudden: perhaps upon some signification from the king; for the party complained to their friends in London, who had still some credit at court.

A farther indulgence.

He called for me all on the sudden, and put me in mind of the project I had laid before him, of putting all the outed ministers by couples into parishes[g]: so that instead of wandering about the country, to hold conventicles in all places, they might be fixed to a certain abode, and every one might have the half of a benefice. I was still of the same mind: and so was Leightoun; who compared this to the gathering the coals that were scattered over the house, setting it all on fire, into the chimney, where they might burn away safely. Duke Lauderdale set about it immediately: and the be-

[h] A sottish project; instead of feeding fifty, you starve a hundred. S.

nefit of the indulgence was extended to forty more churches. This, if followed as to that of doubling them in a parish, and of confining them within their parishes, would have probably laid a flame that was spreading over the nation, and was like to prove fatal in conclusion. But duke Lauderdale's way was, to govern by fits, and to pass from hot to cold ones, always in extremes. So this of doubling them, which was the chief part of our scheme, was quite neglected. Single ministers went into those churches: and those, who were not yet provided for, went about the country holding conventicles very boldly, without any restraint: and no care at all was taken of the church. 1672.

Leightoun resolved to retire, and to leave his see.

Sharp and his instruments took occasion from this to complain, that the church was ruined by Leightoun's means. And I wanted not my share in the charge. And indeed the remissness of the government was such, that there was just cause of complaint. Great numbers met in the fields. Men went to those meetings with such arms as they had. And we were blamed for all this. It was said, that things went so far beyond what a principle of moderation could suggest, that we did certainly design to ruin and overturn the constitution. Leightoun upon all this concluded he could do no good on either side: he had gained no ground on the presbyterians, and was suspected and hated by the episcopal party. So he resolved to retire from all pub- 342
lic employments, and to spend the rest of his days in a corner, far from noise and business, and to give himself wholly to prayer and meditation, since he saw he could not carry on his great designs of healing and reforming the church, on which he had set

1672. his heart. He had gathered together many instances out of church history, of bishops that had left their sees, and retired from the world: and was much pleased with these. He and I had many discourses on this argument. I thought a man ought to be determined by the providence of God, and to continue in the station he was in, though he could not do all the good in it that he had proposed to himself: he might do good in a private way by his example and by his labours, more than he himself could know: and as a man ought to submit to sickness, poverty, or other afflictions, when they are laid on him by the hand of Providence; so I thought the labouring without success was indeed a very great trial of patience, yet such labouring in an ungrateful employment was a cross, and so was to be borne with submission; and that a great uneasiness under that, or the forsaking a station because of it, might be the effect of secret pride, and an indignation against Providence. He on the other hand said, his work seemed to be at an end: he had no more to do, unless he had a mind to please himself with the lazy enjoying a good revenue. So he could not be wrought on by all that could be laid before him; but followed duke Lauderdale to court, and begged leave to retire from his archbishopric. The duke would by no means consent to this. So he desired, that he might be allowed to do it within a year. Duke Lauderdale thought so much time was gained: so to be rid of his importunities he moved the king to promise him, that, if he did not change his mind, he would within the year accept of his resignation. He came back much pleased with what he had obtained; and said to me upon it, there was

now but one uneasy stage between him and rest, and he would wrestle through it the best he could.

And now I am come to the period that I set out for this book. The world was now in a general combustion, set on by the ambition of the court of France, and supported by the feebleness and treachery of the court of England. A stand was made by the prince of Orange and the elector of Brandenburgh. But the latter, not being in time assisted by the emperor, was forced to accept of such conditions as he could obtain. This winter there was great practice in all the courts of Europe, by the agents of France, to lay them every where asleep; and to make the world look on their king's 343
design in that campaign as a piece of glory, for the humbling of a rich and proud commonwealth; and that, as soon as that was done suitably to the dignity of the great monarch, he would give peace to the world, after he had shewn that nothing could stand before his arms. But the opening the progress of these negotiations, and the turn that the affairs of Europe took, belongs to the next period.

END OF VOL. I.

A

TABLE OF THE CONTENTS

OF THE FOREGOING

VOLUME[a].

BOOK I.

A summary recapitulation of the state of affairs in Scotland, both in church and state, from the beginning of the troubles to the restoration of king Charles II. 1660.

THE distractions during king James's minority page 6
The practices of the house of Guise ibid.
King James in the interest of England 7
A censure of Spotswood's history 8
King James studies to gain the papists ibid.
And to secure the succession to the crown of England ibid.
That king's errors in government 9
He set up episcopacy in Scotland ibid.
With a design to carry matters further 10
Errors of the bishops ibid.
Prince Henry was believed to be poisoned ibid.
The gunpowder plot 11
King James was afraid of the Jesuits ibid.
The elector palatine's marriage 12
The affairs of Bohemia 13
The disorders in Holland ibid.
Some passages of the religion of some princes 14
King James parted with the cautionary towns 15
King James broke the greatness of the crown ibid.
Other errors in his reign 16
His death 17
The puritans gained ground ibid.
Gowry's conspiracy 18
King Charles at first a friend to the puritans 19
He designed to recover the

[a] (*The pages referred to are those of the folio edition, which are inserted in the margin of the present.*)

tithes and church lands in Scotland to the crown 20
He was crowned in Scotland 21
Balmerinoch's trial 22
He was condemned 25
But pardoned ibid.
A liturgy prepared 26
The feebleness of the government ibid.
Saville's forgery prevailed on the Scots 27
The characters of the chief of the covenanters 28
The Scots came into England ibid.
Great discontents in England 29
The ill state of the king's affairs 30
An account of the earl of Strafford's being given up by the king 31
The new model of the presbytery in Scotland 33
The chief ministers of the party 34
Their studies and other methods ibid.
Their great severity 35
Conditions offered to the Scots 36
Montrose's undertakings ibid.
Good advices given to the king 38
But not followed 39
Antrim's correspondence with the king and queen 40
The original of the Irish massacre 41
Cromwell argues with the Scots concerning the king's death 42
The opposition of the general assembly to the parliament ibid.
The ministers made an insurrection 43
The treaty in the Isle of Wight 44
Cromwell's dissimulation 45
The men chiefly engaged in the taking the king's life 46
The king's behaviour 47
The affair of Rochelle 48
A design of making the Spanish Netherlands a commonwealth ibid.
The ill effects of violent counsels 49
The account of Εἰκὼν Βασιλικὴ 50
The Scots treat with king Charles II. 51
Montrose's offers 52
And death ibid.
The defeat at Dunbar 54
Disputes about the admitting all persons to serve their country 55
Great hardships put on the king 56
Scotland was subdued by Monk 58
A body stood out in the Highlands ibid.
Sir Robert Murray's character 59
Messages sent to the king 60
The state of Scotland during the usurpation 61
Disputes among the covenanters ibid.
Methods taken on both sides 62
Some of Cromwell's maxims 65
His design for the kingship 67
Cromwell's engagement with France 71
The king turned papist 73
Cromwell's design on the West Indies 74
His zeal for the protestant religion 76
A great design for the interest of the protestant religion 77

Some passages in Cromwell's life 77
His moderation in government 79
His public spirit 80
All the world was afraid of him 81
The ruin of his family 82
Great disorders followed 83
All turn to the king's side 85
Care taken to manage the army 86
A new parliament 88
They call home the king without a treaty 89

BOOK II.

Of the first twelve years of the reign of king Charles II. from the year 1660 *to the year* 1673.

1660.
MANY went over to the Hague 92
The nation was overrun with vice and drunkenness ibid.
The king's character 93
Clarendon's character 94
Ormond's character 95
Southampton's character ibid.
Shaftsbury's character 96
Anglesey's character 97
Hollis's character ibid.
Manchester's character 98
Roberts's character ibid.
Clarges's character ibid.
Morrice's character 99
Nicolas's character ibid.
Arlington's character ibid.
Buckingham's character 100
Bristol's character ibid.
Lauderdale's character 101
Crawford's character 102
Rothes's character ibid.
Tweedale's character ibid.
Duke Hamilton's character 103
Kincairdin's character ibid.
The general character of the old cavaliers 104
Primerose's character ibid.
Fletcher's character ibid.
Advices offered in Scotish affairs 105
For a general indemnity ibid.
Argile sent to the Tower 106
The citadels of Scotland demolished 107
Disputes concerning episcopacy ibid.
A ministry settled in Scotland 110
A council proposed to sit at court for Scotish affairs ibid.
The committee of estates meet in Scotland 112
A parliament in Scotland 113
1661.
The lords of the articles 114
The acts passed in this session 116
An act rescinding all parliaments held since the year 1633 117
It was not liked by the king 119
The presbyterians in great disorder ibid.
Argile's attainder 122
And execution 125
The execution of Guthry, a minister 126
Some others were proceeded against 127
Middleton gave an account of all that had passed in parliament to the king 128
It was resolved to set up episcopacy in Scotland 130
Men sought to be bishops 132

Bishop Leightoun's character 134
The Scotish bishops consecrated 139
1662.
The meetings of the presbyteries forbidden 141
The new bishops came down to Scotland 142
They were brought into parliament 143
Scruples about the oath of supremacy 144
Debates about an act of indemnity 146
It was desired that some might be incapacitated 147
Lorn condemned 149
Some incapacitated by ballot 150
The king was displeased with this 151
Great pains taken to excuse Middleton 152
The presbyterian ministers silenced ibid.
A general character of them 156
Prejudices infused against episcopacy 158
1660.
The affairs of England 159
Clarendon's just and moderate notions ibid.
Venner's fury 160
The trial and execution of the regicides 162
1661.
Vane's character 163
And execution 164
The king gave himself up to his pleasures ibid.
The act of indemnity maintained 165
1662.
The king's marriage 166
An alliance proposed from France 167
The duke of York's marriage 168
The duke's character 168
The duchess's character 170
The duke of Glocester's character ibid.
The prospect of the royal family much changed 171
Schomberg went through England to Portugal 172
Dunkirk sold to the French ibid.
Tangier a part of the queen's portion 173
The manner of the king's marriage 174
The king lived in an avowed course of lewdness ibid.
1660.
The settlement of Ireland 175
The bishops who had then the greatest credit 176
Debates concerning the uniting with the presbyterians 178
A treaty in the Savoy 179
1661.
The terms of conformity made harder 182
The act of uniformity 183
The great fines then raised on the church estates ill applied 186
Divines called latitudinarians ibid.
Hobbs's Leviathan 187
A character of some divines 189
The way of preaching which then prevailed 191
1662.
The act of uniformity executed with rigour ibid.
The royal society 192
Consultations among the papists 193
A declaration for toleration 194
Designed for the papists ibid.
1663.
Bristol's designs 196

He accused Clarendon in the house of lords 196
A plot discovered 198
The design of a war with the States ibid.
The affairs of Scotland 200
Middleton was accused by Lauderdale ibid.
And turned out of all 202
Warriston's execution 203
An act against conventicles 204
The constitution of a national synod ibid.
An act offering an army to the king 205

1664.

Sharp drove very violently 206
Lauderdale gave way to it ibid.
Burnet archbishop of Glasgow ibid.
A view of the state of affairs in Holland and France 207
Sharp aspired to be chancellor of Scotland 208
Rothes had the whole power of Scotland put in his hands 209

1665.

Illegal and severe proceedings in Scotland 210
Turner executed the laws in a military way 211
Sharp studies to bring Middleton into business again 213
More forces raised in Scotland 214

1666.

Some eminent clergymen offended at these proceedings 215
Some of the grievances of the clergy laid before the bishops 217

1664.

Affairs in England 218
The Dutch war ibid.

1665.

The plague broke out at the same time ibid.
The victory at sea not followed 218
An account of the affairs in Holland 220
The parliament at Oxford 224
The designs of the commonwealth party 226
The duke of York's jealousy 227
His amours ibid.

1666.

The fleet almost quite lost, and happily saved by prince Rupert 229
The fire of London ibid.
It was charged on the papists 230
A strong presumption of it 231
Disorders in Scotland 233
A rebellion in the west 234
The defeat given the rebels at Pentland-hill 235
Severe proceedings against the prisoners 236

1667.

The king is more gentle than the bishops 237
A change of counsel, and more moderation in the government 239
The Dutch fleet came into the Frith 241
And went to Chatham, and burnt our fleet 242
A great change in Lauderdale's temper 244
Scotland was very well governed 246
Great complaints made of the clergy 247
Affairs in England 248
Clarendon's disgrace ibid.
Southampton's death 249
The Irish sought the protection of France 250
The duke of Richmond's marriage 251
Bridgman made lord keeper 253

The French king's pretensions to Flanders 253
Clarendon's integrity 254
He was impeached in the house of commons ibid.
The king desired he would go beyond sea 256
He was banished by act of parliament ibid.
The character of his two sons 257
The king was much offended with the bishops 258
1668.
A treaty for a comprehension of the presbyterians 259
The city of London rebuilt 260
Designs for putting away the queen ibid.
A divorce enacted for adultery 262
A great dissolution of morals in court ibid.
Many libels writ by the best wits of that time 264
Sir William Coventry's character 265
The government of Ireland changed 266
The committee of Brook-house 267
Halifax's character ibid.
1669.
Many parliament men gained by the court 268
Coventry's nose was cut 270
A new prosecution of conventicles ibid.
The king went commonly to the house of lords 271
The prince of Orange came to the king 273
The affairs of Scotland ibid.
A treaty for an accommodation with the presbyterians in Scotland ibid.
An indulgence proposed 276
An attempt to murder Sharp 277
Sharp proposed the indulging some ministers that did not conform 278
Propositions for the union of the two kingdoms 279
The king gave orders for the indulgence 281
This complained of as against law 283
A parliament in Scotland 284
The supremacy carried very high ibid.
An act for the county militia 285
Burnet turned out, and Leightoun made archbishop of Glasgow 286
The state I found things in at Glasgow 287
A committee of council sent round the west 288
1670.
Instructions for an accommodation 289
Leightoun's advice to his clergy ibid.
A conference between Leightoun and some presbyterians 290
New severities against conventicles 292
The presbyterians resolved to reject the offers made them 293
Some conferences upon that subject 295
At last they refused to accept of the concessions 296
Censures passed upon this whole matter 297
1671.
The Memoirs of the Dukes of Hamilton were writ by me at that time 298
A further indulgence proposed 299
Foreign affairs 300
An alliance with France set on foot ibid.

The duchess of Orleans came to Dover 301
Soon after was poisoned ibid.
Some of her intrigues ibid.
The treaty with France negotiated 303
Lockhart sent to France 304
Pretended reasons for the Dutch war 305

1672.

The shutting up of the exchequer 306
The attempt on the Dutch Smyrna fleet 307
A declaration for toleration ibid.
The presbyterians gave the king thanks for the toleration 308
The duchess of York died 309
The first crisis of the protestant religion 310
The second crisis 311
The third crisis ibid.
The Spanish fleet came not, as at first intended 313
The fourth crisis 314
Differences between Maurice, prince of Orange, and Barneveld 315
Prince Henry Frederic's wise government 317
His son's heat ibid.
The errors of de Wit's government 319
The prince of Orange made general 320
The fifth crisis 321
The French success ibid.
But followed by an ill management 322
The Dutch in great extremities 323
Ambassadors sent to England 324
The tragical end of de Wit 325
The prince of Orange made stadtholder 326
The English ambassadors were wholly in the interest of France 327
The character of Fagel ibid.
Prince Waldeck 328
Dykvelt ibid.
And Halewyn ibid.
The prince studied to correct the errors he fell into at first 329
Van Beuning's character 330
Errors committed by the town of Amsterdam ibid.
The prince animates the States to continue the war 331
The French king goes back to Paris 332
The Dutch saved by some extraordinary providence 333
Ossory intended to surprise Helvoetsluys 334
An army from Utrecht came on the ice to Holland 335
Driven back by a sudden thaw ibid.
Painevine's sentence 336
A French mistress made duchess of Portsmouth 337
The affairs of Scotland 338
Lauderdale's great insolence ibid.
He expected addresses for a toleration 339
Designs from Holland to raise a rebellion in Scotland 340
A further indulgence 341
Leightoun resolved to retire, and to leave his see ibid.

DA
430
.B962
1823
V.1
942.06 B93

Burnet, Gilbert

Bishop Burnet's history of his own time.

Bishop Burnet's History of his own time
volume 1

DATE DUE

GAYLORD			PRINTED IN U.S.A.

PIEDMONT COLLEGE LIBRARY
DA430 .B962 1823 v.1 GE7A
Burnet, Gilbert / Bishop Burnet's history of his own time.
10105143